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#### Abstract

Common wheat originated from interspecific hybridization of three diploid ancestors followed by spontaneous chromosome doubling. Aegilops speltoides (genome SS) has been controversially considered a possible candidate for the donor of the wheat B genome. However, the relationship of the $A e$. speltoides $S$ genome with the wheat $B$ genome remains largely obscure. The first aim of this study was to characterize the homology between the wheat B genome and the Ae. speltoides S genome. In this study, meiotic pairing for each of the B-S homoeologous pairs was investigated individually. Noticeable homology between chromosomes 1B and 1S was discovered, but not between other homoeologous B-S pairs. An Ae. speltoidesoriginated segment spanning a genomic region of approximately 10.46 Mb was detected on the long arm of wheat chromosome 1B. The Ae. speltoides-originated segment on 1BL was found to co-evolve with the rest of the B genome. Evidently, Ae. speltoides was involved in the origin of the wheat B genome, but should not be considered an exclusive donor of this genome.

Aegilops speltoides and Thinopyrum elongatum (genome EE), two of diploid relatives of wheat, are considered important sources of novel genes for wheat improvement. However, the development of compensating wheat-alien translocations has been limited by laborious cytological analysis. This study aimed to develop an effective procedure of inducing, recovering, and detecting homoeologous recombination in wheat-alien gene introgression lines. Totally, 112 wheat-Ae. speltoides 2B-2S and 87 wheat-Th. elongatum 2B-2E translocation lines were developed through this procedure. Composite bin maps for chromosome 2B as well as homoeologous chromosomes 2 S and 2E were constructed by genotyping the translocations using 90K SNP arrays. In addition, genes for resistance to stem rust, tan spot, and SNB on chromosome 2 S were physically mapped and incorporated into the wheat genome. Also, an $A e$.


speltoides-derived deleterious growth gene was physically mapped to the subtelomeric region of chromosome 2 S . In summary, the results of the study led to a large number of $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ recombinants, physically mapped disease and growth-related genes on chromosome 2 S , developed novel molecular markers, and optimized chromosome engineering procedures.
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## CHAPTER 1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Wheat is one of the earliest domesticated crops in the Fertile Crescent of the Middle East and now widely cultivated, and provides $20 \%$ of the calories consumed all over the world. Due to rapid population growth in the world, demand for wheat is expected to increase by $40 \%$ by 2030 (Dixon et al., 2009). Because of the economic value of wheat and the desire for its genetic improvement, researches concerning the evolution of wheat have been under intense scientific scrutiny.

Common wheat (Triticum aestivum $\mathrm{L}, 2 \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{x}=42$, genome AABBDD ) originated from spontaneous interspecific hybridization of three diploid ancestors and subsequent chromosome doubling (McFadden and Sears, 1946; Riley and Chapman, 1958; Dvorak et al., 1993; Huang et al., 2002; Cai and Xu, 2007; Jauhar, 2007; Cai et al., 2010). T. urartu $(2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome AA) and Aegilops. tauschii $(2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome DD) contributed the A and D genome to common wheat, respectively (Kihara, 1944; McFadden and Sears, 1946; Dvorak et al., 1993; Petersen et al., 2006). The search for the ancestor of the wheat B genome has been performed for nearly a century (Jenkins, 1929). However, the ancestry of the B genome remains controversial even though Ae. sepltoides has been proposed to be the most closely related one (Riley and Chapman, 1958; Zohary and Feldman, 1962; Blake et al., 1999).

These two rounds of hybridization combined three subgenomes into a large and complex polyploid genome of common wheat. Meanwhile, this polyploidization process substantially reduced the nucleotide diversity in cultivated germplasms, indicating a severe genetic bottleneck in the evolution of common wheat (Peng et al., 2011; Faris, 2014; Marcussen et al., 2014). The narrowed genetic variability makes wheat vulnerable to various biotic and abiotic stresses. It is estimated that up to $20 \%$ of global wheat yields is lost each year due to disease and pests (Oerke,
2006). Thus, genetic improvement for disease resistance is an important endeavour of increasing wheat grain yield. Stem rust, caused by the fungal species Puccinia graminis Pers.:Pers. f. sp. tritici Eriks. \& E. Henn., is one of the major destructive diseases for wheat. Heavy losses of wheat production were caused by the stem rust epidemics that occurred from the 1920s to the 1960s worldwide (Leonard and Szabo, 2005). Tan spot and Septoria nodorum blotch (SNB), caused by the fungi Pyrenophora tritici-repentis and Parastagonospora nodorum respectively, are two economically significant diseases of wheat in many of the world's wheat-growing regions, especially the Northern Great Plains of the United States (De Wolf et al., 1998; Friesen et al., 2006; Faris et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015). Both pathogens produce multiple necrotrophic effectors (NE) to cause disease in host plants under a reverse gene-for-gene mechanism (Friesen et al., 2006; Faris et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015).

Screening wheat germplasm to identify sources of tolerance and resistance for various stresses is essential for long-term wheat breeding. The wild relatives provide a vast and untapped reservoir of genetic variation for resistance to the pathogens as well as other wheat productionthreatening stresses. Approximately 20 stem rust resistance genes have been identified from the wild relatives of wheat (Zhang, 2013; Yu et al., 2014). Six of them were from Aegilops species, including Sr32, Sr39, and Sr47 from the S genome of Ae. speltoides (Faris et al., 2008; Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012; Mago et al., 2013), Sr38 from the N genome of Ae. verticosa (genome DDNN) (Bariana and McIntosh, 1993), Sr51 from the $S^{s}$ genome of Ae. searsii and Sr53 from the M genome of Ae. geniculata (Liu et al., 2011a and b). Also, high levels of resistance to tan spot and SNB have been identified in the wheat-alien species derivatives (Xu et al., 2004; Friesen et al., 2008; Oliver et al., 2008).

The transfer of resistance genes from wild relatives to modern wheat is often accompanied by unacceptable agronomic traits due to the genes present in the alien chromosome segments referred to as linkage drag. In the past, meiotic homoeologous recombination was employed to minimize linkage drag by reducing the size of the alien chromosome segment transferred to the wheat genome (Qi et al., 2007; Rey et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Normally, meiotic pairing in wheat is restricted to homologous chromosomes due to the presence of the Phl gene located on the chromosome arm 5BL. The meiotic pairing and recombination between homoeologous chromosomes are generally enhanced in the Phl-deficient genetic stocks such as the substitutions of chromosome 5B by 5D and phlb mutant (Qi et al., 2007; Niu et al., 2011). Using phlb mutant, a large number of small compensating wheat-alien chromosome translocations have been developed and utilized in wheat breeding (Friebe et al., 1996; Qi et al., 2007; Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012).

The first aim of this study was to assess the homology of individual wheat B-genome chromosomes with their homoeologues in the S genome of $A e$. speltoides and to trace the $A e$. speltoides genomic components in the wheat B genome. Secondly, this study aimed to develop an effective procedure of inducing, recovering, and detecting meiotic homoeologous recombination for alien gene introgression using the genomics tools currently available in wheat. Thirdly, this study aimed to manipulate wheat chromosome 2B by inducing homoeologous recombination with its homoeologue 2 S from Ae. speltoides and 2E from Th. elongatum, and consequently construct a set of compensating wheat-alien translocations. Lastly, I have characterized the 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombinants developed in this study, and have evaluated their reactions to stem rust, tan spot, and SNB, as well as the linkage drag associated with the recombinants.
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## CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

## Meiosis in eukaryotes

## Basic structure of chromosome

Chromatin is a complex of DNA and proteins highly condensed and wrapped that forms chromosomes within the nucleus of dividing eukaryotic cells (Cook, 1995; Bártová et al., 2008). Chromatin exists in two forms, including euchromatin which is less condensed and can be transcribed, as well as heterochromatin which is highly condensed and is typically not transcribed. At the simplest level, chromatin is a double-stranded helical structure of DNA associated with histone proteins. Nucleosome is the basic structural unit of chromatin, which contains four histone proteins (H2A, H2B, H3, and H4) in duplicate and about 146 base pairs of DNA wraped outside of the histone octamer (Bram and Ris, 1971). The histone protein H1 associates with another 20 base pairs, resulting in two full turns of DNA around the octamer, and forming a structure called a chromatosome. The nucleosomes fold up to produce a 30 nm fiber that forms loops averaging 300 nm in length. The $300-\mathrm{nm}$ fibers are compressed and folded into a structure in a diameter of 250 nm . Tight coiling of the 250 -nm fiber produces the chromatid of a chromosome (Huberman, 1973; Finch et al., 1977; Cook, 1995; Bártová et al., 2008).

In eukaryotes, a centromere is a chromosomal region responsible for the movement of the replicated chromosomes into the two daughter cells during mitosis and meiosis. The centromeric DNA is normally in a heterochromatin state, which is essential for the recruitment of the cohesin complex that mediates sister chromatid cohesion after DNA replication as well as coordinating sister chromatid separation during anaphase. In the centromere region, the normal histone H 3 is replaced with a centromere-specific variant, CENP-A (CENH3). The presence of CENP-A is believed to be important for the assembly of the kinetochore on the centromere (Bram and Ris,

1971; Cook, 1995; Bártová et al., 2008). There is one centromere on each chromosome. On the basis of the location of the centromere, chromosomes are classified into four types: metacentric, submetacentric, acrocentric, and telocentric (Levan et al., 1964).

## Meiotic recombination in eukaryotes

Meiosis is a type of cell division for eukaryotic species to generate gametes. It determines the fate of chromosomes and maintains genome integrity. Meanwhile, meiosis gives rise to genetic variability through meiotic recombination and chromosome segregation. Meiotic cell division begins with a diploid cell which has two homologues for each of the chromosomes. The mother cell undergoes one round of DNA replication prior to the two successive rounds of nuclear divisions (Cnudde and Gerats, 2005). The entire meiotic process is split into meiosis I and meiosis II. Meiosis I is a type of cell division unique to germ cells that involves homologous chromosome pairing, recombination, and segregation. Meiosis II is similar to mitosis and generates four haploid daughter cells.

Meiotic homologous recombination is mediated by double-strand DNA breaks (DSBs) and crossing over (CO) and results in the exchange of segments between non-sister chromatids in the synapsed homologous chromosomes (Cnudde and Gerats, 2005; Gaeta and Pires, 2010). Chiasmata generated by crossovers that physically connect two paired homologous chromosomes together, and are resolved later to allow homologous chromosomes to segregate at anaphase I (Cai and Xu, 2007; Gaeta and Pires, 2010). The main factor driving meiotic recombination between DNA sequences is homology. Meiotic recombination may occur along the entire chromosome, but recombination frequencies are not evenly distributed along the chromosomes (Gaut et al., 2007). Hotspots have been found within genes in maize (Civardi et al., 1994; Xu et al., 1995) and in intergenic regions in Arabidopsis
thaliana (Kim et al., 2007). Many plants display a propensity for recombination at the distal ends of chromosomes near the telomeric or subtelomeric regions, while lack recombination near the centromere (Drouaud et al., 2006). Meiotic recombination can cause various chromosomal rearrangements, including deletions, duplications, gene conversions, and translocations during meiosis (Cnudde and Gerats, 2005; Cai and Xu , 2007).

## Meiosis-driven aneuploidy and polyploidy

Normal chromosome pairing, synapsis, and recombination are prerequisites for accurate segregation of homologous chromosomes at meiosis I. Synapsed homologous chromosomes, referred to bivalent, are held together by the chiasmata until anaphase I. Asynapsis is the complete failure of homologous chromosomes to pair or synapse during the first meiotic division. Desynapsis is a condition where homologous chromosomes pair or synapse normally at the beginning of prophase, but fail to maintain this association in the subsequent stages of meiosis (Cai and Xu, 2007). Both asynapsis and desynapsis lead to univalent which are usually observed at metaphase I. Univalents either get lost or are randomly transmitted to daughter cells, resulting in chromosomally unbalanced gametes and eventually aneuploids such as monosomics, nullisomics, trisomics, and tetrasomics in the offspring. In addition, univalents may undergo misdivision, such as transverse division, to produce telocentric chromosomes or isochromosomes (Koul and Dhar, 1998).

Normal meiotic cell division leads to haploid daughter cells with chromosome number reduced by half. However, chromosomes may fail to segregate in the first or second meiotic cell division, leading to restitution nuclei with unreduced chromosomes in the variant meiotic cell division, such as meiotic restitution. Two types of meiotic restitutions or termed unreduced meiotic cell division (UMCD), have been documented in plants, including first division
restitution (FDR) and second division restitution (SDR). The first and second division restitution result from the failure of chromosome segregation at meiosis I and II, respectively (Harlan and deWet, 1975; Ramanna and Jacobsen, 2003; Silkova et al., 2011). Both FDR and SDR result in unreduced gametes, but their genetic compositions may differ from each other (Cai and Xu , 2007). It has been documented that functioning of the unreduced gametes produced through meiotic restitution may have been a major mechanism for the widespread occurrence of polyploidy in nature (Fukuda and Sakamoto, 1992; Xu and Joppa, 2000; Silkova et al., 2003 and 2011; Jauhar, 2007).

## The evolution of wheat

## Wheat taxonomy

The wheat group contain 13 diploid and 18 polyploid species (Table 2.1). The genomes of the diploid species are distinct from each other. Based on the genomic divergence, the diploid species were classified into eight groups and their genomes were given the following designations: A (A, and A $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}}\right), \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{S}^{1}, \mathrm{~S}^{\text {sh }}\right), \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{N}$, and T (Eig, 1929; Kihara, 1954; Feldman et al., 1979; Hammer, 1980; Kimber and Tsunewaki, 1988; Slageren, 1994). It is estimated that theses diploid species diverged from one another around 2.5-4.5 MYA (Huang et al., 2002; Dvorak and Akhunov, 2005; Middleton et al., 2014; Gornicki et al., 2014). Two genomes found in polyploid wheats were designated differently, B and G, due to their diploid progenitors are controversial (Dvorak and Zhang, 1990; Rodriguez et al., 2000).

Table 2.1. The classification of Aegilops and Triticum

| Genus | Species ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Ploidy | Genome ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amblyopyrum | muticum | 2 x | T |
| Aegilops | speltoides | 2 x | S |
|  | searsii | 2x | $S^{\text {s }}$ |
|  | bicornis | 2x | $S^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | longissima | 2 x | S ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | sharonensis | 2x | $S^{\text {sh }}$ |
|  | tauschii | 2 x | D |
|  | markgrafii | 2 x | C |
|  | comosa | 2x | M |
|  | uniaristata | 2 x | N |
|  | umbellulta | 2 x | U |
|  | biunicialis | 4 x | UM |
|  | geniculata | 4 x | MU |
|  | neglecta | 4 x | UM |
|  | columnaris | 4 x | UM |
|  | triuncialis | 4 x | UC; CU |
|  | kotschyi | 4 x | SU |
|  | peregrina | 4 x | SU |
|  | cylindrica | 4 x | CD |
|  | crassa | 4 x | DM |
|  | ventricosa | 4 x | DN |
|  | recta | 6 x | UMN |
|  | vavilovii | 6 x | DMS |
|  | crassa | 6 x | DDM |
|  | juvenalis | 6 x | DMU |
| Triticum | monococcum | 2 x | $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}}$ |
|  | uratu | 2x | A |
|  | sinskayae | 2 x | $\mathrm{A}^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | turgidum | 4 x | BA |
|  | timopheevii | 4 x | GA |
|  | aestivm | 6 x | BAD |
|  | zhukovskyi | 6 x | $\mathrm{GAA}^{\mathrm{m}}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Species designation according to van Slageren (1994)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Genome designations after Kimber and Tsunewaki (1988); the first genome is the donor of the cytoplasm

Based on the obvious morphological differences, Amblyopyrum, Aegilops, and Triticum were regarded as three separate genera (Eig, 1929; Slageren, 1994). Amblyopyrum muticum is considered closer to the Aegilops species in Sitopsis than other diploids according to the
cytological and molecular evidence (Badaeva et al., 1996). It might be the closest relative of $A e$. speltoides (Ohta, 1991; Sallares and Brown, 2004). Ae. speltoides contains two forms, ligustica and aucheri. Based on the structure of the dispersal unit, it was assumed that aucheri is a more advanced type than ligustica evolutionarily. The aucheri type might be derived from the hybridization of ligusica type of Ae. speltoides with Ae. longissimi or Ae. searsii (Feldman and Levy, 2015).

The genus Triticum, including six wheat species, are classified into three sections including Monococcon Dumort., Dicoccoidea, and Triticum Flaksb. The three sections contain diploid species $T$. monococcum L. and $T$. urartu Tumanian ex Gandilyan, tetraploid species $T$. turgidum L. and T. timopheevii (Zhuk.) Zhuk., and hexaploid species T. aestivum L. and T. zhukovskyi Menabde \& Ericzjan (Slageren,1994). Modern wheat mainly consists of tetraploid durum wheat $[T$. turgidum ssp. durum, $2 \mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{x}=28, \mathrm{AABB})$ ] and hexaploid common wheat $(T$. aestivum L. ssp. aestivum, $2 \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{x}=42$, AABBDD). However, T. timopheevii and T. zhukovskyi have never been cultivated as a significant crop and are not considered as economically important wheats. Durum wheat accounts for $4 \%$ of wheat production and is mainly used to make pasta and other semolina products. Common wheat accounts for $96 \%$ of wheat production and is widely used to make bread, cakes, noodles, and cookies (Gill et al., 2004). Durum wheat is an allotetraploid $(2 \mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{x}=28)$ with A and B subgenomes, whereas common wheat is an allohexaploid $(2 n=6 x=42)$ with the $A, B$, and $D$ subgenomes. Wheat allopolyploidy arose from interspecific hybridization events followed by spontaneous chromosome doubling (Dvorak et al., 1993; Cai and Xu, 2007, Faris, 2014). The allopolyploid wheat species and their diploid ancestors have been used as models for the polyploidization and genome studies in polyploids.

## Evolutionary lineages of wheat

The genus Triticum originated from the Fertile Crescent of the Middle East, belongs to the Triticeae tribe under the Poaceae family (Faris, 2014). It comprises a polyploid series based on $x=7$, containing diploids ( $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$ ), tetraploids ( $2 \mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{x}=28$ ), and hexaploids $(2 n=6 x=42)($ Sakamura, 1918; Sax 1922; Faris, 2014). Based on these discoveries, chromosome pairing in the hybrids between the species at different ploidy levels disclosed that the polyploids are allopolyploids formed by interspecific or intergeneric hybridization followed by chromosome doubling (Sax, 1921). It is considered that the major grass subfamily Poaceae including wheat, barley, and oats diverged about 20 million year ago (MYA). The diploid progenitors and close relatives of modern wheat originated from a basic 7-chromosome ancestor, and gave rise to the Triticum and Aegilops taxa about 3 MYA (Dvorak et al., 1993; Dvorak and Akhunov, 2005; Middleton et al., 2014; Faris, 2014). The divergence of the Triticum and Aegilops lineages established the basal branches of the wheat group. The Triticum groups consisted of the Agenome diploids T. uratu and T. monococcum. The Aegilops progenitor evolved, and gave rise to the Aegilops Sitopsis section and Ae. tauschii about 2.6 MYA (Dvorak et al., 1993; Dvorak and Akhunov, 2005; Faris, 2014).

Genetic evidence has revealed that polyploid wheat has two evolutionary lineages, both of which involved two amphiploidization events. The origin of tetraploid wheat (T. turgidum L. $2 n=4 x=28$, genome AABB ) and hexaploid wheat ( $T$. aestivum $\mathrm{L} ., 2 \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{x}=42$, genome AABBDD) comprises one lineage. It began with hybridization occurred about 0.5 MYA between T. urartu $(2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome AA) and the B genome ancestor, led to T. turgidum. Though there remains some controversy, Ae. speoltoides is generally considered as the B-genome ancestor (Riley and Chapman, 1958; Zohary and Feldman, 1962; Blake et al., 1999, Huang et al.,

2002; Chalupska et al., 2008; Salse et al., 2008). The hexaploid wheat in this lineage originated from an additional hybridization between T. turgidum and Ae. tauschii $(2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome DD) and followed by spontaneous chromosome doubling 8,000 years ago (Dvorak et al., 1993; Cai and Xu, 2007; Faris, 2014; Marcussen et al., 2014).

The formation of $T$. timopheevii (Zhuk.) Zhuk. ( $2 \mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{x}=28$, genome AAGG) and $T$. zhukovskyi Men. \& Ericz. $\left(2 \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{x}=42\right.$, genome $\left.\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{AAGG}\right)$ comprises the other evolutionary lineage. It began with the hybridization of T. urartu and Ae. speltoides or a close relative thereof (Sarkar and Stebbins, 1956; Riley et al., 1958), which led to the formation of $T$. timopheevii $(2 \mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{x}=28$, genome AAGG). The hybridization between $T$. timopheevii and domesticated einkorn wheat (T. monococcum, $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ) led to the hexaploid wheat T. zhukovskyi Menabde et Ericzjan ( $2 \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{x}=42$, genome $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{AAGG}$ ) belonging to this lineage. It constitutes an important evolutionary lineage of wheat, but didn't result in the formation of modern cultivars.

## Domestication of wheat

The only domesticated diploid wheat is einkorn (T. monococcum, $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14, \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ). It was domesticated from ssp. aegilopoides through the acquisition of a non-brittle rachis about 10,000 years before present (BP) in the Karacadag mountain range of southeast Turkey (Renfrew, 1973, Heun et al., 1997). The other wild diploid Titticum species T. uratu has never been domesticated even though it played an essential role in wheat evolution.

The domesticated tetraploid wheat is known as the non-brittle rachis form of emmer ( $T$. turgidum, $2 \mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{x}=28$, AABB ). It is believed to have been domesticated about $10,000 \mathrm{BP}$ probably in southeast Turkey (Ozkan et al., 2002, 2005, 2010; Mori et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2007; Dvorak et al., 2011). The hexaploid common wheat was not directly derived from a wild
progenitor through domestication selection but from T. turgidum (Dvorak et al., 2011, Peng et al., 2011). Many domesticated plants, including wheat, actually share a set of traits, such as growth habit, flowering time, seed size and dispersal, grain yield, plant height, spike number/plant, and kernel number/spike (Elias et al., 1996; Araki et al., 1999; Campbell et al., 2003; Peng et al., 2003; Meyer and Purugganan, 2013). These shared traits are known as domestication syndrome. The chromosomal regions harboring a cluster of domestication QTL are referred to as domestication syndrome factors (DSFs) (Peng et al., 2003). It would be helpful to study the genetics and genomics of these syndrome traits for wheat breeding of high yield and adaptability.

The trait of non-brittle rachis is one of the most important component characters of wheat domestication syndrome. Spikelet disarticulation caused by a brittle rachis in the wild forms of wheat is important for them to disperse seeds and further propagate (Watanabe and Ikebata, 2000). Domesticated types are characterized by the lack of seed dispersal at maturity. Spikelettype disarticulation is further sub-divided into wedge-type (W-type) and barrel-type (B-type). The Brl gene controls W-type disarticulation in the most Triticum and Aegilops species; Br2 controls B-type disarticulation in Ae. tauschii. Studies on the transition from wild emmer to cultivated emmer indicated the brittle rachis trait in wild emmer was controlled by two genes designated Br locating on the short arms of chromosome $3 \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{Br} l^{3 A}\right)$ and $3 \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{Br} \mathrm{l}^{3 B}\right)$, respectively (Watanabe and Ikebata 2000; Nalam et al., 2006). Both genes lead to W-type disarticulation, and mutations at both loci are needed to confer the non-brittle rachis of domesticated emmer.

Glume tenacity is another key trait modified by the domestication process in wheat (Gill et al., 2007). The glumes of wild wheat are tough and hold the kernels tightly, whereas cultivated wheats have soft glumes and are free-threshing. Studies of a spontaneous free-threshing mutant
in domesticated einkorn wheat revealed that a recessive gene (sog) on the short arm of chromosome $2 \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{m}}$ controls the soft glume trait (Taenzler et al., 2002; Sood et al., 2009). The tenacious glume trait in hexaploid wheat was controlled by the gene $T g 1^{2 D}$ on the short arm of chromosome 2D (Kerber and Dyck, 1969; Kerber and Rowland, 1974; Nalam et al., 2007; Sood et al., 2009). One QTL corresponded to the free-threshing mapped to the short arm of chromosome 2B $\left(T g 1^{2 B}\right)$ was considered homoeologous with $T g 1^{2 D}$ (Simonetti et al, 1999; Faris et al., 2014).

The early wheat varieties were characterized by hulled seeds which were difficult to be liberated from the chaff. The free-threshing trait allows an easy release of naked kernels of domesticated wheat. The free-threshing varieties represent the final steps of wheat domestication (Peng et al., 2011). The free-threshing trait is governed by two genes, $Q$ and $T g$, and $T g$ is epistatic to $Q . Q$ is a major domestication gene conferring spike shape and threshability in wheat (Sears, 1954; Kerber and Rowland, 1974; Faris et al., 2003, 2005; Simons et al., 2006; Gill et al., 2007). Both the $\operatorname{tg} l$ and $Q$ alleles are required to confer the free-threshing trait in hexaploid wheat. The $Q$ gene in wheat has been cloned and shown to have sequence similarity to the Arabidopsis APETALA2 gene, and thus is a member of the AP2 family of transcription factors (Faris and Gill, 2002; Faris et al., 2003, 2005; Simons et al., 2006; Gill et al., 2007). The homoeologous $q$ loci on chromosome 5B $\left(q^{5 B}\right)$ and 5D $\left(q^{5 D}\right)$ are highly similar to the $Q$ locus on 5A $\left(Q^{5 A}\right)$ in hexaploid wheat. The pseudogenization of $q^{5 B}$, and subfunctionalization of $q^{5 D}$ were noticed during the evolutionary process of polyploid wheat and both events contributed to the domestication traits (Simons et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2011).

## Gene introgression in wheat

## The gene pools for wheat improvement

Wheat has a limited genetic variation due to the allopolyploid origin of its genome. This bottleneck arises because only a few diploid genotypes were involved in the allopolyploid speciation events (Feldman and Levy, 2012; Marcussen et al., 2014). The tribe Tritceae contains more than 300 species. They represent an invaluable gene pool for wheat improvement. They have been considered an important gene source to broaden the genetic variability of the wheat genome. The Triticeae species have been categorized into three gene pools for wheat improvement, i.e. primary, secondary, and tertiary gene pools (Jiang et al., 1994). The strategy used for transferring genes from each pool to wheat depends greatly on the crossiblility and evolutionary distance between the species involved (Cai et al., 2005).

The species in the primary gene pool of common wheat share homologous genomes, including hexaploid landraces, cultivars, and breeding lines. Gene transfer from these species are mainly achieved by hybridization, homologous recombination, backcrossing and selection (Cox, 1991; Dvorak et al., 1993; Friebe et al., 1996; Huang et al., 2002).

The secondary gene pool of common wheat includes the species that have at least one homologous genome in common with $T$. aestivum, such as $T$. timopheevii (AAGG), $T$. zhukowskyi ( $\left.\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{AAGG}\right)$. The diploid Aegilops species with the S genome, such as $A e$. speltoides, is placed into the secondary gene pool because it has been considered the progenitor of the wheat B genome (Jiang et al., 1994; Kilian et al., 2007). Gene transfer from these species has been performed by direct crosses and backcrosses with varying levels of homologous or homoeologous recombination (Qi et al., 1997, 2007; Liu et al., 2011a, b).

The species in the tertiary gene pool are more distantly related to wheat, such as Secale cereale (genome RR), Th. elongatum (genome EE) and Th. intermedium ( $2 n=6 x=42$, genome $\mathrm{JJJ}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{SS}$ ). Their genomes are not homologous to those of wheat, making gene transfer from this gene pool to wheat difficult and complex (Friebe et al., 1996; Rey et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). The strategies of inducing chromosome breakage and homoeologous pairing/recombination have been used in gene transfer from the tertiary gene pool to wheat (Qi et al., 2007; Niu et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2017). Inducing Robertsonian translocations is another strategy for gene introgression from the tertiary gene pool into wheat (Qi et al., 2011; Friebe et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2011a).

## Utilization of molecular markers on alien introgression in wheat

The advent of cytogenetic techniques such as Giemsa C-banding, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), and Genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) are irreplaceable to analyze chromosome constitutions in the wheat-alien introgression (Gill and Kimber 1974; Schwarzacher et al., 1989, 1992; Friebe et al., 1992; Kruppa et al., 2013; King et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). However, the requirement of the complex microscopy skills and tedious procedures involved in these cytogenetic approaches have limited the utility of these techniques in the large-scale alien gene introgression. The integrated cytogenetics and genomics approach has offered new opportunities to manipulate the chromosomes of wheat and its relatives for gene introgression and wheat improvement (Qi et al., 2007; Niu et al., 2011, 2014; Klindworth et al., 2017).

Various high-resolution and high-throughput genotyping platforms, such as single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays, have been developed to characterize allelic variation of wheat. The 9 K and 90 K Illumina iSelect ${ }^{\circledR}$ arrays were mainly developed based on the transcriptomes of modern wheat, making them less useful for genotyping the species from the
secondary and tertiary gene pools (Cavanagh et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014). The low representation of the wheat wild relatives in the SNP design process may limit the utility of the platforms in the wheat-alien introgression breeding (Wulff and Moscou, 2014). To overcome this problem, Axiom® 35K and 820K SNP arrays have been developed to particularly identify and validate the SNPs polymorphic between hexaploid wheat accessions and their relatives (Winfield et al., 2016).

Recently, the SNP-derived genotyping technologies, such as Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP) and Semi-Thermal Asymmetric Reverse PCR (STARP) SNP markers, have been developed based on the allele-specific primer extension and fluorescence resonance energy transfer for signal generation (Semagn et al., 2014; Long et al., 2017). They are flexible and have been widely used in various genomic and cytogenetic research projects. These molecular marker techniques have been used in screening wheat-alien hybrids and their back-crossed derivatives to detect recombinants and isolate desired introgressions (Petersen et al., 2015; Danilova et al., 2016; Klindworth et al., 2017; Tan et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017).

In order to promote the use of SNP markers on wheat-alien gene introgression, it is important to generate new genomic sequence resources from wild relatives of wheat. The progress on the genome sequencing of common wheat and its relatives, including Ae. speltoides, Ae. sharonensis, T. urartu, and Ae. tauschii, will facilitate the use of SNP markers in highthroughput screening of wheat-alien introgressions (Brenchley et al., 2012; Ling et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2013; Mayer et al., 2014; Chapman et al., 2015; https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/SeqRepository/Assemblies).

## Homoeologous recombination-based chromosome engineering

Since alien chromosomes usually contain desirable genes as well as undesirable genes for wheat improvement, individual alien chromosome addition and substitution lines are difficult to be utilized directly in wheat breeding. Two principal approaches have been developed to transfer alien chromosome segments to cultivated wheat over the past 60 years (Sear, 1956; Qi et al., 2007; Niu et al., 2011; Rey et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; King et al., 2017). The first approach involves induction of alien chromosome breakage by radiation treatments or gametocidal chromosomes (Jiang et al., 1993). Both methods induce random chromosome breakage and fusion of the broken segments, resulting in random chromosome translocations (Sears, 1956; Masoudi-Nejiad et al., 2002). However, the majority of translocations generated by this approach are often between non-homoeologous chromosomes and involves duplications or deficiencies (Jiang et al., 1994; Qi et al., 2007).

The second approach is inducing meiotic homoeolgous pairing/recombination using the genetic stocks with Phl deletion or harboring Phl supperssors in the genome. In hexaploid wheat, the Phl gene suppresses homoeologous pairing and ensures normal meiotic pairing between homologous chromosomes. The Phl-deficient genetic stocks have been successfully employed for inducing meiotic recombination between wheat chromosomes and their alien homeologues (Jiang et al., 1994; Friebe et al., 1996; Qi et al., 2007, Faris et al., 2008). Particularly, the use of the phlb mutant in combination with molecular markers and GISH has proven efficient for eliminating unwanted alien chromosomal segments and minimizing linkage drag in alien gene introgression. (Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012).
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## CHAPTER 3. THE FOOTPRINT OF GOATGRASS IN THE WHEAT B GENOME


#### Abstract

Wheat is a typical allopolyploid with three homoeologous subgenomes (A, B, and D). The donors of the subgenomes A and D had been identified, but not for the subgenome B . The goatgrass Aegilops speltoides (genome SS) has been controversially considered a possible candidate for the donor of the wheat B genome. However, the relationship of the Ae. speltoides S genome with the wheat B genome remains largely obscure. The present study assessed the homology of the B and S genomes using an integrative cytogenetic and genomic approach, and revealed the contribution of Ae. speltoides to the origin of the wheat B genome. We discovered noticeable homology between wheat chromosome 1B and Ae. speltoides chromosome 1S, but not between other chromosomes in the B and S genomes. An Ae. speltoides-originated segment spanning a genomic region of approximately 10.46 Mb was detected on the long arm of wheat chromosome 1B (1BL). The Ae. speltoides-originated segment on 1BL was found to co-evolve with the rest of the B genome. Evidently, Ae. speltoides had been involved in the origin of the wheat B genome, but should not be considered an exclusive donor of this genome. The wheat B genome might have a polyphyletic origin with multiple ancestors involved, including $A e$. speltoides. These novel findings will facilitate genome studies in wheat and other polyploids.


## Introduction

Wheat (Triticum aestivum, $2 \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{x}=42$, genome AABBDD ), a major food grain source for humans, has been considered a typical allohexaploid originated from the interspecific hybridization involving three diploid ancestors (Sakamura, 1918; Kihara, 1919 and 1954; Kihara et al., 1959; Sax, 1922). This evolutionary theory of allopolyploid has led to successful identification of the ancestors for the wheat subgenomes A and D , but not yet for the subgenome
B. The wheat A and D subgenomes were found to be contributed by T. urartu $(2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome AA) and Aegilops tauschii ( $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome DD), respectively (Kihara, 1944; McFadden and Sears, 1946; Dvorak et al., 1993). The ancestor of the subgenome B, however, remains controversial even though tremendous research efforts have been made to tackle this evolutionary puzzle of wheat for nearly a century.

Early studies on meiotic pairing, karyotyping, plant morphology, and geographic distribution of wheat-related wild species and their hybrids with wheat species (Triticum L.) identified the goatgrass Ae. speltoides $(2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome SS$)$ as the closest ancestor of the wheat B genome (Jenkins, 1929; Pathak, 1940; Sarkar and Stebbins, 1956; Riley et al., 1958). Meanwhile, questions had been raised about the meiotic pairing-based assessment of genome homology in these early studies because Ae. speltoides was suspected to contain genetic factors with epistatic effect on the wheat diploidization system, now designated Ph (pairing homoeologous) gene (Jenkins, 1929; Sarkar and Stebbins, 1956; Riley et al., 1958). The Ph gene limits meiotic pairing to homologous chromosomes in wheat and wheat hybrids with its relatives. Also, it had been assumed that the ancestral form of the $B$ genome might have undergone a series of changes since its incorporation into wheat (Jenkins, 1929; Sarkar and Stebbins, 1956).

In a later study, Riley et al. (1961) confirmed the presence of the gene(s) in Ae. speltoides that suppresses the effect of the Phl gene located on wheat chromosome 5B. Three genotypes with high, intermediate, and low ability to suppress the Phl activity were identified in $A e$. speltoides (Dvorak, 1972). On the basis of these findings, Kimber and Athwal (1972) reassessed meiotic pairing in the hybrids and amphiploids involving wheat and Ae. speltoides accessions with different levels of suppression for the Phl activity. They determined that the variation of meiotic pairing in the hybrids resulted from the presence of different Phl suppressors in the $A e$.
speltoides accessions. Also, they found that chromosomes predominantly paired as bivalents in the amphiploid involving polyploid wheat and a low pairing Ae. speltoides accession, which was very similar to a normal diploidized allopolyploid. As a result, they concluded that Ae. speltoides could not be considered as the ancestor of the wheat B genome. This was also supported by the evidence of chromosome banding patterns (Gill and Kimber, 1974) and protein electrophoretic profiles (Johnson, 1972). More recently, three Phl suppressor gene loci were identified and mapped to chromosome 3S, 5S, and 7S of Ae. speltoides, respectively (Dvorak et al., 2006).

In contrast, molecular analyses of both nuclear and extranuclear genomic DNAs suggested that Ae. speltoides or a species in the evolutionary lineage of Ae. speltoides could be the most likely ancestor of the wheat B genome as well as wheat plasmon (Ogihar and Tsunewaki, 1988; Dvorak and Zhang, 1990; Sasanuma et al., 1996; Wang et al., 1997; Kilian et al., 2007). However, comparative analysis of several gene loci and nearby genomic regions across the Triticum and Aegilops species did not reveal clear evidence supporting that conclusion (Hang et al., 2002; Salse et al., 2008). The Ae. speltoides $S$ genome was considered to be evolutionarily closer to the wheat $B$ genome than to the $A$ and $D$ genomes, but its candidacy as the ancestor of B genome remained undetermined in both studies.

The wheat $B$ genome has significantly higher genetic variability than $A$ and $D$ genome (Chao et al., 1989; Felsenburg et al., 1991; Siedler et al., 1994; Petersen et al., 2006). These findings support the hypothesis that the wheat B genome has diverged from its ancestor through various genomic modifications (Jenkins, 1929; Sarkar and Stebbins, 1956; Blake et al., 1999). In addition, Ae. speltoides has significantly higher intraspecific genetic variability than any of the other four Aegilops species in the Sitopsis section, which is even comparable to the interspecific variability among the other four Aegilops species in the section (Sasanuma et al., 1996). This
appears to support the hypothesis that Ae. speltoides might contribute to the origin of the wheat B genome, but the current version of Ae. speltoides has diverged from the original ancestor of the B genome (Salse, 2008). Another hypothesis, proposed by Zohary and Feldman (Zohary and Feldman, 1962), states that the wheat B genome is a reconstructed genome resulted from meiotic homoeologous recombination between multiple ancestral genomes of the Aegilops species. This evolutionary recombination process was assumed to occur in the hybrids of the tetraploid amphiploids that combined the different ancestral Aegilops genomes and a common ancestral A genome of T. urartu. In other words, the wheat B genome might have a polyphyletic origin. However, no clear evidence has been reported to prove these hypotheses.

A species with a genome more closely related to the wheat $B$ genome than the $S$ genome of Ae. speltoides has not been discovered even though an intensive search for the ancestor of B genome has been performed over nearly a century. It seems inevitable to reason that $A e$. speltoides may more or less have contributed to the origin and evolution of the wheat B genome according to previous studies. The present study aimed to assess the homology of individual wheat B-genome chromosomes with their homoeologous counterparts in the S genome of $A e$. speltoides and to trace the Ae. speltoides genomic components in the wheat B genome, which could not be done in the previous studies due to the lack of the genomics/cytogenetics tools and resources. In this study, we revealed new insights into the involvement of Ae. speltoides in the origin of the wheat B genome using a new integrative cytogenetics and genomics research approach. This will enhance knowledge about the origin and evolution of the polyploid genome and facilitate further studies of the complex polyploid genome in wheat and other species.

## Materials and methods

## Plant materials

Six "Chinese Spring" (CS) wheat B genome-Ae. speltoides disomic substitution lines [DS 1S(1B), DS 2S(2B), DS 4S(4B), DS 5S(5B), DS 6S(6B), and DS 7S(7B)] (Friebe et al., 2011), one substitution line involving chromosome 3 S and $3 \mathrm{~A}[\mathrm{DS} 3 \mathrm{~S}(3 \mathrm{~A})]$, and the CS phlb mutant were the initial genetic stocks used in this research. They were provided by the Wheat Genetics Resource Center at Kansas State University, USA. DS 3S(3A) was included in this study because DS $3 \mathrm{~S}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ is not available. In addition, six CS wheat B genome-Thinopyrum elongatum $(2 n=2 x=14$, genome EE$)$ disomic substitution lines [DS 1E(1B), DS 2E(2B), DS 3E(3B), DS $5 \mathrm{E}(5 \mathrm{~B})$, $\mathrm{DS} 6 \mathrm{E}(6 \mathrm{~B})$, and $\mathrm{DS} 7 \mathrm{E}(7 \mathrm{~B})]$ and one substitution line involving chromosome 4 E and 4D [DS 4E(4D)] were used as controls to assess B-S genome homology in this study. DS 4E(4B) was not available. They were kindly supplied by J. Dvorak at UC Davis. Each of these disomic substitution lines has a pair of wheat chromosomes replaced by their homoeologous counterparts of Ae. speltoides or Th. elongatum. The common and durum wheat accessions used in this study were selected from the worldwide diversity panel of the Triticeae Coordinated Agricultural Project (T-CAP). The other wheat species/accessions that contain the B genome were obtained from the U.S. National Plant Germplasm System. A total of 179 accessions under 13 wheat species (Triticum L.) were chosen based on their geographic origin and distribution, representing a diverse worldwide collection of the tetraploid and hexaploid wheat species (APPENDIX A). A subset of representative wheat species/accessions $(\mathrm{n}=88)$ were selected for single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping from the 179 accessions.

## Construction of the special genotypes for meiotic pairing analysis

The CS-Ae. speltoides and CS-Th. elongatum disomic substitution lines were crossed and backcrossed with the CS ph1b mutant to construct the special genotypes monosomic for the individual B/A-S or B/D-E homoeologous pairs in the presence and absence of Ph , respectively (Figure 3.1). The chromosome-specific DNA markers (Table 3.1) were employed to assist selection of the double monosomics for each of the homoeologous pairs. The selected individuals were verified for the monosomic condition by genomic in situ hybridization (GISH). The Ph1specific DNA markers (Roberts et al., 1999) were used to select the double monosomics with Phl as well as those without Phl (i.e. homozygous for phlb deletion mutant) (Figure 3.1)

Table 3.1. Chromosome-specific molecular markers used in this study

| Markers | Location | Primer sequences | Tm <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Amplicon <br> size in <br> CS (bp) | References |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Anthers with meiocytes [pollen mother cells (PMCs)] at metaphase I (MI) were collected for meiotic pairing analysis from the heterozygotes with and without Phl following the procedure of Cai and Jones (1997). A total of over 100 meiocytes at MI from 1-6 plants were observed and analyzed for each of the special genotypes. GISH was used to differentially paint chromosomes of Ae. speltoides, Th. elongatum, and wheat for meiotic pairing analysis.


Figure 3.1. Construction of the special genotypes for B/A-S and B/D-E homoeologous meiotic pairing analysis.

## DNA marker analysis

DNA samples were prepared as described by Niu et al. (2011). Chromosome-specific DNA markers, including SSRs (simple sequence repeats) and STSs (sequence-tagged sites), were developed and used for the identification of individual B-, S-, and E-genome chromosomes as described by Chen et al. (2007). Two STS markers (PSR128 and PSR574) that tag the Ph1 allele were used to identify individuals homozygous for the phlb deletion mutant (Roberts et al., 1999). The wheat 90K iSelect SNP arrays were used to perform SNP genotyping assay for CS wheat, the disomic substitution lines, and the 88 representative wheat species/accessions (45 hexaploids and 43 tetraploids) using the Illumina iScan instrument. SNP allele clustering and genotype calling were conducted using the GenomeStudio v2011.1 software (Illumina, Inc.) (Wang et al., 2014). The polymorphisms for each of the homoeologous pairs at the SNP loci were calculated as the percentages of the polymorphic loci out of the total loci genotyped.

Genetic diversity was calculated based on the SNP genotyping data of the 88 representative wheat species/accessions (Nei, 1973) and plotted against the SNP consensus linkage map of wheat chromosome 1B (Wang et al., 2014). The SNP genotype-based cluster dendrogram was developed using the Flapjack software and R package "ape" (Milne et al., 2010; https://cran.rproject.org/).

## Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)

Fluorescent genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) was performed to differentiate wheat B-genome and S/E-genome chromatin from each other as described by Cai et al. (1998). Total genomic DNAs of Ae. speltoides and Th. elongatum were labeled with biotin-16-dUTP by nick translation as probe DNA for detecting Ae. speltoides and Th. elongatum chromatin, respectively. Total genomic DNA of CS wheat was used as blocking DNA. Ae speltoides/Th. elongatum chromatin was painted with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated avidin (FITC-avidin) as yellow-green and wheat chromatin was counter-stained with propidium iodide (PI) as red. Multicolor FISH was conducted following the procedure of Liu et al. (2006). The clone pTa71, a wheat 9 kb rDNA repeating unit that contains the $18 \mathrm{~S}, 5.8 \mathrm{~S}$, and 26 S rRNA genes and intergenic spacer (Gerlach and Bedbrook, 1979), was supplied by Peng Zhang at The University of Sydney, Australia. It was labeled with dig-11-dUTP and detected by anti-dig-rhodamine as red. This rDNA probe was used to tag the nucleolar organizer region on wheat chromosomes 1 B and 6 B . Total genomic DNA of Ae. speltoides was labeled with biotin-16-dUTP and detected by FITCavidin as yellow-green. This genomic probe was used to identify Ae speltoides chromatin in the wheat genome. Wheat chromatin was counter-stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) as blue. The fluorescence microscopy system BX51 (Olympus, Japan) was used to visualize GISH/FISH-painted chromosomes.

## DNA sequence analysis

The IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 (https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/) was used to analyze the DNA sequences of chromosome 1B. The contextual sequences of the SNP loci that contain the same alleles at the distal ends of both CS wheat 1BL and Ae. speltoides 1SL were aligned to the DNA sequences of chromosome 1B using the program Splign locally (Kapustin et al., 2008). The physical order of the SNP loci was determined based on the DNA sequence alignment.

## Results

## Homology analysis of the individual B-S homoeologous chromosome pairs

Meiotic pairing has been considered direct cytological evidence for genome homology. It can, however, be influenced by genetic factors in addition to homology, such as the Phl gene in wheat and Phl suppressors in Ae. speltoides. To take account of the non-homology factors in the B-S genome homology analysis, we investigated meiotic pairing of individual B-S homoeologous pairs under the same genetic background of CS wheat in the presence and absence of Phl. The CS wheat B genome-Ae. speltoides S genome disomic substitution lines dissect the $S$ genome of Ae. speltoides into individual chromosomes in the CS wheat background. They were used to construct the double monosomics for the individual B- and Sgenome chromosomes. Meanwhile, the phlb deletion mutant of Phl was introduced into the double monosoimcs with assistance of the Phl-specific DNA markers. Thus, we were able to investigate meiotic pairing of individual B-S homoeologous chromosome pairs in the presence as well as absence of Phl. In addition, we investigated meiotic pairing of the individual B-E homoeologous chromosome pairs and used them as controls for B-S genome homology analysis. Chinese Spring wheat chromosome 1B was found to pair with Ae. speltoides chromosome 1S in 67 of the 134 PMCs analyzed (50.00\%), while other B/A-S homoeologous
pairs had a relatively low meiotic pairing frequency ranging from 0.00 to $8.63 \%$ in the presence of Phl (Figure 3.2). In addition, we noticed that 1B-1S meiotic pairing predominantly involved the long arms of chromosome 1B (1BL) and 1S (1SL). Surprisingly, wheat 1BL was found to contain a small Ae. speltoides S genome-derived chromosomal segment at its distal end, where meiotic pairing was mostly initiated (Figure 3.3a). Also, the same Ae. speltoides-derived segment was observed on the unpaired 1BL (univalent) (Figure 3.3b). The Phl suppressor genes mapped to Ae. speltoides chromosomes 3S, 5S, and 7S (Dvorak et al., 2006). We found that meiotic pairing involving chromosome 5 S was noticeably higher than that involving $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 4 \mathrm{~S}, 6 \mathrm{~S}$, and 7S in the presence of Phl (Figure 3.2). Thus, there might be a Phl suppressor on this particular Ae. speltoides chromosome 5S, but not on chromosomes 3 S and 7 S involved in this study. In the absence of Phl (i.e. phlbphlb), chromosomes 1B and 1S paired at a frequency of $60 \%$, which was higher than the 1B-1S pairing frequency in the presence of Phl (50\%). Meiotic pairing of other homoeologous pairs (2B-2S, 3A-3S, 4B-4S, 5B-5S, 6B-6S, and 7B-7S) was dramatically enhanced by phlb mutant (Figure 3.2). 5B ${ }^{\text {phlb }}-5 \mathrm{~S}$ also exhibited a high pairing frequency (42.16\%), suggesting absence of Phl on Ae. speltoides chromosome 5S (Griffiths et al., 2006).


Figure 3.2. Meiotic pairing frequency of B/A-S (top) and B/D-E (bottom) homoeologous pairs in the presence and absence of Phl.

Meiotic pairing was not observed between CS wheat chromosome 1B and Th. elongatum chromosome 1E in the 105 PMCs analyzed under the presence of Phl. The other B/D-E homoeologous chromosome pairs also showed a low meiotic pairing frequency when Phl was present (Figure 3.2). Meiotic pairing of all B/D-E homoeologous chromosome pairs was enhanced by the phlb mutant, but not as extensively as that with B/A-S homoeologous chromosome pairs except 4D-4E and 5B-5E. Apparently, CS wheat chromosome 5B ${ }^{p h l b}$ had a high meiotic pairing affinity with Ae. speltoides chromosome 5S as well as Th. elongatum chromosome 5E (Figure 3.2).


Figure 3.3. GISH/FISH-painted wheat and Ae. speltoides chromosomes. a) Showing meiotic pairing of CS wheat chromosome 1B with Ae. speltoides chromosome 1 S as a rod bivalent; $\mathbf{b}$ ) showing unpaired 1B and 1S chromosomes (univalents); and c) showing mitotic chromosomes of CS wheat. Arrows point to the Ae. speltoides-originated chromosomal segment on 1BL. Wheat and Ae. speltoides chromatin was painted as red and yellow-green by GISH, respectively (a \& b). The Ae. speltoides-originated chromosomal segment was painted as light blue-green by GISH and the nucleolar organizer regions on 1BS and 6BS were painted as red by FISH. Wheat chromatin was counter-stained as blue by DAPI (c). Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## GISH/FISH analysis of wheat B-genome chromosomes

Meiotic pairing analysis demonstrated a notable homology between CS wheat chromosome 1B and Ae. speltoides chromosome 1S (Figure 3.2). Apparently, the Ae speltoidesderived chromosomal segment at the distal end of 1 BL contributed to the high $1 \mathrm{~B}-1 \mathrm{~S}$ pairing. To confirm the Ae. speltoides segment on 1BL and determine whether any additional Ae. speltoides segments are present on the CS B-genome chromosomes, we performed multicolor FISH/GISH
to the mitotic chromosomes of CS wheat. The CS wheat chromosomes 1B and 6B were tagged by FISH using the rDNA probe $p T a 71$ and Ae. speltoides chromatin was simultaneously painted by Ae. speltoides genomic DNA-probed GISH. An Ae. speltoides chromosomal segment was clearly detected at the distal end of CS 1BL, but not in other regions of chromosome 1B and other B-genome chromosomes (Figure 3.3c).

To further verify the origin of the distal segment on 1BL, we performed Th. elongatum genomic DNA-probed GISH to all three chromosome sets of the CS wheat genome. No Th. elongatum-derived GISH signals were observed on any of the CS wheat chromosomes, including 1BL (Figure 3.4A). Thus, the distal chromosomal segment on CS 1BL is Ae. speltoides S genome-originated, not a common chromosomal region shared by CS wheat and its relatives.

We surveyed the B genome of 179 representative accessions under 13 wheat species (Triticum L.) for the presence of Ae. speltoides chromatin by GISH. They were collected from the different geographic regions around the world and represented a diverse collection of the hexaploid and tetraploid wheat species/accessions that contain the B genome. All of these wheat species/accessions were found to contain an Ae. speltoides chromosomal segment at the distal end of 1BL as what we observed on 1BL of CS wheat, but not in the other regions of chromosome 1B and other B-genome chromosomes (APPENDIX A and Figure 3.3c). Therefore, the Ae. speltoides-derived chromosomal segment is universally present at the distal end of 1BL in the tetraploid and hexaploid wheat species. It has been part of chromosome 1B probably since the incorporation of the B genome into the tetraploid and hexaploid wheat.


Figure 3.4. Cytogenetic and molecular mapping of the Ae. speltoides-originated chromosomal segment on 1BL. (A) GISH-painted CS wheat chromosome 1B using Th. elongatum genomic DNA as probe (left) and Ae. speltoides genomic DNA as probe (right); wheat chromatin was painted as red and Ae. speltoides/Th. elongatum chromatin as yellow-green. (B) Graphical representation of GISH-painted wheat chromosome 1B using Ae. speltoides genomic DNA as probe (left); genetic size of the highly monomorphic linkage block harboring 68 SNP loci at the distal ends of 1BL and 1SL (middle); and genetic and physical locations of the 68 SNP loci within the region (right). Green dots refer to the SNP loci monomorphic between CS wheat 1BL and Ae. speltoides 1SL; red dots refer to the polymorphic SNP loci. (C) SNP-based comparative graph showing the distribution of polymorphisms between CS wheat chromosome 1B and $A e$. speltoides chromosome 1S. Red areas refer to polymorphisms and green areas to monomorphisms. Arrow heads demarcate the highly monomorphic linkage block. (D) Estimated physical size of the highly monomorphic linkage block harboring the 66 SNP loci and the extended region at the distal end of 1BL. Red, green, and grey bars refer to the polymorphic, monomorphic, and extended genomic regions, respectively.

## Comparative analysis of the individual B-S homoeologous pairs

Both CS wheat and CS-Ae. speltoides disomic substitution lines were genotyped using wheat 90K iSelect SNP arrays. Our SNP genotyping results indicated that the substitution line originally designated DS 3S(3B) (Friebe et al., 2011) should be DS 3S(3A), which was further confirmed by SSR markers and chromosome C-banding. Thus, DS 3S(3A), instead of DS 3S(3B), was included in the SNP assay in addition to the substitution lines involving other six Bgenome chromosomes (1B, 2B, 4B, 5B, 6B, and 7B).

High-throughput genotyping of CS wheat and the CS-Ae. speltoides disomic substitution lines at 17,379 SNP loci identified a total of 6,722 SNPs polymorphic in the seven B/A-S homoeologous pairs. The homoeologous pair 2B-2S showed the lowest polymorphism (33.34\%) and 7B-7S the highest (43.37\%) at the SNP loci surveyed. The polymorphisms of the other five homoeologous pairs ranged from $33.89 \%$ (3A-3S) to $41.86 \%$ (4B-4S) (Figure 3.5). Plotting of the SNP polymorphisms between CS wheat chromosome 1B and Ae. speltoides chromosome 1S against the SNP consensus linkage map of chromosome 1B (Wang et al., 2014) identified a genomic region that shared the same alleles at 65 of the 68 SNP loci within the distal ends of CS wheat 1BL and Ae. speltoides 1SL (Figure 3.4C). We did not detect such a monomorphic linkage block in other chromosomal regions of the 1B-1S homoeologous pair and on other B/A-S homoeologous pairs (Figure 3.6).


Figure 3.5. Polymorphisms of individual B/A-S homoeologous pairs at the SNP loci mapped on wheat B/A-genome chromosomes.


Figure 3.6. Graphical distribution of polymorphisms at the mapped SNP loci between CS wheat chromosome 1B and Ae. speltoides chromosome 1S. Red areas refer to polymorphisms and blue areas to monomorphisms. Green arrow heads demarcate the highly monomorphic linkage block.

## Genetic and physical characterization of the 1BL distal end

The chromosomal regions at the distal ends of CS wheat 1BL and Ae. speltoides 1SL were found to be highly monomorphic at the 68 SNP loci ( 65 monomorphic/68 SNPs). The three polymorphic SNP loci within the region on 1BL and 1SL were positioned toward the proximal end of the region on the consensus linkage map (Wang et al., 2014). The entire region defined by the 68 SNPs spans a genetic distance of 12.7 cM (Figure 3.4B and APPENDIX B). The contextual sequences of these 68 SNPs were aligned to the DNA sequences of that genomic
region on 1BL available from the IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 (https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/SeqRepository/Assemblies). Two of the three SNP loci polymorphic between 1BL and 1SL, Tdurum_contig41999_2908 and Ex_c1058_1537, were physically assigned to the proximal end of the region. The other polymorphic SNP within the region, RFL_Contig785_1156, was distal to the first two polymorphic SNPs. One monomorphic SNP (RFL_Contig785_1700) was physically positioned within the interval between Tdurum_contig41999_2908 and RFL_Contig785_1156 according to the sequence alignment (Figure 3.4B and APPENDIX B).

The genomic region that spans the 65 SNP loci monomorphic between CS 1BL and $A e$. speltoides 1SL and one polymorphic SNP (RFL_Contig785_1150) was estimated to be 9.61 Mb in length according to the DNA sequence assemblies of 1BL (https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Seq-Repository/Assemblies). The two polymorphic SNP loci (Tdurum_contig41999_2908 and Ex_c1058_1537) at the proximal end of that region were not included in the estimate (Figure 3.4D). In addition, we identified an extended terminal segment of 0.85 Mb distal to the 68 SNP -defined region on 1BL according to the DNA sequence alignment. As a result, the total physical length of the SNP-defined and extended distal genomic region on 1BL was estimated to be 10.46 Mb (Figure 3.4D). The actual physical size of this distal segment on 1BL might be greater than this estimate $(10.46 \mathrm{Mb})$ because the current DNA sequence assemblies (https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Seq-Repository/Assemblies) we used in this study cover 689.9 Mb out of the total length 849 Mb of chromosome 1B (Šafář et al., 2010). Four hexaploid wheat accessions (Cltr8347, PI429624, PI481728, and CI13113), similar to CS wheat, were found to be highly monomorphic with CS DS1S(1B) at the 68 SNP loci within the distal regions of 1BL and 1SL. They were clustered together with CS wheat and CS DS1S(1B) in the dendrogram (Figure 3.7). Cltr8347, PI429624, and PI481728 are the landraces
from China, Nepal, and Bhutan, respectively. Both CS and Cltr8347 are the landraces collected probably in southwest China (P.D. Chen, personal communication), which is geographically close to the Himalayan region where Nepal and Bhutan are located. CI13113 is a winter wheat germplasm line with CS involved in the pedigree (http://www.arsgrin.gov/npgs/). Thus, these five hexaploid wheat accessions seem to share a similar origin of this particular Ae. speltoidesoriginated genomic region on 1BL. In addition, we found that 11 tetraploid wheat accessions share the same genotypes at the 68 SNP loci on 1BL, making them clustered together in the dendrogram (Figure 3.7). These tetraploid wheat accessions originated from two primary geographical regions, the Mediterranean Basin and South America (APPENDIX A). Overall, the tetraploid wheat accessions showed higher genetic variability than hexaploids in the 68 SNPdefined genomic region on 1BL according to the cluster dendrogram and genetic diversity analysis (Figure 3.7 and 3.8). Four of the 68 SNP loci (46805, 31066, 50867, and 76928) showed no allelic variation at all in the 88 wheat accessions. The other four SNPs (71971, 65270, 71898, and 78965) had very minimal variation in the wheat accessions (APPENDIX B). Apparently, these SNP loci have been very conservative over the evolutionary process of this chromosomal region.


Figure 3.7. Cluster dendrogram of the 88 representative wheat species/accessions constructed based on the genotypes at the 68 SNP loci within the distal end of 1BL.


Figure 3.8. Genetic diversity at the SNP loci on chromosome 1B in 45 hexaploid wheat accessions (top) and 43 tetraploid wheat accessions (bottom). Red rectangles mark the $A e$. speltoides-originated chromosomal region spanning the 68 SNP loci at the distal end of 1BL. Yaxis indicates genetic diversity defined as the probability of two different alleles randomly selected from the population.

## Discussion

Ae. speltoides has been considered the diploid species with a genome most closely related to the wheat B genome according to the previous studies (Jenkins, 1929; Pathak, 1940; Sarkar and Stebbins, 1956; Riley et al., 1958; Dvorak and Zhang, 1990; Sasanuma et al., 1996; Wang et al., 1997; Kilian et al., 2007). However, the relationship of the Ae. speltoides S genome with the wheat B genome remains largely obscure. Previous meiotic pairing-based homology analyses had led to inconsistent conclusions about the evolutionary relationship of the B and S genomes due primarily to the influence of the $A e$. speltoides-derived $P h l$ suppressors on meiotic pairing (Jenkins, 1929; Riley et al., 1958; Kimber and Athwal, 1972). In the present study, we investigated meiotic pairing individually for each of the $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{S}$ homoeologous pairs in the presence as well as absence of Ph1. This allowed us to monitor the effect of Phl and Ph1 suppressors in meiotic homoeologous pairing and to precisely assess the homology for the individual B/A-S homoeologous pairs.

Substantial meiotic pairing was observed between CS wheat chromosome 1B and Ae. speltoides chromosome 1S in the presence of Phl and absence of other S-genome chromosomes. The 1B-1S pairing frequency (50.00\%) was significantly higher than any other B/A-S homoeologous pairs (0.00-8.63\%). Chromosome 1S does not contain a Phl suppressor gene (Dvorak et al., 2006). Therefore, no Phl suppressor was involved in the 1B-1S meiotic pairing analysis. It was homology that made CS wheat chromosome 1B pair with Ae. speltoides chromosome 1 S in a relatively high frequency. A small Ae. speltoides-derived chromosomal segment was detected at the distal end of CS wheat 1BL by GISH. Also, we found that 1B-1S meiotic pairing occurred mostly on the long arms (i.e. 1BL-1SL) that share the Ae. speltoides segment at the distal ends. Therefore, this Ae. speltoides-derived segment was the homologous
counterpart on chromosomes 1B and 1S that initiated high meiotic pairing between them. Extremely low meiotic pairing ( 0 out of 105 PMCs) was observed between CS wheat chromosome 1B and Th. elongatum chromosome 1E in the presence of Phl. Also, a Th. elongatum-specific segment was not detected on 1B and other B-genome chromosomes of CS wheat by GISH. As a control, these findings further confirmed the Ae. speltoides origin of the distal 1BL region in CS wheat.

We detected the Ae. speltoides-originated chromosomal segment at the distal end of 1BL in a diverse worldwide collection of tetraploid (including wild and cultivated emmer wheat) and hexaploid wheat $(\mathrm{n}=179)$ in addition to CS wheat. Apparently, this Ae. speltoides-originated segment on 1BL has been part of the $B$ genome in both tetraploid and hexaploid wheat species probably since the initial incorporation of the B genome into tetraploid wheat. Also, we found that tetraploid wheat had higher genetic diversity than hexaploid wheat within the Ae. speltoidesoriginated genomic region on 1BL, suggesting an evolutionary pattern similar to other genomic regions of polyploid wheat (Doebley et al., 2006). All these new findings consistently support the conclusion that Ae. speltoides had been involved in the origin of the wheat B genome. The Ae. speltoides-originated chromosomal segment on wheat chromosome 1BL has been retained in the B genome probably throughout the entire evolutionary and domestication process of polyploid wheat.

High-throughput SNP genotyping of individual B/A-S homoeologous pairs and the 88 representative wheat species/accessions identified a sizable highly monomorphic linkage block $(12.7 \mathrm{cM})$ at the distal ends of wheat 1 BL and Ae. speltoides 1 SL. This was surprisingly consistent with the meiotic pairing and GISH results, supporting the Ae. speltoides origin of the distal segment on 1BL. The SNP-defined monomorphic genomic region and extended distal end
on 1BL was estimated to be 10.46 Mb in physical size based on the genomic DNA sequence assemblies of chromosome 1B currently available from IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 (https://wheaturgi.versailles.inra.fr/). This estimate is about $1.2 \%$ of the total length of chromosome 1B (849 Mb ) (Šafář et al., 2010). The GISH-detected Ae. speltoides segment on 1BL appears to span more than $2.0 \%$ of the cytogenetic length of chromosome 1B. In addition, the DNA sequence assemblies of the IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 cover approximately $81.3 \%$ of the entire chromosome 1B ( 689.9 Mb out of 849 Mb ) (Šafář et al., 2010). Thus, the monomorphic linkage block is probably a portion of the $A e$. speltoides-originated chromosomal segment on 1BL. The actual physical size of the Ae. speltoides-originated distal segment on 1BL might be greater than the estimate of 10.46 Mb .

Relatively low meiotic pairing was observed with each of other B/A-S homoeologous pairs in the presence of Phl. In addition, we did not detect any Ae. speltoides-originated chromosomal segments in the other regions of chromosome 1B and on other B-genome chromosomes by GISH. Are there additional Ae. speltoides-originated chromosomal segments that are too small to leverage meiotic pairing and undetectable by GISH in the wheat B genome? We observed small monomorphic linkage blocks at multiple locations in other regions of chromosome 1B and on other B-genome chromosomes, but none was comparable in size to the one at the distal end of 1BL. Also, a clear association of those monomorphic linkage blocks with meiotic pairing could not be established in this study. Thus, we were unable to determine whether those B-genome chromosomal regions originated from Ae. speltoides. Further studies, such as genome-wide sequence comparative analysis, are needed to uncover the evolutionary relationship of those genomic regions with $A e$. speltoides.

In summary, we conclude that Ae. speltoides had been involved in the origin and evolution of the wheat B genome. The current form of Ae. speltoides should not be considered an exclusive donor of the B genome. Ae. speltoides is probably one of the diploid ancestors involved in the evolutionary lineage of the B genome as stated in the theory of polyphyletic origin (Zohary and Feldman, 1962). The wheat B genome might be a genome reconstructed from the homoeologous meiotic recombination between multiple ancestral genomes of Aegilops species, including Ae. speltoides. Further studies of Aegilops species, especially those in the Sitopsis section, may reveal additional insights into the origin and evolution of the wheat B genome.
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# CHAPTER 4. MEIOTIC HOMOEOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION-BASED CHROMOSOME ENGINEERING IN THE GENOMICS ERA OF WHEAT 


#### Abstract

Wheat, a major food crop worldwide, is an allopolyploid originated from spontaneous hybridization of three diploid species. The nature of origin and evolution had led to a narrow genetic basis in wheat. However, wheat has numerous wild relatives usable for expanding the genetic variability of its genome by inducing meiotic homoeologous recombination. Traditionally, laborious cytological analyses have been employed to detect homoeologous recombination. This has limited the progress of alien gene introgression in wheat improvement. In this study, the genotypes homozygous for $p h 1 b$ and double monosomic for wheat chromosome 2B and its homoeologue 2 S in Aegilops speltoides or 2E in Thinopyrum elongatum were constructed to enhance $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ meiotic pairing and recombination. Backcross populations were developed to recover the recombination events. Multiple techniques, including fluorescent genomic in situ hybridization (GISH), wheat 90K SNP assay, and SNP-derived STARP markers were employed to detect and delineate the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ recombinants. Totally, 112 2B-2S and 87 2B-2E recombinant lines were developed in this study. Evidently, this integrated GISH and high-throughput genotyping approach enhances the recovery and detection of meiotic homoeologous recombination. The SNP marker-assisted chromosome engineering strategy developed in this study will boost the utilization of alien genes in wheat improvement.

\section*{Introduction}

Wheat is one of the major food crops in the US and worldwide. However, wheat production has been continually challenged by various threats and pressures, such as climate variability and change, new disease pathogens and pests, and a constantly growing food demand.


There is a constant need to strengthen the defense of wheat against various new threats and improve wheat production. The genetic gain for wheat production has declined, due primarily to the draining of the gene pool usable in wheat breeding (Graybosch and Peterson, 2010). The limited genetic variability of the wheat genome has increasingly become a bottleneck for wheat improvement. There is an urgent need to enrich the gene pool of wheat and expand genetic variability of the wheat genome.

Common wheat (Triticum aestivum, $2 \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{x}=42$, genome AABBDD ) is an allohexaploid with three distinct, but genetically related subgenomes (i.e. A, B, and D). Homoeologous chromosomes in the three subgenomes can genetically compensate for each other. The genomic nature of allohexaploidy makes common wheat tolerate various chromosome modifications, providing tremendous genetic flexibility for wheat improvement by chromosome manipulation (Morris and Sears, 1967). Over the years, a variety of studies have demonstrated that the wheat genome can be artificially reshaped and enriched in terms of genomic structure and gene content through chromosome engineering (Sears, 1972, 1983; Zeller, 1973; Zeller and Hsam, 1983; Shepherd and Islam, 1988; Gale and Miller, 1987; Friebe et al., 1996; Cox, 1998; Xu et al., 2005; Qi et al., 2007, 2008; Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012; McArthur et al., 2012).

Chromosome engineering is the process of modifying ploidy, chromosome structure, and/or chromosome number of an organism for the purpose of genetic improvement. This technology has been used to incorporate favorable genes from wild species into the wheat genome for germplasm and variety development. Alien genes can be introduced into wheat from wild species through chromosome addition, substitution, and translocation. Alien chromosome addition and substitution, which introduce one or more entire alien chromosomes into the wheat genome, usually contain desirable genes as well as undesirable genes on the alien chromosomes.

It is generally difficult to utilize those lines directly in wheat breeding. Chromosome translocation, which can integrate alien chromosome segments containing the gene of interest into the wheat genome, has been the most effective approach for alien gene introgression (Sears, 1983; Jiang et al., 1994; Friebe et al., 1996; Cai et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2005; Kuraparthy et al., 2007; Faris et al., 2008; Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012). Small compensating wheat-alien chromosome translocations are less likely to contain undesirable genes and are more breeder-friendly for variety development than alien chromosome addition and substitution lines (Sears, 1972, 1983; Zeller, 1973; Zeller and Hsam, 1983; Shepherd and Islam, 1988; Friebe et al., 1996; Qi et al., 2007, 2008; Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012). The compensating translocations generally result from meiotic recombination between wheat chromosomes and their homoeologous counterparts from wild species.

The Phl gene on wheat chromosome 5B limits meiotic pairing/recombination to homologous chromosomes and prevents homoeologous chromosomes from pairing/recombination to each other (Riley and Chapman, 1958). It ensures the integrity of the wheat genome, but also limits the introduction of genetic variability from wild species into wheat by meiotic homoeologous recombination. Genetic stocks involving the phl mutant, Phl inhibitor gene, chromosome $5 \mathrm{D}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ substitution in durum wheat, and chromosome $5 \mathrm{D}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ nullitetrasomics in bread wheat have been used to inactivate Ph1 activity and promote meiotic pairing/recombination between homoeologous chromosomes for alien gene introgression in wheat (Riley et al., 1959; Chapman and Riley, 1970; Chen et al., 1994; Qi et al., 2007 and 2008; Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012). Out of these genetic stocks, the phlb mutant resulting from a large deletion on the long arm of chromosome 5B (5BL) (Sears, 1977; Gill et al., 1993)
has been widely utilized to induce meiotic homoeologous pairing/recombination for alien gene introgression in wheat.

Meiotic homoeologous pairing/recombination can be enhanced by inactivating Ph1 activity, but usually remains at a low frequency. Thus, a large recombination population is generally required to recover the homoeologous recombinants of interest. Screening such a large recombination population for the recombinants of interest is always a challenge for any cytological techniques, including genomic in situ hybridization (GISH). Recent advances in genomics, especially high-throughput genotyping technologies, have opened new opportunities to improve the efficacy of homoeologous recombinant production and alien gene introgression (King et al., 2017; Klindworth et al., 2017). This study aimed to develop an effective procedure of inducing, recovering, and detecting meiotic homoeologous recombination for alien gene introgression using the genomics tools and resources currently available in wheat.

## Materials and methods

## Plant materials

Chinese Spring (CS) wheat-Aegilops speltoides ( $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome SS ) disomic substitution line $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ [DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ ] (Friebe et al., 2011) and CS phlb mutant were supplied by the Wheat Genetics Resource Center at Kansas State University. The CS-Thinopyrum elongatum $(2 n=2 x=14$, genome $E E)$ disomic substitution line $2 E(2 B)[D S 2 E(2 B)]$ was provided by $J$. Dvorak at UC Davis.

## Fluorescent genomic in situ hybridization (GISH)

Root tips at about 2 cM long and anthers with the meiocytes at metaphase I and anaphase I were collected and fixed in acetic-acid alcohol (1:3). Chromosome preparation and GISH were performed as described by Cai et al. (1998). The total genomic DNA of Ae. speltoides and Th.
elongatum were used as probe DNA and labeled with biotin-16-dUTP by nick translation. The genomic DNA of CS wheat was used as blocking DNA. It was prepared by shearing CS total genomic DNA in 0.4 M NaOH in boiling water for 40 to 50 min . Hybridization signals were detected with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated avidin (FITC-avidin) and wheat chromatin was counter-stained with 4'-6-Diamidino- 2-phenylindole (DAPI). Ae. speltoides/Th. elongatum chromatin (painted yellow-green) and wheat chromatin (painted red) were differentiated from each other under a fluorescence microscope (BX51, Olympus).

## Molecular marker analyses

Simple sequence repeat (SSR) and sequence-tagged site (STS): Two STS markers (PSR128 and PSR574) that tag Ph1 allele were used to identify individuals homozygous for phlb deletion (Roberts et al., 1999). The chromosome 3A-specific STS marker Xwgc1079 (unpublished, X. Cai et al.) was employed as an internal control for the PCR of PSR128 and PSR574 that had no amplicon with phlb deletion. Two chromosome-specific SSR markers, Xwmc474 and Xgwm455, were used to identify Ae. speltoides chromosome 2S and Th. elongatum chromosome 2E, respectively. DNA extraction was performed as described by Niu et al. (2011). PCR was run at an annealing temperature required by the markers. PCR products were separated in a non-denatured polyacrylamide gel system (Chen et al., 2007).

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP): Wheat 90K SNP genotyping assay was performed on the Illumina BeadStation and iScan instruments according to the manufacturer's protocols (Illumina). SNP allele clustering and genotype calling were done using the GenomeStudio v2011.1 software (Illumina) as described by Wang et al. (2014).

Semi-Thermal Asymmetric Reverse PCR (STARP): Wheat SNPs mapped within the critical chromosome regions were converted to STARP markers following the procedure of Long
et al. (2017) and Qi et al. (2015). Three primers were designed from the contextual sequence of a target SNP, including two-tailed forward allele-specific primers (AS-primers F1 and F2) and one common reverse primer. Two universal priming-element-adjustable primers (PEA1 and PEA2) attached with the fluorescence tag FAM and HEX at $5^{\prime}$ terminus, respectively, were used to run PCR. An additional 4-base oligonucleotide ( $5^{\prime}$-AGAG-3') was inserted in PEA2 to produce length polymorphism between two alleles after amplification. PCR was run in $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of volume containing $1 \times \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$buffer $\left[10 \times \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right.$buffer containing $\left.160 \mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right], 670 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris$\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.3), 0.8 \mathrm{M}$ Betaine (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA), $0.04 \% \mathrm{BSA}, 1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each dNTP, 200 nM common reverse primer, 200 nM for each of the PEA primers, and 40 nM for each of the AS primers, 1 U of Taq polymerase, and 20 ng of genomic DNA. Thermal cycling was set with initial denaturation at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes, followed by 6 cycles of 2 -step touchdown PCR ( $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 seconds, $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , decreasing $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per cycle). After that, additional 36 cycles of 2-step PCR ( $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 seconds, $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds) were run. Finally, PCR was completed with 2-min extension at $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The fluorescence intensity of PCR products incorporated into dual-labeled PEA primers was measured in CFX384TM Real-Time PCR machine at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For size separation of the PCR products, both PEA primers were labeled with IRDye® 700 fluorophore at $5^{\prime}$ end. The PCR products were diluted 30 times and sorted in an IR2 4300/4200 DNA Analyzer with denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA).

## Results

## Induction and recovery of meiotic homoeologous recombination

The disomic CS wheat-Ae. speltoides and CS wheat-Th. elongatum substitution lines DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ and $\mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ were verified by GISH (Figure 4.1). The verified DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ and DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ were used as the source materials of Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S and Th. elongatum chromosome 2 E in this study. These two alien chromosomes under group 2 were introduced into the CS wheat background without Phl (i.e. phlbphlb) as a double-monosomic condition, i.e. $2 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{~B}$ and $2 \mathrm{E}+2 \mathrm{~B}$, respectively. This was done by crossing and backcrossing each of these two substitution lines [DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ and $\mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ ] with CS phlb mutant (phlbphlb) to develop a $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ population segregating for Phl/phlb as well as chromosome $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ or $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ (Figure 4.2).


Figure 4.1. GISH patterns of mitotic chromosomes in (a) DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ and (b) DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$. Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S and Th. elongatum chromosome 2E were painted in yellow-green and wheat chromosomes in red. Arrows point to chromosome 2 S and 2E, respectively. Scale bar $=10$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$.


Figure 4.2. Scheme for hybridization procedure to produce meiotic homoeologous recombinants. CS: Chinese Spring; DS 2S(2B): CS wheat -Ae. speltoides disomic substitution line 2S(2B); DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ : CS-Th. elongatum disomic substitution line $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$.

Approximately $25 \%$ individuals in each of the $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ populations were expected to be homozygous for phlb and monosomic for $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ or $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ (i.e. phlbphlb+2B+2S or phlbphlb+2B+2E) if the gametes with different genotypes and chromosome constitutions had an equal transmission rate. A total of 74 individuals from the $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ population and 97 from the 2B/2E population were screened for the phlb homozygotes using the Phl-specific molecular marker PSR128 or PSR574 (Roberts et al., 1999). Thirty-nine individuals homozygous for phlb were selected from the $2 B / 2 S$ population and 43 from the $2 B / 2 E$ population. Meanwhile, we identified a co-dominant SSR marker (Xwmc474) specific for the 2B-2S homoeologous pair and another one ( Xg gm455) for 2B-2E. The selected $p h 1 b$ homozygotes were further screened for $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ double monosomics using these two chromosome-specific markers (i.e. Xwmc474 and Xgwm455). Nine 2B/2S and sixteen 2B/2E double monosomic individuals were
selected from the phlb homozygotes using Xwmc474 and Xgwm455, respectively, as illustrated in Figure 4.3. Segregation of the homoeologous pair 2B-2E fitted in a 1:1 segregation ratio, while 2B-2S did not. Overall, chromosome 2B had a higher transmission rate than chromosome 2 E and 2 S (Table 4.1). The 2B/2S and 2B/2E double monosomics were further confirmed by GISH of meiotic chromosomes. Meiotic homoeologous pairing was observed between chromosomes 2B and 2S and between 2B and 2E in the homozygous phlb background (Figure 4.4).


Figure 4.3. Selection of the double monosomics $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ by the SSR marker Xwmc474 (top) and the double monosomics 2B/2E by the SSR marker Xgwm455 (bottom). "M" refers to size marker and "*" indicates the double monosomic individuals selected.

Table 4.1. Segregation of $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ homoeologous pairs in the $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ populations

| Homoeologous <br> group | No. of $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ <br> plants screened | phlbphlb |  |  |  |  | $2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{~B}$ | $2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ or <br> $2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | $\chi 2(1: 1)$ | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 74 | 30 | 9 | 11.31 | 0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{E}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 97 | 27 | 16 | 2.81 | 0.093 |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 4.4. Fluorescent genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) patterns of meiotic chromosomes showing $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ homoeologous pairing (rod bivalent). (a) the $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ individual heterozygous for chromosomes $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ and homozygous for $p h 1 b$, and (b) the $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ individual heterozygous for chromosomes 2B/2E and homozygous for phlb. Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S and Th. elongatum chromosome 2E were painted in yellow-green and wheat chromosomes in red. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

## Preliminary screening and genotyping of recombinants

The plants identified as homozygous for phlb and monosomic for $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ or $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ were crossed with their respective substitution line DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ or $\mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ to recover the gametes that contained $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ or $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ recombinant chromosomes. From those crosses, two large $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ populations ( $\mathrm{n}>1,000$ ) was constructed to recover the recombinants for each of these two homoeologous pairs (Figure 4.2). As expected, each of these two populations contained two major classes of individuals according to their compositions for chromosome $2 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \mathrm{~S}$, and 2 E .

One class contained a $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ or $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ recombinant chromosome in addition to a complete chromosome 2 S or 2 E from the substitution line parent. The other class contained nonrecombinant chromosomes with three different chromosome combinations in each population, including $2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{~S}$, and $2 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ for the $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ population and $2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{E}$, and $2 \mathrm{E}+2 \mathrm{E}$
for the $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ population. We performed a preliminary screening of these two populations by GISH to select 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombinants for investigating molecular marker-assisted detection of homoeologous recombination.


Figure 4.5. Genotyping of the 2B-2S recombinant R3 (2SS-2BS•2BL) via wheat 90K SNP arrays. (A) co-dominant SNP (Kukri_c30847_344) mapped on chromosome 2BS (Wang et al., 2014); (B) GISH pattern of R3; (C) dominant SNP (BS00067828_51) mapped on chromosome 2BL (Wang et al., 2014). Clusters were generated by GenomeStudio v2011.1 software (Illumina, Inc.).

Homoeologous 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombinants were identified from these two recovery populations by GISH. Two 2B-2S recombinants (2SS-2BS•2BL) designated R1 and R3 and two 2B-2E recombinants designated R2 (2BS-2ES•2EL) and R4 (2ES-2BS•2BL) were used to investigate the utility of molecular markers in the detection of homoeologous recombinants in this study (Figure 4.5B, 4.6B and 4.6C). These four recombinants along with CS, DS 2B(2S), DS $2 \mathrm{~B}(2 \mathrm{E}), 2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ double monosoimcs $(2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{~S}), 2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ double monosoimcs $(2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{E})$, and several other recombinants were genotyped using wheat 90K iSelect SNP arrays. A total of 3,158 SNP loci were surveyed for polymorphisms among chromosomes 2B, 2S, and 2E. Both dominant and co-dominant SNPs were identified for the 2B-2S and 2B-2E homoeologous pairs as illustrated in Figure 4.5. Co-dominance was observed at the SNP locus Kukri_c30847_344 mapped to the distal end of 2BS on chromosome 2B and 2S (Figure 4.5A). Dominance was observed at the

SNP locus BS00067828_51 mapped to the distal end of 2BL on chromosome 2B and 2S with a null allele on 2SL (Figure 4.5C). As expected, we detected null alleles at some of the SNP loci on chromosome 2 S and 2 E because the 90 K SNP arrays were developed from the transcriptomes of modern wheat accessions.

## Development and validation of the STARP markers for recombinant screening

A subset of polymorphic SNPs ( $\mathrm{n}=8-10$ ) locating in the distal and centromeric regions of chromosome 2B, respectively, were selected to develop STARP markers for recombinant detection according to the wheat 90K SNP linkage map (Wang et al., 2014). A clear co-dominant SNP without homoeoallelic interference from chromosome 2A and 2D was directly converted to STARP marker. Many of the SNPs on chromosome 2B, however, were influenced by the homoeoalleles on 2A and/or 2D, leading to complicated clusters in the SNP assay. Under this circumstance, we performed comparative analysis of the DNA sequences flanking the selected SNP loci on chromosome 2B, 2A, 2D, 2S, and 2E to identify new nearby SNP loci that were polymorphic between 2 B and $2 \mathrm{~S} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ as well as between $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ and $2 \mathrm{~A} / 2 \mathrm{D}$. The contextual sequences of the selected SNPs in the critical regions of chromosome 2B were used as BLAST queries to search for extended genomic sequences flanking the SNP loci on 2B as well as their collinear regions on chromosome $2 \mathrm{~A}, 2 \mathrm{D}, 2 \mathrm{~S}$, and 2 E from the publicly available genome sequences of wheat, Ae. speltoides (https://urgi.versailles.inra.fr), and Th. Elongatum (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The SNPs locating in the telomeric and centromeric regions of chromosome 2B were converted to STARP markers to detect the recombinants involving these chromosomal regions (Figure 4.6A; Table 4.2).


Figure 4.6. Application of STARP markers on the detection of 2B-2S and 2B-2E homoeologous recombination. (A) Chromosome ideogram showing homoeologous recombination and locations of the STARP markers (top) and expected haplotypes of the chromosomes at the marker loci (bottom). Blank bars refer to chromosome 2B and its segments in the recombinants, and filled bars to chromosome 2 S or 2E and their segments in the recombinants. (B) Left: Genotypes of CS (lane 1), negative control ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) (lane 2), DS 2S(2B) (lane 3), DS 2E(2B) (lane 4), 2B/2S double monosomics (2B+2S) (lane 5), 2B/2E double monosomics (2B+2E) (lane 6), Recombinant R1 (2SS-2BS•2BL) (lane R1) at the three marker loci. Right: GISH patterns of recombinant chromosome R1 (2SS-2BS•2BL). (C) Left: Genotypes of CS (lane 1), DS 2E(2B) (lane 2), 2B/2E double monosomics (2B+2E) (lane 3); Recombinant R2 (2BS-2ES•2EL) (lane R1), Recombinant R3 (2ES-2BS•2BL) (lane R2), and negative control ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) (lane 4) at the three marker loci. Right: GISH patterns of the recombinant chromosomes R2 (2BS-2ES•2EL) and R3 (2ES-2BS•2BL). Segments of chromosome 2B were painted in red, while segments from chromosome 2 S and 2 E were painted in yellow-green.

Table 4.2. SNP-derived STARP markers developed in this study

|  | Marker designation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SNP } \\ & \text { alleles } \end{aligned}$ | SNP position (bp) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Allele-specific forward primers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Reverse primer | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band Size } \\ (\mathrm{bp})^{\mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Xwgc1600 | [C/A] | 2BS $(7,433,618)$ | [Tail2]- 5' CCAATTCAGACTGCCTATTTC 3' <br> [Tail1]- 5' CCAATTCAGACTGCCTCCTAA 3' | 5' CACAGGATGATCACCACCAAGA 3' | 96/100 |
|  | Xwgc1601 | [A/G] | 2BL (409,344,640) | [Tail1]- 5' ATACAACCCGTTCCCATTTA 3' [Tail2]- 5' ATACAACCCGTTCCCACCTG 3' | 5' TCTGATGCGGTCCAGTTAGTAAC 3' | 105/109 |
|  | Xwgc1602 | [T/G] | 2BL (769,307,648) | [Tail1]- 5' CTGTTCATGCAATTGATTTCT 3' [Tail2]- 5' CTGTTCATGCAATTGATCCCG 3' | 5' GCAGCCTCTACGAATTTTCTACA 3' | 82/78 |
|  | Xwgc1604 | [C/G] | 2BS(8,593,069) | [Tail1]- 5'TTCTCATCAGCGCCCAAC 3' <br> [Tail2]- 5' TTCTCATCAGCGCCACAG 3' | 5' TTACCGAGCTTGGGCATGC 3' | 95/99 |
| $\checkmark$ | Xwgc1605 | [A/T] | $2 \mathrm{BL}(410,063,189)$ | [Tail2]- 5' GGGACGTACACTTGATTCA 3' <br> [Tail1]- 5' GGGACGTACACTGGACCCT 3' | 5' ACCGCTGAACTGCTCCTCA 3' | 77/73 |
|  | Xwgc1603 | [ $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{T}$ ] | 2BL (794,886,435) | [Tail1]- 5'AGTGCGCCACCGACCTT 3' [Tail2]- 5'AATGCGCCACAGATATA 3' | 5' CCGTTGCAAATGGCCTGATT 3' | 67/71 |

[^0]Three STARP markers (Xwgc1600, Xwgc1601, and Xwgc1602) locating in the distal region of 2 BS , centromeric region on 2 BL , and distal region of 2 BL , respectively, have been developed following the procedure described above. These three markers were co-dominant between chromosome 2B and 2S, and clearly delineated the distal and centromeric regions of chromosome 2B, 2S and recombinant chromosome R1 (Table 4.2 and Figure 4.6B). GISH using Ae. speltoides genomic DNA as probe was performed on the recombinants. The GISH results were consistent with molecular marker data. However, these three markers were dominant between 2B and 2E, which makes them less useful to detect the 2B-2E recombinants. Thus, three more STARP markers (Xwgc1603, Xwgc1604, and Xwgc1605) co-dominant between 2B and 2E were developed (Table 4.2 and Figure 4.6C). Recombinant chromosomes R2 and R3 were used to validate these three STARP markers. Both recombinants showed diagnostic genotypes at these three marker loci, allowing for the detection of the homoeologous recombination between chromosome 2B and 2E (Figure 4.6C). Additional SNP-derived STARP markers tagging other chromosomal regions would improve the efficacy of the markers in the recombinant detection.

We also validated the newly-developed STARP markers by measuring fluorescence signals as described by Long et al. (2017). The parental and heterozygous genotypes were selected and their DNAs were used as templates. The PCR and signals detection were performed using CFX384 Touch ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Real-Time PCR System. Two of the six STARP markers, Xwgcl603 and Xwgc1605, worked well with parental and heterozygous genotypes plotted into three distinct groups (Figure 4.7). However, the other four STARP markers didn't show distinct clusters on the dot plot of fluorescence intensity. Thus, the bi-allelic discrimination by fluorescence signals is an effective approach for recombinant recovery only if diagnostic markers are available.


Figure 4.7. Validation of STARP markers (left: Xwgc1603; right: Xwgcl605) by measuring fluorescence signals. The "AA" and "TT" clusters represent the homozygous Th. elongatum 2E and CS 2B alleles, respectively; "TA" and "AT" clusters represent heterozygotes. RFU is relative fluorescence unit.

## GISH and STARP marker-mediated detection of meiotic homoeologous recombinants

A total of 859 individuals from the $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ population have been screened using the GISH and STARP marker-mediated approach. Of these individuals, 97 (11.3\%) were detected harboring reciprocal 2B-2S translocations attributed to homoeologous pairing and crossing over between chromosome 2B and 2S (Table 4.3). Five of them were identified to have two translocated chromosomes with small 2 S segments in the distal region (APPENDIX C). This might result from the double crossover of chromosome 2 S with chromosome 2 B and 2 A or 2 D involved in a trivalent or other multivalent pairing configuration. Two individuals ( $0.2 \%$ ) were found to contain telosomes. Meanwhile, the $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E} \mathrm{BC} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ population were screened for $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ homoeologous recombinants. Sixty 2B-2E recombinants (7.6\%) were recovered from the 788 $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ individuals in the population (Table 4.3 and APPENDIX C). Misdivision (i.e. transverse division of chromosomes) products were observed in 39 out of the $788 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ individuals screened (5.0\%), including 2.2\% telocentics and 2.8\% Robertsonian translocations (Table 4.3).

The meiotic recombination frequency of the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ homoeologous pair was much higher than that of 2B-2E, suggesting higher homology of Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S with wheat chromosome 2B than Th. elongatum chromosome 2E with 2B.

Table 4.3. Chromosome constitutions of the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E} \mathrm{BC} 2 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ individuals

| $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ <br> populations | No. plants screened | Double monosomics (i.e. $2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ or $2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{E}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Homozygotes } \\ & \text { for } 2 \mathrm{~S} \text { or } 2 \mathrm{E} \\ & \text { (i.e. } 2 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{~S} \text { or } \\ & 2 \mathrm{E}+2 \mathrm{E}) \end{aligned}$ | Crossoverderived translocations | Misdivision products |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Telocentrics | Robertsonian translocations |
| 2B-2S | 859 | 458 | 302 | 97 | 2 |  |
|  |  | (53.3\%) | (35.2\%) | (11.3\%) | (0.2\%) |  |
| 2B-2E | 788 | 471 | 218 | 60 | 17 | 22 |
|  |  | (59.8\%) | (27.7\%) | (7.6\%) | (2.2\%) | (2.8\%) |

Th. elongatum genomic DNA-probed GISH was performed on the PMCs at anaphase I/telophase I from the double monosomic $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ plants under the homozygous phlb background to investigate misdivision of chromosomes 2B and 2E (Figure 4.8A). The normal segregation of chromosome 2B and 2E was observed at a frequency of $50.9 \%$ during the first meiotic division, including $5.2 \%$ segregation with visible exchange of chromosome 2E and 2B segments (Figure 4.8B). Meiotic abnormality attributed to univalents was observed at a frequency of $49.1 \%$, including sister chromatid division of chromosome 2B and/or 2E at anaphase I/telophase I. Centromere misdivision was detected with chromosome 2E in $5.2 \%$ of the PMCs analyzed (Figure 4.8B). Similar centromere misdivision should occur with chromosome 2B in the double monosomics individuals because of the formation of the 2B-2E Robertsonian translocations in addition to the telosomes of chromosome 2 E in the progeny of the $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ individuals (Table 4.3). Also, we found that the frequency of telosomes and Robertsonian translocations (5.0\%) in the progenies derived from the double-monosomic $2 \mathrm{E} / 2 \mathrm{~B}$ plants is similar to the frequency of the centromere misdivision (5.2\%) observed in the PMCs at anaphase I/telophase I.
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Figure 4.8. Ana-/telophase I segregation of Th. elongatum chromosome 2E and CS 2B in double monosomic $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ plants under phlb background. (A) Meiotic segregation patterns of $T h$. elongatum chromosome 2E and CS 2B detected by GISH using Th. elongatum genomic DNA as probe. Chromosome 2E was detected by green fluorescence and wheat chromosomes were counterstained with PI. The white arrows indicated chromosome 2B or 2E. (a) Ana-telophases I showed 2E and 2B undergoing normal chromosome segregation; (b) Chromatid segregation of 2B; (c) Misdivision of 2E and chromatid segregation of 2B; (d) 2E and 2B underwent normal segregation after exchange of chromosome segments; (e) Chromatid segregation of 2E; (f) Chromatid segregation of both 2B and 2E. (B) Frequencies of chromosome 2E (green) and 2B (red) underwent normal and abnormal segregation at ana-/telophase I stage.

## Development of the homozygous recombinant lines

The original recombinant lines normally contained a $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ or 2B-2E recombinant chromosome or a telocentic 2 S or 2E, a complete chromosome 2 S or 2 E (from the substitution line parent), and 20 pairs of wheat chromosomes. They were self-pollinated to produce the populations segregating for Phl/phlb and for 2B-2S recombinant/2S chromosome or 2B-2E recombinant/2E chromosome (Figure 4.9A). The segregants homozygous for the recombinant chromosome, but without the phlb deletion were expected to be selected from the segregation populations using molecular markers. The segregating populations were screened first for recombinant homozygotes using the co-dominant STARP markers diagnostic for the recombination (Figure 4.9B and 4.9C). The homozygous recombinants detected by the STARP markers were verified and physically delineated by GISH (Figure 4.9D and 4.9E). Six to thirtytwo individuals from each of the self-pollinated populations $\left(\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right)$ were analyzed to select homozygous recombinant lines. To date, 1-5 homozygous lines have been obtained for each of the 73 2B-2S and 71 2B-2E recombinants using STARP markers and GISH (APPENDIX D). Meanwhile, we experienced difficulties to obtain homozygous lines in the $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ populations for some of the original recombinants due probably to the autosyndetic recombination involving the original recombinant chromosome and other wheat chromosomes. Also, we recovered new meiotic recombination events involving the original recombinant chromosome in the $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ populations, leading to new homoeologous recombinants (APPENDIX D).


Figure 4.9. Selection of homozygous recombinants by using STARP markers and GISH. A) Chromosome ideogram showing chromosome constitution of segregants from self-pollinated recombinant lines. Blank bars refer to chromatin segments from 2B, filled bars refer to chromosome 2 S or 2E and their segments in the recombinants. (B) Partial gel images showing the three STARP markers on homozygous 2B-2S recombinants screening. The red triangles indicate homozygous lines from the progenies of recombinant R5 (2SS•2SL-2BL). (B) Partial gel images showing STARP markers on homozygous 2B-2E recombinants screening. The red triangles indicate homozygous lines from the progenies of recombinant R6 (2ES•2BL). (D) and (E) showing the GISH image of homozygous recombinant lines (2SS•2SL-2BL) and (2ES•2BL) identified form (B) and (C), respectively. Chromosomes and segments from wheat CS were painted in red, while segments from chromosome 2 S and 2 E were painted in yellow-green. Scale bar $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

A total of 112 2B-2S recombinants, one 2SL•2SL isochromosome, and six 2S telosomes were recovered from (Figure 4.10). Sixty-five 2B-2E recombinants, 22 Robertsonian translocations, and 19 telosomes were recovered from the $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ and the $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ populations (Figure 4.11). The Phl-specific molecular markers PSR128 or PSR574 have been used to check the presence of Phl and to eliminate the phlb deletion from the homozygotes selected above
(APPENDIX D). Additional generations are generally needed to obtain homozygous recombinant lines without the phlb deletion because the Phl-specific molecular markers cannot differentiate individuals homozygous for Phl (i.e. PhlPhl) from hemizygotes (i.e. Phlphlb).


Figure 4.10. GISH-painted 2B-2S recombinant chromosomes, isochromosome, and telosomes in ZW14-203-3 (A1); ZW14-205-7-1 (A2); ZW14-155-2-1 (A3); XWC14-036-40 (A4); XWC14-039-24 (A5); ZW14-117-15 (A6); ZW14-503-2 (A7); ZW14-194-7 (A8); ZW14-510-7 (A9); ZW14-505-1 (A10); ZW14-507-5 (A11); ZW14-188-4-2 (A12); ZW14-167-1-2 (A13); ZW14-173-7-2 (A14); ZW14-010-2 (B1); ZW14-171-4-2 (B2); ZW14-137-7-1 (B3); XWC14-034-40 (B4); ZW14-108-6-2 (B5); ZW14-139-7-1 (B6); ZW14-174-2-2 (B7); ZW14-502-2 (B8); ZW14-095-6 (B9); ZW14-504-5 (B10); ZW14-506-1 (B11); ZW14-184-6 (B12); ZW14-154-3-2 (B13); ZW14-008-5 (B14); ZW14-181-3 (C1); ZW14-166-3-2 (C2); ZW14-197-6 (C3); ZW14-207-2-1 (C4); ZW14-180-5-1 (C5); ZW14-207-2-1 (C6); ZW14-511-7 (C7); ZW14-527-3 (C8); ZW14-525-5 (C9); ZW14-127-8-1 (C10); ZW14-515-8 (C11); ZW14-180-2-1 (C12); ZW14-186-1-2 (C13); ZW14-071-2 (C14); ZW14-007-7 (D1); ZW14-093-8-1 (D2); ZW14-115-7-2 (D3); ZW14-008-5 (D4); XWC14-034-40 (D5); ZW14-142-4-2 (D6); ZW14-141-8-2 (D7); ZW14-149-1-2 (D8); ZW14-134-3-1 (D9); ZW14-519-2 (D10); ZW14-520 (D11); ZW14-00115 (D12); ZW14-116-5 (D13); ZW14-527-3 (D14); ZW14-512-1 (E1); ZW14-169-5-2 (E2); ZW14-513-6 (E3); ZW14-526-1 (E4); ZW14-162-4 (E5); ZW14-127-8-2 (E6); ZW14-074-2 (E7); ZW14-014-2 (E8); ZW14-117-15 (E9); ZW14-128-1 (E10); ZW14-194-7 (E11); ZW14-121-8 (E12); ZW14-118-1 (E13); XWC14-034-27 (E14); XWC14-039-61 (F1); ZW14-528-5 (F2); ZW14-009-3 (F3); ZW14-077-8-2 (F4); ZW14-527-3 (F5); ZW14-171-4-2 (F6); ZW14084 (F7); ZW14-201-2 (F8); ZW14-002-1 (F9); ZW14-508-4 (F10); ZW14-527-3 (F11); ZW14-522-4 (F12); ZW14-077-8-1 (F13); ZW14-518-8 (F14); ZW14-111-3-2 (G1); ZW14-521-3 (G2); ZW14-005-8 (G3); ZW14-147-4-1 (G4); ZW14-162-4-2 (G5); ZW14-501-1 (G6); ZW14-523-7 (G7); ZW14-004-1 (G8); ZW14-185-2 (G9); ZW14-011-1 (G10); ZW14-073-1 (G11); ZW14-517-6 (G12); ZW14-512-2 (G13); ZW14-513-6 (G14); ZW14-135-6-2 (H1); ZW14-514-6 (H2); XWC14-034-45 (H3); ZW14-519-3 (H4); ZW14-100-1 (H5); XWC14-039-27 (H6); XWC14-035-20 (H7); ZW14-070-1 (H8); ZW14-013-4 (H9); ZW14-511-7 (H10); ZW14-516-4 (H11); ZW14-524-4 (H12); XWC14-035-17 (H13); XWC14-035-31 (H14); ZW14-175-7-1 (I1); ZW14-089-7-1 (I2); ZW14-106 (I3); ZW14-205-7-1 (I4); ZW14-084-8-2 (I5); ZW14-206 (I6); ZW14-089-7-1 (I7). The segments of chromosome 2B were painted in red and segments of chromosome 2 S in yellow-green.


Figure 4.11. GISH-painted 2B-2E recombinant chromosomes, Robertsonian translocations, and telosomes in ZW14-410-2 (A1); ZW14-412-4 (A2); ZW14-404-7 (A3); ZW14-280-5 (A4); ZW14-229-2 (A5); ZW14-293-4 (A6); ZW14-407-4 (A7); ZW14-395-4 (A8); ZW14-334-4 (A9); ZW14-399-5 (A10); ZW14-357-8 (A11); ZW14-297-2 (A12); ZW14-328-8 (A13); ZW14-313-8 (A14); ZW14-390-2 (B1); ZW14-392-6 (B2); ZW14-396-7 (B3); ZW14-311-8 (B4); ZW14-401-4 (B5); ZW14-347-4 (B6); ZW14-310-4 (B7); ZW14-406-4 (B8); ZW14-300-6 (B9); ZW14-266-8 (B10); XWC14-131-33 (B11); XWC14-130-3 (B12); ZW14-245-1 (B13); XWC14-131-4 (B14); XWC14-131-2 (C1); ZW14-221 (C2); ZW14-222 (C3); ZW14-224 (C4); ZW14-225 (C5); ZW14-226 (C6); ZW14-227 (C7); ZW14-228 (C8); ZW14-229 (C9); ZW14230 (C10); ZW14-231 (C11); ZW14-232 (C12); ZW14-233 (C13); ZW14-234-3 (C14); ZW14235 (D1); ZW14-237 (D2); ZW14-238 (D3); ZW14-239 (D4); ZW14-240 (D5); ZW14-323-1 (D6); ZW14-380 (D7); ZW14-408-4 (D8); ZW14-403-6 (D9); ZW14-391-7 (D10); XWC14-143-59 (D11); ZW14-398-5 (D12); XWC14-132-15 (D13); ZW14-306-2 (D14); ZW14-258-7 (E1); XWC14-132-3 (E2); ZW14-409-6 (E3); XWC14-715-2 (E4); ZW14-402-6 (E5); ZW14-261-4 (E6); ZW14-267-1 (E7); ZW14-257-6 (E8); ZW14-306-2 (E9); ZW14-398-4 (E10); ZW14-270-3 (E11); ZW14-289-3 (E12); ZW14-405-7 (E13); ZW14-394-4 (E14); ZW14-393-1 (F1); ZW14-320-6 (F2); ZW14-253-8 (F3); ZW14-273-6 (F4); ZW14-382-5 (F5); ZW14-243-7 (F6); ZW14-299-5 (F7); ZW14-335-2 (F8); ZW14-272-2 (F9); ZW14-343-2 (F10); ZW14-246-4 (F11); ZW14-308-2 (F12); ZW14-315-1 (F13); ZW14-269-7 (F14); ZW14-214 (G1); ZW14-209 (G2); ZW14-210 (G3); ZW14-211 (G4); ZW14-213 (G5); ZW14-216 (G6); ZW14-218 (G7); ZW14-255-1 (G8); ZW14-301-5 (G9); ZW14-208 (G10); ZW14-212 (G11); ZW14-215 (G12); ZW14-217 (G13); ZW14-242-2 (G14); ZW14-328-4 (H1); ZW14-331 (H2); ZW14-338 (H3); ZW14-381 (H4); ZW14-349 (H5). The segments of chromosome 2B were painted in red and segments of chromosome 2 E in yellow-green.

We measured the length of alien segments and detected the breakpoints for all the 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombinant chromosomes that resulted from meiotic homoeologous recombination (APPENDIX E). The telosomes, Robertsonian translocations, and crossover-derived recombinants with too small alien segments to be detected by GISH were not included in the measurement. The size of the alien segment in the recombinant chromosome was calculated as the percentage of the alien segment length over the total length of the recombinant chromosome. The relative size of the 2 S segments in the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ recombinant chromosomes ranged from 3.8\% to $95.3 \%$, while the 2 E segments ranged from $5.7 \%$ to $97.8 \%$ among the measured cells
(APPENDIX E). Apparently, the recombination breakpoints distributed mostly within the 55\% -
$\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ interval on the distal regions of both chromosomal arms (Figure 4.12). The overall recombination events seemed to occur equally on each chromosome arm without significant difference. The meiotic recombination hot regions for the 2B-2S homoeolgous pair were similar to those of the 2B-2E homoeologous pair even though the wheat B genome has a closer evolutionary relationship with Ae. speltoides S genome than with the Th. elongatum E genome.


Figure 4.12. Distribution of recombination breakpoints in the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ recombinant chromosomes. Each interval on the horizontal axis represents $5 \%$ of the physical length on the short and long arm of chromosome 2 B . The red triangles indicate the centromeric region of the recombinant chromosomes.

## Discussion

Meiotic homoeologous recombination-mediated reciprocal exchange of segments are almost limited to the telomeric and subtelomeric regions of chromosomes at a relatively low frequency in wheat. Accordingly, a large homoeologous recombination population is needed to recover the recombinants of interest for gene introgression from wild species into wheat. Screening such a large population for homoeologous recombinants is laborious using conventional or even modern cytological techniques, such as GISH (Friebe et al., 1991; Lukaszewski et al., 2005; Qi et al., 2007; Wulff and Moscou, 2014). Recent advances in wheat genomics, especially high-throughput genotyping technologies, have provided new opportunities to improve the efficacy of homoeologous recombination detection in alien gene introgression. In this study, we obtained the genotype data at 3,158 SNP loci mapped to wheat chromosome 2B that were polymorphic among wheat chromosome 2B, Ae. speltoides chromosome 2S, and Th. elongatum chromosome 2E, using the wheat 90K SNP arrays. These genotype data along with the SNP consensus map of wheat chromosome 2B (Wang et al., 2014) provided a useful genomic framework to the development of chromosomal region-specific molecular markers for the detection of meiotic recombination involving these three homoeologous chromosomes.

The multiplexed chip-based SNP assay is high-throughput, but may not be cost-effective and user-friendly for the research project involving small numbers of SNPs and a short data turnaround time (Myakishev et al., 2001; Semagn et al., 2014). For instance, in this study we needed the genotypic data of the SNPs relevant to only $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ homoeologous pairs for the detection of the meiotic recombination events involving these three homoeologous chromosomes, but not the remaining SNPs on the 90 K array. Thus, we developed the uniplex PCR-based STARP markers from the SNPs locating in the critical chromosomal regions to
detect 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombinants. The uniplex STARP markers are more cost-effective and flexible for genotyping small numbers of SNPs than the multiplexed chip-based SNP assay. Also, the STARP marker technology generally has lower error rates and shorter turnaround time than the multiplexed chip-based SNP assay (Semagn et al., 2014, Long et al., 2017). Evidently, the uniplex STARP marker system in combination with high-throughput multiplexed chip-based SNP assay provides an effective approach to detect homoeologous recombinants from large recombination populations.

Bread wheat is an allohexaploid with three homoeologous subgenomes (A, B, and D). Generally, there are three homoeoalleles at a majority of the molecular marker loci in wheat. Homoeoalleles in the polyploid genome of wheat often complicate marker analysis especially for the SNP assay. This has limited the application of the fluorescence-based bi-allelic discrimination technique in the allopolyploid genome (Myakishev et al., 2001; Syvänen, 2001; Semagn et al., 2014; Klindworth et al., 2017; Long et al., 2017). In this study, we designed six STARP markers locating in the distal ends and centromeric region of chromosome 2B to detect the 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombination. Only two of them worked well on the platform of bi-allelic discrimination by fluorescence signals. But, all of the six STARP markers were diagnostic for the three homoeologous chromosomes in the size-based DNA analysis system (e.g. LI-COR DNA Analyzer). Thus, the length polymorphism-based DNA analyzer was used as the primary technique for the STARP marker analysis in this study. Additional STARP markers can be developed from the SNPs spanning other chromosomal regions for the detection of the homoeologous recombination potentially along the entire chromosomes. This procedure extends the application of SNPs in marker-assisted selection and improves the efficacy of SNPs in the
detection of meiotic homoeologous recombination for alien gene introgression in the polyploid genome of wheat.

The wheat 90K SNP arrays were developed primarily from the transcriptomes of modern wheat accessions. Wild relatives of wheat, such as Ae. speltoides and Th. elongatum, were not included in the wheat SNP discovery process (Wang et al., 2014). As a result, Ae. speltoides chromosome 2S and Th. elongatum chromosome 2E often exhibited null alleles at some of the wheat chromosome 2B-derived SNP loci. Those dominant SNPs cannot be used to detect the alien segments tagged by the null alleles under the heterozygous condition. To overcome this problem associated with those dominant SNPs, we recovered the homoeologous recombinant gametes by backcrossing the individuals that underwent meiotic $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ recombination to the disomic substitution lines DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ and $\operatorname{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$, respectively. This allowed the use of dominant markers to detect the null allele present on the alien segment of the recombinant along with the respective alien chromosome (i.e. 2 S or 2 E ). In addition, the alien chromosomes 2S and 2E introduced into the recombinant recovery populations served as internal controls for GISH analysis of the recombinants especially for those with small alien segments. Therefore, this backcross strategy makes the dominant markers with null alleles on the alien segments usable in the detection of homoeologous recombination and facilitates GISH analysis of the recombinants.

Generally, the phlb mutant can induce allosyndetic pairing/recombination between wheat chromosomes and their alien homoeologues as well as autosyndetic pairing/recombination between wheat homoeologues from the A, B, and D subgenomes (Cai and Jones, 1997). We observed multivalents involving multiple wheat chromosomes in addition to $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ or $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ bivalents under the homozygous $p h l b$ condition (data not shown). Thus, the phlb mutant-
generated gametes with an allosyndetic recombinant may contain one or more autosyndetic recombinants. These gametes are generally less competitive in pollination and fertilization than those without homoeologous recombinants, especially in the male parent. As a result, this may limit the recovery of allosyndetic recombinants, especially by self-pollination of the individuals undergoing allosyndetic pairing/recombination. In this study, we recovered the recombinant gametes by pollinating the individuals undergoing 2B-2S and 2B-2E homoeologous pairing/recombination with the disomic substitution lines DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ and $\operatorname{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$, respectively. These two disomic substitution lines went through normal meiosis and had a normal seed set similar to the CS wheat parent. In a preliminary study, we observed a higher homoeologous recombinant frequency in the backcross progeny than self-pollinated progeny. Similarly, a high recovery rate of meiotic homoeologous recombinants was obtained from the backcross progeny in an alien introgression study by Niu et al. (2011). Thus, the backcross scheme with the disomic substitution lines can enhance the recovery of homoeologous recombinants in addition to facilitating the detection of homoeologous recombinants.

Chromosome pairing also known as synapsis is a prerequisite for crossover and recombination during prophase I and segregation of paired chromosomes during anaphase I of meiosis. The frequency of compensating wheat-alien recombinants in the progeny of the double monosomic plants depends on the targeted chromosomes and genetic background. Although the Ae. speltoides S genome has been considered to a putative donor of the wheat B , meiotic recombination rarely occurs between the homeologous chromosomes of Ae. speltoides and wheat. Thus, most of the Ae. speltoides-wheat chromosome recombinants were produced by phlb-induced homeologous recombination (Friebe et al., 1996). In this study, a recombinant frequency of $11.3 \%$ and $7.6 \%$ were observed for the homoeologus pair $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$,
respectively, in the progeny of the $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ or $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ double monosomic plants under phlbph $1 b$ background. The higher homoeologous recombinant frequency of chromosome 2 B with 2 S than 2E suggests a closer evolutionary relationship of the wheat B genome with the Ae. speltoides S genome than the Th. elongatum E genome. However, the 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombination events occurred mostly at the similar hot spot in the distal regions of each chromosomal arm.

The occurrence of univalents is probably the most common meiotic event for the monosomic chromosomes. The univalent laggards at meiosis have a tendency to misdivide at the centromere in a transverse instead of lengthwise manner, which gives rise to telocentric and isochromosomes. Chromosome 2E appeared as a univalent in $88.5 \%$ of the PMCs in the $2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{E}$ double monosomic individuals (see chapter 3). About $5.0 \%$ of the univalents underwent centric misdivision at anaphase/telophase I. This probably explain why a high frequency of telosomes and Robertsonian translocations were obtained with Th. elongatum chromosome 2E in this study.

Centric misdivision followed by the fusion of the divided arms from different chromosomes could results in whole-arm Robertsonian translocations (Friebe et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2011). DNA sequence homology between non-homologous centromeres may provide the structural basis for meiotic chromosome pairing and recombination that also could result in the formation of Robertsonian translocations (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2002; Berend et al., 2003). In this study, a total of 22 compensating Robertsonian translocations lines were identified in the 788 progenies of the double monosomic 2B/2E plants. Meanwhile, we observed a relatively high frequency of centric misdivision of chromosome 2E under the monosomic condition. Most likely, the 2B-2E Robertsonian translocations recovered from the double monosomic population were produced through the misdivision and fusion mechanism. In addition, we found that fused all the 2B-2E Robertsonian translocations exclusively contained the short arm of Th. elongatum
chromosome 2 E and the long arm of wheat chromosome 2 B . This result suggests that the chromosomal arm 2ES might genetically compensate better for 2BS than 2EL for 2BL.

In summary, we developed an effective DNA marker-assisted approach of inducing and detecting meiotic homoeologous recombination in the polyploid genome of wheat using the genomics technologies and resources currently available in wheat. This new approach will facilitate large-scale alien gene introgression for wheat improvement and ultimately increase the genetic gain of wheat production. Our preliminary data showed that chromosome 2 S in the DS 2S(2B) line contains genes for resistance to stem rust, tan spot, and Stagonospora nodorum blotch (SNB) diseases, while chromosome 2E in the DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ line contains genes for tolerance to waterlogging (Taeb et al., 1993). Homozygous recombinants without the phlb deletion have been evaluated for resistance/tolerance to these biotic and abiotic stresses as well as other agronomically important traits. The recombinant lines that contain the genes of interest, but not obvious linkage drag will be released as germplasm for variety development in wheat breeding.
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# CHAPTER 5. MOLECULAR, CYTOGENETIC, AND PHENOTYPIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THE WHEAT-ALIEN INTROGRESSION LINES 


#### Abstract

The narrow genetic base of modern wheat $(2 n=6 x=42$, genome AABBDD) makes it vulnerable to climate change, diseases, and insects. Meiotic homoeologous recombination has been employed to transfer genes from wild relatives to wheat. Aegilops speltoides ( $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome SS) and Thinopyrum elongatum ( $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome EE), two diploid wild relatives of wheat, contain the genes for resistance/tolerance to the multiple biotic and abiotic stresses that threaten wheat production. However, the progress of alien gene introgression in wheat has been limited due to the lack of the high-throughput technology and genomics resources/tools for the production of meiotic homoeologous recombinants. In this study, we genotyped 83 Chinese Spring (CS) wheat -Ae. speltoides 2B-2S and 67 CS- Th. elongatum 2B-2E recombinant lines using wheat 90K SNP arrays. Meanwhile, we partitioned chromosome 2B, 2S, and 2E into 93, 66, and 46 bins, respectively, based on the SNP genotyping and GISH results of the recombinants. Consequently, a composite bin map was developed for chromosome 2B and its homoeologous 2 S and 2 E . In addition, a gene for resistance to stem rust and a gene for nontoxinassociated resistance to both tan spot and SNB were identified on the Ae. speltoides chromosome 2S. Both disease resistance genes were incorporated into the wheat genome and physically mapped to the distal region on the short arm of chromosome 2 S using the 2B-2S recombinant lines. Also, we identified a small chromosomal interval that contains the gene for stunted growth within the subtelomeric region of chromosome 2 S . The $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ recombinants that contain the disease resistance genes, but not the gene for stunted growth were developed. They will be released for variety development in wheat breeding. The 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombinants and


associated information will be extremely useful for further engineering of these two pairs of homoeologous chromosomes and chromosome mapping.

## Introduction

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L., $2 \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{x}=42$, genome AABBDD ) is one of the most widely cultivated and consumed food crops in the world and provides about $20 \%$ of the calories consumed by humans. However, the narrow genetic base makes wheat vulnerable to various environmental and biological threats. One of the feasible approachs to increase the genetic diversity of wheat is to incorporate genes from wild relatives into wheat by chromosome engineering (Friebe et al., 1996; Qi et al., 2007; Rey et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Ae. speltoides $(2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome SS$)$ and Th. elongatum ( $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=14$, genome EE), two wheatrelated diploid wild species, contain favorable genes wheat does not have and have been considered as important sources of new genes for wheat improvement.

A large set of common wheat- Ae. speltoides cytogenetic materials, including amphiploids, disomic addition and substitution lines, and translocation lines, have been developed (Friebe et al., 2000 and 2011; Liu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Many of them exhibited agronomically useful traits, such as resistance to leaf rust (Dvorak, 1977; Dvorak and Knott, 1980; Dubcovsky et al., 1998; Kerber and Dyck, 1990), stem rust (Faris et al., 2008; McIntosh et al., 2008; Marais et al., 2010; Klindworth et al., 2012), greenbug (Dubcovsky et al., 1998), and powdery mildew (Miller et al.,1987; Hsam et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2017).

Th. elongatum has been found to contain desirable genes for many important traits, including stripe rust resistance gene on chromosome 3E (Ma et al., 2000), FHB resistance genes on chromosome 1E and 7E (Oliver et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2012), and the genes for tolerance to waterlogging on chromosomes 2E and 4E (Taeb et al., 1993) and tolerance to salt on
chromosome3E, 4E, and 7E (Dvorak et al., 1988). The wheat-Th. elongatum addition, substitution, and translocation lines generally exhibit Th. elongatum-derived traits, demonstrating normal expression of the Th. elongatum genes under wheat background (Ma et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2012)

Stem rust, caused by the fungal species Puccinia graminis Pers.:Pers. f. sp. tritici Eriks. \& E. Henn., is a destructive disease for wheat. Host resistance is classified as either race-specific or non-race specific. The mechanism of race-specific resistance to stem rust strictly follows the gene-for-gene hypothesis where the recognition of avirulence gene product by a host resistance gene results in an incompatible interaction (Flor, 1956 and 1971; Singh et al., 2011). As with the avirulence genes in the pathogen, most of the host resistance genes to stem rust are dominant (Singh et al., 2011). To date, about 65 stem rust resistance genes have been identified, and approximately 20 of them are derived from wild relatives of wheat. They have been transferred into common wheat from the wild relatives by developing wheat-alien species chromosome translocations through chromosome engineering (Friebe et al., 1996; Gill et al., 2011; Zhang, 2013; Yu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015).

Tan spot and Stagonospora nodorum blotch (SNB), caused by Pyrenophora triticirepentis (anamorph: Drechslera tritici-repentis) and Parastagonospora nodorum (synonym Stagonospora nodorum, teleomorph: Phaeosphaeria nodorum), respectively, are two economically significant diseases of wheat in the northern Great Plains of the United States (De Wolf et al., 1998; Singh et al., 2006; McMullen and Adhikari 2009; Liu et al., 2015). These two diseases are major components of the leaf spotting complex and often occur simultaneously (McMullen and Adhikari, 2009). The typical symptoms of tan spot are large, tan-colored lesions often surrounded by chlorotic haloes in susceptible genotypes, or large areas of dead leaf tissue
in highly susceptible genotypes (Faris et al., 2013). Typical SNB disease symptoms include lensshaped necrotic and chlorotic lesions on susceptible genotypes very similar to that of tan spot. Both pathogens are known to produce necrotrophic effectors (NEs) and undergo an inverse gene-for-gene model (Liu et al., 2004, 2015). The recognition of a specific NE by the corresponding host gene results in compatible interaction, which leads to susceptibility, whereas the lack of NE recognition by the host results in an incompatible interaction and leads to resistance (Friesen et al., 2006; Faris et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015).

Three NEs have been identified from $P$. tritici-repentis comprising Ptr ToxA, Ptr ToxB, and Ptr ToxC. Each NEs interacts with its corresponding host gene Tsn1, Tsc2, and Tsc1, which reside on wheat chromosome arms 5BL, 2BS, and 1AS, respectively (Faris et al., 1996; Effertz et al., 2001; Friesen and Faris, 2004; Abeysekara et al., 2010). To date, nine host-effector interactions have been identified in the wheat $-P$. nodorum system, including Tsn1-SnToxA (Friesen et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2006; Faris et al., 2010), Snn1-SnTox1 (Liu et al., 2004a; Reddy et al., 2008), Snn2-SnTox2 (Friesen et al., 2007), Snn3-B1-SnTox3 (Friesen et al., 2008b), Snn3-D1-SnTox3 (Zhang et al., 2011), Snn4-SnTox4 (Abeysekara et al., 2009), Snn5-SnTox5 (Friesen et al., 2012), Snn6-SnTox6 (Gao et al., 2015), and Snn7-SnTox7 (Shi et al., 2015). Among them, only two host genes, Tsn1 corresponding to P. tritici-repentis SnToxA and Snn1 corresponding to $P$. nodorum SnTox 1, have been cloned so far (Faris et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2016).

Screening for resistance to tan spot and SNB has been conducted in various wheat germplasm, including synthetic hexaploid wheat (Xu et al., 2004, Friesen et al., 2008a), tetraploid wheat (Chu et al., 2008a, b), hard red spring wheat (Singh et al., 2006; Mergoum et al., 2007), hard red winter wheat (Liu et al., 2015), and wheat-wild grass derivatives (Alam andGustapson, 1988; Oliver et al., 2008). In addition to the susceptibility genes, other qualitative
genes and QTL conferring race non-specific resistance to tan spot and SNB were reported in various wheat lines, which are different from those of the three NE sensitivity loci (Xu et al., 2004; Faris and Friesen, 2005; Friesen et al., 2008; Faris et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2013; Kollers et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2004, 2015; Kariyawasam et al., 2016). This has led to the identification of new sources of resistance to the diseases. Also, these studies indicate the wheat- $P$. triticirepentis and wheat- $P$. nodorum systems are much more complex than previously thought.

The transfer of the resistance genes from wild relatives to modern wheat is often accompanied by unacceptable agronomic traits due to deleterious genes present in the alien chromosome segment referred to as linkage drag. Homoeologous recombination has been employed to minimize linkage drag by reducing the size of the alien chromosome segment transferred to the wheat genome. Small compensating recombinants with well-delineated molecular and cytological characteristics are invaluable germplasm for wheat breeding (Friebe et al., 1996; Gill et al., 2011; Niu et al., 2011). In this study, we genotyped the CS-Ae. speltoides 2B/2S and CS-Th. elongatum 2B/2E recombinant lines (developed in Chapter 4) using the wheat 90K SNP arrays, and characterized the recombination breakpoints for each line using molecular markers and GISH. In addition, we evaluated a set of the 2B-2S recombinant lines for reactions to stem rust, $P$. nodorum, and $P$. tritici-repentis to discover novel resistance genes to these pathogens. Also, we detected and physically mapped a deleterious gene for stunted growth on chromosome 2 S. All this work will facilitate further engineering of the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ homoeologous pairs for gene introgression and genome studies in wheat and its relatives.

## Materials and methods

## Plant materials

The common wheat landrace 'Chinese Spring' (CS), CS-Ae. speltoides disomic substitution line 2S(2B) [DS 2S(2B)], CS-Th. elongatum disomic substitution line 2E(2B) [DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})]$, CS-Th. elongatum disomic substitution line $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ [DS 2E(2A)], CS nullisomic 2 B tetrasomic 2D (N2BT2D), CS nullisomic 2D tetrasomic 2A (N2DT2A), as well as 82 2B-2S and 67 2B-2E recombinant lines were genotyped using wheat 90 K SNP arrays. A composite bin map was constructed based on the SNP genotyping data and GISH patterns of the recombinants. Seventeen of the 2B-2S recombinants and their parental lines CS and DS $2 S(2 B)$ were evaluated for reaction to stem rust. The wheat-Ae. speltoides 2B-2S translocation line 'RL6082' (Niu et al., 2011) was used as a check for stem rust evaluation. Twenty of the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ recombinants as well as their parental lines CS and DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ were evaluated for reaction to $P$. tritici-repentis isolate Asc1 and the $P$. nodorum isolate Sn4. DS 2E(2B), DS 2E(2A), N2BT2D, and N2DT2A were used as controls in the disease evaluation experiment. The wheat accessions 'Br34', 'Salamouni', 'Glenlea', and '6B365' were included as positive check for tan spot and SNB evaluation. A total of 90 2B-2S recombinants and their parental lines were evaluated for morphological characteristics in the greenhouse. All the evaluation experiments were performed with three completely randomized replications.

## SNP marker analysis

The selected lines were genotyped with wheat 90K SNP arrays using the Infinium Assay developed by Illumina (Wang et al., 2014). The raw SNP data set was filtered using several criteria (Figure 5.1 A ). The contig sequences flanking the SNPs were aligned to the the IWGSC Reference Sequence v1.0 assembly of chromosome 2B (RefSeq v1.0) using both Splign and

Position-Specific Iterated BLAST (PSI-BLAST) programs (Altschul et al., 1997; Kapustin et al., 2008; https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/). The filtered data set with 1,038 SNPs on chromosome 2B was used for composite bin map construction.

## Stem rust resistance evaluation

All selected lines at seedling stage were evaluated in the greenhouse for stem rust resistance against two races including TMLKC and TPMKC as described by Zhang (2013). The infection types (ITs) of the primary leaf on the seedlings were scored at 12-14 days after inoculation using the scoring system developed by Stakman et al. (1962). In this rating system, IT of 0 to 2 were considered resistant, whereas IT of 3 and 4 were considered susceptible. The sympols "-" and "+" indicate small and large pustules, respectively. In the combination ITs, the first digit refers to the predominant IT, and second digit to the secondary IT. For instance, " 34 " represents the predominant IT of 3 and the secondary IT of 4 .

## Tan spot resistance evaluation

The selected lines were evaluated for reaction to P. tritici-repentis isolate Asc 1 as described by Liu et al. (2015). The inoculum preparation and fungal inoculation were done following the procedure of Lamari and Bernier (1989). At 7 days after inoculation, disease was rated on a 1-to- 5 scale based on the lesion type shown on the secondary leaf as described by Lamari and Bernier (1989). In this rating system, lesion types of 1.0 and 2.0 were considered resistant, 3.0 was considered moderately resistant to moderately susceptible reaction, 4.0 moderately susceptible, and 5.0 highly susceptible reaction (Lamari and Bernier, 1989). If a line had equal amounts of two reaction types, an intermediate score was given. The readings from three independent inoculation experiments were used to calculate the average.

## SNB resistance evaluation

The reaction of the selected lines to $P$. nodorum isolate Sn 4 was evaluated as described by Liu et al. (2015). Disease resistance was scored at 7 days after inoculation using a 0 to 5 qualitative lesion-type rating scale, where $0=$ highly resistant; $1=$ resistant; $2=$ moderately resistant; $3=$ moderately susceptible; $4=$ susceptible; and $5=$ highly susceptible (Liu et al., 2004). Plants having equal numbers of two different lesion types were given an intermediate lesion type. The readings from three independent inoculation experiments were used to calculate the average.

## Results

## Integrative genetic and physical mapping analysis of the SNPs polymorphic for the

 homoeologous pairs 2B-2S and 2B-2EA total of 3,158 SNPs mapped to wheat chromosome 2B according to the SNP consensus genetic map of Wang et al. (2014). Of these mapped SNPs, 900 were polymorphic for the homoeologous pair 2B-2S and 977 polymorphic for 2B-2E (Table 5.1). A little less polymorphism for 2B-2S than 2B-2E might result from the closer relationship of the wheat B genome with the Ae. speltoides $S$ genome than with the Th. elongatum E genome. A total of the 1,069 polymorphic SNPs were physically aligned to chromosome 2 B with a single unambiguous hit by searching against the RefSeq v1.0 of chromosome 2B (https://wheaturgi.versailles.inra.fr/) via BLASTn (Figure 5.1A and Table 5.1). The current size of chromosome 2B in the RefSeq v1.0 is 802 Mb , which covers $86.4 \%$ of the entire chromosome 2B (Šafář et al., 2010; https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/). Based on the BLASTn results, the 1,069 SNPs were physically positioned to chromosome 2B and plotted against the consensus linkage map of chromosome 2B (Figure 5.1C, APPENDIX F, and Wang et al., 2014). The 1,069
polymorphic SNPs distributed as an "S" curve with an average chromosome-wide recombination ratio of $0.23 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$. However, the recombination ratio in the centromeric region (209.1-559.4 Mb ) was as low as $0.02 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$, indicating rare homologous recombination within this region. Of the 1,069 SNPs, 663 were polymorphic for both 2B-2S and 2B-2E, 165 polymorphic only for 2B-2S, and 241 polymorphic only for 2B-2E (Figure 5.1B). These polymorphic SNP markers with unambiguous physical positions were used to characterize the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{E}$ recombinant chromosomes and to construct the composite bin maps.

Table 5.1. SNPs polymorphic for the homoeologous pair 2B-2S and 2B-2E

| Homoeologous pairs | Polymorphic SNPs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single hit $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | No hit | Multiple hits | Total |
| 2B-2S | 828 | 17 | 55 | 900 |
| 2B-2E | 904 | 17 | 56 | 977 |
| Total | 1,069 | 17 | 63 | 1,149 |

${ }^{\text {a BLASTn }}$ hit of the contextual sequence flanking the SNP against the IWGSC Reference
Sequence v1.0 assembly of chromosome 2B (RefSeq v1.0, https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/).
A
Genotyping 2B-2S and 2B-2E
recombinants using 90K SNPs
Consensus map (Wang et al., 2014)
B

2B-2S 2B-2E polymorphic polymorphic



Figure 5.1. Integrative genetic and physical mapping of the SNPs polymorphic for the homoeologous pairs 2B-2S and 2B-2E. (A) Flowchart showing SNP assay and chromosome mapping procedures; (B) Polymorphic SNPs having unique BLASTn hit; (C) Dot plot showing the genetic and physical map positions of the polymorphic SNPs on chromosome 2B.

## Construction of the composite bin maps for chromosomes 2B, 2S, and 2E

Some of the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ and 2B-2E recombinants had the similar compositions of chromosomal segments according to the SNP genotyping and GISH results. Only one of the recombinants with a similar composition was selected for bin map construction. Based on the SNPs genotypes and GISH patterns, we have identified 65 unique breakpoints from the 71 2B-2S recombinants, and 45 unique breakpoints from the 49 2B-2E recombinants (Figure 5.2).

Currently, the reference genome sequences of Ae. speltoides and Th. elongatum are not available. Thus, we performed comparative genomic analysis to assign the polymorphic SNPs to the $A e$. speltoides 2 S and Th. elongatum 2E chromosomal segments in the recombinants. This effort was facilitated by the recently released RefSeq v1.0 of common wheat. Consequently, 828 SNPs were assigned to 66 chromosomal bins using the 71 2B-2S recombinants and 904 SNPs to 46 chromsomal bins using the 49 2B-2E recombinants (Figure 5.2). The integrative analysis of the SNP genotyping data for the 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombinants generated a composite bin map of chromosome 2B that composed of 93 bins with 50 on the short arm and 43 on the long arm (Figure 5.3 and APPENDIX F). Totally, 1,037 SNPs were assigned to the composite bin map of chromosome 2B with a density ranging from 1 to $141 \mathrm{SNPs} / \mathrm{bin}$. According to the physical position of the SNPs within a bin, the physical size of the bins was estimated from 0.01 to 157 Mb . The large bins distributed mainly near the centromeric region, indicating rare meiotic homoeologous recombination along that region.


Figure 5.2. SNP-based graphical physical maps of the 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombinants. The left shows the SNP genotypes of the 71 2B-2S recombinants, while the right shows the SNP genotypes of the 49 2B-2E recombinants. The SNP markers are ordered according to their physical positions in the wheat RefSeq v1.0. Green regions indicate the 2 S or 2E segments and open regions indicate 2 B segments. Red triangles point to the centromeric region.


Figure 5.3. SNP distribution across the composite bin map of chromosome 2B. The blue bars indicate the physical size of chromosomal bins and the red curve line indicates the number of SNPs within a chromosomal bin (SNPs/bin).

Physical mapping of the stem rust resistance gene on Ae. speltoides chromosome 2S
Both CS DS 2S(2B) and RL6082 showed high levels of resistance to the stem races TPMKC and TMLKC, while CS was susceptible to both races (Figure 5.4 and Table 5.2). In addition, 17 2B-2S recombinants were inoculated with TPMKC and TMLKC. Five of them showed susceptible reactions similar to CS (ZW14-108, ZW14-155, ZW14-166, ZW14-167, and ZW14-169), while 12 of them showed different levels of resistance (Table 5.2). All the resistant 2B-2S recombinant lines shared a common segment from the distal region on the short arm of chromosome 2S, while the susceptible recombinant lines did not contain that chromosomal segment (Figure 5.5 and Table 5.2). This result indicated that the distal segment of the chromosomal arm 2SS should contain the gene for stem rust resistance. According to the recombination breakpoints revealed in the SNP composite bin map, the stem rust resistance gene
was delimited to a 2 S segment flanked by the SNP markers IWB6117 and IWB39654 (Table 5.3).
This chromosome 2S-derived segment was estimated to contain 15.7 Mb DNA within the linkage block of 6.1 cM based on the collinear analysis of CS chromosome 2B and Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S (Table 5.3 and Figure 5.10). It is unknown if the stem rust resistance gene identified in this study is different from those previously reported on Ae. speltoides chromosome 2S (Sr32, Sr39 and Sr47) (Faris et al., 2008; Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012; Mago et al., 2013). Thus, this stem rust resistance gene is temporarily designated SrAes8t.

Table 5.2. Reactions of the 2B-2S recombinants and their parental lines to the stem rust races TMLKC and TPMKC

| Line |  | Infection type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chromosome 2B and 2S | TMLKC |  |  |  | TPMKC |  |  |
|  |  | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |  |
| CS | 2B | 4 | 4 | 4 | 34 | 34 | 34 |  |
| RL6082 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 2 | $12-$ | $2-$ | $12-$ | $12-$ | $12-$ |  |
| DS 2S (2B) | 2S | 1 | $2-$ | 1 | $2-$ | $2-$ | 21 |  |
| ZW14-108 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 4 | 34 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| ZW14-111 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | $22+$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| ZW14-115 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | $1-1$ | 1 | $12-$ | $2-1$ | $1-$ | $1-$ |  |
| ZW14-134 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 1 | $1-1$ | $1-1$ | $1-$ | 1 | 1 |  |
| ZW14-135 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | $1+$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $12-$ |  |
| ZW14-139 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | $2+$ | $2+$ | 2 | 2 | $2+$ | 2 |  |
| ZW14-141 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | $1-$ | $1-$ | $1-1$ | 1 | $1-$ | $1-$ |  |
| ZW14-142 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 1 | 1 | $1-1$ | 1 | $1-1$ | $1-1$ |  |
| ZW14-149 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | $1-1$ | $1-1$ | 1 | 1 | $1-1$ | 1 |  |
| ZW14-154 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | $12-$ | 2 | $22+$ | $22+$ | $22+$ | $22+$ |  |
| ZW14-155 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| ZW14-166 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| ZW14-167 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |
| ZW14-169 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 4 | 4 | 4 | 34 | 4 | 4 |  |
| ZW14-174 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | $22+$ | 2 | $22+$ | $22+$ | $2-2$ | 2 |  |
| ZW14-180 | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1-1$ | $1-1$ | $1-1$ |  |
| ZW14-186 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | $12-$ | 1 | 1 | $12-$ | $1-1$ | $1-1$ |  |



Figure 5.4. The reactions of CS and CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}$ ) to stem rust. (A) Reactions of CS to the race TPMKC (left leaf) and TMLKC (right leaf) and GISH-painted CS chromosomes; (B) Reactions of CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ to the race TPMKC (left leaf) and TMLKC (right leaf) and GISH-painted chromosomes of DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$. Wheat chromosomes were painted in red and Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S in yellow-green. Arrows point to chromosome 2 S . Scale bar $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 5.5. Reactions of the four 2B-2S recombinants to TPMKC (left leaf) and TMLKC (right leaf) and their GISH-painted 2B-2S recombinant chromosomes. Segments of chromosome 2B were painted in red, while segments from chromosome 2 S were painted in yellow-green. Arrows point to the region containing the stem rust resistance gene on chromosome 2 S .

Table 5.3. Physical positions of the SNPs flanking the stem rust resistance gene on chromosome $2 S$

| SNPs | Genetic position (cM) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Bin location ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | BLASTn ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Genotypes of recombinants ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SNP position (bp) | E value | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DS} \\ 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ZW14- } \\ 108 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ZW14- } \\ 166 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ZW14- } \\ 154 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ZW14- } \\ 174 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| IWB31987 | 56.86609 | 28 | 35,284,630 | 1E-41 | 2S | - | 2S | 2 S | 2S | 2S |
| IWB31986 | 56.86609 | 28 | 35,367,964 | 4E-46 | 2 S | - | 2S | 2 S | 2S | 2S |
| IWB9207 | 71.9994 | 31 | 50,290,471 | 4E-46 | 2S | - | - | 2S | 2S | 2S |
| IWB46832 | 71.9994 | 31 | 51,890,777 | 2E-23 | 2S | - | - | 2S | 2S | 2S |
| IWB6117 | 71.9994 | 32 | 52,669,992 | 4E-40 | 2 S | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S |
| IWB35959 | 71.9994 | 32 | 52,872,937 | 1E-47 | 2 S | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S |
| IWB43273 | 74.46912 | 34 | 56,784,859 | 4E-46 | 2 S | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S |
| IWB2702 | 73.74879 | 34 | 57,651,518 | 3E-22 | 2S | - | - | - | 2S | 2S |
| IWB73971 | 78.99384 | 37 | 68,220,786 | 4E-46 | 2S | - | - | - | 2S | 2S |
| IWB39654 | 78.11446 | 37 | 68,363,434 | 4E-46 | 2 S | - | - | - | 2S | 2 S |
| IWB32008 | 80.77441 | 38 | 69,043,295 | 8E-32 | 2 S | - | - | - | - | 2 S |
| IWB21394 | 79.49277 | 38 | 69,344,059 | 4E-46 | 2 S | - | - | - | - | 2 S |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ SNP genetic position in the consensus genetic map of Wang et al. (2014).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Bin location of the SNPs in the composite bin map.
${ }^{\text {cBLASTn}}$ against the IWGSC Reference Sequence v1.0 assembly (IWGSC RefSeq v1.0).
${ }^{\text {d }}$ The genotype " 2 S " indicates the presence of the allele from Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S , while " - " indicates the absence of the Ae. speltoides allele.

## Identification of the gene for nontoxin-associated resistance to tan spot and SNB

Chinese Spring (CS), CS DS 2B(2S), CS DS 2E(2B), CS N2BT2D, and four wheat differential lines were evaluated for resistance to $P$. nodorum isolate Sn4 and P. tritici-repentis isolate Asc1. The differentials Br 34 and Salamouni were resistant to Sn 4 and Asc1, while Glenlea and 6B365 were susceptible and showed large necrotic lesions and extensive chlorosis (Figure 5.6). These differentials were used as resistant and susceptible checks in the disease evaluation experiments. Chinese Spring was susceptible to both Asc1 and Sn4, while CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ showed significant resistance to both isolates. Both CS N2BT2D and CS DS 2E(2B) showed similar susceptible phenotypes as CS (Table 5.4). Thus, the absence of chromosome 2B in CS did not affect the reaction of CS to both isolates. In addition, no host gene corresponding to Asc1-produced toxins and $\operatorname{Sn} 4$-produced toxins has been identified on wheat chromosome 2B.

Thus, the Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S in CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ probably contains the gene for nontoxin-associated resistance to tan spot and SNB.


Figure 5.6. Reactions of the resistant and susceptible checks to Parastagonospora nodorum isolate Sn4 (A) and Pyrenophora tritici-repentis isolate Asc 1 (B).

Table 5.4. Reactions of the 2B-2S recombinants to $P$. nodorum isolate Sn 4 and $P$. tritici-repentis isolate Ascl

| Genotypes | Chromosomes 2A, 2B, 2D, 2S, <br> and 2E | Reaction to pathogens ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2A+2B+2D | Sn4 | Asc1 |
| BR34 | 2A+2B+2D | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Salamouni | 2A+2B+2D | 2 | 1.5 |
| 6B365 | 2A+2B+2D | 3.5 | 5 |
| Glenlea | 2A+2B+2D | 5 | 4.5 |
| CS | 2A+2D+2S | 3.7 | 4 |
| CS DS 2S(2B) | 2A+2D | 1 | 1 |
| CS N2BT2D | 2A+2B | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| CS N2DT2A | 2A+2D+2E | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| CS DS 2E(2B) | 2B+2D+2E | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| CS DS 2E(2A) | 2SS-2BS•2BL+2A+2D | 4.3 | 1 |
| ZW14-108-6 | 2SS•2SL-2BL+2A+2D | 1 | 4.2 |
| ZW14-111-5 | 2BS-2SS•2SL+2A+2D | 3.2 | 1 |
| ZW14-115-7 | 2BS•2BL-2SL+2A+2D | 1 | 1 |
| ZW14-135-6 | 2BS-2SS•2SL+2A+2D | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| ZW14-141-8 | 2BS-2SS•2SL+2A+2D | 1.7 | 1 |
| ZW14-142-3 | 2BS-2SS•2SL+2A+2D | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| ZW14-149-1 | 2SS-2BS•2BL+2A+2D | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| ZW14-154-3 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL+2A+2D | 1 | 3.5 |
| ZW14-166-3 | 2SS-2BS•2BL+2A+2D | 1 | 1.3 |
| ZW14-167-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL+2A+2D | 3.5 | 1 |
| ZW14-169-3 | 2SS-2BS•2BL+2A+2D | 1 | 1.2 |
| ZW14-171-4 | 2SS-2BS•2BL+2A+2D | 1.2 | 3.5 |
| ZW14-174-2 | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL+2A+2D | 3.7 | 1.5 |
| ZW14-180-2 | 2BS-2SS•2SL+2A+2D | 3.7 | 1 |
| ZW14-186-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL+2A+2D | 1 | 3.2 |
| ZW14-162-4 | 2SS-2BS•2BL+2A+2D | 1.5 | 3.3 |
| ZW14-173-7 | 2SS-2BS•2BL+2A+2D | 1 | 1.5 |
| ZW14-188-4 | 2SS-2BS•2BL+2A+2D | 3.5 | 1.7 |
| ZW14-207-2-1 | 2SS-2BS•2BL+2A+2D | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| ZW14-505-2 | 2SS | 3.5 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average disease scores of three replications
A total of 20 2B-2S recombinants carrying different segments of chromosome 2 S were evaluated for resistance to the isolates Sn 4 and Asc1. Seven of them showed similar susceptible reactions to both isolates as CS. The remains 13 recombinants were all resistant to Sn4 (IT 1.01.7) and Asc1(IT 1.0-1.8) (Table 5.4). These 13 2B-2S recombinants shared a small subtelomeric
segment on the short arm of chromosome 2 S . On the other hand, the recombinants without that chromosomal segment were susceptible to both isolates (Figure 5.7 and Table 5.4). Thus, the subtelomeric segment on the short arm of chromosome $2 S$ should contain the gene(s) for resistance to tan spot and SNB. Apparently, this is a novel Ae. speltoides-derived gene conditioning nontoxin-associated resistance to both tan spot and SNB, designated TsrAesl/SnbAes1. It may confer broad spectrum resistance to tan spot and SNB. Based on the recombination breakpoints revealed in the composite bin map, TsrAesl/SnbAesl was physically positioned the chromosome 2S segment flanked by the SNP markers IWB7915 and IWB60877 (Table 5.5). This chromosome 2 S segment was estimated to contain 1.3 Mb DNA in a very small linkage block (Table 5.5 and Figure 5.10).


Figure 5.7. Evaluation of seedling reaction of the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ recombinants to $P$. nodorum isolate Sn 4 and $P$. tritici-repentis isolate Asc1. The leaves tissue on left shows reaction to Sn 4 , while the right one show reaction to Asc 1, respectively. Segments of chromosome 2B were painted in red, while segments from chromosome 2 S were painted in yellow-green.

Table 5.5. Physical positions of the SNPs flanking the gene for resistance to tan spot and SNB


## Physical mapping of the gene conferring stunted growth

Chinese Spring DS 2S(2B) exhibited stunted growth similar to its Ae. speltoide parent, while CS, CS N2BT2D, and CS 2B/2S double monosomics grew normally (Figure 5.8A). The stunted plants had much shorter stature and narrower leaves than CS, but were fertile (Figure 5.8A). These findings indicate that Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S contains the gene for stunted growth.


Figure 5.8. Morphological phenotypes and chromosome constitutions of Chinese Spring (CS), CS DS 2S(2B), CS N2BT2D and CS 2B/2S double monosomics. Whole plant (A) and spike (B) morphology of CS, CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$, CS N2BT2D and CS 2B/2S double monosomics (left to right); and GISH-painted chromosomes of of CS (C), CS DS 2S(2B) (D), CS N2BT2D (E), and CS 2B/2S double monosomics ( F ). Wheat chromosomes were painted in red, and Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S in yellow-green. Scale bar $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Totally, 98 2B-2S recombinants were phenotyped for plant growth and morphology in the greenhouse. Fifty-four of them showed stunted growth with short stems and narrow leaves similar to CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$. The rest 44 recombinants grew normally as CS. The GISH analysis
indicated that all the stunted lines carried a common subtelomeric segment from the short arm of chromosome 2 S (Figure 5.9 and APPENDIX G). Interestingly, we found that the recombinant line ZW14-521 seemed to contain the entire short arm of chromosome 2 S according to the GISH results, but grew normally. Further analysis of the SNP genotyping data for this recombinant chromosome identified a tiny chromosome 2 B segment at the terminal end of its short arm (2BS-2SS•2SL-2BL). Apparently, it was too small to be detected by GISH (Figure 5.9). According to the SNP-derived composite bin map, the gene for stunted growth was physically positioned to the chromosome segment of 2.0 Mb flanked by the SNP markers IWB59257 and IWB47291 (Table 5.6 and Figure 5.10). This Ae. speltoides-derived gene for stunted growth is novel and designated SgAes1.


Figure 5.9. Morphological and cytogenetic characteristics of six $2 B-2 S$ recombinants and their parental lines. Plant images were captured at 35 days after germination in the greenhouse. Scale bar $=5$ centimeter. Segments of chromosome 2B were painted in red and segments of chromosome 2 S in yellow-green. Arrows point to the chromosome 2 S segment containing the gene for stunted growth.

Table 5.6. Physical positions of the SNPs flanking the gene for stunted growth on chromosome 2 S

|  | SNPs | Genetic position $(\mathrm{cM})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\underset{\text { location }^{\text {b }}}{\text { Bin }}$ | BLASTn ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Genotype recombinants ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SNP position $(\mathrm{bp})$ | e value | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{DS} \\ 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \end{gathered}$ | CS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ZW14- } \\ 115 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ZW14- } \\ 521 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ZW14- } \\ 180 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ZW14- } \\ 205 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ZW14- } \\ 203 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ZW14- } \\ 155 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | IWB35667 | 16.87959 | 6 | 7,455,359 | 1E-81 | 2S | - | - | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S |
|  | IWB36143 | 16.87959 | 6 | 7,579,493 | 3E-19 | 2 S | - | - | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S |
|  | IWB59257 | 18.86909 | 7 | 7,909,473 | 4E-46 | 2 S | - | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S |
|  | IWB10720 | 16.87959 | 7 | 8,567,347 | 4E-46 | 2 S | - | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S |
|  | IWB75048 | 17.93047 | 8 | 9,740,995 | 1E-24 | 2 S | - | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S | 2S |
|  | IWB47291 | 16.87959 | 8 | 9,871,592 | 6E-39 | 2 S | - | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S |
|  | IWB12069 | 16.87959 | 9 | 10,781,807 | 4E-46 | 2 S | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S |
|  | IWB46236 | 17.93047 | 9 | 10,784,126 | 4E-38 | 2 S | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S |
|  | IWB66351 | 16.87959 | 9 | 11,077,615 | 2E-41 | 2 S | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S |
| $\stackrel{\checkmark}{u}$ | IWB54334 | 18.18617 | 10 | 11,083,846 | 4E-46 | 2 S | - | - | - | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S | 2 S |
|  | IWB48525 | 23.89897 | 11 | 11,389,793 | 7E-43 | 2 S | - | - | 2 S | - | - | - | 2S |
|  | IWB3937 | 25.10889 | 11 | 11,390,405 | 4E-46 | 2 S | - | - | 2 S | - | - | - | 2 S |

[^1]${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ SNP-derived composite bin map
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ BLASTn against the IWGSC Reference Sequence v1.0 assembly (IWGSC RefSeq v1.0).
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The genotype " 2 S " indicates the presence of the allele from Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S , while "-" indicates the absence of the Ae. speltoides allele.

All the three Ae. speltoides-derived genes (SgAes1, TsrAes1/SnbAes1, and SrAes8t) identified in this study reside within the distal region of proximately 60.5 Mb on chromosome 2 S (Figure 5.10). They were independently incorporated into wheat chromosome 2B by meiotic recombination. The 2B-2S recombinants involving these genes will be useful for variety development in wheat breeding as well as further studies of the genes.


Figure 5.10. Schematic illustration of the chromosome region harboring the three genes identified on Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S and its sytenic region on CS chromosome 2B.

## Discussion

Common wheat (T. aestivum) has a large ( 17 Gb ) and complex genome with more than 80\% repetitive DNA sequences (Marcussen et al., 2014; Chapman et al., 2015). It has been a big challenge to physically map and sequence such a large and complex genome. Recent advances in DNA sequencing and related technologies have led to the accomplishment of a quality reference genome sequence for CS wheat (Paux et al., 2008; Breen et al., 2013; Breen et al., 2013; Philippe et al., 2013; Raats et al., 2013; Marcussen et al., 2014; Poursarebani et al., 2014; Kobayashi et al., 2015; Akpinar et al., 2015; Cviková et al., 2015; Chapman et al., 2015;
http://www.wheatgenome.org/). This has tremendously facilitated genome studies in wheat and its relatives. In this study, we identified a total of 1,069 SNPs polymorphic specifically for the homoeologous pairs 2B-2S and 2B-2E from the 90K SNP assay of CS, CS DS2S(2B), and CS DS2E(2B). The polymorphic SNPs were physically aligned to the RefSeq v1.0 of wheat chromosome 2B to determine the physical locations of the SNPs. These SNP markers were used for delineating the GISH-painted 2B-2S and 2B-2E recombinant chromosomes. As a result, wheat chromosome 2B was partitioned into 93 bins based on the SNP genotyping data and GISH patterns of the recombinants. Meanwhile, 1,037 SNPs were assigned to the chromosomal bins, constructing a physical framework of chromosome 2B. This physical framework can be further improved by generating additional bins and new SNP markers for the chromosome. It would be extremely useful for mapping and characterizing such a large and complex genome of wheat, especially for filling the sequence gaps assocated with the complex regions of the wheat genome.

It has been a challenging task to map and clone a wild speices-derived gene incorporated into the wheat genome due to the lack of the genomics resources in the wild species and rare meiotic recombination between alien and wheat chromosomes. In this study, the SNP/GISHbased bin maps of the homoeologous pair 2B-2S and 2B-2E provide a new approach for mapping and cloning of the genes on these two alien chromosomes. The three Ae. speltoidesderived genes (SgAes1, TsrAesl/SnbAes1, and SrAes8t) targeted in this study were assigned to the specific bins on chromosome 2 S by phenotyping the $2 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ recombinants. The SNPs flanking the chromosomal bins in the critical recombinants were used to anchor the genomic regions harboring the genes. As a result, SrAes8t, TsrAes1/SnbAes1, and SgAes1 were delimited to a chromosomal interval of $15.7 \mathrm{Mb}, 1.3 \mathrm{Mb}, 2.0 \mathrm{Mb}$, respectively. SrAes $8 t$ mapped proximal to SgAes1 and TsrAes1/SnbAes1 and resided within the genomic region with lower meiotic
recombination frequecncy than the distal region harboring SgAesl and TsrAesl/SnbAesl. This is probably why $\operatorname{SrAes} 8 t$ was delimited into a significantly larger interval than $\operatorname{SgAesl}$ and TsrAes1/SnbAes1. In addition, SNP-derived PCR markers, such as semi-thermal asymmetric reverse PCR (STARP), can be developed from the SNPs closely linked to the genes targeted. Evidently, this is an effective approach for mapping and cloning of the alien genes useful in wheat improvement.

Five stem rust resistance genes, including $\operatorname{Sr} 32, S r 39, S r 47$, $\operatorname{SrAes} 1 t$, and $\operatorname{SrAes} 7 t$, have been identified from different Ae. speltoides accessions, and incorporated into the wheat genome through meiotic homoeologous recombination (Faris et al., 2008; Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012; Mago et al., 2013). $\operatorname{Sr} 32$, $\operatorname{Sr} 39$, and $\operatorname{SrAes} 7 t$ were located on the short arm, $\operatorname{Sr} 47$ and SrAeslt on the long arm of chromosome 2S. It was reported that SrAes7t might be the same gene as $\operatorname{Sr} 39$ (Klindworth et al., 2012; Mago et al., 2013). The stem rust resistance gene SrAes8t identified on chromosome 2 S in this study mapped within the same region as $\operatorname{Sr} 32$ and Sr39 (Niu et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2012; Mago et al., 2013). The line carrying SrAes8t [DS 2S(2B)] showed a different infection type (IT) from the line carrying Sr32 (U5926-2-8), but a similar IT as the line carrying $\operatorname{Sr} 39$ (RWG1) (Zhang, 2013). However, DS 2S(2B) had a different haplotype from RWG1 at the Sr39-linked marker loci Xrwgs27 and XSr39\#22. Thus, SrAes8t might be different from $\operatorname{Sr} 39$ (Zhang, 2013). This needs to be verified by additional studies.

Both tan spot and SNB resistance follows an inverse gene-for-gene model. However, qualitative genes and QTL conferring non-race specific resistance to tan spot were reported in various wheat lines (Faris et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2013; Kollers et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015; Kariyawasam et al., 2016). This is also the case with the SNB system (Xu et al., 2004; Friesen et al., 2007 and 2008a; Oliver et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2006, 2015). In this study, resistance to both
tan spot and SNB was achieved by transferring chromosomal segments from Ae. speltoides to wheat. CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ showed significant resistance to both isolates of Asc1 and Sn 4 , while CS N2BT2D exhibited susceptible reactions similar to CS. These results indicated the mechanism underlying the $A e$. speltoides-derived resistance might be beyond the reverse gene-for-gene manner. In addition, the host genes Tsnl and Tscl corresponding to Asc1-produced toxins mapped to chromosome arms 5BL and 1 AS , and none of the genes mediating recognition of Sn4-produced toxins (SnToxA, SnTox1, SnTox2, and SnTox3) resides on chromosome 2B (Faris et al., 1996; Effertz et al., 2001; Friesen and Faris, 2004, Liu et al., 2009). Thus, insensitivity to Asc1- and Sn4-produced toxins does not necessarily imply resistance to isolates Asc1 and Sn4. Apparently, the Ae. speltoides-derived resistance identified in this study showed epistatic effects to NE-host interaction mediated susceptibility in both tan spot and SNB systems. It might be a type of nontoxin-associated resistance.

CS DS 2S(2B) exhibited stunted growth, but CS N2BT2D grew normally as CS. Thus, the abnormal stunted growth with CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ should be conditioned by Ae. speltoides chromosome 2 S , not result from the absence of wheat chromosome 2 B . In addition, $\mathrm{CS} 2 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~S}$ double monosomics showed normal growth as CS, suggesting the gene (SgAesl) for stunted growth on chromosome 2 S is recessive to the one for normal growth on chromosome 2 B . The 2B-2S recombinants that contain the disease resistance genes SrAes8t and TsrAes1/SnbAes1, but not SgAesl have been developed. They are useful germplasm for variety development in wheat breeding.
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# APPENDIX A. WHEAT ACCESSIONS SURVEYED FOR AE. SPELTOIDES 

## CHROMATIN BY GISH

| GRIN ID | Species | Genome | Origin | Source | Ae. speltoides segment on 1BL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI 366801 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Afghanistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 221500 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Afghanistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 48592 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Algeria | T-CAP | + |
| PI 94363 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Armenia | T-CAP | + |
| CATALINA | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Australia | T-CAP | + |
| CASCADES | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Australia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 350861 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Austria | T-CAP | + |
| PI 181161 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Belgium | T-CAP | + |
| PI 481728 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Bhutan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 374670 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Bosnia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 184141 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Bosnia | T-CAP | + |
| Canthatch | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Canada | T-CAP | + |
| cltr 8347 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | China | T-CAP | + |
| PI 264962 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Croatia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 584791 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Czech Republic | T-CAP | + |
| PI 361845 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Denmark | T-CAP | + |
| Cltr 14974 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Egypt | T-CAP | + |
| Zobel | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Europe | T-CAP | + |
| PI 295996 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Finland | T-CAP | + |
| PI 48200 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | France | T-CAP | + |
| PI 572656 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Georgia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 286046 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Germany | T-CAP | + |
| PI 265018 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Greece | T-CAP | + |
| PI 254410 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Hungary | T-CAP | + |
| PI 164435 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | India | T-CAP | + |
| CItr 4311 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Iran | T-CAP | + |
| PI 626491 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Iran | T-CAP | + |
| PI 178212 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Iraq | T-CAP | + |
| PI 384028 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Israel | T-CAP | + |
| PI 66087 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Italy | T-CAP | + |
| PI 266147 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Italy | T-CAP | + |
| PI 81046 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Japan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 639289 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Kazakhstan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 378354 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Macedonia | T-CAP | + |
| CM1_74 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Mexico | T-CAP | + |
| CM2_75 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Mexico | T-CAP | + |
| PI 362698 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Montenegro | T-CAP | $+$ |


| GRIN ID | Species | Genome | Origin | Source | Ae. speltoides segment on 1BL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI 345386 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Montenegro | T-CAP | + |
| PI 429624 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Nepal | T-CAP | + |
| PI 572818 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Pakistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 478140 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Pakistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 58339 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Russian Federation | T-CAP | + |
| PI 184210 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Serbia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 48147 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Spain | T-CAP | + |
| cltr 9353 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Sweden | T-CAP | + |
| PI 350884 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Switzerland | T-CAP | + |
| PI 182695 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Syria | T-CAP | + |
| PI 654230 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Tajikistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 654158 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Tajikistan | T-CAP | + |
| Cltr 15456 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Tunisia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 166727 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Turkey | T-CAP | + |
| PI 166545 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Turkey | T-CAP | + |
| PI 5641 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | Ukraine | T-CAP | + |
| 98S0127-06 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | USA | T-CAP | + |
| Baisanyuehuang | T. aestivum | AABBDD | USA | T-CAP | + |
| Chadinghongmai | T. aestivum | AABBDD | USA | T-CAP | + |
| Cardinal | T. aestivum | AABBDD | USA | T-CAP | + |
| CI13113 | T. aestivum | AABBDD | USA | T-CAP | + |
| ALSEN | T. aestivum | AABBDD | USA | T-CAP | + |
| 98X371-3C | T. aestivum | AABBDD | USA | T-CAP | + |
| ARS970184-1C | T. aestivum | AABBDD | USA | T-CAP | + |
| PI 211701 | T. compactum | AABBDD | Turkey | NSGC | + |
| PI 278541 | T. compactum | AABBDD | Syria | NSGC | + |
| PI 366118 | T. compactum | AABBDD | Egypt | NSGC | + |
| PI 565431 | T. compactum | AABBDD | USA | NSGC | + |
| PI 665048 | T. compactum | AABBDD | USA | NSGC | + |
| PI 355509 | T. macha | AABBDD | Former Soviet Union | NSGC | + |
| PI 428179 | T. macha | AABBDD | Iran | NSGC | + |
| PI 542466 | T. macha | AABBDD | USA | NSGC | + |
| CItr 17764 | T. spelta | AABBDD | USA | NSGC | + |
| PI 191392 | T. spelta | AABBDD | Ethiopia | NSGC | + |
| PI 225271 | T. spelta | AABBDD | Iran | NSGC | + |
| PI 225295 | T. spelta | AABBDD | Iran | NSGC | + |
| PI 355649 | T. spelta | AABBDD | Germany | NSGC | + |


| GRIN ID | Species | Genome | Origin | Source | Ae. speltoides segment on 1BL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI 355697 | T. spelta | AABBDD | Belgium | NSGC | + |
| PI 367199 | T. spelta | AABBDD | Afghanistan | NSGC | + |
| PI 367202 | T. spelta | AABBDD | Afghanistan | NSGC | + |
| PI 378480 | T. spelta | AABBDD | Macedonia | NSGC | + |
| PI 469032 | T. spelta | AABBDD | Spain | NSGC | + |
| PI 70711 | T. sphaerococcum | AABBDD | Iraq | NSGC | + |
| PI 83402 | T. sphaerococcum | AABBDD | China | NSGC | + |
| PI 168685 | T. sphaerococcum | AABBDD | USA | NSGC | + |
| PI 277141 | T. sphaerococcum | AABBDD | Germany | NSGC | + |
| PI 277165 | T. sphaerococcum | AABBDD | Pakistan | NSGC | + |
| PI 428342 | T. vavilovii | AABBDD | Sweden | NSGC | + |
| Israel-A | T. dicoccoides | AABB | Israel | NSGC | + |
| PI 272582 | T. dicoccoides | AABB | Hungary | NSGC | + |
| PI 481521 | T. dicoccoides | AABB | Israel | NSGC | + |
| PI 272564 | T. polonicum | AABB | Hungary | NSGC | + |
| PI 223171 | T. polonicum | AABB | Jordan | NSGC | + |
| PI 94666-1 | T. dicoccum | AABB | Russian Federation | NSGC | + |
| CItr 14133-1 | T. dicoccum | AABB | USA | NSGC | + |
| PI 283889 | T. carthlicum | AABB | Iran | NSGC | + |
| PI 94751 | T. carthlicum | AABB | Georgia | NSGC | + |
| CItr 7863 | T. turgidum | AABB | Ethiopia | NSGC | + |
| CItr 8115 | T. turgidum | AABB | China | NSGC | + |
| PI 192641 | T. turanicum | AABB | Morocco | NSGC | + |
| PI 191599 | T. turanicum | AABB | Morocco | NSGC | + |
| PI 383914 | T. durum | AABB | Argentina | T-CAP | + |
| PI 390208 | T. durum | AABB | Bulgaria | T-CAP | + |
| PI 519544 | T. durum | AABB | Mexico | T-CAP | + |
| PI 384044 | T. durum | AABB | Israel | T-CAP | + |
| PI 519811 | T. durum | AABB | Italy | T-CAP | + |
| CItr 14559 | T. durum | AABB | Canada | T-CAP | + |
| PI 320097 | T. durum | AABB | Mexico | T-CAP | + |
| PI 519453 | T. durum | AABB | Peru | T-CAP | + |
| PI 420647 | T. durum | AABB | Uzbekistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 274672 | T. durum | AABB | Russian Federation | T-CAP | + |
| PI 138971 | T. durum | AABB | Algeria | T-CAP | + |
| PI 68275 | T. durum | AABB | Azerbaijan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 282911 | T. durum | AABB | Argentina | T-CAP | + |


| GRIN ID | Species | Genome | Origin | Source | Ae. speltoides segment on 1BL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI 412984 | T. durum | AABB | South Africa | T-CAP | + |
| PI 230366 | T. durum | AABB | Chile | T-CAP | + |
| PI 57599 | T. durum | AABB | Ukraine | T-CAP | + |
| PI 163274 | T. durum | AABB | Ecuador | T-CAP | + |
| PI 182668 | T. durum | AABB | Lebanon | T-CAP | + |
| PI 330546 | T. durum | AABB | United Kingdom | T-CAP | + |
| PI 94758 | T. durum | AABB | Ethiopia | T-CAP | + |
| CItr 15159 | T. durum | AABB | France | T-CAP | + |
| CItr 11496 | T. durum | AABB | China | T-CAP | + |
| PI 283854 | T. durum | AABB | India | T-CAP | + |
| CItr 12818 | T. durum | AABB | Israel | T-CAP | + |
| PI 283151 | T. durum | AABB | Jordan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 342646 | T. durum | AABB | Lebanon | T-CAP | + |
| PI 278380 | T. durum | AABB | Malta | T-CAP | + |
| PI 532288 | T. durum | AABB | Oman | T-CAP | + |
| PI 272553 | T. durum | AABB | Hungary | T-CAP | + |
| PI 190937 | T. durum | AABB | Portugal | T-CAP | + |
| PI 210912 | T. durum | AABB | Pakistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 231305 | T. durum | AABB | Chile | T-CAP | + |
| PI 585023 | T. durum | AABB | Saudi Arabia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 221409 | T. durum | AABB | Serbia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 182113 | T. durum | AABB | Pakistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 384401 | T. durum | AABB | Nigeria | T-CAP | + |
| PI 324850 | T. durum | AABB | India | T-CAP | + |
| PI 525438 | T. durum | AABB | Morocco | T-CAP | + |
| PI 51210 | T. durum | AABB | Tunisia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 152567 | T. durum | AABB | Yemen | T-CAP | + |
| PI 178156 | T. durum | AABB | Adana Turkey | T-CAP | + |
| PI 337647 | T. durum | AABB | Afghanistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 470868 | T. durum | AABB | Algeria | T-CAP | + |
| PI 94703 | T. durum | AABB | Ancient Palestine | T-CAP | + |
| PI 253964 | T. durum | AABB | Iraq | T-CAP | + |
| CItr 14810 | T. durum | AABB | Eritrea | T-CAP | + |
| PI 347152 | T. durum | AABB | Afghanistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 185722 | T. durum | AABB | Portugal | T-CAP | + |
| PI 477895 | T. durum | AABB | Peru | T-CAP | + |
| PI 210954 | T. durum | AABB | Cyprus | T-CAP | + |


| GRIN ID | Species | Genome | Origin | Source | Ae. speltoides segment on 1BL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI 113395 | T. durum | AABB | Egypt | T-CAP | + |
| PI 182738 | T. durum | AABB | Lebanon | T-CAP | + |
| CItr 14814 | T. durum | AABB | Eritrea | T-CAP | + |
| PI 626482 | T. durum | AABB | Iran | T-CAP | + |
| PI 387346 | T. durum | AABB | Ethiopia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 78811 | T. durum | AABB | Georgia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 469013 | T. durum | AABB | Greece | T-CAP | + |
| PI 210381 | T. durum | AABB | Iran | T-CAP | + |
| PI 481580 | T. durum | AABB | Iraq | T-CAP | + |
| PI 292034 | T. durum | AABB | Israel | T-CAP | + |
| PI 223168 | T. durum | AABB | Jordan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 91956 | T. durum | AABB | Peru | T-CAP | + |
| PI 621474 | T. durum | AABB | Iran | T-CAP | + |
| PI 405907 | T. durum | AABB | Macedonia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 176289 | T. durum | AABB | India | T-CAP | + |
| PI 278378 | T. durum | AABB | Malta | T-CAP | + |
| PI 153727 | T. durum | AABB | North Africa | T-CAP | + |
| PI 270001 | T. durum | AABB | Pakistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 477867 | T. durum | AABB | Peru | T-CAP | + |
| PI 204033 | T. durum | AABB | Portugal | T-CAP | + |
| PI 41353 | T. durum | AABB | India | T-CAP | + |
| PI 388133 | T. durum | AABB | Pakistan | T-CAP | + |
| PI 191357 | T. durum | AABB | Russian Federation | T-CAP | + |
| PI 183269 | T. durum | AABB | Saudi Arabia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 195695 | T. durum | AABB | Ethiopia | T-CAP | + |
| CItr 15408 | T. durum | AABB | Tunisia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 384244 | T. durum | AABB | Ethiopia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 534351 | T. durum | AABB | Tunisia | T-CAP | + |
| PI 166327 | T. durum | AABB | Turkey | T-CAP | + |
| PI 623709 | T. durum | AABB | Iran | T-CAP | + |
| PI 429317 | T. durum | AABB | Yemen | T-CAP | + |

APPENDIX B. GENOTYPES OF CS AND DS1S(1B) AT THE 68 SNP LOCI WITHIN THE DISTAL ENDS OF 1BL AND 1SL AND GENETIC/PHYSICAL LOCATIONS OF THE SNPS

| Index | Name | Chromosome | Genetic position (cM) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Genotype |  | Physical position (Mb) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CS | DS1S (1B) | Start | End | BLASTn <br> Similarity ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 27844 | Excalibur_c5888_169 | 1B | 160.9044 | AA | AA | 679.749150 | 679.749251 | >98\% |
| 27845 | Excalibur_c5888_641 | 1B | 160.9044 | BB | BB | 679.748678 | 679.748779 | >98\% |
| 66251 | Tdurum_contig10099_454 | 1B | 160.9044 | AA | AA | 680.867507 | 680.867718 | >98\% |
| 74003 | Tdurum_contig97527_141 | 1B | 160.9044 | AA | AA | 680.866533 | 680.866634 | >98\% |
| 71971 | Tdurum_contig49509_606 | 1B | 160.9044 | BB | BB | 689.000665 | 689.000766 | >98\% |
| 15244 | D_contig03023_692 | 1B | 160.9044 | BB | BB |  |  | No hit |
| 52079 | Ra_c4329_599 | 1B | 160.9044 | AA | AA |  |  | No hit |
| 26024 | Excalibur_c39284_949 | 1B | 161.115 | AA | AA | 679.748594 | 679.748695 | >98\% |
| 47439 | Kukri_c67939_649 | 1B | 161.115 | BB | BB | 680.862809 | 680.862909 | >98\% |
| 71549 | Tdurum_contig44851_927 | 1B | 162.1361 | AB | AB | 683.470848 | 683.470949 | 95\% |
| 55986 | RAC875_c26801_179 | 1B | 162.1361 | AA | AA | 683.471605 | 683.471706 | >98\% |
| 62169 | RAC875_rep_c112737_766 | 1B | 162.1361 | AB | AB | 683.475012 | 683.475113 | >98\% |
| 43736 | Kukri_c29537_182 | 1B | 162.1361 | AB | AB |  |  | No hit |
| 72247 | Tdurum_contig52086_524 | 1B | 162.1361 | AA | AA |  |  | No hit |
| 19632 | Ex_c1058_1537 | 1B | 164.2135 | BB | AB | 678.318048 | 678.318149 | >98\% |
| 46805 | Kukri_c59535_427 | 1B | 164.2135 | AA | AA | 679.748212 | 679.748311 | >98\% |
| 65272 | RFL_Contig785_535 | 1B | 164.6348 | BB | BB | 679.395912 | 679.396013 | >98\% |
| 65271 | RFL_Contig785_1700 | 1B | 164.6348 | AA | AA | 679.394744 | 679.394845 | >98\% |
| 56213 | RAC875_c28629_189 | 1B | 164.6348 | AA | AA | 682.470321 | 682.470413 | 97\% |
| 56212 | RAC875_c28629_101 | 1B | 164.6348 | BB | BB | 682.470400 | 682.471818 | >98\% |
| 70716 | Tdurum_contig41999_2908 | 1B | 164.8932 | NC | BB | 678.784344 | 678.784445 | >98\% |
| 65270 | RFL_Contig785_1156 | 1B | 167.7174 | NC | AB | 679.395291 | 679.395392 | >98\% |
| 20993 | Ex_c6112_1248 | 1B | 167.7174 | BB | BB | 685.277386 | 685.277485 | >98\% |
| 49442 | Kukri_rep_c110309_129 | 1B | 167.7174 | BB | BB | 685.740932 | 685.741033 | >98\% |
| 29845 | Excalibur_rep_c102616_317 | 1B | 167.7174 | BB | BB | 686.217810 | 686.217911 | >98\% |
| 78773 | wsnp_Ex_c750_1474351 | 1B | 170.65 | BB | BB | 686.843885 | 686.844086 | >98\% |
| 73820 | Tdurum_contig92835_177 | 1B | 171.2276 | AA | AA | 687.720350 | 687.720451 | >98\% |
| 71384 | Tdurum_contig42960_865 | 1B | 171.2276 | AA | AA | 688.284962 | 688.284863 | >98\% |


| Index | Name | Chromosome | Genetic position (cM) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Genotype |  | Physical position (Mb) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CS | DS1S (1B) | Start | End | BLASTn <br> Similarity ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 72625 | Tdurum_contig58710_411 | 1B | 171.2276 | BB | BB | 688.352419 | 688.352520 | >98\% |
| 72626 | Tdurum_contig58710_516 | 1B | 171.2276 | BB | BB | 688.352524 | 688.352625 | >98\% |
| 70859 | Tdurum_contig42108_958 | 1B | 171.2595 | BB | BB | 688.707485 | 688.707586 | >98\% |
| 71898 | Tdurum_contig4851_653 | 1B | 171.2595 | BB | BB | 688.768601 | 688.768702 | >98\% |
| 27547 | Excalibur_c55186_351 | 1B | 171.3137 | AA | AA | 680.862644 | 680.862745 | >98\% |
| 52979 | RAC875_c102886_73 | 1B | 171.3137 | BB | BB | 682.469868 | 682.469969 | >98\% |
| 34435 | IAAV1732 | 1B | 171.3137 | AA | AA | 682.472658 | 682.472814 | 98\% |
| 5319 | BobWhite_rep_c62955_567 | 1B | 171.3137 | AA | AA | 682.485409 | 682.485510 | >98\% |
| 68524 | Tdurum_contig1631_240 | 1B | 171.3137 | AA | AA | 686.840546 | 686.840647 | >98\% |
| 35036 | IAAV5516 | 1B | 171.3137 | BB | BB | 688.710294 | 688.710482 | >98\% |
| 78965 | wsnp_Ex_c955_1827719 | 1B | 171.3137 | BB | BB | 688.768195 | 688.768555 | >98\% |
| 75471 | wsnp_BE446672B_Ta_2_1 | 1B | 171.3137 | AB | AB | 687.718493 | 687.718614 | >98\% |
| 73765 | Tdurum_contig9144_222 | 1B | 171.3137 | AA | AA | 687.719683 | 687.719784 | >98\% |
| 45466 | Kukri_c44587_130 | 1B | 171.3137 | BB | BB | 687.795568 | 687.795667 | >98\% |
| 76929 | wsnp_Ex_c17990_26770800 | 1B | 171.3137 | AA | AA | 687.797790 | 687.797991 | >98\% |
| 77395 | wsnp_Ex_c24777_34031473 | 1B | 171.3137 | AA | AA | 687.798093 | 687.799050 | >98\% |
| 31066 | Excalibur_rep_c69522_83 | 1B | 171.4254 | AA | AA | 686.218458 | 686.218559 | >98\% |
| 64714 | RFL_Contig4538_657 | 1B | 171.4254 | AB | AB | 686.930639 | 686.930740 | 97\% |
| 71870 | Tdurum_contig48103_1481 | 1B | 171.4254 | BB | BB | 687.088535 | 687.088636 | >98\% |
| 76772 | wsnp_Ex_c1597_3045682 | 1B | 171.4254 | BB | BB | 688.283056 | 688.283257 | >98\% |
| 49490 | Kukri_rep_c111174_132 | 1B | 172.6731 | BB | BB | 685.779916 | 685.780017 | >98\% |
| 51530 | Ra_c23336_538 | 1B | 173.6241 | AB | AB | 680.867148 | 680.867239 | >98\% |
| 62079 | RAC875_rep_c111730_97 | 1B | 173.6241 | AA | AA | 685.723824 | 685.723920 | 97\% |
| 50867 | Ra_c109187_371 | 1B | 173.6241 | BB | BB | 685.741261 | 685.741362 | >98\% |
| 48380 | Kukri_c94613_637 | 1B | 173.6241 | BB | BB | 685.825245 | 685.825346 | >98\% |
| 75712 | wsnp_BG274687B_Ta_2_1 | 1B | 173.6241 | AA | AA | 686.843235 | 686.843356 | >98\% |
| 4789 | BobWhite_rep_c49533_93 | 1B | 173.6241 | AA | AA | 686.843506 | 686.843601 | >98\% |
| 78771 | wsnp_Ex_c750_1474184 | 1B | 173.6241 | AB | AB | 686.843722 | 686.843919 | >98\% |


| Index | Name | Chromosome | Genetic position (cM) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Genotype |  | Physical position (Mb) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CS | DS1S (1B) | Start | End | BLASTn <br> Similarity ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 72748 | Tdurum_contig60566_269 | 1B | 173.6241 | AB | AB | 686.932074 | 686.932175 | >98\% |
| 73657 | Tdurum_contig83965_208 | 1B | 173.6241 | AA | AA | 687.408084 | 687.408185 | >98\% |
| 80095 | wsnp_Ku_c13952_22097895 | 1B | 173.6241 | BB | BB | 687.413495 | 687.413778 | >98\% |
| 80094 | wsnp_Ku_c13952_22097856 | 1B | 173.6241 | BB | BB | 687.413534 | 687.413909 | >98\% |
| 7641 | BS00027006_51 | 1B | 173.6241 | BB | BB | 687.720366 | 687.720467 | >98\% |
| 41609 | Kukri_c16608_659 | 1B | 173.6241 | BB | BB | 687.795937 | 687.796122 | >98\% |
| 76928 | wsnp_Ex_c17990_26770146 | 1B | 173.6241 | AA | AA | 687.796990 | 687.797191 | >98\% |
| 24349 | Excalibur_c25640_110 | 1B | 173.6241 | BB | BB | 687.802260 | 687.802361 | >98\% |
| 72218 | Tdurum_contig51922_676 | 1B | 173.6241 | AA | AA | 688.231105 | 688.231655 | >98\% |
| 63988 | RFL_Contig2550_679 | 1B | 173.6241 | AA | AA | 688.231105 | 688.231655 | >98\% |
| 9889 | BS00066805_51 | 1B | 173.6241 | AA | AA |  |  | No hit |
| 12221 | BS00104270_51 | 1B | 173.6241 | AB | AB | 688.232218 | 688.232319 | >98\% |

u
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ BLASTn against IWGSC Reference Sequence v1.0 assembly of chromosome 1B (IWGSC RefSeq v1.0)

APPENDIX C. THE 2B-2S AND 2B-2E RECOMBINANTS IDENTIFIED FROM BC $\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{F}_{1}$ POPULATIONS

| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Chromosome number | Pedigree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XWC14-034-5 | 2BS 2BL-2SL + 2S | 42 | [ $q\left(\right.$ ( $q$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-034-21 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \operatorname{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-034-27 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta$ CS phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\top} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-034-30 | $2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta$ CS phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\top} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-034-34 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(\% \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{CSS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-034-40 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{SS}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 43 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CSS} \operatorname{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-034-45 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CSS} \operatorname{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-034-52 | 2BS-2SS 2 SL +2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-034-70 | 2BS-2SS 2 SL + 2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(\%\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-034-74 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(\right.$ ( $+\mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-034-76 | 2SS-2BS. $2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-034-79 | 2SS. 2 SL-2BL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-035-9 | $2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $q\left(+q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-035-10 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(\right.$ ( $q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-035-17 | $2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $q\left(\right.$ ( $q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-035-19 | 2BS-2SS. $2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $q\left(\right.$ ( $q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-035-20 | $2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-035-21 | $2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-035-26 | 2BS-2SS 2 SL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(\right.$ ( $q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-035-31 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(\uparrow\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta$ CS phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-035-35 | 2SS. 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(\right.$ ( $q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-035-36 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-036-12 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta$ CS phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-037-80 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-036-40 | 2SS-2BS•2BL + 2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-036-51 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(\%\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-037-14 | 2SS-2BS.2BL + 2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Chromosome number | Pedigree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XWC14-037-38 | 2SS•2SL-T2BL + 2S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-037-54 | T2SS + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-037-61 | $2 \mathrm{SS}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-037-67 | $2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-037-75 | 2BS-2SS. $2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-037-79 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL + 2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \chi^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS } 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})}\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-037-81 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{SS}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 43 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \chi^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-037-82 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \chi^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS } 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})}\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-037-87 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\chi} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS } 2 \mathrm{~S}}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-037-96 | 2BS-2SL-2SL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-5 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-13 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-038-14 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-17 | $2 \mathrm{SS}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-038-21 | 2SS-2BS 2 BL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{0} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-038-28 | 2BS-2SS 2 SL +2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-32 | 2BS-2SS. 2 SL +2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-38 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-41 | 2BS-2SS 2 SL +2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-53 | 2SS-2BS. $2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-54 | 2SS-2BS. $2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-68 | 2SS. $2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-74 | 2SS-2BS. 2BL-2SL +2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-038-76 | 2SS-2BS 2 BL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-038-80 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-038-86 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{SS}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 43 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-038-89 | $2 \mathrm{SS}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\text { CS }}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { OS DS }} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-038-92 | 2SS-2BS. $2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Chromosome number | Pedigree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XWC14-038-93 | 2BS-2SS•D2SL + 2S | 42 | [ $q$ ( $q+\mathrm{CS}$ DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} p h 1 b$ mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-038-100 | 2SS-2BS-2SS. $2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $q\left(+\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-1 | 2SS-2BS 2 2BL-2SL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(+\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\top} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-6 | 2SS-2BS 2 - ${ }^{\text {BL }}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $¢$ ( + CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta$ CS DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-7 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q$ ( + CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-9 | 2BS-2SS $2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $¢$ ( ++CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-16 | 2SS-2BS 2 2BL +2 S | 42 | [ $q$ ( + CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-24 | 2SS-2BS•2BL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q$ ( $q+\mathrm{CS}$ DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-27 | $2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $q$ ( $q+\mathrm{CS}$ DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-32 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-039-36 | 2SS-2BS 2 - ${ }^{\text {BL-2SL }+2 S ~}$ | 42 | [ $q\left(+\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} p h 1 b$ mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-45 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 | [ $¢$ ( + CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-60 | 2SS-2BS 2 - ${ }^{\text {BL }}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $q\left(+\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-61 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL +2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(+\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-63 | 2SS-2BS 2 2BL +2 S | 42 | [ $q\left(+\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-039-64 | T2SL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q$ ( $q+\mathrm{CS}$ DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-038-75 | 2SS-2BS 2 - ${ }^{\text {BL }}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $q$ ( + CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-041-6 | 2SS. $2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-041-10 | 2SS-2BS 2 - ${ }^{\text {SL }}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-041-12 | 2SS-2BS $2 \mathrm{LBL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $q\left(+\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} p h 1 b$ mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-041-13 | 2SS-2BS 2 2BL +2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-041-15 | 2SS-2BS $2 \mathrm{LBL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $¢$ ( + CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta$ CS DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-041-18 | 2SS-2BS 2 - ${ }^{\text {SL }}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-041-19 | 2SS-2BS $2 \mathrm{LBL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 42 | [ $¢$ ( + CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-041-37 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 | [ $¢$ ( $q+\mathrm{CS}$ DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-041-46 | 2SS-2BS•2BL + 2 S | 42 | [ $q$ ( $q+\mathrm{CS}$ DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-041-49 | 2BS-2SS 2 SL-2BL +2 S | 42 | [ $q$ ( + CS DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-041-63 | 2SS•2SL-2BL + 2BS 2 BL-2SL + 2 S | 43 |  |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Chromosome number | Pedigree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XWC14-041-66 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{SS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{SL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 43 |  |
| XWC14-041-78 | 2SS•2SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \begin{array}{c} \\ \text { CS } \\ \text { phlb }\end{array}\right.\right.$ |
| XWC14-041-83 | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL + 2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times\right.\right.$ ¢ CS phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS }} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-041-84 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-041-85 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-041-87 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-043-16 | 2BS-2SS 2 SL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-043-32 | 2BS-2SS-2BS 2 - ${ }^{\text {BL }}+2$ S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-043-47 | 2BS-2SS 2 SL-2BL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(\% \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-043-61 | 2SS•2SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-043-87 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL-2SS + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-043-89 | 2SS 2 SL-2BL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-043-95 | 2BS-2SS. 2 SL-2BL +2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(\% \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-043-96 | 2BS.2BL-2SL + 2 S | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \begin{array}{c} \\ \text { CS } \\ \text { phl }\end{array}\right.\right.$ |
| XWC14-043-97 | 2SS. 2 SL-2BL-2SL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-043-98 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL + 2 S | 42 |  |
| XWC14-130-9 | T2ES +2 E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \begin{array}{c} \\ \text { CS } \\ \text { phl }\end{array}\right.\right.$ |
| XWC14-130-10 | T2ES + 2E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-130-11 | T2ES +2 E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \begin{array}{c}\text { CS } \\ \text { phl }\end{array}\right.\right.$ |
| XWC14-130-13 | T2ES +2 E | 42 |  |
| XWC14-130-23 | T2ES +2 E | 42 |  |
| XWC14-130-24 | T2ES +2 E | 42 |  |
| XWC14-130-27 | T2BS-2ES + 2E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \begin{array}{c} \\ \text { CS phe } \\ \end{array}\right.\right.$ |
| XWC14-130-29 | T2ES + 2E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times\right.\right.$ ¢ CS phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-130-30 | T2ES + 2E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS }} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-130-38 | T2ES + 2E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times\right.\right.$ ¢ CS phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS }} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-130-39 | T2ES +2 E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-2 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Chromosome number | Pedigree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XWC14-131-4 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-131-8 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-131-11 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \Uparrow\right.\right.$ CS phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \operatorname{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-13 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-17 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-18 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-19 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-20 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\text { CS }}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS }} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-23 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS }} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-25 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-26 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS }} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-28 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} \mathrm{2E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-29 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS }} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-31 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} \mathrm{2E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-32 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-131-33 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-131-35 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} \mathrm{2E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\chi} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{0} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-131-36 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-131-38 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \begin{array}{c} \\ \text { CS } \\ \text { phl }\end{array}$ |
| XWC14-131-41 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-132-3 | 2BS-2ES $2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \begin{array}{c} \\ \text { CS } \\ \text { phl }\end{array}$ |
| XWC14-132-15 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-132-20 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\sim} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-132-36 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-132-54 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-132-71 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-132-73 | T2ES + 2E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CS}} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-132-75 | 2BS-2ES $2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~2E(2B)~} \times\right.\right.$ ¢ CS phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\text { CS DS }} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Chromosome number | Pedigree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XWC14-132-80 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | [ $¢\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \circlearrowleft^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \circlearrowleft^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-132-87 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-132-99 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-132-101 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-132-105 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \operatorname{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-132-108 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-132-111 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-132-114 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-132-127 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-133-35 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-133-49 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-133-55 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-133-58 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-133-59 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{0} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\bigcirc} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-133-60 | T2ES + 2E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{0} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\delta} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-134-6 | 2BS-2ES $2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-134-10 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-134-13 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[Q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-134-15 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-134-17 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[Q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-134-19 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} p h l b\right.\right.$ mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-134-26 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} p h l b\right.\right.$ mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-134-31 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-134-55 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-134-74 | T2ES +2 E | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \circlearrowleft^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-134-81 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-134-82 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-134-87 | T2ES + 2E | 42 |  |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Chromosome number | Pedigree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XWC14-134-96 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | [ $¢\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \circlearrowleft^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \circlearrowleft^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-134-103 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-134-106 | T2ES + 2E | 42 |  |
| XWC14-136-36 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-139-40 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \delta^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \operatorname{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-139-48 | T2ES + 2E | 42 |  |
| XWC14-139-49 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-130-3 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-141-57 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-142-8 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-142-28 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-142-30 | $2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-142-36 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-143-50 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{0} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\bigcirc} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-143-56 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{0} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{\delta} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-143-59 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-143-77 | 2BS-2ES $2 \mathrm{EL}-2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-143-87 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[Q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-715-2 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-715-47 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 43 | $\left[Q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-715-78 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ phlb mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \widehat{o}^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-715-85 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-716-5 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-716-19 | $2 \mathrm{BS}-2 \mathrm{ES} \cdot 2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} p h l b\right.\right.$ mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-716-72 | 2ES-2BS $2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} p h l b\right.\right.$ mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-716-84 | 2ES-2BS $2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q\right.\right.$ CS DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} p h l b$ mutant) $\left.\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS} \mathrm{DS} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-716-85 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 | $\left[q\left(q \operatorname{CS~DS~} 2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \times 0^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}\right.\right.$ phlb mutant) $\mathrm{BC}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \times \bigcirc^{\lambda} \mathrm{CS}$ DS $\left.2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})\right] \mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| XWC14-717-27 | 2BS-2ES $2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Chromosome number | Pedigree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XWC14-717-48 | $2 \mathrm{ES}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |
| XWC14-717-56 | $2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{EL}+2 \mathrm{E}$ | 42 |  |

APPENDIX D. SCREENING OF HOMOZYGOUS 2B-2S AND 2B-2E RECOMBINANT LINES WITH Ph1 ALLELE FROM THE $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ GENERATION 9tI

| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome constitution | Identification by markers | Validation by FGISH | Phlallele |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-001-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-5) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS•2BL-2SL |  | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-001-15 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-5) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS•2BL-2SL |  | + | - |
| ZW14-002-1 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-21) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS•2SL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-002-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-21) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-002-5 | Homozygous | $(X W C 14-034-21) \otimes F_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-003-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-27) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS.2BL-2SL | + |  | - |
| ZW14-003-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-27) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS•2BL-2SL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-004-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-30) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL | + | + | Phi_ |
| ZW14-004-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-30) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS•2SL-2BL | + |  | - |
| ZW14-005-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-34) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS•2SL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-006-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-45) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS•2SL-2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-006-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-45) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS•2SL-2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-007-1 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-52) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS•2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-007-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-52) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS•2SL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-007-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-52) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS•2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-008-5 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-70) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS•2SL + 2SS-2BS 2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-008-6 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-70) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS.2SL | + |  | - |
| ZW14-009-1 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-74) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS•2BL-2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-009-3 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-74) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS.2BL-2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-010-2 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-76) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS.2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-010-3 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-76) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS.2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-011-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-034-79) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-013-2 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-035-9) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-013-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-035-9) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-014-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-035-10) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS.2BL-2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |


|  | Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome <br> constitution | Identification <br> by markers | Validation <br> by |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FGISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ Phlallele


|  | Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome <br> constitution | Identification <br> by markers | Validation <br> by FGISH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ZW14-108-6 | Homozygous | $\left(\right.$ XWC14-037-61) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Phlele |  |  |


| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome constitution | Identification by markers | Validation by FGISH | Phlallele |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-147-4-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-38) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS•2SL-2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-149-1-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-41) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS.2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-149-2-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-41) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS.2SL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-149-5-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-41) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS.2SL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-154-3-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-53) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS•2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-155-2-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-54) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-155-2-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-54) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-162-4 | New recombinant | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-68) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS•2SL-2BL + 2BS•2BL-2SL-2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-166-3-2 | Homozygous | $(X W C 14-038-74) \otimes F_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-167-1-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-76) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS•2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-167-1-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-76) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS•2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-167-2-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-76) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS•2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-167-4-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-76) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-167-8-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-76) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS•2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-169-3-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-80) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS•2BL-2SL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-169-3-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-80) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS•2BL-2SL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-169-5-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-80) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS.2BL-2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-171-4-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-86) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS• $2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{SS}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}$ | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-171-6-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-86) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS 2 2BL-2SL + 2SS-2BS 2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-173-7-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-89) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS.2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-174-2-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-92) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS.2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-175-7-1 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-93) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS•D2SL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-180-2-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-100) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-180-5 | New recombinant | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-038-100) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-181-3 | Homozygous | $(X W C 14-039-1) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-184-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-039-6) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |


|  | Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome <br> constitution | Identification <br> by markers | Validation <br> by |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FGISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ Phlallele


| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome <br> constitution | Identification <br> by markers | Validation <br> by | FGISH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Phlallele


| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome constitution | Identification by markers | Validation by FGISH | Phlallele |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-520-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-32) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS-2BS•2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-520-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-32) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS-2BS.2BL | + |  | - |
| ZW14-521-3 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-47) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS•2SL-2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-522-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-61) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-522-4 | Homozygous | $(X W C 14-043-61) \otimes F_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-523-7 | Homozygous | $(X W C 14-043-87) \otimes F_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL-2SL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-524-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-89) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS.2SL-2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-524-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-89) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS•2SL-2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-525-3 | Heterozygous | $(X W C 14-043-95) \otimes F_{1}$ | 2BS-2SS•2SL-2BL-2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-525-8 | New telosome | $(X W C 14-043-95) \otimes F_{1}$ | T2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-526-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-96) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS.2BL-2SL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-526-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-96) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS•2BL-2SL | + |  | - |
| ZW14-526-5 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-96) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS.2BL-2SL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-526-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-96) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS.2BL-2SL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-527-3 | New recombinants | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-97) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS•2BL-2SL + 2BS-2SS 2SL-2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-527-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-043-97) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2SS•2SL-2BL-2SL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-528-5 | Homozygous | $(X W C 14-043-98) \otimes F_{1}$ | 2BS.2BL-2SL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-217-2 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-130-38) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | T2ES | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-217-8 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-130-38) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | T2ES | + |  | - |
| ZW14-220-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-4) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-221-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-8) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-222-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-11) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-224-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-13) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-224-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-13) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-225-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-17) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + |  | - |
| ZW14-225-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-17) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |


| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome constitution | Identification by markers | Validation by FGISH | Phiallele |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-226-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-18) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BL | + | + | PhI_ |
| ZW14-227-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-19) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + |  | PhI_ |
| ZW14-228-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-20) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-228-5 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-20) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + |  | - |
| ZW14-228-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-20) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-229-2 | New recombinant | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-23) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES $\cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}+2 \mathrm{ES}$-2BS $\cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}$ | + | + | PhI_ |
| ZW14-230-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-25) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-231-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-26) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + |  | PhI_ |
| ZW14-232-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-28) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-232-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-28) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-233-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-29) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + |  | PhI_ |
| ZW14-234-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-31) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + |  | PhI_ |
| ZW14-234-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-31) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-235-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-32) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-235-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-32) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + |  | PhI_ |
| ZW14-236-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-33) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-236-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-33) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-236-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-33) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + |  | PhI_ |
| ZW14-236-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-33) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-237-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-35) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-238-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-36) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-239-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-38) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-239-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-131-38) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-241-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-3) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-241-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-3) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES-2EL | + |  | $P h I_{-}$ |
| ZW14-242-2 | New recombinant | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-15) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES-2EL-2BL + T2ES | + | + | Ph1_ |


| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome constitution | Identification by markers | Validation by FGISH | Phiallele |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-242-3 | Monosomic | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-15) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL-2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-243-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-20) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2EL-2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-245-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-36) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | $P h l_{-}$ |
| ZW14-245-8 | Homozygous | $(X W C 14-132-36) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-246-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-54) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-253-4 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-71) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-253-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-71) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | $P h l_{-}$ |
| ZW14-255-1 | Monosomic | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-73) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | T2ES | + | + | - |
| ZW14-257-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-75) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-257-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-75) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-258-4 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-80) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-258-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-80) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-261-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-87) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-261-5 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-87) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES-2EL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-261-6 | Homozygous | $\left(\mathrm{XWC14-132-87)} \otimes \mathrm{~F}_{1}\right.$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-266-3 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-99) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-266-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-99) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS. 2 BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-266-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-99) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-267-3 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-101) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS. 2 BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-267-7 | Heterozygous | $(X W C 14-132-101) \otimes F_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | $P h l_{-}$ |
| ZW14-269-7 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-105) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-270-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-108) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES-2EL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-270-5 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-108) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES-2EL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-272-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-111) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | $P h l_{-}$ |
| ZW14-273-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-114) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES 2 2EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-273-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-114) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | PhI_ |


| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome constitution | Identification by markers | Validation by FGISH | Phiallele |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-280-1 | Homozygous | (XWC14-132-127) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-280-2 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-127) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-280-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-127) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-280-5 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-127) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | PhI_ |
| ZW14-280-8 | Homozygous | (XWC14-132-127) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-284-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-132-127) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-289-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-133-35) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-293-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-133-49) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | $P h l_{-}$ |
| ZW14-293-6 | Homozygous | (XWC14-133-49) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-293-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-133-49) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS. 2 BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-297-2 | Homozygous | (XWC14-133-55) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-297-6 | Homozygous | (XWC14-133-55) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | $P h l_{-}$ |
| ZW14-299-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-133-58) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-299-5 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-133-58) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-299-7 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-133-58) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-300-6 | Homozygous | (XWC14-133-59) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | $+$ | $P h l_{-}$ |
| ZW14-301-5 | New telosome | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-133-60) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | T2ES | + | + | $P h I_{-}$ |
| ZW14-306-2 | New recombinant | (XWC14-134-6) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-306-6 | Heterozygous | (XWC14-134-6) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-306-8 | Heterozygous | (XWC14-134-6) $\otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | $P h I_{-}$ |
| ZW14-308-2 | Homozygous | $(X W C 14-134-10) \otimes F_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-310-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-13) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-311-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-15) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + | + | Ph1 |
| ZW14-311-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-15) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-311-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-15) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-313-4 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-17) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |


| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome constitution | Identification by markers | Validation by FGISH | Phiallele |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-313-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-17) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-315-1 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-19) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-320-6 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-26) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-323-2 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-31) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + | + | $P h l_{-}$ |
| ZW14-323-3 | Heterozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-55) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-328-4 | New recombinant | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-55) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-331-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-74) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | T2ES | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-334-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-81) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-335-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-82) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES 2 EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-338-1 | Monosomic | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-74) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | T2ES | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-343-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-96) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES 2 2EL-2BL | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-343-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-96) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES 2 EL-2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-347-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-103) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-347-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-103) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-349-6 | Monosomic | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-134-106) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | T2ES | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-357-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-136-36) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-357-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-136-36) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-357-8 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-136-36) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-380-2 | Monosomic | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-139-40) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-381-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-139-48) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | T2ES | + | + | Phl_ |
| ZW14-382-5 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-139-49) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2EL-2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-382-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-139-49) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES. 2EL-2BL | + |  | PhI_ |
| ZW14-382-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-139-49) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES.2EL-2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-387-5 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-130-3) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-390-1 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-141-57) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + |  | Ph1 ${ }_{-}$ |
| ZW14-390-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-141-57) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + | + | - |


| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome <br> constitution | Identification <br> by markers | Validation <br> by FGISH | Phlallele |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Plants | Genotype | Pedigree | Chromosome constitution | Identification by markers | Validation by FGISH | Phlallele |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-401-13 | Homozygous | $\left(\mathrm{XWC14-715-47)} \otimes \mathrm{~F}_{1}\right.$ | 2ES-2BS 2 BL + 2BS 2BL-2EL | + |  | - |
| ZW14-401-14 | Homozygous | $\left(\mathrm{XWC14-715-47)} \otimes \mathrm{~F}_{1}\right.$ | 2ES-2BS 2 BL $+2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{EL}$ | + |  | Phl_ |
| ZW14-401-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-715-47) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS 2 BL $+2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{EL}$ | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-402-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-715-78) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Phi_ |
| ZW14-403-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-715-85) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS.2BL-2EL | + | + | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-404-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-716-5) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-405-5 | Homozygous | $\left(\mathrm{XWC14-716-19)} \otimes \mathrm{~F}_{1}\right.$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + |  | Ph1- |
| ZW14-405-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-716-19) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES.2EL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-406-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-716-72) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-406-7 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-716-72) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-407-3 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-716-84) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + |  | Ph1 |
| ZW14-407-4 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-716-84) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS.2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-407-5 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-716-84) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + |  | Ph1- |
| ZW14-407-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-716-84) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-408-4 | Heterozygous | $(X W C 14-716-85) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2BL-2EL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-409-6 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-717-27) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2BS-2ES-2EL | + | + | Ph1- |
| ZW14-410-2 | Homozygous | $(\mathrm{XWC} 14-717-48) \otimes \mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + | + | - |
| ZW14-410-6 | Homozygous | $\left(\mathrm{XWC14-717-48)} \otimes \mathrm{~F}_{1}\right.$ | 2ES-2BS-2BL | + |  | Ph1_ |
| ZW14-412-4 | Homozygous | $\left(\mathrm{XWC14-717-56)} \otimes \mathrm{~F}_{1}\right.$ | 2BS. 2BL-2EL | + | + | Ph1_ |

## APPENDIX E. MEASUREMENT AND SIZE CALCULATION OF ALIEN

CHROMOSOME SEGMENTS IN THE 2B-2S AND 2B-2E RECOBMINANTS

| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Length ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  | Proportion (\%) a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alien segment on short arm | Short arm | Alien segment on long arm | Long arm |  |
| XWC14-034-27 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 6.47 | 20.2 |
| XWC14-034-40 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 0.97 | 3.99 | 0 | 4.5 | 11.4 |
| XWC14-034-40 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 4.18 | 5.09 | 5.56 | 5.56 | 91.5 |
| XWC14-034-45 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 7.73 | 7.73 | 7.42 | 9.97 | 85.6 |
| XWC14-035-17 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 7.98 | 7.98 | 8.02 | 9.35 | 92.3 |
| XWC14-035-20 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 5.97 | 5.97 | 6.33 | 7.97 | 88.2 |
| XWC14-035-31 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 5.69 | 5.69 | 6.24 | 7.25 | 92.2 |
| XWC14-039-24 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 0.54 | 4.73 | 0 | 5.21 | 5.4 |
| XWC14-039-27 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.04 | 6.41 | 88.3 |
| XWC14-039-61 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 4.52 | 2.11 | 5.17 | 21.8 |
| ZW14-001-15 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 5.06 | 2.33 | 6.24 | 20.6 |
| ZW14-002-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 6.09 | 6.09 | 5.59 | 7.73 | 84.5 |
| ZW14-004-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 7.73 | 7.73 | 6.25 | 8.85 | 84.3 |
| ZW14-005-8 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 5.56 | 5.56 | 5.04 | 7.67 | 80.1 |
| ZW14-007-7 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 3.41 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 90.9 |
| ZW14-008-5 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | 1.68 | 4.5 | 0 | 5.6 | 16.6 |
| ZW14-008-5 | 2BS-2SS.2SL | 5.07 | 6.27 | 7.04 | 7.04 | 91.0 |
| ZW14-009-3 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 5.59 | 2.98 | 7.16 | 23.4 |
| ZW14-010-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 1.09 | 4.78 | 0 | 5.87 | 10.2 |
| ZW14-011-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 5.59 | 5.59 | 4.4 | 6.18 | 84.9 |
| ZW14-013-4 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 5.61 | 5.61 | 5.49 | 6.63 | 90.7 |
| ZW14-014-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 5.74 | 2.05 | 6.64 | 16.6 |
| ZW14-016-6 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 2.7 | 4.73 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 81.3 |
| ZW14-070-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 6.65 | 6.65 | 7.18 | 8.8 | 89.5 |
| ZW14-071-2 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 3.04 | 4.03 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 88.0 |
| ZW14-073-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 6.64 | 6.64 | 5.84 | 8.08 | 84.8 |
| ZW14-074-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 6.76 | 2.7 | 8.77 | 17.4 |
| ZW14-077_8_1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL-2SL | 4.88 | 4.88 | $3.41+0.88$ | 5.77 | 86.1 |
| ZW14-077-8-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 6.44 | 5.4 | 11.32 | 30.4 |
| ZW14-084 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 4.62 | 4.69 | 6.83 | 41.0 |
| ZW14-093-8-1 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 3.01 | 3.87 | 4.94 | 4.94 | 90.2 |
| ZW14-095-6 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | 1.57 | 5.3 | 0 | 7.37 | 12.4 |
| ZW14-100-1 | 2SS•2SL-D2BL | 6.66 | 6.66 | 4.44 | 5.77 | 89.3 |
| ZW14-108-6-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 1.27 | 5.12 | 0 | 5.51 | 11.9 |
| ZW14-111-3-2 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 6.13 | 6.13 | 5.05 | 7.97 | 79.3 |
| ZW14-115-7-2 | 2BS-2SS.2SL | 4.07 | 5.06 | 6.34 | 6.34 | 91.3 |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Length ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  | Proportion (\%) a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alien segment on short arm | Short arm | Alien segment on long arm | Long arm |  |
| ZW14-116-5 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 6.65 | 0.97 | 7.68 | 6.8 |
| ZW14-117-15 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 4.51 | 2.05 | 6.33 | 18.9 |
| ZW14-117-15 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 1.24 | 7.45 | 0 | 10.48 | 6.9 |
| ZW14-118-1 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 5.84 | 2.46 | 7.01 | 19.1 |
| ZW14-121-3 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 4.51 | 2.01 | 5.97 | 19.2 |
| ZW14-127_8_2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 4.05 | 5.85 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 85.8 |
| ZW14-127-8-2 | 2BS-2SL•2SL | 0 | 7.62 | 3.69 | 11.99 | 18.8 |
| ZW14-128-1 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 6.16 | 2.8 | 8.47 | 19.1 |
| ZW14-134-3-1 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 7.05 | 7.88 | 9.67 | 9.67 | 95.3 |
| ZW14-135-6-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.31 | 5.8 | 86.3 |
| ZW14-137-7-1 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 1.55 | 6.52 | 0 | 7.24 | 11.3 |
| ZW14-139-7-1 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | 2.08 | 8.08 | 0 | 11.48 | 10.6 |
| ZW14-141-8-2 | 2BS-2SS.2SL | 7.6 | 8.71 | 11.01 | 11.01 | 94.4 |
| ZW14-142-4-2 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 5.04 | 5.94 | 7.35 | 7.35 | 93.2 |
| ZW14-147-4-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.47 | 6.79 | 80.3 |
| ZW14-149-1-2 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 4.98 | 5.63 | 7.37 | 7.37 | 95.0 |
| ZW14-154-3-2 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | 1.83 | 5.11 | 0 | 4.46 | 19.1 |
| ZW14-155-2-1 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | 0.4 | 5.38 | 0.2 | 6.95 | 4.9 |
| ZW14-162_4 | 2BS•2BL-2SL-2BL | 0 | 5.42 | $3.42+2.6$ | 8.32 | 16.7 |
| ZW14-162-4-2 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 6.4 | 6.4 | 4.69 | 7.07 | 82.3 |
| ZW14-166-3-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | 1.48 | 6.94 | 1.02 | 7.32 | 17.5 |
| ZW14-167-1-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 1.69 | 7.88 | 0 | 8.95 | 10.0 |
| ZW14-169-5-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 4.9 | 1.46 | 6.64 | 12.7 |
| ZW14-171-4-2 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | 1.61 | 6.9 | 0 | 7.99 | 10.8 |
| ZW14-171-4-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 7.33 | 5.59 | 9.53 | 33.2 |
| ZW14-173-7-2 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | 1.48 | 6.73 | 0 | 9.32 | 9.2 |
| ZW14-174-2-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 1.63 | 6.24 | 0 | 8.31 | 11.2 |
| ZW14-175-7-1 | 2BS-2SS•T2SL | 5.24 | 6.68 | 0 | 0 | 78.4 |
| ZW14-180-2-1 | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL | $0.68+4.58$ | 6.26 | 6.98 | 6.98 | 92.4 |
| ZW14-180-5-1 | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL-2BL | $0.64+4.55$ | 6.16 | 5.2 | 6.61 | 81.4 |
| ZW14-181-3 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | 1.61 | 9.86 | 1.84 | 10.65 | 16.8 |
| ZW14-184-6 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 1.3 | 4.12 | 0 | 5.07 | 14.1 |
| ZW14-185-2 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | 5.57 | 5.57 | 4.37 | 6.15 | 84.8 |
| ZW14-186-1-2 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | 4.42 | 5.92 | 7.03 | 7.03 | 88.4 |
| ZW14-188-4-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | 1.21 | 6.03 | 0 | 7.02 | 9.3 |
| ZW14-194-7 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 5.13 | 2.14 | 6.43 | 18.5 |
| ZW14-194-7 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | 1.21 | 6.69 | 0 | 8.85 | 7.8 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Length $(\mu m)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Length ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  | Proportion (\%) a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alien segment on short arm | Short arm | Alien segment on long arm | Long arm |  |
| ZW14-527-3 | 2SS•2SL-2BL-2SS | 7.37 | 7.37 | $4.69+0.43$ | 8.5 | 78.7 |
| ZW14-528-5 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | 0 | 6.02 | 2.11 | 5.17 | 18.9 |
| XWC14-130-3 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 2.95 | 5.84 | 0 | 8.84 | 20.1 |
| ZW14-229-2 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 1.55 | 7.78 | 0 | 10.08 | 8.7 |
| ZW14-234-3 | 2ES.2BL | 10.15 | 10.15 | 0 | 13.19 | 43.5 |
| XWC14-131-33 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 3.43 | 7.83 | 0 | 8.93 | 20.5 |
| ZW14-267-1 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 17.89 | 25.12 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 87.0 |
| ZW14-269-7 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 6.28 | 6.28 | 6.64 | 6.93 | 97.8 |
| ZW14-270-3 | 2BS-2ES-2EL | 4.71 | 5.32 | 6.77 | 6.77 | 95.0 |
| ZW14-272-2 | 2ES-2EL-2BL | 5.15 | 5.15 | 5.01 | 5.7 | 93.6 |
| ZW14-273-6 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 4.89 | 4.89 | 3.79 | 5.11 | 86.8 |
| ZW14-280-5 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 0.69 | 5.12 | 0 | 6.91 | 5.7 |
| XWC14-132-15 | 2BS-2ES-2EL-2BL | 3.59 | 5.8 | 6.72 | 8.93 | 70.0 |
| ZW14-243-7 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 5.89 | 5.89 | 5.6 | 7.17 | 88.0 |
| XWC14-132-3 | 2BS-2ES-2EL | 3.43 | 5.67 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 81.9 |
| ZW14-245-1 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 2.7 | 4.43 | 0 | 5.67 | 26.7 |
| ZW14-246-4 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 8.18 | 8.18 | 10.03 | 10.98 | 95.0 |
| ZW14-253-8 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 5.27 | 5.27 | 4.34 | 6.15 | 84.2 |
| ZW14-257-6 | 2BS-2ES 2EL | 4.67 | 5.66 | 6.94 | 6.94 | 92.1 |
| ZW14-258-7 | 2BS-2ES-2EL | 3.32 | 6.06 | 7.18 | 7.18 | 79.3 |
| ZW14-261-4 | 2BS-2ES 2EL | 3.67 | 5.16 | 6.48 | 6.48 | 87.2 |
| ZW14-266-8 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 2.7 | 6.36 | 0 | 7.16 | 20.0 |
| ZW14-289-3 | 2BS-2ES.2EL | 4.37 | 4.86 | 5.71 | 5.71 | 95.4 |
| ZW14-293-4 | 2ES-2BS.2BL | 1.02 | 6.65 | 0 | 7.57 | 7.2 |
| ZW14-297-2 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 1.25 | 5.24 | 0 | 6.28 | 10.9 |
| ZW14-299-5 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 5.57 | 5.57 | 5.45 | 6.82 | 88.9 |
| ZW14-300-6 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 2.42 | 6.04 | 0 | 7.12 | 18.4 |
| ZW14-308-2 | 2ES-2EL-2BL | 6.71 | 6.71 | 7.82 | 8.52 | 95.4 |
| ZW14-347-4 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 2.1 | 6.16 | 0 | 7.46 | 15.4 |
| ZW14-310-4 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 1.9 | 5.44 | 0 | 7.67 | 14.5 |
| ZW14-311-8 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 2.18 | 7.07 | 0 | 6.69 | 15.8 |
| ZW14-313-8 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 2.16 | 7.75 | 0 | 9.97 | 12.2 |
| ZW14-315-1 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 5.67 | 5.67 | 6.71 | 7.17 | 96.4 |
| ZW14-320-6 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 5.89 | 5.89 | 4.75 | 7.16 | 81.5 |
| ZW14-328-8 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 1.4 | 5.83 | 0 | 6.98 | 10.9 |
| ZW14-306-2 | 2BS-2ES-2EL-2BL | 4.12 | 5.03 | 5.2 | 5.54 | 88.2 |
| ZW14-306-2 | 2BS-2ES.2EL | 4.08 | 5.53 | 6.95 | 6.95 | 88.4 |


| Recombinants | Chromosome constitution | Length ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  | Proportion (\%) a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alien segment on short arm | Short arm | Alien segment on long arm | Long arm |  |
| ZW14-334-4 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 1.22 | 6.69 | 0 | 8.52 | 8.0 |
| ZW14-335-2 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 6.23 | 6.23 | 6.38 | 7.29 | 93.3 |
| ZW14-343-2 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.46 | 9.46 | 94.0 |
| ZW14-357-8 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 1.33 | 5.94 | 0 | 7.13 | 10.2 |
| ZW14-382-5 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 9.47 | 9.47 | 7.78 | 10.41 | 86.8 |
| ZW14-390-2 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 1.55 | 6.38 | 0 | 11.6 | 8.6 |
| ZW14-392-6 | 2ES-2BS.2BL | 2.19 | 8.48 | 0 | 11.05 | 11.2 |
| ZW14-393-1 | 2ES•2EL-2BL | 4.98 | 4.98 | 1.71 | 5.8 | 62.1 |
| ZW14-394-4 | 2ES-2BS.2BL | 5.42 | 5.8 | 9 | 9 | 97.4 |
| ZW14-391-7 | 2BS•2BL-2EL | 0 | 7.43 | 2.74 | 10.07 | 15.7 |
| ZW14-395-4 | 2ES-2BS•2BL-2EL | 1.3 | 7.26 | 1.61 | 8.05 | 19.0 |
| ZW14-396-7 | 2ES-2BS.2BL | 2 | 7.1 | 0 | 8.13 | 13.1 |
| XWC14-143-59 | 2BS•2BL-2EL | 0 | 6.58 | 3.09 | 10.14 | 18.5 |
| ZW14-398-4 | 2BS-2ES•2EL-2BL | 4.17 | 4.83 | 4.5 | 5.31 | 85.5 |
| ZW14-398-4 | 2BS-2ES-2EL | 4.17 | 5.11 | 6.83 | 6.83 | 92.1 |
| ZW14-399-5 | 2ES-2BS.2BL | 1.68 | 8.72 | 0 | 11.09 | 8.5 |
| XWC14-715-2 | 2BS-2ES-2EL | 3.98 | 6.26 | 7.08 | 7.08 | 82.9 |
| ZW14-401-4 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 3.56 | 11.33 | 0 | 13.16 | 14.5 |
| ZW14-402-6 | 2ES-2BS.2BL | 4.97 | 7.01 | 7.56 | 7.56 | 86.0 |
| ZW14-403-6 | 2BS 2 - ${ }^{\text {EL }}$-2EL | 0 | 6.59 | 1.38 | 8.93 | 8.9 |
| ZW14-405-7 | 2BS-2ES-2EL | 5.46 | 5.98 | 7.61 | 7.61 | 96.2 |
| ZW14-404-7 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 0.99 | 6.73 | 0 | 8.69 | 6.4 |
| ZW14-406-4 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 2.54 | 7 | 0 | 7.95 | 17.0 |
| ZW14-407-4 | 2ES-2BS.2BL | 1.55 | 9.07 | 0 | 13.68 | 6.8 |
| ZW14-408-4 | 2ES-2BS.2BL | 1.23 | 7.74 | 0 | 8.93 | 7.4 |
| ZW14-409-6 | 2BS-2ES.2EL | 2.65 | 4.34 | 6.43 | 6.43 | 84.3 |
| ZW14-410-2 | 2ES-2BS•2BL | 1.47 | 9.86 | 0 | 7.45 | 8.5 |
| ZW14-412-4 | 2BS 2BL-2EL | 1.23 | 10.22 | 0 | 8.99 | 6.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percentage of length of alien chromosome/total length of the translocation chromosome.

## APPENDIX F. SNPS ON THE COMPOSITE BIN MAP OF CHROMOSOME 2B

| Bins | SNPs | Genetic postion $(\mathrm{cM})$ | Physical position (bp) | value | Bins | SNPs | Genetic position $(\mathrm{cM})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | IWB59140 | 20.20997 | 1,272,430 | 9E-44 | 7 | IWB59257 | 18.86909 | 7,909,473 | 4E-46 |
| 2 | IWB11378 | 20.606 | 1,832,804 | 4E-46 | 7 | IWA1413 | 16.87959 | 8,250,178 | 1E-105 |
| 2 | IWB11379 | 20.20997 | 1,832,808 | 4E-46 | 7 | IWB10720 | 16.87959 | 8,567,347 | 4E-46 |
| 3 | IWB17368 | 23.50918 | 2,236,593 | 4E-69 | 7 | IWB2289 | 16.87959 | 8,593,101 | 4E-46 |
| 3 | IWB7407 | 20.606 | 3,300,107 | 4E-46 | 7 | IWB8416 | 17.93047 | 8,598,285 | 5E-24 |
| 3 | IWB15924 | 20.20997 | 3,402,462 | 2E-89 | 8 | IWB75048 | 17.93047 | 9,740,995 | 1E-24 |
| 3 | IWB32317 | 20.20997 | 3,404,583 | 1E-19 | 8 | IWB47291 | 16.87959 | 9,871,592 | 6E-39 |
| 4 | IWA4808 | 20.20997 | 4,185,932 | 5E-66 | 9 | IWB12069 | 16.87959 | 10,781,807 | 4E-46 |
| 4 | IWA4723 | 20.86483 | 4,637,555 | 1E-105 | 9 | IWB46236 | 17.93047 | 10,784,126 | 4E-38 |
| 4 | IWB45010 | 20.86483 | 4,663,284 | 9E-44 | 9 | IWB217 | 16.87959 | 10,784,233 | 4E-46 |
| 4 | IWB43909 | 20.86483 | 4,664,248 | 2E-41 | 9 | IWB991 | 17.93047 | 11,077,398 | 2E-41 |
| 5 | IWB25535 | 20.16008 | 4,988,304 | 4E-46 | 9 | IWB66352 | 16.87959 | 11,077,610 | 2E-41 |
| 6 | IWB50555 | 19.15598 | 6,210,157 | 4E-46 | 9 | IWB66351 | 16.87959 | 11,077,615 | 2E-41 |
| 6 | IWB26054 | 19.84513 | 6,210,321 | 4E-46 | 10 | IWB54334 | 18.18617 | 11,083,846 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB7669 | 19.15598 | 6,253,562 | 2E-32 | 11 | IWB48525 | 23.89897 | 11,389,793 | 7E-43 |
| 6 | IWA6262 | 19.15598 | 6,263,338 | 1E-104 | 11 | IWB3937 | 25.10889 | 11,390,405 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB22941 | 19.15598 | 6,263,842 | 1E-27 | 11 | IWB5503 | 25.10889 | 11,390,603 | 6E-39 |
| 6 | IWB74531 | 16.87959 | 6,313,904 | 4E-46 | 11 | IWB11217 | 23.50918 | 12,076,610 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB32486 | 19.15598 | 6,337,736 | 4E-46 | 11 | IWB6918 | 26.47784 | 12,076,714 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWA7633 | 19.15598 | 6,338,185 | 1E-104 | 11 | IWB1326 | 23.89897 | 12,881,061 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB51150 | 19.15598 | 6,338,608 | $9 \mathrm{E}-44$ | 12 | IWB59943 | 26.47784 | 13,166,109 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB20867 | 16.87959 | 6,338,782 | 4E-46 | 12 | IWB59945 | 23.50918 | 13,166,174 | 9E-44 |
| 6 | IWB26313 | 16.87959 | 6,712,802 | 4E-46 | 12 | IWB48463 | 25.10889 | 13,238,095 | 3E-45 |
| 6 | IWB34938 | 16.87959 | 6,712,858 | 2E-86 | 12 | IWB10931 | 26.47784 | 13,757,972 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB35642 | 16.87959 | 6,712,917 | 6E-55 | 12 | IWB10853 | 26.47784 | 13,767,909 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB26314 | 16.87959 | 6,715,741 | 2E-26 | 12 | IWB42660 | 26.47784 | 14,047,162 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB23866 | 16.87959 | 6,986,006 | 4E-46 | 12 | IWB32344 | 26.47784 | 14,047,446 | 3E-33 |
| 6 | IWB21872 | 16.87959 | 6,986,157 | 4E-46 | 12 | IWB55108 | 26.47784 | 14,047,615 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB55770 | 18.86909 | 7,067,706 | 6E-39 | 12 | IWB27344 | 26.47784 | 14,047,866 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB36390 | 16.87959 | 7,108,761 | 1E-105 | 12 | IWB24314 | 26.47784 | 14,047,984 | 2E-41 |
| 6 | IWB24835 | 16.87959 | 7,429,447 | 4E-46 | 13 | IWB542 | 26.99237 | 16,813,662 | 2E-35 |
| 6 | IWB24834 | 16.87959 | 7,429,546 | 4E-46 | 14 | IWB7915 | 32.16258 | 16,857,574 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB58123 | 16.87959 | 7,429,616 | 2E-45 | 14 | IWB72375 | 26.10676 | 16,871,376 | 8E-29 |
| 6 | IWB24457 | 16.87959 | 7,430,956 | 4E-46 | 14 | IWA2304 | 26.10676 | 16,895,902 | 1E-100 |
| 6 | IWB47038 | 16.87959 | 7,436,667 | 3E-34 | 14 | IWB8328 | 26.10676 | 16,990,776 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB8754 | 16.87959 | 7,436,732 | 4E-46 | 15 | IWB73884 | 27.19818 | 17,388,834 | 2E-41 |
| 6 | IWB11320 | 16.87959 | 7,443,043 | 4E-46 | 15 | IWB11557 | 27.19818 | 17,388,974 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB11321 | 16.87959 | 7,443,155 | 4E-46 | 15 | IWB41644 | 27.19818 | 17,389,177 | 4E-46 |
| 6 | IWB36143 | 16.87959 | 7,579,493 | 3E-19 | 15 | IWB72156 | 27.19818 | 17,390,831 | 2E-41 |


| Bins | SNPs | Genetic postion (cM) | Physical position (bp) | e value | Bins | SNPs | Genetic position (cM) | Physical position (bp) | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | IWB29097 | 26.10676 | 17,390,904 | 2E-41 | 25 | IWB9111 | 42.91462 | 26,581,598 | 4E-46 |
| 15 | IWB7674 | 27.19818 | 17,394,435 | 4E-46 | 25 | IWB9433 | 42.91462 | 26,581,603 | 4E-46 |
| 15 | IWB9583 | 27.19818 | 17,456,915 | 4E-46 | 25 | IWB6410 | 46.76266 | 26,588,861 | 1E-36 |
| 15 | IWB26388 | 27.19818 | 17,564,545 | 4E-46 | 25 | IWB61616 | 42.46558 | 26,752,991 | 4E-46 |
| 15 | IWB59719 | 32.16258 | 17,564,807 | 4E-46 | 25 | IWB8489 | 42.46558 | 26,856,145 | 8E-32 |
| 15 | IWB46573 | 27.19818 | 17,570,893 | 4E-46 | 25 | IWB9006 | 47.49858 | 26,987,132 | 4E-46 |
| 15 | IWB12617 | 27.19818 | 17,572,453 | 4E-46 | 25 | IWB32593 | 48.06924 | 28,293,629 | 3E-35 |
| 15 | IWB7013 | 27.19818 | 17,572,454 | 4E-46 | 25 | IWB69830 | 46.76266 | 28,339,781 | 4E-46 |
| 15 | IWB71519 | 27.19818 | 17,778,990 | 4E-46 | 25 | IWB26149 | 48.54011 | 28,368,571 | 1E-30 |
| 15 | IWB46230 | 27.19818 | 17,780,657 | 9E-44 | 25 | IWB69976 | 48.54011 | 28,415,944 | 4E-46 |
| 15 | IWB24938 | 27.19818 | 17,781,604 | 9E-38 | 25 | IWB12972 | 48.54011 | 28,416,207 | 4E-46 |
| 15 | IWB32197 | 32.16258 | 17,783,253 | 1E-41 | 25 | IWB2368 | 48.54011 | 28,451,656 | 9E-44 |
| 15 | IWB62118 | 28.49541 | 17,787,186 | 2E-32 | 26 | IWA2275 | 48.54011 | 29,032,035 | 1E-105 |
| 15 | IWB43468 | 28.49541 | 17,787,292 | $1 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 26 | IWB22739 | 48.54011 | 29,032,619 | 4E-46 |
| 16 | IWB60877 | 27.19818 | 18,176,463 | 9E-44 | 26 | IWA7106 | 48.54011 | 29,032,622 | 7E-99 |
| 17 | IWB26232 | 27.19818 | 18,263,048 | 1E-24 | 26 | IWB48989 | 48.54011 | 29,156,051 | 4E-46 |
| 17 | IWB26233 | 27.19818 | 18,263,074 | 9E-41 | 26 | IWB12154 | 48.54011 | 29,991,153 | 4E-46 |
| 18 | IWB26231 | 27.19818 | 18,263,358 | 4E-46 | 26 | IWB26791 | 48.54011 | 29,993,208 | 4E-46 |
| 19 | IWB70698 | 32.16258 | 19,375,278 | 9E-44 | 26 | IWB22874 | 51.86738 | 30,465,951 | 9E-44 |
| 19 | IWB9739 | 32.16258 | 19,441,391 | 4E-46 | 26 | IWB36282 | 51.86738 | 30,499,259 | 6E-90 |
| 19 | IWB65752 | 32.16258 | 20,076,011 | 8E-21 | 26 | IWB65439 | 49.64712 | 30,543,512 | 2E-55 |
| 19 | IWB8850 | 32.16258 | 20,077,125 | 4E-46 | 27 | IWB46375 | 53.73527 | 31,622,742 | 6E-45 |
| 19 | IWB57508 | 16.87959 | 20,347,638 | $1 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 27 | IWB12400 | 53.73527 | 32,064,898 | 4E-46 |
| 20 | IWB3039 | 34.25187 | 23,364,288 | 7E-43 | 27 | IWB10910 | 53.73527 | 32,871,698 | 4E-46 |
| 21 | IWB32606 | 34.25187 | 23,543,329 | 6E-39 | 27 | IWB65469 | 53.73527 | 32,963,759 | 7E-12 |
| 22 | IWB10435 | 32.16258 | 24,091,993 | 4E-46 | 27 | IWB2317 | 56.27048 | 33,839,794 | 4E-46 |
| 22 | IWB10616 | 32.16258 | 24,091,994 | 4E-46 | 27 | IWB22495 | 56.27048 | 33,840,506 | 2E-41 |
| 22 | IWB12404 | 38.66744 | 24,264,503 | 4E-46 | 28 | IWB31989 | 56.86609 | 35,284,423 | 3E-35 |
| 22 | IWB10512 | 39.01358 | 24,510,176 | 4E-46 | 28 | IWB31988 | 56.86609 | 35,284,488 | 3E-35 |
| 22 | IWA7936 | 41.35857 | 24,861,141 | 2E-74 | 28 | IWB31987 | 56.86609 | 35,284,620 | 1E-41 |
| 23 | IWA2407 | 38.66744 | 25,017,740 | 2E-97 | 28 | IWB31986 | 56.86609 | 35,284,630 | 1E-41 |
| 23 | IWB47095 | 40.96878 | 25,021,435 | 2E-35 | 28 | IWB62184 | 56.86609 | 35,367,964 | 4E-46 |
| 23 | IWB5813 | 40.96878 | 25,185,961 | 5E-24 | 29 | IWA2441 | 66.19617 | 40,068,242 | 1E-105 |
| 23 | IWB8132 | 42.46558 | 25,236,589 | 1E-33 | 29 | IWA7120 | 66.19617 | 40,448,045 | 3E-61 |
| 24 | IWB6356 | 42.46558 | 25,594,756 | 4E-46 | 29 | IWB21536 | 66.19617 | 40,510,393 | 2E-26 |
| 24 | IWB30434 | 42.46558 | 26,297,600 | 2E-32 | 30 | IWA1931 | 65.44464 | 41,957,387 | 1E-105 |
| 24 | IWB61608 | 42.46558 | 26,298,110 | 1E-30 | 30 | IWA1929 | 65.2669 | 41,960,988 | 1E-105 |
| 24 | IWA8430 | 42.46558 | 26,298,110 | 3E-28 | 30 | IWB10974 | 65.2669 | 42,281,570 | 4E-46 |
| 24 | IWA6768 | 42.46558 | 26,314,314 | 3E-38 | 30 | IWB54956 | 65.2669 | 42,943,582 | 6E-45 |
| 24 | IWB6033 | 46.76266 | 26,564,156 | 2E-35 | 30 | IWB48927 | 65.2669 | 42,953,626 | 9E-38 |


| Bins | SNPs | Genetic postion $(\mathrm{cM})$ | Physical position (bp) | e value | Bins | SNPs | Genetic position (cM) | Physical position (bp) | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | IWA6048 | 67.07242 | 43,629,666 | 1E-105 | 34 | IWB44373 | 74.46912 | 58,675,215 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB14167 | 67.07242 | 44,310,967 | 4E-46 | 34 | IWA2571 | 74.89946 | 58,978,467 | 1E-105 |
| 30 | IWB7336 | 67.07242 | 44,404,954 | 4E-46 | 34 | IWA2572 | 74.89946 | 58,978,827 | 1E-105 |
| 30 | IWB11823 | 67.1036 | 44,424,337 | 4E-46 | 34 | IWB70191 | 74.46912 | 58,993,930 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB39393 | 67.1036 | 45,537,580 | 4E-46 | 35 | IWB54881 | 76.70186 | 63,292,068 | 1E-42 |
| 30 | IWB65494 | 67.54017 | 45,788,663 | 3E-20 | 35 | IWB23929 | 76.70186 | 64,205,007 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWA4284 | 67.54017 | 45,788,714 | 1E-104 | 35 | IWB10193 | 76.70186 | 65,100,057 | 6E-42 |
| 30 | IWB27391 | 67.54017 | 45,793,984 | 6E-39 | 35 | IWB55936 | 76.70186 | 65,100,755 | 8E-29 |
| 30 | IWB43573 | 69.08375 | 46,090,281 | 4E-46 | 35 | IWB29319 | 76.70186 | 65,113,586 | 3E-25 |
| 30 | IWB67043 | 69.08375 | 46,090,703 | 4E-46 | 35 | IWB11952 | 78.99384 | 65,370,483 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB23418 | 69.08375 | 46,091,136 | 9E-44 | 35 | IWB56961 | 76.70186 | 65,375,510 | 9E-44 |
| 30 | IWB32470 | 69.08375 | 46,880,123 | 6E-42 | 36 | IWB24280 | 77.20703 | 66,214,720 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB53473 | 69.08375 | 46,886,731 | 3E-24 | 36 | IWB256 | 77.93672 | 66,544,152 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB24908 | 67.92061 | 46,887,237 | 2E-23 | 36 | IWB21237 | 76.70186 | 66,544,374 | 3E-22 |
| 30 | IWB31710 | 69.08375 | 47,140,460 | 9E-31 | 37 | IWB73973 | 78.99384 | 68,219,192 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB31706 | 69.08375 | 47,140,524 | 2E-38 | 37 | IWB73971 | 78.99384 | 68,220,786 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB31707 | 69.08375 | 47,140,606 | 4E-24 | 37 | IWB39654 | 78.11446 | 68,363,434 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB43754 | 69.08375 | 47,168,997 | 9E-44 | 38 | IWB32008 | 80.77441 | 69,043,295 | 8E-32 |
| 30 | IWB49164 | 69.08375 | 47,169,549 | 5E-33 | 38 | IWB21394 | 79.49277 | 69,344,059 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB43753 | 69.08375 | 47,169,593 | 3E-45 | 38 | IWB61142 | 80.77441 | 69,370,567 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB54529 | 70.72088 | 47,181,366 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWB40809 | 79.49277 | 69,414,716 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB54530 | 70.36539 | 47,181,430 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWB9450 | 81.75045 | 69,648,893 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB54531 | 69.7729 | 47,181,499 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWB72086 | 79.14976 | 69,650,681 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB8281 | 70.36539 | 47,425,734 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWB73904 | 79.49277 | 71,292,516 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB8280 | 69.7729 | 47,426,940 | 6E-45 | 38 | IWB55384 | 79.49277 | 72,577,255 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB34527 | 69.7729 | 47,427,272 | 1E-105 | 38 | IWB48595 | 79.49277 | 72,580,227 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB19719 | 69.7729 | 47,427,955 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWA4652 | 79.49277 | 72,580,310 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ |
| 30 | IWB53589 | 69.7729 | 47,430,632 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWB72776 | 79.74848 | 73,989,699 | 4E-46 |
| 30 | IWB34849 | 69.7729 | 47,430,633 | 1E-105 | 38 | IWB43682 | 79.74848 | 75,693,582 | 4E-46 |
| 31 | IWB9207 | 71.9994 | 50,290,471 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWB43459 | 79.74848 | 75,969,835 | 2E-41 |
| 31 | IWB32171 | 71.9994 | 51,083,638 | 7E-35 | 38 | IWB23422 | 81.75045 | 76,817,110 | 4E-46 |
| 31 | IWB46832 | 71.9994 | 51,890,777 | 2E-23 | 38 | IWA6739 | 79.74848 | 76,817,723 | 2E-99 |
| 32 | IWB6117 | 71.9994 | 52,669,992 | 4E-40 | 38 | IWA6740 | 79.74848 | 76,818,180 | 5E-38 |
| 32 | IWB34673 | 71.9994 | 52,670,346 | 1E-93 | 38 | IWB36769 | 79.74848 | 76,916,706 | 4E-46 |
| 32 | IWB44675 | 71.9994 | 52,700,856 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWB27355 | 79.74848 | 76,918,651 | 9E-44 |
| 32 | IWB35959 | 71.9994 | 52,872,937 | 1E-47 | 38 | IWB27354 | 79.74848 | 76,918,671 | 9E-44 |
| 33 | IWA7916 | 71.9994 | 53,464,964 | 1E-105 | 38 | IWB41771 | 79.74848 | 76,922,118 | 6E-39 |
| 34 | IWB43273 | 74.46912 | 56,784,859 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWB60907 | 79.74848 | 76,929,459 | 4E-46 |
| 34 | IWB2702 | 73.74879 | 57,651,518 | 3E-22 | 38 | IWB29747 | 79.74848 | 77,172,620 | 4E-46 |
| 34 | IWB72380 | 73.74879 | 58,325,009 | 4E-46 | 38 | IWB69854 | 79.74848 | 77,172,889 | 9E-44 |


| Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { postion }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | Physical position (bp) | e value | Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { position }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | IWB69853 | 79.74848 | 77,172,967 | 4E-46 | 43 | IWA5392 | 85.25235 | 104,237,306 | 7E-85 |
| 38 | IWB73250 | 79.74848 | 77,290,852 | $1 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 43 | IWB73495 | 84.69105 | 104,237,413 | 4E-46 |
| 38 | IWB73252 | 79.74848 | 77,291,044 | 4E-46 | 43 | IWB11072 | 83.79609 | 104,237,414 | 4E-46 |
| 39 | IWB49878 | 80.37215 | 87,350,805 | 4E-46 | 43 | IWB53367 | 86.4498 | 104,240,017 | 3E-37 |
| 39 | IWB62513 | 80.85549 | 87,351,775 | 4E-46 | 43 | IWB40265 | 86.4498 | 104,242,552 | 2E-23 |
| 40 | IWB63381 | 80.37215 | 89,305,600 | 4E-46 | 43 | IWB47284 | 86.4498 | 104,242,982 | 4E-46 |
| 40 | IWB60107 | 81.95315 | 89,308,426 | 2E-23 | 43 | IWB22995 | 86.4498 | 104,243,134 | 4E-46 |
| 40 | IWB45402 | 81.95315 | 89,313,010 | 4E-46 | 43 | IWB64716 | 86.4498 | 104,816,448 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB46988 | 80.85549 | 89,315,221 | 4E-46 | 43 | IWB10568 | 81.95315 | 105,375,881 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB7072 | 80.77441 | 89,491,656 | 4E-46 | 43 | IWB6075 | 87.12024 | 105,696,297 | 5E-27 |
| 41 | IWB11187 | 80.77441 | 89,491,846 | 4E-46 | 43 | IWB58252 | 87.12024 | 105,696,297 | 8E-35 |
| 41 | IWB23644 | 80.77441 | 89,558,483 | 4E-46 | 44 | IWB73598 | 86.4498 | 105,909,245 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB65391 | 80.77441 | 90,014,074 | 3E-20 | 44 | IWB70370 | 87.18572 | 105,909,301 | 8E-32 |
| 41 | IWB72307 | 82.46767 | 91,044,210 | 4E-46 | 44 | IWB47379 | 86.4498 | 105,909,328 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB70041 | 83.95824 | 91,259,384 | 3E-19 | 44 | IWB47380 | 86.4498 | 105,909,355 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB7781 | 82.29305 | 91,547,952 | 4E-46 | 44 | IWB45822 | 87.18572 | 105,913,021 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB31001 | 82.12466 | 91,836,588 | 4E-46 | 44 | IWB50438 | 86.4498 | 105,991,619 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB71775 | 82.43337 | 91,837,797 | 4E-46 | 45 | IWB45048 | 87.43519 | 108,238,044 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB31002 | 82.43337 | 91,837,798 | 4E-46 | 45 | IWB55365 | 88.4393 | 110,480,414 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB36919 | 82.43337 | 91,837,869 | 9E-44 | 46 | WA608 | 88.92888 | 115,381,191 | 6E-58 |
| 41 | IWB7346 | 82.46767 | 92,996,903 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWA607 | 88.92888 | 115,381,728 | 6E-58 |
| 41 | IWB36124 | 82.12466 | 93,409,767 | 8E-41 | 46 | IWB71005 | 88.4393 | 116,157,770 | 4E-46 |
| 41 | IWB72894 | 82.46767 | 94,033,158 | 9E-44 | 46 | IWB18439 | 88.92888 | 116,272,037 | 5E-84 |
| 41 | IWB72760 | 83.39382 | 94,192,783 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWB59396 | 88.70124 | 116,323,292 | 3E-45 |
| 41 | IWB36550 | 84.17964 | 94,927,862 | 2E-32 | 46 | IWB42992 | 88.92888 | 116,324,736 | 6E-42 |
| 41 | IWB35850 | 83.39382 | 95,797,358 | 2E-57 | 46 | IWA3329 | 88.92888 | 117,618,445 | 2E-49 |
| 41 | IWB10024 | 81.95315 | 97,188,903 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWA7030 | 88.92888 | 118,285,365 | 1E-69 |
| 42 | IWB3877 | 81.75669 | 97,952,873 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWA7029 | 88.92888 | 118,286,601 | 1E-105 |
| 42 | IWB55924 | 83.79609 | 98,298,288 | 7E-26 | 46 | IWB39369 | 88.92888 | 118,286,953 | 4E-46 |
| 42 | IWB41316 | 81.95315 | 98,299,577 | 9E-44 | 46 | IWB39370 | 88.92888 | 118,287,555 | 4E-46 |
| 42 | IWA5818 | 83.79609 | 99,759,678 | 1E-105 | 46 | IWA2887 | 88.8634 | 120,590,348 | 4E-60 |
| 42 | IWA4673 | 83.79609 | 99,760,903 | 2E-34 | 46 | IWB36727 | 88.70124 | 120,590,700 | 5E-24 |
| 42 | IWB74841 | 83.79609 | 99,760,904 | 3E-34 | 46 | IWB49035 | 88.8634 | 121,357,812 | 1E-27 |
| 42 | IWB74844 | 87.18572 | 99,765,448 | 7E-26 | 46 | IWA295 | 88.8634 | 121,364,887 | 4E-57 |
| 42 | IWB7738 | 83.79609 | 99,773,057 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWB35350 | 88.8634 | 121,367,430 | 2E-46 |
| 42 | IWB26449 | 83.79609 | 100,088,852 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWB4951 | 88.8634 | 121,367,431 | 3E-34 |
| 42 | IWB68550 | 83.79609 | 100,095,144 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWB5826 | 88.8634 | 121,370,808 | 4E-46 |
| 42 | IWB24889 | 87.18572 | 100,101,507 | 2E-45 | 46 | IWA762 | 88.8634 | 121,370,809 | 1E-105 |
| 42 | IWA4135 | 84.69105 | 102,977,549 | 1E-105 | 46 | IWB10430 | 88.8634 | 122,010,450 | 4E-46 |
| 43 | IWB30853 | 87.12024 | 104,236,762 | 6E-45 | 46 | IWB42880 | 88.70124 | 122,265,599 | 4E-46 |


| Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { postion }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | Physical position (bp) | alue | Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { position }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | IWB37019 | 88.8634 | 122,265,753 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWB12063 | 90.97139 | 140,850,078 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB38875 | 88.70124 | 122,265,756 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWB63625 | 90.97139 | 141,559,397 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB6599 | 88.8634 | 122,267,554 | 9E-44 | 46 | IWB63624 | 90.97139 | 141,564,720 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB42289 | 89.10662 | 122,697,195 | 4E-46 | 46 | IWB54123 | 90.97139 | 142,374,592 | 2E-23 |
| 46 | IWB35614 | 89.10662 | 122,697,196 | $1 \mathrm{E}-100$ | 46 | IWB11184 | 90.97139 | 142,446,105 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB51653 | 89.10662 | 122,701,236 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB62466 | 92.19378 | 143,773,951 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB32 | 89.63674 | 122,756,813 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB35283 | 92.19378 | 144,431,599 | 1E-105 |
| 46 | IWA3824 | 89.33738 | 122,756,814 | E-101 | 47 | IWB33921 | 92.19378 | 144,432,958 | 3E-37 |
| 46 | IWB7348 | 89.63674 | 123,062,358 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWA429 | 92.5711 | 146,636,945 | 6E-58 |
| 46 | IWB6607 | 88.8634 | 126,731,282 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB26224 | 92.5711 | 146,717,449 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB34697 | 90.97139 | 126,731,442 | 2E-61 | 47 | IWB48352 | 92.5711 | 146,717,673 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB58852 | 90.97139 | 126,948,190 | 1E-36 | 47 | IWB48351 | 92.5711 | 146,717,933 | 9E-44 |
| 46 | IWB58853 | 88.8634 | 126,949,324 | 3E-34 | 47 | IWB51418 | 92.5711 | 146,732,976 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB58854 | 88.8634 | 126,949,416 | 8E-32 | 47 | IWB51419 | 92.5711 | 146,735,843 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB11285 | 89.63674 | 131,130,470 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB35158 | 92.5711 | 148,239,847 | 1E-105 |
| 46 | IWA4532 | 89.63674 | 131,572,333 | E-105 | 47 | IWB63570 | 92.2811 | 148,589,582 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWA1114 | 89.63674 | 131,573,925 | 1E-105 | 47 | IWB63569 | 92.2811 | 148,589,796 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWA8046 | 89.63674 | 131,574,747 | E-105 | 47 | IWB56514 | 88.08381 | 149,841,690 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB9085 | 89.77707 | 132,518,532 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB38081 | 92.20625 | 149,842,993 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB32005 | 88.4393 | 135,000,501 | 2E-40 | 47 | IWB66885 | 92.2811 | 150,607,851 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB32007 | 88.4393 | 135,000,544 | 2E-40 | 47 | IWB34871 | 92.20625 | 151,643,468 | 1E-105 |
| 46 | IWB22202 | 88.4393 | 135,002,104 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB73197 | 93.01079 | 152,175,265 | E-44 |
| 46 | IWB11568 | 88.4393 | 135,003,886 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB68715 | 93.01079 | 152,712,540 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB60585 | 90.2417 | 135,007,974 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB11527 | 93.01079 | 153,223,664 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB22257 | 88.8634 | 136,150,897 | 1E-27 | 47 | IWB73834 | 93.01079 | 153,223,758 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB3455 | 88.8634 | 136,154,643 | 5E-24 | 47 | IWA6509 | 93.01079 | 153,223,759 | 1E-104 |
| 46 | IWB36600 | 88.4393 | 136,430,582 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB74443 | 92.20625 | 153,227,087 | 5E-36 |
| 46 | IWB24397 | 88.4393 | 138,117,670 | 4E-46 | 47 | IWB7825 | 93.01079 | 153,610,499 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB8772 | 88.4393 | 138,536,902 | 4E-46 | 48 | IWB8214 | 93.28208 | 154,911,183 | 2E-35 |
| 46 | IWB22543 | 88.4393 | 139,068,437 | 4E-46 | 48 | IWB32260 | 90.97139 | 157,639,992 | 1E-33 |
| 46 | IWB34545 | 88.4393 | 139,068,438 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ | 48 | IWB21988 | 93.46606 | 157,688,842 | 2E-44 |
| 46 | IWA328 | 91.29258 | 139,814,569 | 6E-58 | 48 | IWB53512 | 93.46606 | 157,693,584 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB45082 | 90.97139 | 140,765,055 | 4E-46 | 48 | IWB52095 | 93.46606 | 157,694,646 | 3E-34 |
| 46 | IWB53499 | 90.97139 | 140,772,477 | 2E-32 | 49 | IWA10 | 93.46606 | 158,432,170 | 6E-58 |
| 46 | IWB12056 | 90.97139 | 140,775,160 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWA4894 | 93.46606 | 158,618,930 | 1E-102 |
| 46 | IWB44515 | 93.01079 | 140,776,053 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWB11750 | 93.46606 | 158,622,283 | 3E-34 |
| 46 | IWB61587 | 88.4393 | 140,776,871 | 7E-23 | 49 | IWB11751 | 93.46606 | 158,624,762 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB47399 | 90.97139 | 140,777,084 | 2E-44 | 49 | IWB35747 | 93.46606 | 159,205,820 | 5E-38 |
| 46 | IWB44975 | 90.97139 | 140,845,993 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWB32441 | 93.46606 | 159,207,016 | 4E-46 |
| 46 | IWB7335 | 90.97139 | 140,850,052 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWB32439 | 93.46606 | 159,207,101 | 5E-36 |


| Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { postion }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value | Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { position }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | IWB30179 | 93.46606 | 159,207,419 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWB53627 | 96.98667 | 199,021,553 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB42613 | 93.46606 | 159,208,094 | $1 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 49 | IWB57218 | 96.98667 | 200,904,871 | 8E-35 |
| 49 | IWB32069 | 93.46606 | 160,904,115 | 2E-37 | 49 | IWB22676 | 96.98667 | 202,740,572 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB65998 | 93.46606 | 161,406,230 | 3E-20 | 49 | IWA7821 | 96.37236 | 202,917,364 | 1E-105 |
| 49 | IWB24026 | 93.46606 | 161,409,260 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWB54447 | 97.69453 | 202,917,823 | 9E-44 |
| 49 | IWB60513 | 93.46606 | 161,468,676 | 2E-29 | 49 | IWB58691 | 97.5355 | 206,113,217 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWA50 | 93.84026 | 164,089,285 | 6E-58 | 49 | IWB59317 | 96.98667 | 206,373,685 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB8031 | 95.14685 | 164,107,335 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWB39776 | 97.5355 | 206,752,113 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB31804 | 93.46606 | 164,896,491 | 1E-24 | 49 | IWA169 | 96.98667 | 209,097,111 | 4E-57 |
| 49 | IWB74209 | 96.98667 | 164,938,839 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWA170 | 96.98667 | 209,097,178 | 4E-57 |
| 49 | IWA6554 | 96.98667 | 164,938,840 | E-104 | 49 | IWB57293 | 97.69453 | 210,262,587 | 5E-33 |
| 49 | IWB44316 | 95.14685 | 165,506,841 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWB9584 | 97.69453 | 210,455,800 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB48765 | 96.98667 | 171,024,930 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWB14239 | 96.98667 | 210,652,110 | 8E-25 |
| 49 | IWB40886 | 96.98667 | 172,215,534 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWB14712 | 96.98667 | 210,652,110 | 1E-26 |
| 49 | IWA4388 | 96.98667 | 172,890,859 | 4E-51 | 49 | IWA776 | 96.98667 | 210,652,110 | 1E-52 |
| 49 | IWB54344 | 96.98667 | 174,394,262 | 3E-37 | 49 | IWA771 | 96.98667 | 210,652,215 | 6E-82 |
| 49 | IWB47155 | 96.13537 | 179,397,163 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWA777 | 96.98667 | 210,652,216 | 4E-74 |
| 49 | IWB54789 | 96.98667 | 179,399,008 | 2E-35 | 49 | IWA1130 | 96.98667 | 210,652,216 | 6E-79 |
| 49 | IWB6357 | 95.82353 | 180,568,905 | 4E-46 | 49 | IWA1237 | 96.98667 | 210,652,243 | 3E-45 |
| 49 | IWB6330 | 95.82353 | 180,569,211 | 1E-30 | 49 | IWA1229 | 96.98667 | 210,652,282 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB65377 | 96.13537 | 181,614,629 | 8E-21 | 50 | IWB4546 | 97.37334 | 211,535,314 | E-44 |
| 49 | IWB13596 | 96.98667 | 183,315,454 | 9E-44 | 50 | IWB65990 | 96.37236 | 212,297,743 | E-20 |
| 49 | IWB35916 | 95.82353 | 183,315,492 | 2E-57 | 50 | IWB64392 | 98.75789 | 212,943,615 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB5784 | 95.82353 | 183,315,493 | 9E-44 | 50 | IWA7520 | 98.52713 | 213,254,278 | 1E-105 |
| 49 | IWB7451 | 96.98667 | 184,874,623 | 5E-30 | 50 | IWB31492 | 98.52713 | 214,283,245 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB48313 | 96.13537 | 185,761,574 | 4E-46 | 50 | IWB55026 | 98.52713 | 214,587,429 | 2E-41 |
| 49 | IWB62369 | 96.98667 | 185,806,940 | 3E-37 | 50 | IWB32222 | 98.52713 | 214,588,281 | 9E-44 |
| 49 | IWB7715 | 98.52713 | 187,197,406 | 5E-27 | 50 | IWB48168 | 99.73081 | 214,596,658 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWA5149 | 97.26421 | 189,594,755 | 1E-105 | 50 | IWB52411 | 98.52713 | 215,204,347 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWA4984 | 95.14685 | 189,603,420 | 1E-105 | 50 | IWA1981 | 96.98667 | 215,204,441 | 1E-104 |
| 49 | IWB7968 | 95.14685 | 189,603,683 | 4E-46 | 50 | IWB49756 | 96.98667 | 216,463,275 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWA2977 | 95.14685 | 189,603,983 | 1E-105 | 50 | IWB72081 | 96.98667 | 216,467,653 | 2E-45 |
| 49 | IWB20601 | 95.14685 | 189,612,751 | 9E-44 | 50 | IWB56541 | 96.98667 | 234,634,297 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB58207 | 95.14685 | 189,619,966 | 4E-46 | 50 | IWB56542 | 96.98667 | 234,634,390 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWA5059 | 95.14685 | 189,619,967 | 3E-64 | 50 | IWB4008 | 96.98667 | 234,636,794 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB30276 | 97.26421 | 190,018,049 | 2E-41 | 50 | IWB23131 | 98.52713 | 236,806,649 | 3E-37 |
| 49 | IWA3213 | 95.14685 | 190,018,049 | 1E-105 | 50 | IWB12643 | 98.52713 | 237,177,073 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB40922 | 96.98667 | 191,752,685 | 4E-46 | 50 | IWB12642 | 98.52713 | 237,177,079 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWA3656 | 96.98667 | 192,364,853 | 1E-105 | 50 | IWB59746 | 98.92316 | 239,646,060 | 4E-46 |
| 49 | IWB36818 | 96.98667 | 195,664,018 | 1E-29 | 50 | IWB27246 | 97.6041 | 239,647,340 | 7E-28 |


| Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { postion }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value | Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { position }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | IWB74681 | 99.15704 | 240,318,305 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWA4420 | 99.15704 | 393,468,425 | 1E-105 |
| 50 | IWB23529 | 99.15704 | 242,825,134 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWA1935 | 99.80254 | 393,469,968 | 2E-92 |
| 50 | IWB59779 | 99.73081 | 244,572,477 | 3E-34 | 52 | IWA6505 | 99.80254 | 393,470,565 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ |
| 50 | IWB8174 | 98.52713 | 245,008,366 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWA6438 | 99.15704 | 395,541,132 | 1E-105 |
| 50 | IWB43295 | 99.15704 | 245,790,743 | 4E-43 | 52 | IWB31020 | 99.5593 | 396,338,354 | 4E-46 |
| 50 | IWB27810 | 99.15704 | 245,888,170 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWA5575 | 99.5593 | 396,338,513 | 105 |
| 50 | IWB7755 | 99.15704 | 245,888,698 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB50192 | 99.5593 | 396,338,514 | 4E-46 |
| 50 | IWB22058 | 100.2048 | 246,068,689 | 3E-39 | 52 | IWA4224 | 99.5593 | 397,244,839 | 1E-75 |
| 50 | IWB10611 | 99.73081 | 249,198,748 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWA4399 | 99.5593 | 397,446,866 | 4E-92 |
| 50 | IWB28408 | 99.16016 | 249,370,504 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB46574 | 99.80254 | 397,920,069 | 4E-46 |
| 50 | IWB59321 | 99.16016 | 249,374,614 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB2914 | 99.80254 | 398,707,071 | 4E-43 |
| 50 | IWB25870 | 99.73081 | 250,257,043 | 9E-44 | 52 | IWB54991 | 99.80254 | 399,339,149 | 9E-44 |
| 50 | IWB1699 | 99.15704 | 251,770,161 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB13970 | 99.80254 | 403,084,898 | 4E-42 |
| 50 | IWB64603 | 99.15704 | 275,326,925 | 2E-23 | 52 | IWB44851 | 99.80254 | 403,698,727 | 4E-46 |
| 51 | IWB56551 | 98.27766 | 359,861,257 | $1 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 52 | IWA7959 | 99.16016 | 403,700,745 | 2E-37 |
| 51 | IWA3817 | 99.16016 | 363,979,244 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ | 52 | IWA2625 | 99.73081 | 404,427,500 | $1 \mathrm{E}-102$ |
| 51 | IWB10875 | 99.73081 | 381,795,129 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWA3554 | 99.73081 | 404,427,892 | 1E-105 |
| 51 | IWB65593 | 99.73081 | 381,917,350 | 3E-20 | 52 | IWA4014 | 99.80254 | 405,646,463 | 1E-104 |
| 51 | IWB8863 | 99.16016 | 382,083,911 | 4E-43 | 52 | IWB61966 | 99.73081 | 405,752,165 | 4E-46 |
| 51 | IWB63504 | 99.16016 | 382,597,848 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB55096 | 99.80254 | 406,110,848 | 4E-46 |
| 51 | IWA4751 | 99.80254 | 383,279,937 | 1E-104 | 52 | IWB25934 | 99.78383 | 407,237,657 | 4E-46 |
| 51 | IWB64 | 99.80254 | 384,319,875 | 2E-23 | 52 | IWA1309 | 99.80254 | 407,239,208 | 1E-105 |
| 51 | IWB12709 | 99.73081 | 384,397,739 | 2E-26 | 52 | IWA5248 | 99.5593 | 409,344,508 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ |
| 51 | IWB22197 | 99.73081 | 384,749,502 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB5084 | 99.5593 | 409,344,545 | 2E-41 |
| 51 | IWB56047 | 99.15704 | 385,193,677 | 1E-33 | 52 | IWB64311 | 99.80254 | 411,143,395 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB27089 | 99.73081 | 385,201,729 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB1207 | 99.5593 | 412,659,517 | 6E-45 |
| 52 | IWA3258 | 99.73081 | 385,201,730 | 1E-105 | 52 | IWB57571 | 99.5593 | 412,663,741 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB38467 | 99.80254 | 385,202,019 | 9E-44 | 52 | IWB47236 | 99.5593 | 412,664,657 | 9E-44 |
| 52 | IWB35625 | 99.80254 | 385,564,300 | 6E-58 | 52 | IWB55932 | 99.5593 | 412,665,218 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA5723 | 99.5593 | 385,564,890 | $1 \mathrm{E}-75$ | 52 | IWB57577 | 97.26421 | 412,717,124 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA3210 | 99.73081 | 385,573,169 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ | 52 | IWB57574 | 99.5593 | 412,718,879 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB509 | 99.80254 | 387,103,786 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB29821 | 99.73081 | 412,990,278 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA2739 | 99.80254 | 388,950,114 | 1E-87 | 52 | IWA3948 | 99.5593 | 412,991,606 | 1E-105 |
| 52 | IWA2025 | 99.27242 | 389,410,479 | 2E-99 | 52 | IWB26389 | 99.86802 | 413,063,885 | 5E-33 |
| 52 | IWA6723 | 99.16016 | 389,462,211 | 4E-92 | 52 | IWA6009 | 99.5593 | 413,864,793 | 1E-105 |
| 52 | IWA4881 | 99.73081 | 389,471,745 | $1 \mathrm{E}-100$ | 52 | IWB43065 | 99.5593 | 413,865,666 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA4880 | 99.5593 | 389,472,491 | 4E-57 | 52 | IWA5259 | 99.5593 | 413,865,667 | 1E-103 |
| 52 | IWB64782 | 99.5593 | 390,116,879 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB21075 | 99.5593 | 413,865,723 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA5678 | 99.73081 | 390,944,064 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ | 52 | IWB43068 | 99.79318 | 413,865,888 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB57964 | 99.15704 | 390,976,224 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB21074 | 99.80254 | 413,866,250 | 4E-46 |


| Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { postion }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | alu | Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { position }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | IWA5261 | 99.5593 | 413,866,312 | 1E-104 | 52 | IWA837 | 99.73081 | 448,080,536 | 3E-78 |
| 52 | IWB43066 | 99.5593 | 413,866,468 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWA6966 | 99.86802 | 449,842,050 | 1E-105 |
| 52 | IWA5263 | 99.86802 | 413,866,719 | $1 \mathrm{E}-58$ | 52 | IWB42378 | 99.86802 | 450,184,271 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB43799 | 99.90544 | 414,072,483 | 9E-44 | 52 | IWA2972 | 99.16016 | 450,184,272 | 1E-105 |
| 52 | IWB64297 | 99.5593 | 415,055,295 | 2E-44 | 52 | IWB9833 | 99.80254 | 452,811,221 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA4696 | 99.5593 | 415,058,500 | 8E-57 | 52 | IWA587 | 99.73081 | 453,267,263 | 6E-58 |
| 52 | IWA3696 | 99.5593 | 416,874,849 | 1E-105 | 52 | IWA586 | 99.73081 | 453,267,358 | 6E-58 |
| 52 | IWA310 | 99.5593 | 417,080,910 | 6E-58 | 52 | IWB2679 | 99.73081 | 453,272,781 | 3E-34 |
| 52 | IWA2050 | 99.5593 | 417,793,523 | 5E-47 | 52 | IWA1661 | 99.80254 | 453,752,054 | 2E-71 |
| 52 | IWA3453 | 99.79318 | 420,610,461 | 1E-105 | 52 | IWB48057 | 99.16016 | 453,752,055 | 6E-42 |
| 52 | IWA3452 | 99.79318 | 420,610,708 | 3E-68 | 52 | IWB23106 | 99.73081 | 454,326,756 | 1E-24 |
| 52 | IWB45225 | 99.79318 | 422,732,184 | 9E-44 | 52 | IWB51413 | 99.73081 | 456,025,530 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB30548 | 99.79318 | 423,661,778 | 3E-45 | 52 | IWB3491 | 99.73081 | 456,053,339 | 2E-26 |
| 52 | IWA5090 | 99.79318 | 423,661,779 | E-104 | 52 | IWB30844 | 99.73081 | 456,173,891 | 3E-33 |
| 52 | IWA5091 | 99.79318 | 423,661,851 | E-104 | 52 | IWB29666 | 99.86802 | 456,176,919 | 2E-44 |
| 52 | IWA2544 | 99.73081 | 423,663,219 | E-105 | 52 | IWB2458 | 99.73081 | 457,343,204 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA742 | 99.79318 | 423,904,697 | E-105 | 52 | IWB43188 | 99.73081 | 457,348,618 | 2E-23 |
| 52 | IWA4136 | 99.16016 | 424,332,372 | E-105 | 52 | IWA5794 | 99.73081 | 457,680,600 | 3E-66 |
| 52 | IWA2465 | 99.80254 | 429,145,485 | 1E-105 | 52 | IWA3840 | 99.73081 | 458,622,067 | 1E-105 |
| 52 | IWB61024 | 99.79318 | 429,147,181 | 9E-44 | 52 | IWB57693 | 99.73081 | 461,523,481 | E-44 |
| 52 | IWA4822 | 99.79318 | 433,540,162 | E-105 | 52 | IWA7684 | 99.73081 | 461,980,866 | 1E-87 |
| 52 | IWB57846 | 99.5593 | 438,932,738 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB3118 | 99.73081 | 462,936,077 | 9E-44 |
| 52 | IWA2184 | 99.79318 | 439,225,260 | 1E-105 | 52 | IWB46692 | 99.73081 | 462,947,184 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB34438 | 99.79318 | 439,225,262 | E-103 | 52 | IWB28450 | 99.73081 | 462,949,436 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA389 | 99.5593 | 439,770,415 | 6E-58 | 52 | IWB685 | 99.73081 | 463,771,117 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB52349 | 99.73081 | 440,214,910 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB52240 | 99.73081 | 467,476,357 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB59508 | 99.80254 | 440,825,282 | 7E-23 | 52 | IWA326 | 99.73081 | 476,408,035 | 6E-58 |
| 52 | IWB41831 | 99.80254 | 442,338,782 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB67903 | 99.90544 | 476,415,666 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB62931 | 99.80254 | 442,518,430 | 7E-24 | 52 | IWB66959 | 99.90544 | 476,563,279 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA5290 | 99.80254 | 442,518,432 | 3E-48 | 52 | IWB1518 | 99.41586 | 494,374,288 | 3E-25 |
| 52 | IWB34687 | 99.80254 | 442,522,682 | 2E-31 | 52 | IWB1520 | 99.41586 | 494,375,520 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB49222 | 99.80254 | 442,524,073 | 4E-33 | 52 | IWB72999 | 99.41586 | 494,375,521 | 2E-41 |
| 52 | IWB75295 | 99.80254 | 442,524,144 | 9E-44 | 52 | IWB4602 | 101.5363 | 522,835,671 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB952 | 99.73081 | 442,569,637 | 6E-39 | 52 | IWB21339 | 102.2754 | 529,102,584 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWB55149 | 99.86802 | 442,792,857 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB52801 | 102.2754 | 529,103,765 | 8E-32 |
| 52 | IWB25961 | 99.86802 | 442,793,207 | 9E-44 | 52 | IWA1690 | 102.2754 | 529,108,090 | 1E-105 |
| 52 | IWB55148 | 99.80254 | 442,794,504 | 4E-46 | 52 | IWB30234 | 102.2255 | 529,539,008 | 9E-41 |
| 52 | IWB396 | 99.16016 | 442,794,540 | 2E-41 | 52 | IWB72986 | 102.2255 | 530,046,504 | 7E-26 |
| 52 | IWA6216 | 99.73081 | 442,796,872 | 1E-104 | 52 | IWB69362 | 102.2255 | 530,098,933 | 4E-46 |
| 52 | IWA2081 | 99.73081 | 445,683,704 | 1E-44 | 52 | IWB36462 | 102.2255 | 530,730,830 | 1E-36 |


| Bin | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { postion }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | Physical position (bp) | e value | Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { position }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | Physical position (bp) | ue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | IWA5008 | 102.2255 | 539,811,500 | 2E-86 | 53 | IWB36041 | 105.322 | 599,813,155 | 2E-48 |
| 52 | IWB24879 | 102.2255 | 539,961,921 | 6 | 54 | IWB32126 | 105 | 0 | 37 |
| 52 | IWA4853 | 104.3896 | 5 | 1E-105 | 54 | IWB50067 | 106.5631 | 4 | 2E-41 |
| 52 | IWA5525 | 104.3896 | 540,428,618 | 1E-87 | 54 | IWA3035 | 105.9082 | 9 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ |
| 52 | IWA6969 | 104.3896 | 5 | 1E-105 | 54 | IWA3037 | 105.9082 | 606,040,685 | E-81 |
| 52 | IWA2253 | 102.2255 | 541,106,633 | 7E-68 | 54 | WA2189 | 105.9082 | 07,244,845 | 2E-58 |
| 53 | IWB22298 | 104.4146 | 542,355,044 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWA1304 | 105.9082 | 608,201,426 | 04 |
| 53 | IWA2955 | 102.2255 | 5 | 1E-105 | 54 | IWB26404 | 105.9082 | 608,201,459 | 4 |
| 53 | IWB12692 | 102.2255 | 543,704,834 | 4E-46 | 54 | WA1305 | 105.9082 | 608,201,977 | 05 |
| 53 | IWA3786 | 103.3356 | 5 | 4 | 54 | IWB52361 | 105.9082 | 608,231,168 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWA5846 | 103.3356 | 5 | 1 | 54 | IWB43954 | 105 | 608,942,339 | 46 |
| 53 | IWB46339 | 103.3356 | 5 | 1 E | 54 | WA6453 | 106.5631 | 611,065,528 | 0 |
| 53 | IWB50897 | 103.0893 | 546 | 4 E | 54 | IWB13303 | 106.56 | 611,282,850 | 1 |
| 53 | IWB32588 | 102.9832 | 546 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB8535 | 106.56 | 612,213,302 | 2E-41 |
| 53 | IWB26325 | 102.9832 | 546 | 4 E | 54 | IWB55736 | 106.56 | 612,684,670 | 46 |
| 53 | IWB36708 | 102.9832 | 547,058,576 | 4E-46 | 54 | WA2237 | 107.00 | 612,686,935 | 03 |
| 53 | IWB64779 | 103.6163 | 553,623,475 | 4 E | 54 | IWB22798 | 106.56 | 615,877,735 | 43 |
| 53 | IWB69271 | 103.6163 | 554,292,532 | 3E-19 | 54 | IWB60374 | 107.00 | 616,928,762 | 2E-23 |
| 53 | IWB63790 | 104.3896 | 555,840,835 | 4 E | 54 | WA4256 | 107.00 | 616,928,763 | 6E-53 |
| 53 | IWB67168 | 103.8252 | 556,200,749 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB60039 | 107.00 | 616,956,509 | 9E-44 |
| 53 | IWB67169 | 103.8252 | 556,201,004 | 6E-45 | 54 | IWB28486 | 107.00 | 616,962,562 | 46 |
| 53 | IWB67170 | 104.2493 | 556,201,226 | 3E-37 | 54 | IWB21140 | 107.0059 | 616,966,109 | 2E-29 |
| 53 | IWB42742 | 103.8252 | 556,202,628 | 6E-39 | 54 | IWB49862 | 107.0059 | 616,969,770 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWB69109 | 106.5631 | 556,677,220 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB49783 | 107.0059 | 616,969,938 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWB56173 | 106.5631 | 556,685,803 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB27165 | 107.0059 | 617,140,983 | 9E-44 |
| 53 | IWB63896 | 104.3896 | 559,427,945 | 3E-28 | 54 | IWB48279 | 107.0059 | 617,141,017 | 9E-44 |
| 53 | IWB63900 | 104.4146 | 559,429,762 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB27166 | 107.0059 | 617,141,464 | 6E-39 |
| 53 | IWB63897 | 104.3896 | 559,430,384 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB48278 | 107.0059 | 617,142,634 | 9E-44 |
| 53 | IWB874 | 104.3896 | 561,283,604 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB21199 | 107.0059 | 617,142,917 | 9E-44 |
| 53 | IWB5039 | 106.5631 | 563,043,722 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB59086 | 107.0059 | 619,044,539 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWB2052 | 104.3896 | 563,045,881 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB73040 | 107.4861 | 621,185,388 | 2E-45 |
| 53 | IWB46098 | 104.3896 | 564,233,222 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB73022 | 106.5631 | 622,918,546 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWB68043 | 104.3896 | 564,234,672 | 5E-36 | 54 | IWB39865 | 107.1494 | 635,199,129 | 2E-38 |
| 53 | IWB21895 | 104.9104 | 564,921,623 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB73198 | 108.0381 | 635,206,667 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWB28529 | 109.5255 | 573,200,421 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB73199 | 108.0381 | 635,207,022 | 9E-44 |
| 53 | IWB64817 | 109.2449 | 586,120,536 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB71648 | 107.3895 | 636,209,105 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWB35013 | 109.2449 | 592,584,191 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ | 54 | IWB72411 | 108.0381 | 637,574,237 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWA5411 | 108.0381 | 593,675,130 | 6E-62 | 54 | IWB64321 | 108.0381 | 637,574,238 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWB43186 | 108.0381 | 594,849,586 | 4E-46 | 54 | IWB72407 | 107.3895 | 637,574,450 | 4E-46 |
| 53 | IWB7263 | 108.0381 | 595,126,655 | 2E-41 | 54 | IWB65589 | 108.3468 | 638,630,217 | 3E-98 |


| Bins | SNPs | Genetic postion (cM) | Physical position (bp) | e value | Bins | SNPs | Genetic position (cM) | Physical position (bp) | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | IWB9310 | 108.3468 | 638,955,436 | 9E-44 | 56 | IWB32487 | 109.5255 | 657,844,054 | 2E-38 |
| 54 | IWB14419 | 108.0381 | 640,103,065 | 1E-36 | 56 | IWB32488 | 109.5255 | 657,844,107 | 2E-28 |
| 54 | IWB39785 | 108.0381 | 640,691,822 | 3E-40 | 56 | IWB35244 | 108.4528 | 657,844,969 | 1E-105 |
| 54 | IWB10411 | 108.0381 | 640,794,808 | 4E-46 | 57 | IWB45932 | 109.5255 | 658,615,066 | 9E-44 |
| 55 | IWB63105 | 108.3468 | 641,665,079 | 7E-26 | 57 | IWA4948 | 109.5255 | 660,156,482 | 1E-105 |
| 55 | IWB7979 | 108.0381 | 641,665,199 | 4E-46 | 57 | IWB47664 | 109.5255 | 662,684,808 | 6E-45 |
| 55 | IWB12239 | 108.4528 | 641,877,283 | 4E-46 | 57 | IWA3395 | 109.5255 | 664,213,676 | 2E-92 |
| 55 | IWB7018 | 108.0381 | 643,297,994 | 4E-46 | 57 | IWB49572 | 109.5255 | 664,213,677 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB48486 | 108.4528 | 643,682,925 | 3E-31 | 57 | IWB45933 | 109.4756 | 664,219,546 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB63172 | 108.4528 | 643,684,175 | 4E-46 | 57 | IWB6433 | 109.4756 | 664,219,708 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB48482 | 108.4528 | 643,684,582 | 5E-33 | 57 | IWB6031 | 109.4756 | 664,219,712 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB21477 | 108.4528 | 643,684,950 | 9E-44 | 57 | IWB6438 | 109.4756 | 664,219,796 | 2E-29 |
| 55 | IWB28694 | 108.4528 | 644,146,980 | 1E-27 | 57 | IWB3891 | 109.5255 | 664,934,844 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB28695 | 108.4528 | 644,147,034 | 6E-42 | 57 | IWB1556 | 109.5255 | 665,189,392 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB35071 | 108.4528 | 644,151,368 | 9E-86 | 57 | IWB72651 | 109.5255 | 665,189,393 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB41234 | 108.4528 | 644,151,555 | 4E-46 | 57 | IWB72650 | 109.5255 | 665,189,654 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB35072 | 108.4528 | 645,309,795 | 1E-105 | 57 | IWB53839 | 109.5255 | 665,668,955 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB6515 | 108.4528 | 646,215,600 | 4E-46 | 57 | IWA4357 | 109.5255 | 665,669,514 | 1E-105 |
| 55 | IWB67744 | 108.4528 | 647,104,612 | 1E-21 | 58 | IWB13870 | 109.5255 | 666,532,057 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB52433 | 108.4528 | 647,108,830 | 4E-46 | 58 | IWB5492 | 109.5255 | 666,650,025 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB65443 | 107.3957 | 648,481,740 | 3E-20 | 59 | IWB72542 | 109.5255 | 668,570,063 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB28591 | 107.3957 | 648,482,735 | 4E-46 | 59 | IWB13160 | 109.5255 | 669,359,723 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB45296 | 109.5255 | 648,920,753 | 4E-46 | 59 | IWB51807 | 109.1357 | 669,436,096 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWA2261 | 109.1357 | 650,285,278 | 7E-34 | 59 | IWA7850 | 109.5255 | 669,436,308 | 1E-87 |
| 55 | IWB66494 | 107.4861 | 651,358,139 | 5E-36 | 59 | IWB11159 | 109.1357 | 669,436,309 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB68993 | 107.4861 | 651,363,846 | 9E-44 | 59 | IWB28479 | 109.5255 | 670,752,004 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB67609 | 107.4861 | 651,932,274 | 4E-46 | 59 | IWB7131 | 109.1357 | 670,837,338 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB67608 | 108.4528 | 651,932,447 | 4E-46 | 59 | IWB48291 | 109.5255 | 671,740,152 | 4E-46 |
| 55 | IWB73241 | 109.5255 | 652,613,164 | 4E-46 | 59 | IWB40768 | 109.5255 | 672,021,082 | 2E-44 |
| 56 | IWB36229 | 107.3895 | 653,607,971 | 8E-80 | 59 | IWB73172 | 109.5255 | 672,746,059 | 9E-44 |
| 56 | IWB73669 | 114.0939 | 653,620,437 | 9E-44 | 60 | IWB28337 | 109.4756 | 675,345,868 | 4E-46 |
| 56 | IWB49833 | 108.4528 | 655,005,661 | 5E-35 | 60 | IWB11652 | 109.5255 | 680,409,129 | 4E-46 |
| 56 | IWB25841 | 108.3374 | 655,602,876 | 8E-29 | 60 | IWB7226 | 109.0734 | 680,573,507 | 4E-46 |
| 56 | IWB28850 | 107.4861 | 655,605,853 | 4E-46 | 61 | IWB56161 | 110.8228 | 681,539,900 | 4E-46 |
| 56 | IWB28849 | 107.4861 | 655,606,117 | 4E-46 | 61 | IWB66021 | 110.8228 | 681,540,018 | 3E-20 |
| 56 | IWB24309 | 108.6119 | 656,228,477 | 4E-46 | 61 | IWB56162 | 109.5255 | 681,540,452 | 4E-46 |
| 56 | IWB35393 | 109.2449 | 657,790,878 | 1E-105 | 61 | IWB36307 | 110.8228 | 681,542,496 | 1E-103 |
| 56 | IWB6098 | 109.2449 | 657,793,077 | 4E-46 | 61 | IWB6480 | 110.8228 | 681,542,509 | 6E-39 |
| 56 | IWB47695 | 109.5255 | 657,816,061 | 9E-44 | 61 | IWB30356 | 110.8228 | 682,039,430 | 4E-46 |
| 56 | IWB47694 | 109.5255 | 657,818,379 | 2E-41 | 61 | IWB1188 | 119.0708 | 682,848,605 | 4E-46 |


| Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { postion }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | Physical position (bp) | e value | Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { position }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | Physical position (bp) | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | IWB6631 | 109.2449 | 683,029,170 | 4E-46 | 72 | IWB26416 | 114.9109 | 708,436,453 | 3E-34 |
| 62 | IWB34949 | 109.2449 | 683,029,171 | 6E-74 | 72 | IWB56400 | 114.9109 | 708,436,564 | 4E-46 |
| 62 | IWB36062 | 109.2449 | 683,029,237 | 8E-48 | 72 | IWB10282 | 114.3215 | 710,053,041 | 2E-41 |
| 62 | IWA3742 | 110.8727 | 683,029,261 | 2E-93 | 72 | IWB36072 | 115.1105 | 712,600,543 | 1E-31 |
| 62 | IWB63030 | 109.2449 | 683,029,265 | 2E-41 | 72 | IWB74201 | 115.3319 | 713,675,759 | 4E-46 |
| 62 | IWB12298 | 110.8727 | 683,046,802 | 4E-46 | 72 | IWB28963 | 115.3319 | 713,675,815 | $9 \mathrm{E}-44$ |
| 62 | IWB11177 | 109.2449 | 683,758,793 | 4E-46 | 72 | IWB28962 | 115.3319 | 713,676,041 | 4E-46 |
| 62 | IWB9247 | 110.8727 | 686,049,366 | 9E-44 | 73 | IWB32020 | 114.8174 | 714,499,621 | 9E-38 |
| 62 | IWB4443 | 109.2449 | 686,818,449 | 7E-26 | 73 | IWB32017 | 114.8174 | 714,500,027 | 6E-30 |
| 62 | IWB32165 | 109.2449 | 686,836,489 | 2E-36 | 73 | IWB8450 | 114.9109 | 714,779,769 | 4E-46 |
| 62 | IWB6150 | 109.2449 | 687,248,647 | 3E-25 | 73 | IWB8449 | 114.8174 | 714,779,852 | 4E-46 |
| 62 | IWB6270 | 109.2449 | 687,248,675 | 4E-46 | 73 | IWB34664 | 115.7279 | 715,028,611 | 9E-86 |
| 63 | IWB12230 | 114.0939 | 690,218,326 | 2E-41 | 73 | IWA3176 | 115.7279 | 715,029,294 | 1E-105 |
| 64 | IWB6147 | 114.3215 | 691,780,794 | 4E-46 | 73 | IWA2873 | 115.7279 | 715,030,099 | 1E-105 |
| 64 | IWB36270 | 112.4505 | 691,780,864 | 1E-105 | 73 | IWA6561 | 115.0076 | 715,031,654 | 1E-103 |
| 65 | IWB64008 | 113.1584 | 692,461,536 | 4E-46 | 73 | IWB2286 | 115.0076 | 715,601,570 | 9E-44 |
| 66 | IWB46242 | 113.5295 | 693,314,968 | 2E-26 | 73 | IWB8386 | 115.7279 | 716,553,673 | 6E-39 |
| 67 | IWB54520 | 114.0939 | 693,845,390 | 9E-44 | 73 | IWB22526 | 115.0076 | 716,782,389 | 4E-46 |
| 67 | IWB28722 | 113.358 | 694,051,080 | 2E-45 | 73 | IWB67729 | 126.5298 | 718,968,246 | 6E-39 |
| 68 | IWB34588 | 114.0939 | 695,689,202 | 5E-74 | 73 | IWB67728 | 115.862 | 718,968,354 | E-42 |
| 68 | IWB34719 | 114.5679 | 695,693,403 | 2E-71 | 73 | IWB60671 | 115.7965 | 719,800,817 | 6E-39 |
| 69 | IWA4096 | 113.8569 | 696,677,569 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ | 73 | IWB70765 | 115.7965 | 719,800,817 | 2E-41 |
| 69 | IWA7371 | 113.8569 | 696,679,754 | $1 \mathrm{E}-105$ | 73 | IWA2459 | 115.7965 | 719,965,246 | 1E-105 |
| 69 | IWB8419 | 113.8507 | 697,510,374 | 4E-46 | 73 | IWA7955 | 115.7965 | 720,480,288 | E-105 |
| 69 | IWB34633 | 113.8507 | 697,511,636 | 9E-76 | 73 | IWB73441 | 115.7965 | 720,807,493 | 4E-46 |
| 69 | IWB25695 | 113.8507 | 697,512,105 | 4E-46 | 73 | IWA5024 | 115.7965 | 721,113,551 | 2E-76 |
| 70 | IWB6711 | 114.3683 | 698,212,115 | 4E-46 | 73 | IWB9044 | 114.8174 | 721,922,229 | 4E-46 |
| 70 | IWB52991 | 114.1874 | 698,303,835 | 4E-46 | 73 | IWB27775 | 115.7965 | 722,085,721 | 3E-34 |
| 70 | IWB24676 | 114.3683 | 698,963,683 | 8E-35 | 73 | IWB53685 | 115.7965 | 722,620,506 | 4E-46 |
| 70 | IWB3588 | 114.3215 | 698,968,758 | 4E-46 | 73 | IWB19785 | 116.8193 | 723,333,631 | 4E-46 |
| 70 | IWB1888 | 114.3215 | 699,106,310 | 4E-46 | 74 | IWB49827 | 119.2017 | 730,188,295 | 2E-29 |
| 70 | IWB58060 | 114.3683 | 699,108,737 | 4E-46 | 74 | IWB69431 | 119.2017 | 730,189,918 | 3E-31 |
| 70 | IWA2678 | 114.3215 | 699,109,205 | 1E-105 | 74 | IWB7223 | 119.2017 | 730,191,782 | 9E-44 |
| 70 | IWB54945 | 114.3215 | 699,109,351 | 2E-45 | 74 | IWB73567 | 119.0708 | 730,562,762 | 2E-41 |
| 70 | IWB3648 | 114.3215 | 699,109,783 | 9E-44 | 74 | IWB5957 | 119.0708 | 730,563,020 | 2E-38 |
| 70 | IWB34658 | 114.1874 | 699,173,435 | 4E-38 | 74 | IWB22381 | 119.0708 | 730,563,982 | 2E-23 |
| 70 | IWB19972 | 114.5679 | 699,827,018 | 4E-46 | 74 | IWB9560 | 119.0708 | 731,536,217 | 4E-46 |
| 70 | IWB63996 | 114.5679 | 700,456,554 | 3E-22 | 74 | IWB48386 | 119.0708 | 733,743,362 | 4E-46 |
| 71 | IWB11942 | 114.5679 | 702,714,575 | 4E-46 | 75 | IWB7010 | 119.0708 | 734,050,376 | 4E-46 |
| 71 | IWB38318 | 114.3683 | 703,976,259 | 4E-46 | 75 | IWB25546 | 118.4315 | 734,495,342 | 4E-46 |


| Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { postion }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | value | Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { position }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | IWB25313 | 119.5198 | 736,408,809 | 4E-46 | 84 | IWB10455 | 134.4598 | 763,091,422 | 4E-46 |
| 76 | IWB73196 | 119.6134 | 738,410,414 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWA1324 | 134.65 | 763,631,139 | 1E-105 |
| 76 | IWA8406 | 119.6134 | 740,805,226 | 9E-44 | 85 | IWB11366 | 134.4598 | 763,633,070 | 4E-46 |
| 77 | IWB23010 | 126.1432 | 743,694,001 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB56627 | 133.9234 | 763,842,415 | 2E-41 |
| 77 | IWB69628 | 126.3116 | 743,746,441 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB36334 | 134.4598 | 763,862,057 | 1E-103 |
| 77 | IWB7265 | 129.0806 | 745,719,283 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB23797 | 134.4598 | 763,862,485 | 9E-44 |
| 78 | IWB60041 | 130.618 | 746,037,361 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB36286 | 133.9234 | 764,074,057 | 2E-61 |
| 78 | IWB8338 | 129.0806 | 747,125,491 | 9E-44 | 85 | IWB1092 | 134.4598 | 764,117,343 | 9E-44 |
| 78 | IWB57069 | 129.0806 | 747,602,868 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB51555 | 134.4598 | 765,050,153 | 4E-46 |
| 78 | IWB45063 | 129.0806 | 747,821,277 | 3E-45 | 85 | IWB2534 | 134.4598 | 765,069,673 | 1E-21 |
| 78 | IWB6028 | 129.4704 | 747,821,526 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB36753 | 134.4598 | 765,072,212 | 4E-46 |
| 78 | IWB36805 | 126.5298 | 748,079,417 | 2E-41 | 85 | IWB5864 | 134.4598 | 765,278,515 | 8E-29 |
| 78 | IWB57292 | 126.3116 | 748,305,423 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB6334 | 134.4598 | 765,278,696 | 4E-46 |
| 79 | IWB23232 | 130.2906 | 748,979,803 | 5E-33 | 85 | IWB28564 | 138.3889 | 767,016,806 | 4E-46 |
| 79 | IWB57313 | 130.2906 | 748,982,329 | 1E-30 | 85 | IWB28565 | 138.3889 | 767,016,859 | 4E-46 |
| 79 | IWB14677 | 130.2906 | 748,985,683 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB43464 | 138.3889 | 767,018,160 | 4E-46 |
| 80 | IWB6888 | 130.2906 | 749,972,454 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB12294 | 134.4598 | 767,171,588 | 4E-46 |
| 80 | IWB7767 | 130.618 | 750,013,549 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB8430 | 134.4598 | 767,375,356 | 4E-46 |
| 80 | IWB11280 | 130.6991 | 750,016,338 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB6130 | 134.4598 | 767,651,618 | 9E-44 |
| 81 | IWB10845 | 130.618 | 751,322,544 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB55806 | 134.4598 | 768,105,301 | 9E-44 |
| 81 | IWB26300 | 130.618 | 752,485,079 | 4E-40 | 85 | IWB43052 | 134.4598 | 768,292,034 | 4E-46 |
| 81 | IWB26301 | 130.618 | 752,485,223 | 7E-26 | 85 | IWB6223 | 134.4598 | 768,292,420 | 4E-46 |
| 81 | IWB7626 | 130.618 | 752,486,039 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB36243 | 134.4598 | 768,548,010 | 1E-66 |
| 81 | IWB7514 | 129.3488 | 752,491,717 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB23209 | 134.4598 | 768,548,795 | 1E-27 |
| 81 | IWB10162 | 134.4598 | 754,661,080 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB28375 | 134.4598 | 769,072,216 | 6E-42 |
| 81 | IWB25863 | 134.4598 | 754,864,431 | 1E-36 | 85 | IWB36009 | 185.6661 | 769,428,475 | 5E-55 |
| 82 | IWB64472 | 134.4598 | 758,592,619 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB61112 | 134.4598 | 769,911,883 | 4E-46 |
| 82 | IWB47343 | 134.4598 | 758,592,725 | 9E-44 | 85 | IWB61339 | 141.4823 | 770,680,644 | 3E-22 |
| 82 | IWB31944 | 134.4598 | 758,594,502 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB40501 | 141.4823 | 770,684,874 | 1E-39 |
| 82 | IWB7671 | 134.7124 | 759,172,999 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB54701 | 141.4823 | 770,685,939 | 1E-42 |
| 83 | IWA571 | 134.4598 | 760,882,831 | 6E-58 | 85 | IWB48923 | 134.3475 | 771,191,267 | 3E-37 |
| 83 | IWB3249 | 134.4598 | 760,930,887 | 1E-33 | 85 | IWB64675 | 141.317 | 772,026,789 | 1E-24 |
| 83 | IWA8589 | 134.4598 | 760,950,622 | 9E-28 | 85 | IWB47254 | 142.9916 | 773,141,220 | 2E-45 |
| 83 | IWB40702 | 134.4598 | 760,950,702 | 2E-41 | 85 | IWB45108 | 142.9916 | 773,144,939 | 1E-42 |
| 83 | IWB29590 | 134.4598 | 760,950,906 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB45109 | 142.9916 | 773,146,976 | 4E-46 |
| 83 | IWB21063 | 173.3517 | 761,279,407 | 6E-42 | 85 | IWB27625 | 142.9916 | 773,147,179 | 4E-46 |
| 84 | IWB52447 | 134.4598 | 761,280,190 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB45110 | 142.9916 | 773,147,390 | 2E-44 |
| 84 | IWB37216 | 134.4598 | 761,283,203 | 3E-16 | 85 | IWB60544 | 142.9916 | 773,151,605 | 3E-45 |
| 84 | IWB22835 | 132.4734 | 762,503,266 | 4E-46 | 85 | IWB54383 | 142.9916 | 773,348,787 | 4E-46 |
| 84 | IWB14219 | 133.9234 | 762,505,270 | 9E-38 | 85 | IWB23686 | 142.9916 | 773,349,577 | 4E-46 |


| Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { postion }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | Physical position (bp) | e value | Bins | SNPs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Genetic } \\ \text { position }(\mathrm{cM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physical } \\ \text { position (bp) } \end{gathered}$ | e value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 | IWB63210 | 144.1641 | 775,053,185 | 4E-46 | 90 | IWB7569 | 153.1761 | 787,742,839 | 9E-44 |
| 86 | IWB40456 | 145.1276 | 775,169,349 | 2E-41 | 90 | IWB12415 | 157.2143 | 787,819,631 | 4E-46 |
| 86 | IWB5439 | 144.136 | 775,336,420 | 4E-46 | 90 | IWB22132 | 173.3517 | 788,656,076 | 7E-23 |
| 86 | IWB9919 | 144.136 | 775,337,394 | 4E-46 | 90 | IWA1667 | 147.4695 | 788,656,859 | 2E-68 |
| 86 | IWB71 | 144.1641 | 775,371,494 | 7E-38 | 91 | IWA2551 | 173.3517 | 788,804,816 | 2E-49 |
| 86 | IWB50532 | 147.4695 | 777,335,355 | 6E-39 | 91 | IWB21954 | 157.2143 | 789,133,597 | 4E-46 |
| 86 | IWB13829 | 145.1276 | 777,385,087 | 2E-41 | 91 | IWB40427 | 153.1418 | 789,436,641 | 9E-44 |
| 86 | IWA3474 | 145.5361 | 777,514,134 | E-105 | 91 | IWB29266 | 157.2143 | 789,437,155 | 4E-46 |
| 86 | IWB70683 | 173.3517 | 777,650,293 | 3E-22 | 91 | IWB63563 | 161.4147 | 789,601,632 | 4E-40 |
| 87 | IWB66207 | 145.7513 | 779,341,273 | 4E-46 | 91 | IWB10623 | 161.4147 | 789,605,639 | 4E-46 |
| 87 | IWB32211 | 145.1276 | 779,341,458 | 1E-41 | 91 | IWB44797 | 161.4147 | 789,609,076 | 8E-35 |
| 87 | IWB4319 | 145.7513 | 779,344,648 | 9E-38 | 91 | IWB36702 | 181.9178 | 789,684,959 | 5E-36 |
| 87 | IWB72278 | 145.7513 | 779,345,376 | 3E-34 | 91 | IWA3315 | 158.7298 | 789,867,245 | 8E-83 |
| 88 | IWB61940 | 148.1119 | 779,855,057 | 4E-46 | 91 | IWB13362 | 161.4147 | 789,965,438 | 3E-45 |
| 89 | IWB429 | 146.7959 | 780,590,447 | 2E-41 | 91 | IWB50794 | 159.656 | 790,753,464 | 4E-46 |
| 89 | IWB3073 | 146.1629 | 780,779,176 | 4E-43 | 91 | IWA2094 | 161.4147 | 791,704,244 | 1E-105 |
| 89 | IWB74780 | 146.1629 | 780,786,186 | 4E-46 | 91 | IWB54548 | 159.656 | 791,704,600 | 4E-46 |
| 89 | IWB4585 | 152.5898 | 781,181,186 | 1E-30 | 91 | IWB8699 | 161.4147 | 793,148,681 | 4E-46 |
| 89 | IWB58205 | 152.5898 | 781,183,001 | 5E-27 | 91 | IWB7606 | 159.656 | 793,151,179 | 4E-46 |
| 89 | IWB54751 | 152.5898 | 781,579,033 | 4E-46 | 91 | IWB7605 | 163.1329 | 793,151,451 | 4E-46 |
| 89 | IWB12724 | 153.0825 | 782,534,065 | 4E-46 | 92 | IWB54766 | 169.3041 | 796,803,336 | 4E-46 |
| 89 | IWB38530 | 152.5898 | 782,534,075 | 4E-46 | 92 | IWB48017 | 157.2143 | 796,803,717 | 9E-44 |
| 89 | IWA692 | 152.5898 | 782,534,076 | 8E-72 | 92 | IWB668 | 173.3517 | 797,126,794 | 8E-42 |
| 89 | IWB41547 | 157.2143 | 782,534,298 | 4E-46 | 92 | IWA5694 | 157.2143 | 797,327,211 | 6E-62 |
| 89 | IWB65625 | 157.2143 | 782,833,434 | 8E-21 | 92 | IWB51417 | 173.3517 | 797,335,315 | 3E-34 |
| 89 | IWB7106 | 153.1761 | 783,226,978 | 4E-46 | 92 | IWB166 | 157.2143 | 797,625,996 | 9E-38 |
| 89 | IWB32143 | 157.2143 | 783,446,588 | 4E-32 | 92 | IWB13403 | 157.2143 | 797,627,020 | 4E-46 |
| 89 | IWA2377 | 152.5898 | 784,297,022 | 4E-38 | 92 | IWB24806 | 161.4147 | 798,190,597 | 4E-46 |
| 89 | IWB4592 | 152.5898 | 784,553,492 | 7E-26 | 92 | IWB41613 | 158.9918 | 798,213,263 | 2E-35 |
| 90 | IWA3252 | 154.4733 | 785,138,741 | 4E-94 | 92 | IWB54507 | 169.3041 | 798,224,942 | 2E-41 |
| 90 | IWB32245 | 157.2143 | 786,034,208 | 2E-38 | 92 | IWB44889 | 157.2143 | 798,306,090 | 5E-27 |
| 90 | IWB1354 | 157.2143 | 786,035,733 | 4E-46 | 92 | IWB42373 | 157.2143 | 798,308,359 | 2E-35 |
| 90 | IWB36409 | 154.1147 | 786,105,458 | 9E-44 | 93 | IWB26304 | 157.2143 | 799,920,373 | 2E-41 |
| 90 | IWB54389 | 154.1147 | 786,105,954 | 4E-46 | 93 | IWB35539 | 173.3517 | 799,971,389 | 8E-77 |
| 90 | IWB69343 | 157.2143 | 786,225,768 | 9E-44 | 93 | IWB46023 | 169.3041 | 800,031,403 | 4E-40 |
| 90 | IWB53581 | 157.2143 | 786,229,394 | 4E-46 | 93 | IWB27000 | 161.4054 | 800,123,089 | 2E-41 |
| 90 | IWB46858 | 157.2143 | 786,232,090 | 4E-46 | 93 | IWB23911 | 157.2143 | 801,217,526 | 9E-44 |
| 90 | IWB7625 | 155.4088 | 787,140,804 | 5E-36 | 93 | IWB32031 | 157.2143 | 801,222,223 | 3E-35 |
| 90 | IWB7624 | 155.4088 | 787,140,808 | 3E-37 |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX G. MORPHOLOGICAL PHENOTYPES OF 2B-2S RECOMBINANTS

| Line | Chromosomes 2B and 2S | Phenotype |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 2B | Normal |
| RL6082 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | Normal |
| XWC11-003 | DS 2S(2B) | Stunted |
| ZW14-001-15 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-002-2 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-003-4 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-004-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-005-8 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-006-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-008-5 | 2BS-2SS.2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-009-3 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-010-2 | 2SS-2BS-2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-011-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-013-4 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-014-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-015-8 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-016-7 | 2BS-2SS.2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-017-4 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-070-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-071-2 | 2BS-2SS.2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-072-6 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-073-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-074-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-077-8-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL-2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-077-8-2 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL + T2SS | Normal |
| ZW14-084-8-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL + T2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-089-7-1 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-093-4-2 | 2BS-2SS.2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-093-8-1 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-095-6 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-097-5 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-100-1 | 2SS.2SL-T2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-108-6-1 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-111-5-2 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-115-7-2 | 2BS-2SS.2SL | Normal |


| Line | Chromosomes 2B and 2S | Phenotype |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-116-5 | 2BS.2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-118-1 | 2BS.2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-121-3 | 2BS.2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-121-8-1 | 2BS.2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-121-8-2 | 2BS.2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-128-1 | 2BS.2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-135-6-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-139-7-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-141-7-2 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-142-4-2 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-147-4-2 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-149-1-2 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-154-3-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-155-2-1 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-162-4-2 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-166-3-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-167-8-2 | 2SS-2BS.2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-169-5-2 | 2BS.2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-171-4-2 | 2BS $\cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}-2 \mathrm{SL}+2 \mathrm{SS}-2 \mathrm{BS} \cdot 2 \mathrm{BL}$ | Stunted |
| ZW14-171-6-2 | 2BS 2 BL-2SL + 2SS-2BS 2 BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-173-7-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-174-2-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-175-7-1 | 2BS-2SS•D2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-180-2-1 | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-180-5-2 | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-181-3 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-184-6 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-185-2 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-186-1-2 | 2BS-2SS•2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-188-4-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-191-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-194-7 | 2BS.2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-197-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL-2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-201-2 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-203-3 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-204-4 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | Normal |


| Line | Chromosomes 2B and 2S | Phenotype |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZW14-205-7-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-206-2 | T2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-207-2-1 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-501-1 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-502-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-504-5 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-505-2 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-506-1 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-507-4 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-508-4 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-510-7 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-511-6 | 2BS-2SS•2SL-2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-512-8 | 2SS•2SL-2BL + 2BS•2BL-2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-513-6 | 2BS•2BL-2SL + 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-514-6 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-515-3 | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-515-8 | 2SS-2BS-2SS•2SL | Stunted |
| ZW14-516-4 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-517-6 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-518-8 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-519-2 | 2BS-2SS.2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-520-3 | 2SS-2BS•2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-521-3 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-522-4 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-523-7 | 2SS.2SL-2BL-2SS | Stunted |
| ZW14-524-4 | 2SS•2SL-2BL | Stunted |
| ZW14-525-3 | 2BS-2SS•2SL-2BL | Normal |
| ZW14-526-1 | 2BS•2BL-2SL | Normal |
| ZW14-527-3 | 2SS.2SL-2BL-2SS | Normal |
| ZW14-528-5 | 2BS.2BL-2SL-2SS | Normal |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ SNP position on IWGSC Reference Sequence v1.0 assembly (IWGSC RefSeq v1.0).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ [Tail1] = GCAACAGGAACCAGCTATGAC; [Tail2] = GACGCAAGTGAGCAGTATGAC.
    ${ }^{c}$ Expected size of PCR products amplified on CS, DS $2 \mathrm{~S}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ and DS $2 \mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{~B})$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Wang et al. (2014)

