

2007 DRY BEAN Grower Survey

of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use

in Minnesota and North Dakota



J.J. Knodel, J.L. Luecke, P.B. Beauzay, D.W. Franzen,
H.J. Kandel, S.G. Markell, J.M. Osorno and R.K. Zollinger

North Dakota State University

In cooperation with the Northarvest Bean Growers Association

NDSU
Extension Service

North Dakota State University
Fargo, North Dakota 58105

DECEMBER 2008

Index

Introduction	4
2007 Dry Bean Grower Survey	
Table 1. Number of Northharvest dry bean growers responding, total acres and acres planted by respondents in 2007	5
Table 2. Dry bean acres irrigated, harvested and damaged by hail, frost and water in 2007	5
Table 3. Sources of dry edible bean seed used for planting by respondents in 2007	5
Table 4. Market classes of dry bean grown by respondents in 2007	5
Table 5. Dry bean varieties grown in 2007 by respondents	6
Table 6. Worst dry edible bean production problem in 2007 reported by respondents	7
Table 7. Worst weed problem in dry edible bean fields in 2007	7
Table 8. Weeds ranked as one of the three worst in dry edible bean fields in 2007	8
Table 9. Weed control practices used on dry edible bean fields in 2007	9
Table 10. Weed control practices used, by bean market class, in 2007	10
Table 11. Desiccants used on dry edible bean fields in 2007	10
Table 12. Worst disease problem on dry edible bean in 2007	10
Table 13. Diseases ranked as one of the three worst on dry edible bean in 2007	11
Table 14. Fungicides applied to dry edible bean fields in 2007	12
Table 15. Use of fungicide seed treatment on dry edible bean in 2007	12
Table 16. Worst insect problem on dry edible bean in 2007	13
Table 17. Insects ranked as one of the three worst in dry edible bean fields in 2007	13
Table 18. Use of insecticides on dry edible bean fields in 2007	13
Table 19. Use of insecticide seed treatment on dry edible bean in 2007	14
Table 20. Use of fertilizers on dry edible bean fields in 2007	14
Table 21. Use of Rhizobium inoculants on dry edible bean in 2007	14
Table 22. Use of soil test prior to fertilization of dry edible bean fields in 2007	14
Table 23. Crop grown the year prior to dry edible bean in 2007	14
Table 24. Number of years in dry bean rotation in 2007	15
Table 25. Will type II bean yield better than type III bean?	15
Table 26. Percent of total dry bean area harvested by direct combining in 2007	15
Table 27. Estimated pounds/acre of beans left in field due to direct combining in 2007	15
Table 28. Cost of direct combining compared with conventional two-pass method in 2007	15
Other responses	16
References	16
Appendix I. Copy of 2007 Dry Bean Grower Survey Form	17
Acknowledgements	20

Introduction

This (2007) dry bean grower survey is the 18th annual survey of varieties grown, pest problems, pesticide use and grower practices of the Northarvest Bean Growers Association, an association of dry edible bean growers in Minnesota and North Dakota. Research and Extension faculty at North Dakota State University and the directors of the Northarvest Bean Growers Association developed the survey forms (Appendix I). The survey was mailed to all Northarvest bean growers. All participants in the survey were anonymous.

Results of previous surveys dated 1987-2000, 2002, 2004-2005 and 2006 have been published (1-16). No surveys were conducted in 1993 and 2001. In 2003, the survey was completed by dry bean producers who attended the Northarvest Bean Day in Fargo during the winter. However, the lack of responses made processing and analyses of results unreliable, so no report was compiled. In the tables, the total percentages do not always add up to 100 percent because not all of the respondents answered every question.

Throughout this report, trade names of chemicals often are presented as an aid for clearer communication. Mention of trade names does not constitute endorsement or recommendation by North Dakota State University or the Northarvest Bean Growers Association.

2007 Dry Bean Grower Survey

Table 1. Number of Northharvest dry bean growers responding, total acres and acres planted by respondents in 2007.

Growers	No. of respondents	Respondents' acres	Total acres ^a	Acres surveyed (% of total)
Minnesota	77	26,181	145,000	18.1
North Dakota	138	68,031	670,000	10.2
Northharvest	215	94,212	815,000	11.6

^a Total of dry bean acres planted for area
(source: USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service).

Table 2. Dry bean acres irrigated, harvested and damaged by hail, frost and water in 2007.

	Minnesota	North Dakota	Northharvest
	% of respondents' acres		
Irrigated	30.5	1.5	9.5
Harvested	96.3	94.3	94.8
Hail damaged	14.8	10.8	11.9
Frost damaged	5.6	3.8	4.3
Water damaged	13.4	9.6	10.7

Table 3. Sources of dry edible bean seed used for planting by respondents in 2007.

Seed source	Minnesota	North Dakota	Northharvest
	% of respondents' acres		
Bin run	0.0	9.7	7.0
Canada	1.8	0.0	0.5
Northharvest	16.0	30.0	26.1
Western	80.8	58.0	64.4

Table 4. Market classes of dry bean grown by respondents in 2007.

Market class	Minnesota	North Dakota	Northharvest
	% of respondents' acres		
Black	7.7	6.8	7.1
Kidney	38.1	0.3	10.8
Navy	31.8	15.3	19.9
Pink	10.8	1.0	3.7
Pinto	10.7	71.9	54.9
Other	0.8	4.7	3.6

Table 5. Dry bean varieties grown in 2007 by respondents.

Variety	Class ^b	Acres planted ^a		ND	%	Northharvest	%
		MN	%				
Maverick	P	1,192	4.6	31,023	45.6	32,215	34.2
Buster	P	990	3.8	3,503	5.1	4,493	4.8
La Paz	P	300	1.1	8,319	12.2	8,619	9.1
Other pinto	P	145	0.6	3,153	4.6	3,298	3.5
Topaz	P	0	0.0	700	1.0	700	0.7
GTS 900	P	0	0.0	1,486	2.2	1,486	1.6
Winchester	P	0	0.0	375	0.6	375	0.4
Pintoba	P	186	0.7	300	0.5	486	0.5
Remington	P	0	0.0	60	0.1	60	0.1
Total pinto	P	2,813	10.8	48,919	71.9	51,732	54.9
Norstar	N	355	1.3	2,372	3.5	2,727	2.9
Navigator	N	753	2.9	1,878	2.8	2,631	2.8
Other navy	N	506	1.9	2,544	3.7	3,050	3.2
Ensign	N	905	3.5	2,470	3.6	3,375	3.6
Vista	N	3,142	12.0	811	1.2	3,953	4.2
Voyager	N	280	1.1	0	0	280	0.3
Mayflower	N	0	0.0	330	0.5	330	0.4
T9903	N	2,395	9.1	0	0	2,395	2.5
Total navy	N	8,336	31.8	10,405	15.3	18,741	19.9
Montcalm	K	4,670	17.8	0	0	4,670	5.0
Red Hawk	K	1,666	6.4	0	0	1,666	1.8
Other kidney	K	3,643	13.9	200	0.3	3,843	4.1
Total kidney	K	9,979	38.1	200	0.3	10,179	10.8
Eclipse	B	1,257	4.8	4,119	6.1	5,376	5.7
T-39	B	76	0.3	100	0.1	176	0.2
Other black	B	359	1.4	325	0.5	684	0.7
Jaguar	B	330	1.2	100	0.1	430	0.5
Total black	B	2,022	7.7	4,644	6.8	6,666	7.1
Any pink	PK	2,819	10.8	650	1.0	3,469	3.7
Total pink	PK	2,819	10.8	650	1.0	3,469	3.7
Other varieties		212	0.8	3,213	4.7	3,155	3.3
No variety listed		0	0.0	270	0.4	270	0.3
Total		26,181	100.0	68,031	100.0	94,212	100.0

^a Respondents' acres only.

^b P = pinto; N = navy; K = kidney; B = black; PK = pink

Table 6. Worst dry edible bean production problem in 2007 reported by respondents.

Worst production problem	Respondents	Respondents	Acres reported ^a	Acres reported ^a
	(no.)	(%)	(no.)	(%)
Minnesota				
Weeds	17	22.7	4,981	19.0
Weather	25	33.4	6,793	25.9
Harvest	4	5.3	3,202	12.2
Emergence/stand	6	8.0	1,008	3.9
Other	0	0.0	0	0.0
None	4	5.3	3,422	13.1
Disease	6	8.0	3,905	14.9
Delayed planting	4	5.3	487	1.9
Insects	8	10.7	2,150	8.2
Herbicide injury	1	1.3	80	0.3
Total	75	100.0	26,028	99.4
North Dakota				
Weather	29	21.6	14,139	20.8
Weeds	35	26.1	17,317	25.5
None	15	11.2	6,482	9.5
Harvest	9	6.7	3,014	4.5
Emergence/stand	20	14.9	11,457	16.8
Disease	9	6.7	6,494	9.5
Delayed planting	14	10.5	5,707	8.4
Other	2	1.5	1,100	1.6
Herbicide injury	0	0.0	0	0.0
Insects	1	0.8	80	0.1
Total	134	100.0	65,790	96.7
Northarvest				
Weather	54	25.8	20,932	22.2
Weeds	52	24.9	22,298	23.7
Harvest	13	6.2	6,216	6.6
None	19	9.1	9,904	10.5
Emergence/stand	26	12.4	12,465	13.2
Disease	15	7.2	10,399	11.0
Other	2	1.0	1,100	1.2
Delayed planting	18	8.6	6,194	6.6
Herbicide injury	1	0.5	80	0.1
Insects	9	4.3	2,230	2.4
Total	209	100.0	91,818	97.5

^a Respondents' acres only.

Table 7. Worst weed problem in dry edible bean fields in 2007.

Weed ^a	Respondents	Respondents	Acres reported ^b	Acres reported ^b
	(no.)	(%)	(no.)	(%)
Minnesota				
Lambsquarters	17	22.1	5,349	20.4
Kochia	14	18.2	7,948	30.3
Ragweed	18	23.3	6,637	25.4
Other	4	5.2	642	2.5
Nightshade	4	5.2	979	3.7
Waterhemp	6	7.8	491	1.9
Redroot pigweed	5	6.5	2,320	8.9
Canada thistle	3	3.9	487	1.9
Foxtail	5	6.5	659	2.5
Biennial wormwood	1	1.3	669	2.5
Total	77	100.0	26,181	100.0
North Dakota				
Kochia	28	20.6	14,636	21.5
Nightshade	19	14.0	9,202	13.5
Canada thistle	17	12.5	6,682	9.8
Lambsquarters	16	11.8	7,077	10.4
Biennial wormwood	20	14.7	13,280	19.5
Redroot pigweed	6	4.4	2,551	3.7
Volunteer grain	1	0.7	725	1.1
Wild mustard	5	3.7	1,649	2.4
Cocklebur	6	4.4	4,178	6.1
Ragweed	7	5.2	3,530	5.2
Foxtail	3	2.2	956	1.4
Wild oat	1	0.7	120	0.2
Wild buckwheat	4	2.9	1,675	2.5
Other	3	2.2	1,300	1.9
Total	136	100.0	67,561	99.2
Northarvest				
Kochia	42	19.7	22,584	24.0
Nightshade	23	10.8	10,181	10.8
Lambsquarters	33	15.5	12,426	13.2
Canada thistle	20	9.4	7,169	7.6
Redroot pigweed	11	5.2	4,871	5.2
Biennial wormwood	21	9.9	13,949	14.8
Ragweed	25	11.7	10,167	10.8
Cocklebur	6	2.8	4,178	4.4
Volunteer grain	1	0.5	725	0.8
Wild mustard	5	2.3	1,649	1.8
Other	7	3.3	1,942	2.1
Foxtail	8	3.8	1,615	1.7
Wild oat	1	0.5	120	0.1
Wild buckwheat	4	1.9	1,675	1.8
Waterhemp	6	2.8	491	0.5
Total	213	100.0	93,742	99.5

^a Ranked as No. 1 weed problem on more than 0.5% of respondents' acres.

^b Respondents' acres only.

Table 8. Weeds ranked as one of the three worst in dry edible bean fields in 2007.

Weed ^a	Respon-	Respon-	Acres	Acres
	dents	dents	reported ^b	reported ^b
	(no.)	(%)	(no.)	(%)
Minnesota				
Lambsquarters	51	66.2	15,241	58.2
Redroot pigweed	19	24.7	9,428	36.0
Ragweed	39	50.6	15,505	59.2
Kochia	16	20.8	8,308	31.7
Canada thistle	12	15.6	5,983	22.9
Nightshade	34	44.2	10,505	40.1
Cocklebur	9	11.7	2,050	7.8
Foxtail	13	16.9	2,733	10.4
Waterhemp	10	13.0	1,651	6.3
Other	8	10.4	919	3.5
Volunteer grain	3	3.9	449	1.7
Wild mustard	1	1.3	310	1.2
Wild buckwheat	1	1.3	240	0.9
Biennial wormwood	5	6.5	1,838	7.0
North Dakota				
Kochia	75	54.3	37,331	54.9
Canada thistle	50	36.2	23,748	34.9
Redroot pigweed	44	31.9	23,047	33.9
Nightshade	49	35.5	26,475	38.9
Lambsquarters	36	26.1	21,083	31.0
Biennial wormwood	39	28.3	24,955	36.7
Cocklebur	22	15.9	8,608	12.7
Ragweed	28	20.3	12,106	17.8
Volunteer grain	6	4.3	2,647	3.9
Wild oat	5	3.6	1,751	2.6
Other	9	6.5	5,170	7.6
Wild mustard	6	4.3	2,287	3.4
Wild buckwheat	5	3.6	1,697	2.5
Foxtail	13	9.4	6,497	9.6
Northarvest				
Kochia	91	42.3	45,639	48.4
Redroot pigweed	63	29.3	32,475	34.5
Canada thistle	62	28.8	29,731	31.6
Lambsquarters	87	40.5	36,324	38.6
Nightshade	83	38.6	36,980	39.3
Biennial wormwood	44	20.5	26,793	28.4
Ragweed	67	31.2	27,611	29.3
Cocklebur	31	14.4	10,658	11.3
Foxtail	26	12.1	9,230	9.8
Waterhemp	10	4.7	1,651	1.8
Other	17	7.9	6,089	6.5
Volunteer grain	9	4.2	3,096	3.3
Wild mustard	7	3.3	2,597	2.8
Wild buckwheat	6	2.8	1,937	2.1
Wild oat	5	2.3	1,751	1.9

^a Ranked as No. 1, 2 or 3 weed problem on more than 10% of respondents' acres.

^b Respondents' acres only.

Table 9. Weed control practices used on dry edible bean fields in 2007.

Herbicide or other practice*	Acres reported ^a	Acres reported ^a	Herbicide or other practice*	Acres reported ^a	Acres reported ^a
	(no.)	(%)		(no.)	(%)
Minnesota			Northharvest		
Raptor	17,023	65.0	Assure II	1,120	1.2
Cultivation*	6,717	25.7	Basagran	8,016	8.5
Rezult	11,774	45.0	Cultivation*	36,674	38.9
Sonalan (spring)	5,664	21.6	Dual	1,355	1.4
Basagran	4,876	18.6	Eptam (spring)	2,527	2.7
Treflan (spring)	2,410	9.2	Glyphosate (pre-harvest)	4,081	4.3
Prowl	6,293	24.0	Lasso	1,214	1.3
Outlook	3,350	12.8	Other	1,655	1.8
Reflex	4,557	17.4	Outlook	5,767	6.1
Pursuit	654	2.5	Poast	95	0.1
Rotary Hoe*	1,025	3.9	Prowl	16,656	17.7
Assure II	115	0.4	Pursuit	6,452	6.8
Dual	700	2.7	Raptor	58,468	62.1
Other	600	2.3	Reflex	24,121	25.6
Select	1,789	6.8	Rezult	65,781	69.8
Roundup	2,500	9.5	Rotary hoe*	4,036	4.3
Lasso	376	1.4	Roundup	5,463	5.8
Sonalan (fall)	60	0.2	Select	13,396	14.2
Poast	95	0.4	Sonalan (fall)	4,717	5.0
Glyphosate (pre-harvest)	416	1.6	Sonalan (spring)	35,893	38.1
Eptam (spring)	2,527	9.7	Spartan	660	0.7
North Dakota			Treflan (fall)	187	0.2
Rezult	54,007	79.4	Treflan (spring)	12,410	13.2
Raptor	41,445	60.9			
Sonalan (spring)	30,229	44.4			
Cultivation*	29,957	44.0			
Reflex	19,564	28.8			
Select	11,607	17.1			
Prowl	10,363	15.2			
Pursuit	5,798	8.5			
Treflan (spring)	10,000	14.7			
Basagran	3,140	4.6			
Other	1,055	1.6			
Sonalan (fall)	4,657	6.8			
Roundup	2,963	4.4			
Assure II	1,005	1.5			
Glyphosate (pre-harvest)	3,665	5.4			
Rotary hoe*	3,011	4.4			
Outlook	2,417	3.6			
Spartan	660	1.0			
Dual	655	1.0			
Treflan (fall)	187	0.3			
Lasso	838	1.2			

^a Respondents' acres only.

Table 10. Weed control practices used, by bean market class, in 2007.

Herbicide or other practice*	Black	Kidney ^b	Navy	Pinto
	% acres treated ^a			
Minnesota				
Assure II	4.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Basagran	2.3	27.8	22.4	3.6
Cultivation*	6.3	34.5	20.6	43.7
Dual	0.0	3.5	4.2	0.0
Eptam (spring)	11.5	18.5	5.4	0.0
Glyphosate (pre-harvest)	0.0	0.0	30.1	5.7
Lasso	0.0	2.4	1.6	0.0
Other	0.0	4.2	2.2	0.0
Outlook	0.0	12.2	6.1	0.0
Poast	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.0
Prowl	36.0	38.1	21.1	0.0
Pursuit	18.8	1.9	1.0	0.0
Raptor	35.0	68.2	77.9	42.6
Reflex	33.7	6.2	26.0	12.1
Rezult	2.2	20.1	79.7	54.7
Rotary hoe*	0.0	0.0	3.9	24.9
Roundup	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
Select	33.7	5.0	7.3	0.0
Sonalan (spring)	33.2	24.2	19.8	33.1
Treflan (spring)	0.0	1.9	14.4	33.2
North Dakota				
Assure II	0.0		0.6	1.9
Basagran	6.5		0.0	5.8
Cultivation*	4.4		37.3	45.9
Dual	0.0		0.0	1.3
Glyphosate (pre-harvest)	0.0		6.5	6.1
Other	2.2		0.8	1.8
Outlook	2.6		7.8	3.0
Lasso	0.0		6.1	0.4
Prowl	18.3		17.5	13.7
Pursuit	32.4		5.4	7.6
Raptor	28.0		84.1	58.7
Reflex	37.4		24.1	31.3
Rezult	83.6		84.0	79.7
Rotary hoe*	2.2		19.3	1.9
Roundup	3.2		11.4	3.3
Select	7.5		6.3	21.7
Sonalan (fall)	0.0		3.7	8.7
Sonalan (spring)	42.1		46.5	45.9
Spartan	0.0		2.5	0.8
Treflan (spring)	26.0		19.4	11.0
Treflan (fall)	0.0		1.8	0.0

^a % of respondents' acres for that class; includes practices used on more than 10% of respondents' acres for one or more classes.

^b Only 200 acres of kidney bean were surveyed in North Dakota. Data are not included.

Table 11. Desiccants used on dry edible bean fields in 2007.

Desiccant	Respon-	Respon-	Acres	Acres
	dents	dents	reported ^a	reported ^a
	(no.)	(%)	(no.)	(%)
Minnesota				
Sodium chlorate	11	14.3	1,556	5.9
Gramoxone Extra	9	11.7	2,165	8.3
Aim	1	1.3	80	0.3
Glyphosate	15	19.5	2,949	11.3
North Dakota				
Sodium chlorate	6	4.3	1,217	1.8
Gramoxone Extra	16	11.6	4,760	7.0
Aim	4	2.9	740	1.1
Glyphosate	31	22.5	8,923	13.1
Northarvest				
Sodium chlorate	17	7.9	2,773	2.9
Gramoxone Extra	25	11.6	6,925	7.4
Aim	5	2.3	820	0.9
Glyphosate	46	21.4	11,872	12.6

^a Respondents' acres only.

Table 12. Worst disease problem on dry edible bean in 2007.

Disease ^a	Respon-	Respon-	Acres	Acres
	dents	dents	reported ^b	reported ^b
	(no.)	(%)	(no.)	(%)
Minnesota				
Root rot	19	35.8	7,794	29.8
None	2	3.8	128	0.5
White mold	28	52.8	10,236	39.1
Bacterial blight	3	5.7	1,336	5.1
Mosaic virus	1	1.9	111	0.4
Total	53	100.0	19,605	74.9
North Dakota				
None	22	19.8	10,578	15.5
White mold	60	54.1	30,682	45.1
Bacterial blight	18	16.2	11,640	17.1
Root rot	7	6.3	3,032	4.5
Rust	2	1.8	829	1.2
Other viruses	2	1.8	2,665	3.9
Total	111	100.0	59,426	87.3
Northarvest				
Bacterial blight	21	12.8	12,976	13.8
Mosaic virus	1	0.6	111	0.1
None	24	14.6	10,706	11.4
Root rot	26	15.9	10,826	11.5
Rust	2	1.2	829	0.9
Other viruses	2	1.2	2,665	2.8
White mold	88	53.7	40,918	43.4
Total	164	100.0	79,031	83.9

^a Ranked as No. 1 disease problem by respondents.

^b Respondents' acres only.

Table 13. Diseases ranked as one of the three worst on dry edible bean in 2007.

Disease ^a	Respon-	Respon-	Acres	Acres
	dents	dents	reported ^b	reported ^b
	(no.)	(%)	(no.)	(%)
Minnesota				
White mold	44	57.1	17,654	67.4
Root rot	31	40.3	12,590	48.1
Bacterial blight	24	31.2	9,960	38.0
None	2	2.6	128	0.5
Rust	10	13.0	6,444	24.6
Anthracnose	4	5.2	990	3.8
Viruses	3	3.9	690	2.6
Mosaic virus	1	1.3	111	0.4
North Dakota				
White mold	79	57.2	42,967	63.2
None	22	15.9	10,578	15.5
Bacterial blight	50	36.2	32,965	48.1
Root rot	21	15.2	9,852	14.5
Rust	35	25.4	19,471	28.6
Alternaria	2	1.4	569	0.8
Anthracnose	7	5.1	3,416	5.0
Viruses	3	2.2	3,165	4.7
Mosaic virus	4	2.9	1,640	2.4
Northarvest				
Alternaria	2	0.9	569	0.6
Anthracnose	11	5.1	4,406	4.7
Bacterial blight	74	34.4	42,925	45.6
Mosaic virus	5	2.3	1,751	1.9
None	24	11.2	10,706	11.4
Root rot	52	24.2	22,442	23.8
Rust	45	20.9	25,915	27.5
Viruses	6	2.8	3,855	4.1
White mold	123	57.2	60,621	64.3

^a Ranked as No. 1, 2 or 3 disease problem by respondents.

^b Respondents' acres only.

Table 14. Fungicides applied to dry edible bean fields in 2007.

Fungicide	Acres treated ^a (no.)	Acres treated ^a (%)	Acres treated by air ^a (no.)	Acres treated by air ^a (%)	Acres treated by ground ^a (no.)	Acres treated by ground ^a (%)
Minnesota						
Topsin (broadcast)	5,572	21.3	270	1.0	5,302	20.3
Headline	4,801	18.3	1,034	3.9	3,767	14.4
Topsin (banded)	30	0.1	0	0.0	30	0.1
Total	10,403	39.7	1,304	4.9	9,099	34.8
North Dakota						
Topsin (broadcast)	9,723	14.3	3,188	4.7	5,235	7.7
Headline	11,025	16.2	3,542	5.2	7,483	11.0
Topsin (banded)	1,356	2.0	0	0.0	1,356	2.0
Bravo	120	0.2	0	0.0	120	0.2
Endura	60	0.1	0	0.0	60	0.1
Maneb	242	0.4	207	0.3	35	0.1
Quadris	423	0.6	0	0.0	423	0.6
Proline	4,397	6.5	1,572	2.3	2,825	4.2
Other	107	0.2	0	0.0	107	0.2
Total	27,453	40.5	8,509	12.5	17,644	26.1
Northharvest						
Topsin (broadcast)	15,295	16.2	3,458	3.7	10,537	11.2
Headline	15,826	16.8	4,576	4.9	11,250	11.9
Topsin (banded)	1,386	1.5	0	0.0	1,386	1.5
Bravo	120	0.1	0	0.0	120	0.1
Endura	60	0.1	0	0.0	60	0.1
Maneb	242	0.3	207	0.2	35	0.0
Quadris	423	0.4	0	0.0	423	0.4
Proline	4,397	4.7	1,572	1.7	2,825	3.0
Other	107	0.1	0	0.0	107	0.1
Total	37,856	40.2	9,813	10.4	26,743	28.4

^a Respondents' acres only. Some respondents did not indicate application method; therefore, ground-applied acres and air-applied acres may not always equal total acres treated.

Table 15. Use of fungicide seed treatment on dry edible bean in 2007.

	Respondents (no.)	Respondents (%)
Minnesota		
Treatment used	39	60.0
Treatment not used	26	40.0
North Dakota		
Treatment used	51	48.1
Treatment not used	55	51.9
Northharvest		
Treatment used	90	52.6
Treatment not used	81	47.4

Table 16. Worst insect problem on dry edible bean in 2007.

Insect ^a	Respon- dents	Respon- dents	Acres reported ^a	Acres reported ^a
	(no.)	(%)	(no.)	(%)
Minnesota				
Leafhopper	53	82.8	19,252	73.5
Aphids	5	7.8	1,650	6.3
Grasshopper	5	7.8	1,635	6.2
Spider mite	1	1.6	160	0.6
Total	64	100.0	22,697	86.6
North Dakota				
None	27	33.3	16,203	23.8
Aphids	4	4.9	1,620	2.4
Cutworms	9	11.1	4,926	7.2
Bean leaf beetle	5	6.2	4,700	6.9
Caterpillar	1	1.2	250	0.4
Leafhopper	24	29.6	9,318	13.7
Grasshopper	10	12.4	6,424	9.4
Seed corn maggot	1	1.2	1,206	1.8
Total	81	100.0	44,647	65.6
Northharvest				
Aphids	9	6.2	3,270	3.5
Bean leaf beetle	5	3.4	4,700	5.0
Caterpillar	1	0.7	250	0.3
Cutworms	9	6.2	4,926	5.2
Grasshopper	15	10.3	8,059	8.6
Leafhopper	77	53.1	28,570	30.3
Spider mite	1	0.7	160	0.2
None	27	18.6	16,203	17.2
Seed corn maggot	1	0.7	1,206	1.3
Total	145	100.0	67,344	71.5

^a Respondents' acres only.

Table 17. Insects ranked as one of the three worst in dry edible bean fields in 2007.

Insect ^a	Respon- dents	Respon- dents	Acres reported ^b	Acres reported ^b
	(no.)	(%)	(no.)	(%)
Minnesota				
Aphids	24	31.2	9,537	36.4
Bean leaf beetle	14	18.2	3,281	12.5
Cutworms	5	6.5	3,876	14.8
Grasshopper	26	33.8	8,215	31.4
Leafhopper	57	74.0	20,937	80.0
Other	1	1.3	65	0.2
Seed corn maggot	4	5.2	2,797	10.7
Spider mite	7	9.1	773	3.0
North Dakota				
Aphids	16	11.6	6,860	10.1
Bean leaf beetle	11	8.0	7,685	11.3
Cutworms	17	12.3	9,917	14.6
Grasshopper	25	18.1	14,888	21.9
Leafhopper	29	21.0	14,918	21.9
Other	0	0.0	0	0.0
Seed corn maggot	4	2.9	2,956	4.3
Spider mite	3	2.2	820	1.2
Northharvest				
Aphids	40	18.6	16,397	17.4
Bean leaf beetle	25	11.6	10,966	11.6
Cutworms	22	10.2	13,793	14.6
Grasshopper	51	23.7	23,103	24.5
Leafhopper	86	40.0	35,855	38.1
Other	1	0.5	65	0.1
Seed corn maggot	8	3.7	5,753	6.1
Spider mite	10	4.7	1,593	1.7

^a Ranked as No. 1, 2 or 3 insect problem by respondents.

^b Respondents' acres only.

Table 18. Use of insecticides on dry edible bean fields in 2007.

Insecticide	Respon- dents	Respon- dents	Acres reported ^a	Acres reported ^a
	(no.)	(%)	(no.)	(%)
Minnesota				
Asana XL	32	41.6	13,580	51.9
Dimethoate	4	5.2	1,364	5.2
Warrior	6	7.8	1,279	4.9
Mustang	4	5.2	613	2.3
Baythroid	3	3.9	515	2.0
North Dakota				
Asana XL	13	9.4	5,447	8.0
Warrior	3	2.2	335	0.5
Dimethoate	1	0.7	135	0.2
Northharvest				
Asana XL	45	20.9	19,027	20.2
Baythroid	3	1.4	515	0.5
Dimethoate	5	2.3	1,499	1.6
Mustang	4	1.9	613	0.7
Warrior	9	4.2	1,614	1.7

^a Respondents' acres only.

Table 19. Use of insecticide seed treatment on dry edible bean in 2007.

Treatment	Respon- dents (no.)	Respon- dents (%)	Acres reported ^a (no.)	Acres reported ^a (%)
Minnesota				
Lorsban	22	28.6	12,571	48.0
Cruiser	10	13.0	1,798	6.9
Gaicho	1	1.3	320	1.2
North Dakota				
Lorsban	20	14.5	9,276	13.6
Cruiser	10	7.2	3,115	4.6
Gaicho	3	2.2	1,060	1.6
Northarvest				
Lorsban	42	19.5	21,847	23.2
Cruiser	20	9.3	4,913	5.2
Gaicho	4	1.9	1,380	1.5

^a Respondents' acres only.

Table 20. Use of fertilizers on dry edible bean fields in 2007.

Fertilizer	Respondents (no.)	Respondents (%)
Minnesota		
Nitrogen	69	89.6
Phosphate	58	75.3
Potash	53	68.8
Zinc	37	48.1
Other	10	13.0
North Dakota		
Nitrogen	96	69.6
Phosphate	92	66.7
Potash	28	20.3
Zinc	54	39.1
Other	6	4.3
Northarvest		
Nitrogen	165	76.7
Phosphate	150	69.8
Potash	81	37.7
Zinc	91	42.3
Other	16	7.4

Table 21. Use of Rhizobium inoculants on dry edible bean in 2007.

Rhizobium use	Respondents (no.)	Respondents (%)
Minnesota		
Inoculant used	21	30.4
Inoculant not used	48	69.6
North Dakota		
Inoculant used	28	25.5
Inoculant not used	82	74.5
Northarvest		
Inoculant used	49	27.4
Inoculant not used	130	72.6

Table 22. Use of soil test prior to fertilization of dry edible bean fields in 2007.

Soil test	Respondents (no.)	Respondents (%)
Minnesota		
Soil test used	64	83.1
Soil test not used	13	16.9
North Dakota		
Soil test used	92	71.9
Soil test not used	36	28.1
Northarvest		
Soil test used	156	76.1
Soil test not used	49	23.9

Table 23. Crop grown the year prior to dry edible bean in 2007.

Previous crop	Respondents (%)
Minnesota	
Corn	45.2
Wheat	37.1
Sugar beets	11.3
Potatoes	1.6
Barley	0.8
Oats	0.8
Other (alfalfa, soybean, other)	3.2
North Dakota	
Wheat	63.3
Corn	15.7
Barley	8.5
Sugar beets	10.1
Other (oats, alfalfa, other)	0.4
Potatoes	0.8
Fallow	1.2

Table 24. Number of years in dry bean rotation in 2007.

Number of years	Respondents (%)
Minnesota	
1	0.8
2	9.5
3	23.0
4	24.6
5	40.5
9	1.6
North Dakota	
1	0
2	33.9
3	22.6
4	22.6
5	17.3
9	3.6

Table 25. Will type II bean yield better than type III bean?

Answer	Respondents (no.)	Respondents (%)
Minnesota		
Yes	28	65.1
No	15	34.9
North Dakota		
Yes	48	53.3
No	42	46.7
Northarvest		
Yes	76	57.1
No	57	42.9

Table 26. Percent of total dry bean area harvested by direct combining in 2007.

Percent direct combined	Respondents (no.)	Respondents (%)
Minnesota		
0%	37	51.4
1-25%	3	4.1
26-50%	2	2.8
51-75%	0	0.0
76-100%	30	41.7
North Dakota		
0%	65	49.2
1-25%	20	15.2
26-50%	9	6.8
51-75%	6	4.6
76-100%	32	24.2
Northarvest		
0%	102	50.0
1-25%	23	11.3
26-50%	11	5.4
51-75%	6	2.9
76-100%	62	30.4

Table 27. Estimated pounds/acre of beans left in field due to direct combining in 2007.

Pounds/acre	Respondents (no.)	Respondents (%)
Minnesota		
Less than 50	6	17.6
50-100	16	47.1
100-200	7	20.6
More than 200	5	14.7
North Dakota		
Less than 50	3	4.9
50-100	25	41.0
100-200	23	37.7
More than 200	10	16.4
Northarvest		
Less than 50	9	9.5
50-100	41	43.1
100-200	30	31.5
More than 200	15	15.9

Table 28. Cost of direct combining compared with conventional two-pass method in 2007.

Cost of direct combining	Respondents (no.)	Respondents (%)
Minnesota		
Less than 25%	6	14.6
Less than 50%	25	61.0
Less than 75%	6	14.6
Equal or more	4	9.8
North Dakota		
Less than 25%	18	25.4
Less than 50%	24	33.8
Less than 75%	17	23.9
Equal or more	12	16.9
Northarvest		
Less than 25%	24	21.4
Less than 50%	49	43.8
Less than 75%	23	20.5
Equal or more	16	14.3

Other Responses

The following “other” responses were recorded; the number of responses are in parentheses next to the response.

Other dry bean class:

small red (1);
great northern (4)

Other navy cultivar:

Envoy (1); Panther (1);
Regent (6); Rogers 0076 (3);
Sailor (2); Seabiscuit (2);
Seahawk (1); Shoner (1);
T9905 (2); Western 01054 (3)

Other pinto cultivar:

#195 (1); Baja (2); Durango (2);
Grand Mesa (1); Othello (1);
Rally (2); Sonora (4);
Windbreaker (4); Uprights (1)

Other black cultivar:

Shiny Crow (4)

Other kidney cultivar:

California Early Light Red (5);
Dark Red (1); Drake (3);
Foxfire (3); Small Red (1);
White (1)

Other worst weed:

Bindweed (1); lanceleaf
sage (1); marshelder (2);
millet (2); smartweed (1);
stinkweed (1); velvetleaf (1);
wild sunflower (3)

Other fertilizer:

boron (1); copper (1);
sulfur (10)

Other fungicides:

Cetana XL (1); thiophanate
methyl (1)

Other herbicides:

Permit (1); MSO microrate (2);
Arrow (1)

Other rotation crops:

Peas (4); snap beans (1)

References

1. Bradley, C.A., and Luecke, J.L. 2004. 2002 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. PP-1265.
2. Knodel, J.J., Luecke, J.L., Beauzay, P.B., Franzen, D.W., Kandel, H.J., Markell, S.G., Osorno, J.M., and Zollinger, R.K. 2008. 2006 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. PP-1265 (revised).
3. Knodel, J.J., Bradley, C.A., Luecke, J.L., and Mars, G.A. 2007. 2004 and 2005 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. PP-1265 (revised).
4. Lamey, H.A., Berglund, D.R., McMullen, M.P., Luecke, J.L., Venette, J.R., McBride, D.K., Zollinger, R.K., and Grafton, K.F. 1993. 1991 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 13.
5. Lamey, H.A., Berglund, D.R., McMullen, M.P., Luecke, J.L., Zollinger, R.K., Glogoza, P.A., Venette, J.R., McBride, D.K., and Grafton, K.F. 1994. 1992 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 19.
6. Lamey, H.A., Berglund, D.R., McMullen, M.P., Zollinger, R.K., Venette, J.R., McBride, D.K., Venette, S.J., and Venette, R.C. 1992. 1990 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 10.
7. Lamey, H.A., Dexter, A.G., McBride, D.K., Venette, R.C., and Venette, J.R. 1990. Problems and Practices of Northharvest Dry Bean Growers in 1988. N.D. Farm Res. 48(20):6-11, 14.
8. Lamey, H.A., McMullen, M.P., Glogoza, P.A., Zollinger, R.K., Luecke, J.L., Berglund, D.R., Venette, J.R., and Grafton, K.F. 1998. 1996 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 44.
9. Lamey, H.A., Zollinger, R.K., Luecke, J.L., Berglund, D.R., Glogoza, P.A., and Grafton, K.F. 2001. 2000 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 72.
10. Lamey, H.A., Zollinger, R.K., McBride, D.K., Venette, R.C., and Venette, J.R. 1991. Production Problems and Practices of Northharvest Dry Bean Growers in 1989. N.D. Farm Res. 29(2):17-24.
11. Lamey, H.A., Zollinger, R.K., McMullen, M.P., Luecke, J.L., Grafton, K.F., Berglund, D.R., Venette, J.R., and Glogoza, P.A. 1996. 1994 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 28.
12. Lamey, H.A., Zollinger, R.K., Venette, J.R., Berglund, D.R., Luecke, J.L., Grafton, K.F., and Glogoza, P.A. 1997. 1995 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 33.
13. Lamey, H.A., Zollinger, R.K., Venette, J.R., McMullen, M.P., Luecke, J.L., Glogoza, P.A., Grafton, K.F., and Berglund, D.R. 1999. 1997 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 47.
14. Lamey, H.A., Zollinger, R.K., McMullen, M.P., Luecke, J.L., Venette, J.R., Berglund, D.R., Grafton, K.F., and Glogoza, P.A. 1999. 1998 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 58.
15. Lamey, H.A., Zollinger, R.K., McMullen, M.P., Luecke, J.L., Venette, J.R., Berglund, D.R., Grafton, K.F., and Glogoza, P.A. 2000. 1999 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. 64.
16. Venette, J.R., Lamey, H.A., Peterson, D.E., and Venette, R.C. 1989. Problems and Practices of Dry Edible Bean Production in North Dakota and Minnesota, 1987. N.D. Farm Res. 46(5):25-31.

APPENDIX I.

Please circle or fill in the requested information on pest problems and pesticide use on your 2007 dry bean crop.

Total dry bean acres planted in 2007
Total irrigated acres
Total dry bean acres harvested
Dry bean acres with hail damage
Dry bean acres with frost damage
Dry bean acres with water damage

State	County	Acres
Minnesota		
North Dakota		
South Dakota		

Dry Beans Grown		
Class	Variety	Acres
Pinto	1 Buster	
	2 GTS 900	
	3 Maverick	
	4 AC Pintoba	
	5 Remington	
	6 Winchester	
	7 Other Pinto (specify)	
Navy	21 Arthur	
	22 Mayflower	
	23 Navigator	
	24 Norstar	
	25 Vista	
	26 Voyager	
	27 Other Navy (specify)	
Kidney	41 Montcalm (DRK)	
	42 Red Hawk	
	43 Other Kidney (specify)	
Black	61 Onyx	
	62 Jaguar	
	63 T-39	
	64 Eclipse	
	65 Other Black (specify)	
Pink	81 (specify)	
Other	91 (specify class & variety)	

Seed Source	Acres Planted
Western grown	
Northharvest grown (ND/MN)	
Canadian grown	
Bin run	

Crop Rotation (field with dry beans in 2007) (write in crops grown in previous years)		
	Field #1 dry beans '07	Field #2 dry beans '07
2006		
2005		
2004		
2003		

Biggest Production Problem in Dry Beans (circle one & complete table)		
	Acres Affected	Bean Class
1 Applied herbicide injury*		
2 *List herbicide in #1		
3 Herbicide drift injury		
4 Delayed planting		
5 Emergence/stand		
6 Harvest		
7 Disease		
8 Insects		
9 Micronutrient deficiency		
10 Weeds		
11 Other (specify)		
12 None		

Insecticides Used on Dry Beans		
Insecticide (write in name or #)	No. Acres Treated	No. of Sprays
Dry Bean Insecticides	1 Asana XL 2 Capture 3 Carbaryl (Sevin) 4 Acephate (Orthene, Address) 5 Baythroid XL 6 Tombstone 7 Malathion 8 Warrior 9 Lannate LV	10 Mustang Max 11 PennCap-M 12 SpinTor 13 Proaxis 14 Dimethoate 15 Dipel 16 Nuprid 17 Thimet 20G 18 Endosulfan
Was insecticide-treated seed used?	Yes	No
If so, what product(s)?		
Acres planted Cruiser 5FS or Cruiser MAXX Beans Seed Treatment		
Acres planted Lorsban Seed Treatment		
Acres planted Gaucho Seed Treatment		

Worst Weed Problems in Dry Beans (Rank 1-3; 1 = worst) ONLY mark 3			
Biennial wormwood		Nightshade	
Canada thistle		Ragweed	
Cocklebur		Redroot pigweed	
Foxtail		Volunteer grain	
Kochia		Wild oat	
Lambsquarters		Other	

Worst Insect/Mite Problem (Rank 1-3; 1 = worst) ONLY mark 3	
Aphids	
Cutworms	
Bean leaf beetle	
Caterpillars	
Grasshoppers	
Leafhoppers	
Spider mites	
Seedcorn maggot	

Weed Control Practices Used on Dry Beans						
Mark weed control used and indicate areas treated for each item. Count double application, double cultivation, etc., as double acres.						
Weed Control Used (Write in name or #)	Class of Bean	Acres Treated	Class of Bean (if additional)	Acres Treated	Class of Bean (if additional)	Acres Treated
Dry Bean Herbicide	1 Assure II 2 Basagran/generics 3 Dual 4 Eptam (fall) 5 Eptam (spring) 6 Lasso/generics 7 Outlook 8 Poast 9 Prowl		10 Pursuit 11 Raptor 12 Reflex 13 Roundup Ultra (preplant) 14 Rezult 15 Select 16 Sonalan (fall) 17 Sonalan (spring) 18 Spartan		19 Trifluralin (fall) 20 Trifluralin (spring) 21 Trifluralin + Eptam (spring) 22 No Herbicide 23 Cultivation 24 Rotary hoe 25 Glyphosate (pre harvest) 26 Other	
Desiccants	Class of Bean	Acres Treated	Class of Bean (if additional)	Acres Treated	Class of Bean (if additional)	Acres Treated
Sodium Chlorate (Leafex, Defol)						
Gramoxone Extra						
Aim						
Glyphosate						

General Fertilizer Program for Dry Beans lb/A applied				
Nitrogen	Phosphate	Potash	Zinc	Other
Inoculate with rhizobium bacteria?	Yes	No		
Soil test prior to fertilization?	Yes	No		

Worst Disease Problems (Rank 1-3; 1 = worst) ONLY mark 3	Alternaria	Anthracnose	Bacterial Blight	Root Rot	Rust	White Mold	None
	Viruses - General	Bean Common Mosaic Virus					

Fungicides Used on Dry Beans				
Fungicide Used (write in name or #)	No. Acres Treated	No. of Sprays	Application Method (circle one)	
			air	ground
Dry Bean Fungicides	1 Bravo/ generics 2 Champion/Champ 3 Endura 4 Headline 5 Intercept 6 Kocide 7 Maneb	8 Thiolux 9 Tilt 10 Topsin/generics (broadcast) 11 Topsin/generics (banded) 12 Quadris/Amistar 13 Other 14 Any tank mixes? List combination		

Was fungicide-treated seed used?	Yes	No
If so, what product(s)?		

Direct Harvest	A type II bean (upright with short vine bean) will yield better than a type III bean (prostrate or more laying down vine bean)?				Yes	No
	On your farm, what percentage of your total dry bean area is being harvested using direct combining? (circle one)					
	0%	1 - 25%	26 - 50%	51 - 75%	76 -100%	
	If direct combining is a common practice on your farm, do you have an estimate of how many pounds per acre you are leaving in field due to this practice? (circle one)					
	Less than 50	50-100	100-200	200 or more		
The cost of direct combining compared with the conventional method (2 passes) is? (circle one)						
	Less than 25%	Less than 50%	Less than 75%	Equal or more		

Acknowledgments

A grant from the Northharvest Bean Growers Association
funded the survey.

Thank you to D. Pennington and D. Walker
for their assistance in compiling the tables.

Photos by the NDSU Extension Service

For more information on this and other topics, see: www.ag.ndsu.edu

This publication may be copied for noncommercial, educational purposes in its entirety with no changes.

Requests to use any portion of the document (including text, graphics or photos) should be sent to NDSU.permission@ndsu.edu.

Include exactly what is requested for use and how it will be used.

North Dakota State University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, age, Vietnam Era Veterans status, sexual orientation, marital status, or public assistance status. Direct inquiries to the Executive Director and Chief Diversity Officer, 202 Old Main, (701) 231-7708. County Commissions, NDSU and U.S. Department of Agriculture Cooperating. This publication will be made available in alternative formats for people with disabilities upon request, (701) 231-7881.