Reprinted from: Release of nonindigenous biological control agents: Permit application information supplement. January 1995.

Published by: USDA-APHIS-PPQ-BATS.

Aphthona lacertosa: Permit application information supplement

R. W. HANSEN

USDA/APHIS/PPQ, Bozeman, MT

(Article begins on the following page.)

Release of nonindigenous biological control agents:
Permit application information supplement

USDA-APHIS-PPQ-BATS

Aphthona lacertosa
(Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)

1. Proposed action

Field release of the flea beetle, *Aphthona lacertosa* Rosenheim (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), for the biological control of the exotic weed, leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula* L.), in the United States

2. Details of proposed action

2.1 Purpose of the release(s)

Mixed-species releases of *Aphthona lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* will be used to initiate or augment populations at field insectary sites (FIS) in various states. Once these FIS populations are successfully established and are deemed sufficiently large, *A. lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* will be collected and distributed to leafy spurge-infested areas throughout the state.

Adult *Aphthona lacertosa* consume leafy spurge foliage, but this damage has little or no impact on the weed. However, *A. lacertosa* larvae feed on spurge roots, and this damage may kill leafy spurge plants. *A. lacertosa* larvae may cause mortality directly, by disrupting water and nutrient transport and storage, or indirectly, by providing entry sites for soil borne pathogenic fungi.

Thus, the primary role of *Aphthona lacertosa* in the leafy spurge biocontrol program is to cause mortality among leafy spurge plants, and to reduce the competitiveness of those plants that do survive. This, in turn, should lead to increased competitiveness and abundance of native and forage plants.

2.2 Need for release

Leafy spurge is a perennial herbaceous plant native to Europe and Asia. Since its accidental introduction beginning in the nineteenth century (Dunn 1985), leafy spurge has become a widespread and economically-important

weed in the northern United States and in Canada. The major economic impact of leafy spurge is based on reduced cattle production on infested rangelands (Leistritz *et al*. 1992). The weed also has an adverse ecological impact by displacing native plants (Belcher and Wilson 1989) and, perhaps, by degrading wildlife habitats (Wallace *et al*. 1992).

A variety of tactics may be employed in managing weedy plants. Chemical (herbicides) and cultural (e.g. sheep grazing, cultivation) control techniques may be effective against leafy spurge in some situations, but their widespread utilization is limited by logistical, economic, or environmental constraints. Biological control, however, may offer an opportunity for large-scale, cost-effective leafy spurge management, especially in remote areas and in low-value grazing lands.

2.3 Specific location of the rearing facility and release site(s)

Living *Aphthona lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* adults are difficult to separate based on standard morphological characteristics. Initial US releases of *A. czwalinae* involved what were believed to be "pure" collections of this species from Europe. However, later evaluations of these US populations revealed the presence of two species, *A. czwalinae* and *A. lacertosa*. Thus, subsequent redistribution collections from these sites have employed, and will continue to employ, mixed populations of the two flea beetle species.

Aphthona lacertosa and *A. czwalinae* adults will be collected from established field insectary sites in North Dakota and, possibly, Montana. In 1995, these insects will be provided for field release in some or all of the following states: Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Releases may also be made in other states in 1995 and future years.

2.4 Number to be released

Generally, 1,000 to 10,000 *Aphthona lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* adults will be provided to each state in 1995. The exact number will be determined after assessing the *A. lacertosa*/*A. czwalinae* populations at the North Dakota and Montana collection sites in summer 1995.

2.5 Timing of release

Aphthona lacertosa and *A. czwalinae* adults will be collected in mid- to late July 1995, depending on local weather conditions. Field release in the various states will be accomplished within two days of collection in Montana.

2.6 Methods used for release

Releases of *Aphthona lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* adults will be made at open (uncaged) field insectary sites.

3. Biology of target organism

3.1 Common name, scientific name, and taxonomic classification

Common name: Leafy spurge
Scientific name: *Euphorbia esula* L.

Phylum: *Magnoliophyta*
Class: *Magnoliopsida*
Subclass: *Rosidae*
Order: *Euphorbiales*
Family: ***Euphorbiaceae***
Subfamily: *Euphorbioideae*
Tribe: *Euphorbieae*
Subtribe: *Euphorbiinae*
Genus: ***Euphorbia***
Subgenus: *esula*
Section: *esula*
Subsection: *esulae*
Species: ***esula***

The taxonomic status of leafy spurge, and the family Euphorbiaceae in general, has not yet been fully resolved. There is a great deal of morphological and biochemical variation among Eurasian and introduced North American populations of *Euphorbia esula* (Crompton *et al*. 1990, Evans *et al*. 1991, Holden and Mahlberg 1992, Manners and Davis 1984). This has led to some classifications of the weed as a complex of numerous species and interspecific hybrids (Ebke and McCarty 1983, Radcliffe-Smith 1985). However, there is ample evidence that leafy spurge can be treated as a single, though highly variable, species (Crompton *et al*. 1990, Evans *et al*. 1991, Harvey *et al*. 1988), a classification that will be followed in this document.

3.2 General life history

Leafy spurge is a long-lived herbaceous perennial plant whose aboveground stems die back each fall but whose well-developed root system and adventitious buds persist from year to year (Best *et al*. 1980). Buds initiate elongation during the fall but remain below the soil surface

throughout the winter (Messersmith *et al*. 1985). Bud elongation resumes in early spring and the aboveground portions of the plant (shoots) become apparent in April or May, depending on location.

Vegetative shoots develop rapidly and reach their full height in June or July. Mature stem heights are variable, depending on soil and weather conditions, but generally range from 0.25 to 1.5 m. Stems may be branched or unbranched. Leafy spurge leaves are usually green or grayish-green in color, linear or lanceolate in shape, and from 2-8 cm long and 2-10 mm wide (Best *et al*. 1980). Leaves are arranged alternately along the stem.

Leafy spurge possesses specialized, unisexual flowers in a compound umbellate arrangement (Selleck *et al*. 1962). On some shoots, flower buds begin to develop several weeks after the shoots first appear, with peak flowering occurring in June and July (Best *et al*. 1980). Flowers may also appear later during the growing season, depending on weather conditions (Raju 1985). Because of the sticky pollen, asynchrony in maturity of adjacent male and female flowers, and the presence of nectaries, leafy spurge appears to be pollinated primarily by insects (Best *et al*. 1980, Messersmith *et al*. 1985). Seeds develop and mature from July through September, and are then explosively expelled up to 5 m from the parent plant; generally, from 30-150 seeds are produced by each flowering shoot (Selleck *et al*. 1962). Leafy spurge seeds may be dispersed over longer distances by flowing water (Selleck *et al*. 1962), birds (Blockstein *et al*. 1987) or grazing mammals (Lacey *et al*. 1992). Spurge seeds are also transported by humans in gravel, soil, hay, or farm equipment (Messersmith *et al*. 1985).

Leafy spurge seeds usually germinate in the early spring (Best *et al* 1980). Though viability decreases over time, some dormant seeds may germinate after 10 or more years in the soil (Bowes and Thomas 1978, Selleck *et al*. 1962). Typically, spurge seedlings develop a single shoot during the first growing season but do not flower (Messersmith *et al*. 1985). Seedling shoots are usually shorter and less robust than those originating from root buds, reaching heights of 20 cm or less. During the initial growing season, seedlings begin to develop an extensive root system that may extend up to about 1 m and that possesses many root buds (Messersmith *et al*. 1985). In general, seedlings serve to initiate new leafy spurge patches; exposed mineral soil associated with disturbance (e.g. cultivation, trails, roads, overgrazing) seem best suited for leafy spurge

seedling and, hence, patch establishment (Belcher and Wilson 1989). Only a small percentage of newly-germinated seedlings are able to survive in established patches (Best *et al*. 1980).

Established spurge patches possess a network of lateral and vertical roots that serve perenniating, reproductive, and nutrient and water storage functions (Stroh *et al*. 1990). Most of the root biomass is located in the upper 15 cm of the soil (Selleck *et al*. 1962), but some vertical roots may reach depths of 9 m or more (Best *et al*. 1980). Two types of adventitious buds are formed on the root system (Messersmith *et al*. 1985): **crown** buds are formed on the root crown, at the base of a current-year shoot, while **root** buds may form almost anywhere along the lateral and vertical roots. Generally, one or more crown buds will elongate at the same location each year, while the number and location of elongating root buds varies greatly from year to year and from plant to plant (Messersmith *et al*. 1985). Root buds may be formed "spontaneously" or in response to root injury (Messersmith *et al*. 1985). Most root buds occur near the soil surface, but some may be found more than 3 m deep along vertical roots (Stroh *et al*. 1990).

Only a subset of crown and root buds formed in a given year actually produce aboveground shoots, through a system of hormonal control and, perhaps, competition for water and nutrients (Raju 1985). Removal of shoots (e.g. mowing or grazing) usually causes activation of some dormant crown and root buds, and the production of new shoots; new shoot density often exceeds that observed before the treatment (Messersmith *et al*. 1985). Leafy spurge root fragments as small as 2 cm long will produce new shoots and root systems and, hence, new plants, provided they remain buried in soil (Messersmith *et al*. 1985).

Established leafy spurge plants expand vegetatively, through elongation of the horizontal roots and the formation of adventitious buds (Best *et al*. 1980). As some of these buds produce shoots in subsequent years, new root crowns are formed. The root connections among the new root crowns and the parent root system eventually disintegrate, resulting in independent, "daughter" plants. Through lateral expansion of the root systems, leafy spurge patches expand up to about 1 m in radius each year (Selleck *et al*. 1962, Stroh *et al*. 1990). At a given location, the rate of radial increase in patch size remains fairly constant from year to year (Selleck *et al*. 1962).

3.3 Pest status

Leafy spurge occurs in at least 30 US states and nine Canadian provinces (Dunn 1979), but is a significant economic problem primarily in Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming, Alberta, Manitoba, and Saskatchewan. Currently, about 660,000 ha are infested in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming, and the affected area doubles in size about every 10 years (Leitch *et al*. 1994).

Leafy spurge, like other members of the Euphorbiaceae, contains a milky latex throughout all parts of the plant. This latex is composed of a complex of chemicals, including a variety of terpenoid compounds (Mahlberg 1989). Some latex chemicals from leafy spurge have skin irritant and tumor-inducing properties in mammals (Seip and Hecker 1982, Upadhyay *et al*. 1978). Paradoxically, other compounds from *E. esula* latex may have antileukemic properties; plants in the family Euphorbiaceae have long been used to treat cancers and tumors in traditional medicine (Kupchan *et al*. 1975).

Due to its latex chemistry, leafy spurge can induce a variety of digestive maladies or, in sufficient quantities, may cause death when consumed by cattle (Kronberg *et al*. 1993). However, spurge is rarely eaten by cattle, who instead avoid spurge-infested pasture despite the presence of palatable grasses (Hein and Miller 1992, Lym and Kirby 1987). Significant (>50%) reductions in forage utilization by cattle result when leafy spurge achieves 10% or more of plant cover (Hein and Miller 1992). Interestingly, domestic sheep and goats are able to consume leafy spurge with no visible detrimental effects (Landgraf *et al*. 1984).

Leafy spurge is an aggressive competitor that, because of its expansive root system and dense shoot growth, is able to outcompete rangeland grasses and forbs for available water, nutrients, and light. In addition, leafy spurge appears to exert an allelopathic effect on other plants, possibly through chemicals leached from decomposing leaf, stem, and root tissues (Steenhagen and Zimdahl 1979). Generally, the abundance of grasses and other forbs is significantly reduced in established leafy spurge patches, and some species may disappear altogether (Belcher and Wilson 1989, Nowierski and Harvey 1989).

Leafy spurge infestations significantly reduce the abundance of native prairie plants (Belcher and Wilson 1989). This reduction in native plant diversity may have a

negative impact on wildlife populations (Wallace *et al*. 1992).

The primary economic impacts of leafy spurge are based on reductions in available forage and, hence, reduced cattle production on infested rangeland. In Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming, Leitch *et al*. (1994) estimate that direct and secondary losses due to lost cattle production approach $120 million a year. An additional $10 million in non-agricultural (e.g. recreational and watershed) losses (Wallace *et al*. 1992) bring the total losses due to leafy spurge to about $130 million annually in the four-state area (Leitch *et al*. 1994).

4. Biology of organism to be released

4.1 Common name, scientific name, and taxonomic classification

Common name: none
Scientific name: *Aphthona lacertosa* Rosenheim

Phylum: *Arthropoda*
Class: *Insecta*
Subclass: *Pterygota*
Division: *Endopterygota*
Order: ***Coleoptera***
Suborder: *Polyphaga*
Superfamily: *Chrysomeloidae*
Family: ***Chrysomelidae***
Subfamily: *Halticinae*
Tribe: *Aphthonini*
Genus: ***Aphthona***
Species: ***lacertosa***

4.2 Taxonomic specialist(s) who identified organism

Mixed *Aphthona czwalinae/A. lacertosa* populations at the collection locations (see **2.3**, above) originated with insects collected from Europe. These insects were identified by R.E. White, USDA-ARS, Systematic Entomology Laboratory (SEL). Subsequent confirmation of *A. lacertosa* adults from these FIS has been made by Drs. White and A. Konstantinov of the SEL.

4.3 Location of voucher specimens

Type material for *Aphthona lacertosa* is kept at the International Institute of Biological Control in Delémont, Switzerland, the Canadian National Insect Collection in Ottawa, and the US National Museum of Natural History (USNM) in Washington, DC. Voucher specimens from later redistribution collections are kept at the SEL and USDA-APHIS Bozeman Biological Control Facility.

4.4 Natural geographic range of organism

The native range of *Aphthona lacertosa* includes most of central Europe (Gassman 1990).

4.5 Location where organism was originally collected

Mixed populations of *A. lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* initially released in the United States were collected in Austria and Hungary.

4.6 General life history

There is little published information available on *Aphthona lacertosa* biology, but its life history is similar to that of other univoltine *Aphthona* species (Maw 1981, Rees and Spencer 1993). *A. lacertosa* overwinters as a diapausing larva, in the soil and on or near a leafy spurge root. Overwintered larvae resume development in the spring, and pupation occurs within a soil cell from late spring to early summer. Adult beetles emerge from the soil throughout the summer, and begin feeding on leafy spurge leaves and flowering structures. *A. lacertosa* adults are about 3 mm long; they rarely fly under field conditions and instead move about by hopping in typical flea beetle fashion. Adults are relatively long-lived beetles, capable of surviving several weeks to several months, depending on field conditions (Maw 1981). At a given location, *A. lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* adults begin to emerge and reach their peak abundance earlier than do adults of most other introduced *Aphthona* spp. (Hansen, unpubl. data).

Mating occurs on leafy spurge shoots, after which adult females lay eggs at the soil surface or in the soil, on or near the base of a leafy spurge stem. Generally, *Aphthona* spp. females lay a total of 100-300 eggs during their lifetime, in a series of small groups every 3-5 days (Maw 1981). Larvae hatch, burrow into the soil, and begin feeding on very small leafy spurge roots and root hairs. As they develop, *A. lacertosa* larvae utilize progressively larger spurge roots; mature larvae may also be found burrowing within large lateral roots and root buds. Larval root feeding continues through the summer and into the fall, until cold temperatures and the onset of dormancy. There are a total of three larval stadia.

4.7 Host range in the field

Aphthona lacertosa appears to feed only on leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*) and several other closely-related *Euphorbia* spp. in its native Europe (Gassman 1990). To

date, introduced US populations of *A. lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* have been reported only from *E. esula*.

4.8 Host range in laboratory/greenhouse tests

Laboratory and controlled field studies showed at least limited feeding by *Aphthona lacertosa* adults on the foliage of a number of European and North American *Euphorbia* spp. (Gassman 1990). However, only a few European *Euphorbia* spp. in the subgenus *Esula* supported larval development and thus could be considered likely hosts; *Euphorbia* spp. in other subgenera were not hosts (Gassman 1984). No North American *Euphorbia* spp. in the subgenus *Esula* were included in these host specificity tests. Poinsettia (*E. pulcherrima*), an important horticultural plant, was not utilized by *A. lacertosa*.

Thus, the host plant range of *Aphthona lacertosa* appears restricted to the subgeneric level, and may only include leafy spurge and other Eurasian *Euphorbia* species in the subgenus *Esula*.

4.9 Specific references on the organism

Gassman, A. 1990. *Aphthona lacertosa* (Rosh.) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): a candidate for the biological control of leafy spurge and cypress spurge in North America. Intl. Inst. of Biol. Contr., Delémont, Switzerland. Final report. 30 p.

4.10 List of known parasitoids or predators of organism

Consumption of *Aphthona* spp. larvae and adults by generalist predators, particularly ants, has been reported anecdotally. No native or introduced parasitoids have been reported among *A. lacertosa*/*A. czwalinae* populations in the US.

5. Distribution of organism in the US

5.1 Current North American distribution

Aphthona lacertosa was approved for US release in April 1993. Through 1994, mixed *A. lacertosa*/*A. czwalinae* populations have been released in 15 US states: Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Established populations are present in all states except ID, NV, NM, SD, and UT. In several states, *A. lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* have been widely distributed throughout spurge-

infested counties. These species also have a limited distribution in central and western Canada.

5.2 Expected North American range

There are no obvious climatic or ecological barriers to survival and establishment of *Aphthona lacertosa* in most or all of the spurge-infested areas of the US and Canada. However, *A. lacertosa*, like *A. czwalinae*, appears adapted to sites that are more mesic than those best suited to other introduced *Aphthona* spp. The largest *A. lacertosa* populations should be expected where precipitation patterns and/or soil conditions favor comparatively higher moisture levels. Of course, the ultimate North American range of this insect will reflect the extent of human redistribution activities.

6. Expected environmental impact of proposed release(s)

6.1 Human impacts

Aphthona lacertosa releases should have no impact on humans or on private property, exclusive of impacts on leafy spurge infestations.

6.2 Direct impacts

Under optimal site conditions, *Aphthona lacertosa*/*A. czwalinae* populations will, directly or indirectly, kill leafy spurge plants over large areas. Concurrently, the relative abundance of nontarget grasses and forbs will increase. Leafy spurge control over at least several acres has been reported from some locations in the western US where *A. lacertosa* and *A. czwalinae* were released.

The host range of *Aphthona lacertosa* is limited to a subset of plant species in the subgenus *Esula* of the genus *Euphorbia*, including the target weed (leafy spurge) and cypress spurge (*E. cyparissias*), an introduced weed in eastern North America. The two federally-protected native spurges (*Euphorbia garberi* and *E. deltiodes*) are in the subgenus *Chamaesyce* (Pemberton 1985) and are not potential host plants for *A. lacertosa*.

The potential host status of 21 North American species (occurring north of Mexico) in the subgenus *Esula* has not been evaluated, including *E. purpurea* and *E. telephiodes,* two rare species being considered for protection (Pemberton 1985). Of these, nine are annuals that could possibly be utilized by *A. lacertosa*, but would not permit completion

of the life cycle and, hence, population establishment; flea beetle larvae require plant roots year-round. The 12 perennial species in the subgenus could be considered potential *A. lacertosa* hosts, though most occur in the southern US and are not sympatric with leafy spurge populations (Pemberton 1985).

6.3 Indirect effects

No native or exotic insects, birds, reptiles, or mammals are known to depend largely or exclusively on leafy spurge. Thus, *Aphthona lacertosa*/*A. czwalinae* releases should have no adverse indirect impacts.

6.4 Methods to prevent undesired environmental effects

No undesired environmental effects are anticipated.

7. Literature cited

Belcher, J. W. & S.D. Wilson. 1989. Leafy spurge and the species composition of a mixed-grass prairie. J. Range Manage. 42: 172- 175.

Best, K. F., G.G. Bowes, A.G. Thomas & M.G. Maw. 1980. The biology of Canadian weeds. 39. *Euphorbia esula* L. Can. J. Plant Sci. 60: 651-663.

Blockstein, D. E., B.D. Maxwell & P.K. Fay. 1987. Dispersal of leafy spurge seeds (*Euphorbia esula*) by mourning doves (*Zenaida macroura*). Weed Sci. 35: 160-162.

Bowes, G. G. & A.G. Thomas. 1978. Longevity of leafy spurge seeds in the soil following various control programs. J. Range Manage. 31: 137-140.

Crompton, C. W., A.E. Stahevitch & W.A. Wojtas. 1990. Morphometric studies of the *Euphorbia esula* group (Euphorbiaceae) in North America. Can. J. Bot. 68: 1978-1988.

Dunn, P. H. 1979. The distribution of leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*) and other weedy *Euphorbia* spp. in the United States. Weed Sci. 27: 509-516.

Dunn, P. H. 1985. Origins of leafy spurge in North America, pp. 7-13. *In* A.K. Watson [ed.], Leafy spurge. Weed Sci. Soc. Am., Champaign, IL. 104 p.

Ebke, D. H. & M.K. McCarty. 1983. A nursery study of leafy spurge (*Euphorbia* spp.) complex from North America. Weed Sci. 31: 866-873.

Evans, J. O., J.M. Torrell, R.V. Valcarce & G.G. Smith. 1991. Analytical pyrolysis-pattern recognition for the characterisation of leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula* L.) biotypes. Ann. Appl. Biol. 119: 47-58.

Gassman, A. 1990. *Aphthona lacertosa* (Rosh.) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): a candidate for the biological control of leafy spurge and cypress spurge in North America. Intl. Inst. of Biol. Contr., Delémont, Switzerland. Final report. 30 p.

Harvey, S. J., R.M. Nowierski, P.G. Mahlberg & J.M. Story. 1988. Taxonomic evaluation of leaf and latex variability of leafy spurge (*Eurphorbia* spp.) for Montana and European accessions. Weed Sci. 36: 726-733.

Hein, D. G. & S.D. Miller. 1992. Influence of leafy spurge on forage utilization by cattle. J. Range Manage. 45: 405-407.

Holden, A. N. G. & P.G. Mahlberg. 1992. Application of chemotaxonomy of leafy spurges (*Euphorbia* spp.) in biological control. Can. J. Bot. 70: 1529-1536.

Kronberg, S. L., R.B. Muntifering, E.L. Ayers & C.B. Marlow. 1993. Cattle avoidance of leafy spurge: a case of conditioned aversion. J. Range Manage. 46: 364-366.

Kupchan, S. M., I. Uchida, A.R. Branfman, R.G. Dailey Jr & B.Y. Fei. 1975. Antileukemic principles isolated from Euphorbiaceae plants. Science 191: 571-572.

Lacey, J. R., R. Wallander & K. Olson-Rutz. 1992. Recovery, germinability, and viability of leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*) seeds ingested by sheep and goats. Weed Tech. 6: 599-602.

Landgraf, B. K., P.K. Fay & K.M. Havstad. 1984. Utilization of leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*) by sheep. Weed Sci. 32: 348-352.

Leistritz, F. L., F. Thompson & J.A. Leitch. 1992. Economic impact of leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*) in North Dakota. Weed Sci. 40: 275-280.

Leitch, J. A., F.L. Leistritz & D.A. Bangsund. 1994. Economic effect of leafy spurge in the upper Great Plains: methods, models, and results. North Dakota St. Univ. Agric. Econ. Rep. 316. 8 p.

Lym, R. G. & D.R. Kirby. 1987. Cattle foraging behavior in leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula* L.) infested rangeland. Weed Tech. 1: 314-318.

Mahlberg, P. G. 1989. Chemotaxonomic affinities of Eurasian leafy spurges (*Euphorbia* spp.) in relation to a biological control program, pp. 145-151. *In* E.S. Delfosse [ed.], Proc. VII Intl Symp. Biol. Contr. Weeds. Rome, Italy, March 6-11, 1988. Inst. Sper. Patol. Veg., Rome, Italy.

Manners, G. D. & D.G. Davis. 1984. Epicuticular wax constituents of North American and European *Euphorbia esula* biotypes. Phytochemistry 23: 1059-1062.

Maw, E. 1981. Biology of some *Aphthona* spp. (Col.: Chrysomelidae) feeding on *Euphorbia* spp. (Euphorbiaceae), with special reference to leafy spurge (*Euphorbia* sp. near *esula*). MS Thesis. Univ. of Alberta, Edmonton, AB. 258 p.

Messersmith, C. G., R.G. Lym & D.S. Galitz. 1985. Biology of leafy spurge , pp. 42-56. *In* A.K. Watson [ed.], Leafy spurge. Weed Sci. Soc. Am., Champaign, IL. 104 p.

Nowierski, R. M. & S.J. Harvey. 1987. Vegetation composition at four rangeland sites infested by leafy spurge. Montana State Univ. MSU Biology Rept. 40. 21 p.

Pemberton, R.W. 1985. Native plant considerations in the biological control of leafy spurge, pp. 365-390. *In* E.S. Delfosse, ed. Proc. VI Intl. Symp. Biol. Contr. Weeds. Agriculture Canada, Ottawa. 885 p.

Radcliffe-Smith, A. 1985. Taxonomy of North American leafy spurge , pp. 14-25. *In* A.K. Watson [ed.], Leafy spurge. Weed Sci. Soc. Am., Champaign, IL. 104 p.

Raju, M. V. S. 1985. Morphology and anatomy of leafy spurge , pp. 26-41. *In* A.K. Watson [ed.], Leafy spurge. Weed Sci. Soc. Am., Champaign, IL. 104 p.

Rees, N. E. & N.R. Spencer. 1993. Biological control of leafy spurge, pp. 182-192. *In* L.F. James, J.O. Evans, M.H. Ralphs & R.D. Child [eds.], Noxious range weeds. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.

Seip, E. H. & E. Hecker. 1982. Skin irritant ingenol esters from *Euphorbia esula*. J. Medicinal Plant Res. 46: 215-218.

Selleck, G. W., R.T. Coupland & C. Frankton. 1962. Leafy spurge in Saskatchewan. Ecol. Monogr. 32: 1-29.

Steenhagen, D. A. & R.L. Zimdahl. 1979. Allelopathy of leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*). Weed Sci. 27: 1-3.

Stroh, R. K., D. Bangsund & J.A. Leitch. 1990. Leafy spurge patch expansion. ND State Univ. Dept. of Agric. Econ. Staff Paper Series No. AE90001. 17 p.

Upadhyay, R. R., F. Bakhtavar, M. Ghaisarzadeh & J. Tilabi. 1978. Cocarcinogenic and irritant factors of *Euphorbia esula* L. latex. Tumori 64: 99-102.

Wallace, N. M., J.A. Leitch & F.L. Leistritz. 1992. Economic impact of leafy spurge on North Dakota wildland. North Dakota St. Univ. Agric. Exp. Sta. Agric. Econ. Rep. No. 281. 23 p.

R.W. Hansen
USDA-APHIS-PPQ
Forestry Sciences Lab
Montana State Univ.
Bozeman, MT 59717-0278

18 January 1995