## NORTH DAKOTA AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

# EFFECT OF INSECTICIDES ON TUBER YIELD AND INSECT POPULATION<sup>1</sup>

by

Richard L. Post<sup>2</sup>, Wayne J. Colberg<sup>3</sup> and J. A. Munro<sup>4</sup>

The field of Cobblers in which the experimental plots were located was planted at Northwood, N. Dak. June 10. It was dusted around the shelter belts on July 1 and on July 9 the field was dusted with DDT. The insecticidal treatments of the field prior to its being offered for the cooperative research explains the absence of Colorado potato beetles and flea beetles which would normally be more abundant on the check or untreated plots.

The sixteen treatments were replicated six times and arranged according to the triple lattice design (Post, Colberg and Munro-1948). The treated plots were 80 feet long and two rows wide with two untreated buffer rows on each side. The insecticidal treatments and yields are listed in Table 1. For the prevention of blight damage in the insecticidal plots Dithane was applied to the plots on August 6, 19 and 25 with the exception of Plot 8, which was treated with CR-1639, an experimental insecticide and fungicide.



Figure 1.—A square mile of potatoes in a field belonging to John Scott of Gilby.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Progress report on Bankhead-Jones Project No. 8—III "A study of insects which affect potato production," N. D. State Seed Department Cooperating. <sup>2</sup>Associate Entomologist. <sup>3</sup>Student Field Assistant. <sup>4</sup>Entomologist.

	Adjusted Mean	Yield
Plot	Treatment Bushels Per A	lcre**
	Check no treatment	273.4
ł	(v) SPRAVS: Applied at 100 gal, per acre (1 lb. actual toxicant)	
	July 10 23 Aug 5 and 125 gal. per acre. (1-1/4 lb. actual	
	toxicant) Aug. 19 and Sept. 1.	
	Wettable Powders	000.0
2	DDT 50%	289.8
3	DDT 50% or Vapotone, 25%, Vapotone July 10, Aug. 5	0000
v	and 18, DDT July 23 and Sept. 1.	.200.0
4	DDT 50% or Toxaphene 41%, Toxaphene July 10, Aug. 5	990 1
-	and 18, DDT July 23 and Sept. 1	905 7*
5	Parathion 25% (Thiophos)	.430.4
	Emulsions	977 8
6	$\mathrm{DDT}$ 25%	294.9
7	DDD $25\%$ (x) Ex.	-
8	(x) CR-1639 25% (Dinitrocaprylphonol crotonate) (x) Ha	
	cept Plot 8 where 1/2 1b. actual toxicant applied buly 10,	257.8
	Aug. 5 and 25, and 5/8 10. Aug. 19 and 56 pt. 1	
	DUSTS: Applied 20 lbs. per acre July 10, ridg. 5 and 20.	
0	25 lbs. per acre Aug. 15 and bept. 1	.269.6
19		
10	DD1 3% Densthion 1% (Thiophos)	
11	Parathion 2% (Thiophos)	280.9
12	Toxonhone 10% (Chlorinated camphene)	296.3
10	Merlate 5% (Methoxy DDT)	
15	DDD 5%	
16	Toxaphene 10% or DDT 5%, Toxaphene July 10, Aug.	5
10	and 13. DDT July 23 and Sept. 1.	280.8

# Table 1.--Insecticidal Treatments and Tuber Yield

\*Indicated significant difference at 5% level as compared to Check Plot No. 1. \*\*The least significant difference between any two adjusted means at 5% level was 22.0 bus. and at the 1% level, 29.2 bus. per acre.

#### Source of Insecticides

Agricultural Supply Company, Grand Forks, N. Dak., Plots 9, 10 and 16.

American Cyanamid Company, New York, N. Y., Plots 5, 11 and 12.

California Spray Chemical Corporation, Richmond, Calif. Plot 3.

E. I. DuPont and Co., Wilmington, Del., Plots 2, 3, 4 and 14.

Occident Elevator Company, Billings, Montana, Plots 4 and 13.

Rohm and Haas Company, Philadelphia, Pa., Plots 6, 7, 8 and 15.

Eight insect counts were taken on all plots at weekly intervals from July 16 to September 9. The specimens were collected by 25 sweeps with a standard 12" insect net except aphids. Eight plants of each replicate were examined for aphids totaling 48 plants each week for all treatments. The insect populations are listed in Tables 2A and 2B.

	Plot numbers and treatments†	Colo. Potato Beetle (Larvae)	Potato Flea Beetle	Potato Leaf- hoppers	6-spotted Leaf- hoppers	Winged Aphids	Wingless Aphids
1.	Check Wettable Pow	ders 3	923	137	241	65	640
2. 3.	50% DDT 50% DDT or. 25% Vapotone	2 1	$\begin{array}{c} 510 \\ 849 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74 \\ 131 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 251 \\ 248 \end{array}$	59 70	$\begin{array}{c} 388\\ 416 \end{array}$
4.	50% DDT or. 41% Toxapher	0 1e	700	122	323	70	353
5.	25% Parathio Emulsions	n 1	390	87	291	71	77
6. 7. 8.	25% DDT 25% DDD 25% C R 1639		582 708 672	$90 \\ 89 \\ 145$	288 248 378	$\begin{array}{c} 56 \\ 56 \\ 46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 254\\254\\446\end{array}$

Table 2A.—Effect of Insecticidal Sprays Upon Insect Populations (See text for sampling procedure).

\*See Table 1 for details of dates and rates of application of sprays.

Table 2B—Effect of Insecticidal Dusts Upon Insect Populations (See text for sampling procedure).

Plot Number and Treatment	S Colo. Potato Beetle (Larvae)	Potato Flea Beetle	Potato Leaf- hoppers	6-spotted Leaf- hoppers	Winged Aphids	Wingless Aphids
1. Check 9. 5% DD' 10. 3% DD' 11. 1% Para 12. 2% Para 13. 1% Tox 14. 5% Mai 15. 5% DDI 16. 10% Tox	3           F         0           I         1           athion         1           athion         1           aphene         0           Clate         0           D         1           aphene         0           D         1	923 699 592 700 609 434 479 642 578	$     \begin{array}{r}       137 \\       147 \\       110 \\       133 \\       143 \\       107 \\       92 \\       74 \\       127 \\     \end{array} $	241 225 252 271 254 360 231 230 323	$\begin{array}{r} 65\\ 53\\ 57\\ 57\\ 93\\ 67\\ 44\\ 83\\ 54\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 640\\ 535\\ 478\\ 309\\ 260\\ 314\\ 669\\ 493\\ 400\\ \end{array}$

See Table 1 for details of dates and rates of application of insecticidal dusts.

## Summary of 1948 Treatments

Two plots showed significantly higher yields as compared to Check Plot No. 1 receiving no treatment. Plot No. 5, Thiophos 25% Wettable Powder and Plot No. 13, Toxaphene 10% were significant at the 5% level. No treatments were highly significant at the 1% level. Plot No. 7, DDD 25% emulsion, was third highest in tuber yield but lacked .5 bushels yield to attain significance at the 5% level.

DDT 3% dust outyielded DDT 5% dust by 16.4 bushels. In both 1946 and 1947 DDT 3% had an increase in yield over DDT 5% by 28 and 1.7 bushels respectively. The same results for three consecutive years substantiated the opinion expressed in 1946 that the stronger concentrations of DDT might retard tuber yield. The lowest yielding plot was No. 8, CR-1639, a new experimental insecticide and fungicide. Dithane was not applied to this plot and it is of interest to note that the plot receiving no zinc (incorporated in Dithane) had the lowest yield.

For the third consecutive year DDD treatments have been among the highest yielding plots. However, due to the higher cost differential of DDD, growers will continue to prefer DDT as a potato insecticide. Due to DDD's low toxicity to warm blooded animals it is of interest to growers of leafy and head vegetables where DDT residue might be of concern.

All insecticidal treatments kept the major potato pests in check. The lowest aphid population was on Plot No. 5, Thiophos 25% Wettable Powder. However, the reduction in aphids was not sufficient to justify its recommendation as an aphicide.

Toxaphene 10% dust, Plot No. 13, did not have a reduced tuber yield. In 1947 it was one of the poorer yielding plots. This year's results were not in accordance with other states where it was found that Toxaphene plots had decreased yields and were much lower than DDT plots.

In the 1947 insect population counts Toxaphene and Vapotone showed promise of being potato aphicides. Consequently Plots 3, 4 and 16 had applications of these aphicides substituted for DDT in three applications. Aphids were not appreciably fewer in number on these plots than on the straight DDT applications.

The search for an effective and residual aphicide continues. DDT will control potato pests and keep aphids in check. However, due to the role of aphids in the transmission of virus diseases the major emphasis of this station will be on the development of a residual aphicide and its application for the control of aphids.

#### Acknowledgements

Appreciation is expressed to the Agricultural Supply Company of Grand Forks, North Dakota, the American Supply Company of Grand Forks, North Dakota, the American Cyanamid Company, New York City, N. Y., and to the Rohm & Haas Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for grants in aid in support of the insect investigations reported herein.

Appreciation is also expressed to Mr. Arthur Nelson, Northwood, North Dakota, for furnishing a field for potato research after the plots were drowned out at Grand Forks.

#### Literature Cited

Post, Richard L., Colberg, Wayne J., and Munro, J. A. Effect of Insecticides on Tuber Yield. N. Dak. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bim. Bull. 10 (3): 98-100. 1948.