

### **North Dakota**

# **Durum Wheat**

#### Variety Trial Results for 2006 and Selection Guide

Compiled by

Joel K. Ransom
Extension Agronomist

**Brian Sorenson**Extension Wheat Quality Specialist

**Elias Elias**Durum Breeder

The 2006 growing season was unusually dry, particularly in the latter half of the season, so yields this season were slightly below average for most locations where testing was performed. Disease pressure generally was low at all locations. Durum varieties are tested each year at multiple sites throughout North Dakota. The relative performance of these varieties is presented in table form. Variety performance data are used to provide variety

recommendations to producers. Some varieties may not be included in the tables due to insufficient testing, lack of seed availability, or they offer no yield or disease advantage over similar varieties. Additional data from county sites are available in the "Crop Production Guide 2007" (No. 17) and from each Research Extension Center at <a href="https://www.ag.ndsu.nodak.edu/aginfo/variety/hrsw.htm">www.ag.ndsu.nodak.edu/aginfo/variety/hrsw.htm</a>. Descriptions of the most commonly grown varieties in the region are included for informational purposes. To decrease the potential of making errors when choosing a variety, producers should use data combined from multiple locations and years. For example, use data from the sites with high disease pressure in 2005 together with data from 2006 to select varieties that are high yielding and disease resistant.

Information contained in this publication is based on research conducted by the following North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station scientists, plant breeders, cereal chemists and plant pathologists.

Frank Manthey – Cereal Science
Timothy Friesen – USDA
Jack Rasmussen – Plant Pathology
Tika Adhikari – Plant Pathology
Shaukat Ali – Plant Pathology
Marcia McMullen – Plant Pathology
Robert Stack – Plant Pathology

Blaine Schatz – Carrington Steve Zwinger – Carrington Pat Carr – Dickinson Eric Eriksmoen – Hettinger Bryan Hanson – Langdon Mark Halvorson – Minot Neil Riveland – Williston

N.D. Agricultural Experiment Station
NDSU Extension Service

0, 1067 North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota 58105

Durum wheat varieties currently grown in North Dakota are described in the following tables. Successful durum production depends on numerous factors, including selecting the right variety for a particular area. The information included in this publication is meant to aid in selecting that variety or group of varieties. Characteristics to evaluate in selecting a variety are yield potential in your area, test weight, straw strength and plant height, reaction to important diseases and maturity. Selecting varieties with good milling and pasta quality also is important to maintain market recognition.

Every growing season differs; therefore, when selecting a top-yielding variety, use data that summarize several years and locations. Choose the variety that, on average, performs the best at multiple locations near you, and if data is available, for several years.

Presentation of data for the entries tested does not imply approval or endorsement by the authors or agencies conducting the test. North Dakota State University approves the reproduction of any table in the publication only if no portion is deleted, appropriate footnotes are given and the order of the data is not rearranged.

#### List of Tables

- Table 1. 2006 North Dakota durum wheat variety description, agronomic traits.
- Table 2. North Dakota durum wheat variety quality descriptions, milling and processing data, averaged for five years (2001-2005) from advanced yield trials.
- Table 3. North Dakota durum wheat variety quality descriptions, milling and processing data, for 2005 at all locations in the advanced yield trials.
- Table 4. Yield of durum varieties at three locations in eastern North Dakota, 2006.
- Table 5. Yield of durum varieties at four locations in western North Dakota, 2006.
- Table 6. Protein of durum varieties at five locations in North Dakota, 2006.
- Table 7. Test weight of durum varieties at seven locations in North Dakota, 2006.

Table 1. 2006 North Dakota durum wheat variety descriptions, agronomic traits.

	Agent					_	Reaction to Disease <sup>2</sup>			
Variety	or Origin <sup>1</sup>	Year Released	Chaff Color	Height	Straw Strength	Maturity	Stem Rust	Leaf Rust	Foliar Disease	Scab
AC Avonlea	Can.	1997	white	med	med.	med.	R	R	M	S
AC Melita	Can.	1995	white	tall	med.	med.	R	NA	NA	S
AC Morse	Can.	1996	white	s.dwf.	strong	med.	R	R	M	NA
AC Navigator	Can.	1999	white	s.dwf.	weak	med.	R	R	M	S
AC Pathfinder	Can.	1999	white	med.	weak	med.	R	R	M	S
Alkabo	ND	2005	white	med.	v.strong	med.	R	R	M	MS
Alzada	WB	2004	White	s.dwf	strong	early	R	NA	NA	NA
Belzer	ND	1997	white	tall	med.	late	R	R	M	MR
Ben	ND	1996	white	med.	strong	med.	R	R	MR	S*
Cando	ND	1975	tan	s.dwf.	v.strong.	med.	R	R	M	VS
Dilse	ND	2002	white	med.	strong	late	R	R	M	MS
Divide	ND	2005	white	med.	strong	med.	R	R	M	MR
Dressler	AgriPro	1996	white	tall	med.	med.	R	MR	NA	VS
Fjord	AgriPro	1986	white	tall	strong	m.early	R	R	M	S
Grande D'Oro	WB/DGP	2005	white	med.	m.strong	med.	R	R	M	NA
Grenora	ND	2005	white	med.	strong	med.	R	R	M	MS
Kari	AgriPro	1998	white	med	strong	med	R	R	M	S
Kyle	Can.	1984	white	tall	weak	med.	R	MR	M	NA
Laker	WB	1985	white	s.dwf.	strong	med.	R	MR	S	S
Lebsock	ND	1999	white	med	strong	med	R	R	M	MS
Lloyd	ND	1983	white	s.dwf.	v.strong	med.	R	MR	S	VS
Maier	ND	1998	white	med	strong	m-late	R	R	M	S*
Medora	Can.	1983	white	tall	strong	m.early	R	R	MS	VS
Monroe	ND	1985	white	tall	med.	early	R	R	M	VS
Mountrail	ND	1998	white	med	strong	late	R	R	M	S*
Munich	ND	1995	white	med.	v.strong	med.	R	R	MR	S*
Pierce	ND	2001	white	med.	m.strong	med.	R	R	MS	S
Plaza	ND	1999	white	s.dwf.	v. strong	late	R	R	M	MS
Plenty	Can.	1990	white	tall	weak	late	R	R	MR	MS
Primo D'Oro	WB/DGP	2004	white	tall	med.	m.early	R	R	MS	NA
Renville	ND	1988	white	tall	med.	med.	. <b>R</b>	R	M	S*
Rugby	ND	1973	tan	tall	strong	m.early	R	R	MR	S*
Vic	ND	1979	white	tall	med.	m.early	R	R	MR	S*-
Voss	AgriPro	1994	white	s.dwf.	v.strong	med.	R	MR	MS	S

<sup>1</sup> Refers to agent or developer: WB = Westbred, ND = North Dakota, DGP = Dakota Growers Pasta.

<sup>2</sup> R = resistant; MR = moderately resistant (slow rusters); M = intermediate; MS = moderately susceptible; S = susceptible; VS = very susceptible; Foliar Disease = reaction to tan spot and septoria leaf spot complex. Letter ratings for head blight (scab) based on visual head symptoms. \* Indicates yields and/or quality often have been higher than would be expected based on visual symptoms.

Table 2. North Dakota durum wheat variety quality descriptions, milling and processing data, averaged

for five years (2001-2005) from advanced yield trials.

Variety	Test Weight	Wheat Protein	Vitreous Kernels	Large Kernels	Falling Number	Mixograph Score	Pasta Color	Spaghetti Firmness	Overall Quality <sup>4</sup>
	lb/bu	(%) <sup>1</sup>	<u></u>	%	sec	1-82	1-123	g*cm	
AC Avonlea	59.5	15.3	92	56	461	6	9.0	6.8	good
Alkabo	61.0	14.4	88	54	389	7	9.2	6.4	good
Belzer	59.3	14.6	90	59	405	7	9.0	6.6	good
Ben	61.0	14.7	92	62	394	7	8.8	6.4	good
Dilse	60.5	15.4	92	50	383	7	9.0	7.3	excellent
Divide	60.3	14.9	90	57	421	7	9.0	6.7	excellent
Grenora	59.9	14.5	92	57	427	7	9.1	6.5	good
Lebsock	61.5	14.2	90	56	401	6	8.9	6.2	good
Maier	60.5	15.0	92	52	410	7	9.1	7.1	excellent
Mountrail	60.2	14.3	90	47	406	5	8.7	6.1	average
Munich	59.6	14.6	91	46	391	5	9.2	6.5	good
Pierce	61.3	14.4	92	48	402	7	9.2	6.5	excellent
Plaza	59.7	14.2	87	44	415	6	8.8	6.0	average
Renville	60.2	14.7	92	46	391	6	9.1	6.8	good
Rugby	60.4	14.7	91	50	374	3	8.8	5.1	5
Average	60.3	14.7	91	52	405	6	9.0	6.5	

For footnotes, see those below Table 3.

Table 3. North Dakota durum wheat variety quality descriptions, milling and processing data, for 2005

at all locations in the advanced yield trials.

	Test	Wheat	Vitreous	Large	Falling	Mixograph	Pasta	Spaghetti	Overall
Variety	Weight	Protein	Kernels	Kernels	Number	Score	Color	Firmness	Quality <sup>4</sup>
	lb/bu	(%) <sup>1</sup>	%	%	sec	1-8 <sup>2</sup>	1-12 <sup>3</sup>	g*cm	
AC Avonlea	58.6	15.4	98	43	490	6	9.1	7.0	good
AC Navigator	58.5	14.9	97	37	473	7	9.0	7.1	good
Alkabo	60.9	14.4	91	44	394	7 and 1	9.4	6.2	good
Belzer	59.3	14.6	93	53	420	7	9.3	6.5	good
Ben	60.3	15.0	96	48	408	7	8.9	6.5	good
Dilse	60.2	15.3	96	38	404	7	9.1	7.2	excellent
Divide	59.2	14.8	92	37	435	8	9.3	6.9	excellent
Grande D'Oro	60.3	14.6	92	34	402	6	8.9	6.4	average
Grenora	59.2	14.5	95	47	456	7. 5	9.4	6.4	good
Lebsock	61.0	14.4	93	42	417	6	9.0	6.1	good
Maier	59.9	15.1	96	40	429	7	9.1	7.0	excellent
Mountrail	- <b>59</b> .3	14.5	93	38	399	5	8.8	5.9	average
Munich	58.1	15.1	97	33	392	6	9.1	6.5	good
Pierce	60.6	14.3	94	29	401	7	9.3	6.6	excellent
Plaza	57.9	14.3	90	23	440	6	8.8	6.0	average
Primo D'Oro	60.6	14.8	94	37	394	6	9.3	6.6	good
Renville	59.0	15.1	94	31	405	6	9.2	7.0	good
Rugby	59.4	15.1	95	37	383	4	8.8	4.9	- <b>-</b> -5
Average	59.6	14.8	94	38	419	6	9.1	6.5	

Wheat protein is reported on a 12% moisture basis.

Mixograph: 1=very weak and 8=very strong dough mixing properties, indicating strong gluten proteins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Spaghetti Color Score: Higher number indicates better color, with 8+ typically considered good.

Overall Quality is determined based on agronomic, milling and spaghetti processing performance.

Rugby is desired for certain pastas, such as bow-ties, but not for long goods (e.g., spaghetti).

Note: Varieties grown at fewer than five locations are not included in this table.

Table 4. Yield of durum varieties at three locations in eastern North Dakota in 2006 with three-year averages.

	Pros	per	Carri	ngton	Lan	gdon	Average <sup>1</sup>		
Variety	2006	2 Yr.	2006	3 Yr.	2006	3 Yr.	2006	3 Yr.	
				(bu	/A)				
AC Navigator			49.9	42.7	65.5	57.5	57.7	50.1	
Alkabo	101.9	72.9	44.3	51.9	68.6	72.1	56.5	62.0	
Belzer		····	41.2	49.9	68.1	68.3	54.7	59.1	
Ben			44.1	48.8	65.3	66.1	54.7	57.5	
Dilse			43.0	49.0	65.1	66.8	54.1	57.9	
Divide	96.5	77.7	44.6	54.6	63.9	70.1	54.3	62.4	
Grande D'Oro			44.9		70.7	71.1	57.8		
Grenora	107.1	77.3	44.8	52.4	68.9	73.6	56.9	63.0	
Lebsock	96.6	74.5	41.7	50.9	63.7	68.5	52.7	59.7	
Maier	96.6	69.2	46.2	50.5	61.1	62.7	53.7	56.6	
Mountrail	112.5	79.5	44.9	52.7	70.5	70.0	57.7	61.4	
Pierce	104.6	79.3	41.0	50.4	56.8	65.1	48.9	57.8	
Plaza			34.9	39.2	65.7	69.8	50.3	54.5	
Primo D'Oro			43.0	49.1	58.0	61.1	50.5	55.1	
Rugby	pl	<u></u>	38.4	46.2	57.4		47.9		
Strongfield			38.3		63.9		51.1		
Mean	102.3	75.8	42.0	49.2	65.6	67.5	53.8	58.2	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Does not include data from Prosper.

Table 5. Yield of durum varieties at four locations in western North Dakota in 2006 with three-year averages.

	Minot		Williston		Dickinson		Hettinger		Average	
Variety	2006	3 Yr.	2006	3 Yr.	2006	3 Yr.	2006	3 Yr.	2006	3 Yr.
					(bu	ı/A)				
AC Navigator	70.0	74.1	26.4	37.6	54.9	42.6				
Alkabo	70.4	78.8	28.0	43.3	54.6	45.2	30.5	36.1	45.9	50.9
Alzada	67.3	<u> </u>	29.3	'	52.5	39.6	35.6	<u></u> '	46.2	
Belzer	77.9	78.1	24.1	38.8	47.9	42.1	30.6	35.2	45.1	48.6
Ben	70.8	76.4	26.7	40.0	47.2	41.2	34.9	37.1	44.9	48.7
Dilse	70.5	78.5	23.9	39.2	48.3	43.7	30.9	33.5	43.4	48.7
Divide	71.4	83.3	26.3	41.6	50.4	42.6	34.2	36.7	45.6	51.1
Grand D'Oro	74.3		24.8		51.4		36.8	37.5	46.8	
Grenora	81.3	87.3	26.9	42.1	52.2	44.2	35.3	39.6	48.9	53.3
Lebsock	67.2	75.2	26.2	40.7	50.1	42.5	33.4	37.2	44.2	48.9
Maier	63.6	74.5	26.1	40.1	49.8	43.1				
Mountrail	72.9	77.5	27.8	40.7	53.2	44.6	34.5	38.8	47.1	50.4
Pierce	71.3	81.3	26.5	39.3	49.1	43.2	32.2	35.7	44.8	49.9
Plaza	65.2	78.3	22.5	39.1	45.3	40.2	32.0	34.4	41.3	48.0
Primo D'Oro	70.7	75.1	25.3		45.7	40.3	31.1	35.6	43.2	1 <u>24</u> 71
Rugby	63.5	69.6	24.7	38.2	46.5	39.1	31.1	31.1	41.5	44.5
Strongfield	83.7		24.0		54.6		30.2		48.1	
Mean	71.3	77.7	25.8	40.0	49.6	42.3	31.6	36.0	45.1	49.4

Table 6. Protein of durum varieties at five locations in North Dakota, 2006.

Variety	Carrington	Minot	Williston	Dickinson	Hettinger	Average
				·(%)		
AC Navigator	15.3	15.5	16.4	15.1		
Alkabo	15.7	15.1	15.9	14.9	16.9	15.7
Alzada	en e	15.4	15.4	14.6	16.4	<del></del>
Belzer	15.9	15.0	17.2	15.4	17.1	16.1
Ben	16.2	15.7	17.0	15.8	17.8	16.5
Dilse	16.1	16.4	17.6	16.4	18.6	17.0
Divide	16.8	14.8	16.5	15.8	17.2	16.2
Grande D'Oro	15.9	15.0	16.6	14.9	17.0	15.9
Grenora	15.5	15.1	15.8	15.5	16.9	15.8
Lebsock	16.3	15.6	16.0	15.1	16.5	15.9
Maier	15.5	16.4	16.9	16.3	18.4	16.7
Mountrail	15.7	15.9	16.1	14.6	17.0	15.9
Pierce	15.9	15.5	16.2	15.6	17.6	16.2
Plaza	17.0	14.8	17.8	15.7	17.2	16.5
Primo D'Oro	16.0	15.6	16.7	16.1	17.8	16.4
Rugby	17.2	15.3	17.5	16.4	18.0	16.9
Strongfield	16.6	15.7	17.1	16.0	19.4	17.0
Mean	15.5	15.5	16.6	15.6	17.6	16.3

Table 7. Test weight of durum varieties at seven locations in North Dakota, 2006.

Variety	Prosper	Carrington	Langdon	Minot	Williston	Dickinson	Hettinger	Average <sup>1</sup>
				(l	bs/bu)			
AC Navigator		59.8	60.4	59.8	58.7	59.5		
Alkabo	61.8	59.9	61.5	59.8	58.1	57.5	58.2	59.2
Alzada				61.2	57.5	57.0	57.6	;
Belzer		56.8	60.3	60.0	54.8	55.2	55.7	57.1
Ben		60.6	61.4	60.7	58.2	57.3	57.8	59.3
Dilse	V	59.6	60.7	60.4	57.2	56.1	57.4	58.6
Divide	62.1	60.0	61.4	61.2	57.2	56.1	57.4	58.9
Grande D'Oro		60.0	61.5	60.8	58.3	57.2	58.6	59.4
Grenora	61.2	58.0	60.2	59.6	56.7	55.7	57.7	58.0
Lebsock	62.1	61.0	61.9	60.6	58.8	57.6	58.7	59.8
Maier	62.6	59.5	60.8	60.4	57.5	56.9	53.6	58.1
Mountrail	62.1	57.6	60.7	60.2	56.8	55.7	57.6	58.1
Pierce	62.7	58.9	60.7	61.2	58.2	56.3	57.8	58.9
Plaza		60.4	60.3	61.5	57.2	54.6	57.6	58.6
Primo D'Oro	i	60.1	61.4	61.2	58.2	55.8	58.4	59.2
Rugby		58.0	61.3	59.6	56.9	54.4	57.1	57.9
Strongfield		57.6	60.3	60.8	56.8	54.4	57.2	57.9
Mean	62.1	56.0	61.2	60.5	57.4	56.8	57.4	58.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Does not include data from Prosper.

## For more information on this and other topics, see: www.ag.ndsu.edu This publication may be copied for noncommercial, educational purposes in its entirety with no changes. Requests to use any portion of the document (including text, graphics or photos) should be sent to permission@ndsuext.nodak.edu. Include exactly what is requested for use and how it will be used.