

<meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width; initial-scale=1.0; "\>Event ID: 2921666
Event Started: 5/11/2016 7:00:00 PM

Please stand by for realtime captions.Hello this is Ashley Dahlen at GPO . Can you hear me?
>> Yes I can.
>> If you could mute your computer or microphone or mute within WebEx, that would be good.
>> Okay.
>> Hello [Indiscernible], are you able to hear me? Britt, this is Ashley, are you able to hear me?
>> He responded via chat.
>> I am assuming that you are on mute, the at your computer if you are not, please go ahead and mute. I assume that you are.
>> Hello everyone, this is Ashley Dahlen . And giving everyone a chance to get their audio going on their speakers. We are set to start at 3 PM Eastern standard Time. We're waiting for a few more people to sign on. Thank you very much.
>> Hello Lisa, this is Ashley . Please mute your computer. Mouse over to the right. Thank you .
>> This is Ashley Dahlen, to the panelists , I am waiting for Flora to lock in. Cindy is not on-site. I knew there was a chance she would have to dial in and not be able to see the virtual room. I do not see her listed as a person trying to get locked in through the audio only option. We need to hang on for another couple of minutes. Does that sound okay?
>> Sounds fine to me. This is Hallie Pritchett . Can you hear me?
>> Yes.
>> Excellent.
>> I see that Marian Mason has no sound. Can you hear me now? It looks like your phone is connected. This is Ashley at GPO . Everyone is muted, so that we do not hear papers and keyboards. We are on mute until all of the presenters are present. We will get started once we get Flora connected. We will be ready in a couple of minutes.
>> Hello Flora, this is Ashley at GPO . Can you hear me?
>> Can you hear me?
>> We can hear you fine.
>> Thanks so much.
>> I am not sure where Cynthia Etkin is . I sent her an email to get her locked in. There was a chance she was going to have to come over the phone. I have not been able to reach her. I did not know if you needed her at this point? Can you start talking about your first topic?
>> Yes, I can talk. She would be an expert. May be other folks will have questions or comments based on what we heard. Hello everybody. Welcome. Flora, here. From Utah State University. I am chairing the legal group. I am looking at the list of attendees. I see lots of familiar names. Most of you did take part of the DLC in April. I appreciate that. Our business -- I think maybe the Needs & Offers tool is more exciting than the electronics card. Anybody, including my legal colleagues, wish to comment or talk about what you know about the beta assessment tool?
>> [Silence]
>> I am not seeing any comments at the moment Ashley, appreciate you telling people to chat . I wondered how broad the beta test would be. Not that I know the normal amount of testers. Amy, have you seen the system yet?
>> This is Kiersten an Ohio. I also volunteer to do beta testing. I think it is not ready yet, for people to do the testing. I think they are seeking out people who are interested.
>> Right, thank you. I also get the tech -- sense that it is not ready yet. Are there other thoughts or questions about electronic substitution?

>> [Silence]

>> Flora, this is Ashley . Looking at the chat, and knowing that you need Cindy's input, you want me to shuffle the agenda around to give extra time to track her down?

>> Yes. Hally is commenting. I see that you are out there. If you are prepared to talk to us about the regional organizational models, that would suit me fine.

>> Yes, I can do that. I was eating an apple. I can set that aside do you want me -- no way on the presenter. I can move my own slides.

>> Thank you for being flexible .

>> I try to be as flexible as I can be. We will shift gears a bit. When they get Cindy, we can go back to the regional models and tools. There is a lot of discussion there. What I am going to talk about and lead the discussion on, is a follow-up to the regional model session we did at last month DLC meeting I know a number of you were there. We had a great discussion about regional models. Now that we have had people to have time to reflect, we want to continue the discussion today. I want to do a quick recap of the regional models of the future session we will have plenty of time for discussion of course, we know there are 36 regions of the current 31, better academic. 12 are state libraries and three are in public libraries this is what that looks like on the map. The blue are states served by academic libraries. Green, our state, and yellow are public. We have a couple states that have to regionals, that are actually not the same type. In Wisconsin, academic and public libraries each of the regionals. In Texas, and academic and a state library. And Wyoming, is not served by regional at all. Interestingly, all of the state or island nations are served by academic libraries. Current breakdown, again, we have seven multistate regionals. The Commonwealth or territories that they serve. There are 4 states what to regionals each. There is one state with a shared regional. This is where they are both designated by senatorial he, as regionals but they share one collection. There are 29 states that serve a single state. Of these, as was pointed out last month, three states actually have extensive regions where significant portions of the collections are shared with other institutions in the state. Those are Missouri, Oregon and Tennessee. Other states may have smaller scale housing arrangements. In Georgia, that you G-8 library has a small number of legal related documents housed in their library. Looking at these on a map, you can see the state in the US, wealth and territory served by multistate regionals. In looking at this today, it occurred to me, that while 3 1/2 of the multistate regionals serve states that are adjoining them. The Northeast in particular, in Minnesota split the bill. Were South Dakota adjoins them and Michigan is a whole state away with Wisconsin in the middle. Alaska is served at the state library in Washington. They are separated by Canada. Florida and Hawaii both serve US Commonwealth and territories, which are scattered throughout the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. It does appear that multistate regionals do not really have to serve continuous states to be successful. Half of them do not. Here are the states with two regionals. North Dakota has a shared regional. Texas, Louisiana, Alabama and Wisconsin have two regionals in their state. This is the map that has been requested. I will have to send this to the requester. These are the states with one regional that serves only that state, but it also shows not just a single regionals, but the three states that have the extensive shared regional arrangements. Oregon, Missouri and Tennessee. At our session last month, we propose three different new models. One fully catalogued regionals were all tangible regionals would be counted toward. More multistate regionals where regionals or consortia would determine the number of regionals this registered users. And eliminating regionals entirely to separate collection development and managing. We also discussed what we can and cannot do under title 44. These are things that do not require title 44 change. Multistate regionals. As long as the entire collection resides in the home state. Selected housing agreements to have their library the regional tongue statehouse part the regional collection. Or memorandum of understanding to share reference service. These are great areas that may or may not require change to title 44 to implement designated regionals in one state sharing regional collection. This must have editorial approval. As is the case in North Dakota. A multistate regional where that regional collection resides in

multiple states such as Kansas Nebraska proposal. I guess this is still considered a great area that may or may not be allowed. At the time, they said no. There is a possibility that JCP Mason -- dash changer mind. These deftly require title 44 change. More than two regionals and one state. Laminate regional the transfer was likely to two separate entities. Keep less than a full regional collection, which means you cannot leave from the original designation. Substituting electronic documents are not approved by the superintendent document. We did have a good discussion as I mentioned earlier based on the chat transcripts, here were the things that we took a look at. The use of selective housing agreements to help manage regional collections. Catalog records for pre-1976 documents. How to get them and how to get them into your catalogs the idea of inventorying or not inventorying. Even if you have the records -- I know many people are saying that they do not have the staff to do inventorying. What I would like to discuss is if you could have records in your catalog, at this point, is it necessary to inventory things. Is having records enough. And any other regional model related topics can come up with. I am seeing that there is some stuff here in the chat. Flora, maybe should volunteer Nevada or Wyoming. Gwen is saying and nine hour flight from Guam. That is very much not an easy place to get to. Let's start with selective housing agreements. Are selective housing agreements require them to be in the form of state. I believe that they are. They would be -- the regional collection has to reside in its entirety in the state that houses the regional. Question for the group. How many of you regionals are using selective housing arrangements, even with a few titles like we are? If you could enter into the chat yes or no. Maybe a few words about what you are doing. Stephanie is saying maps only. I know some places do it that way. Maps, also in Utah. A lot of library partnership done in Hawaii. Washington is looking to expand them in Kentucky, log related titles. The map series in the agreement has been discontinued. University of New Mexico goes to -- Emily for law libraries. Virginia, select housing agreements for the excellent collection. Arranged with other libraries for statutes at large it occurred to me here in Georgia, if we ever get to a point that space because an issue, Georgia Tech in particular has agreed to be a center of excellence for some pretty good size chunks for energy. That will be an option for us also. Although they have agreed to retain that permanently. That seems logical. Some discussion, yes. From Jane in Puerto Rico. Consider the idea of having those depositories discuss their collections. It inhibits all of us. Really, it looks like the things that are most common for selective housing agreements would be log related materials for law libraries and maps. Again, there are different formats. It is logical that they would be with libraries that specialize. From Barbie in Virginia, give my documents to certain collections that houses regional copies. Again, if it is a center of excellence, the goal is that they would not get rid of those and it would be held permanently. It stands as a regional copy we have done the same with the law library. There was a bit of confusion at one point. We're trying to be as clear as possible. There law library reduces collection but not the regional collection. Selective housing relations are divided by class scheme. You will divide the regional collection and it would require housing. Arlene, we have our regional collection in three different places on campus. We did the same thing. Divided it is by agency, it is easier. Again, as I figured, there are places that are doing smaller selective housing arrangements certainly open to it. If you have someone willing to help you out, it may take pressure off of your collection. Does anyone know what Danna is referring to in Mexico? Can you give us a few more details? I think you -- I have a good idea. You know more than I do. Okay. As far as catalog records for documents -- that is our concern. We had a discussion on that. I know that records -- sometime in the late 90s, records from 1976 until that particular point in time, were loaded or given to regionals for their catalogs. GPO started doing March catalogs in 1976 . Regionals should have records for those. I am curious though, how many regionals out there, actually when they got those records, actually matched their collections to the records. Did you put them into the questions assuming we have those. Here at RGA, we did not do that their records and things we do not have. I'm curious, how many of you did that? Dan was tasked with putting together Mexico to Mexico state University library. The regional collection and all shared responsibilities for development. That sounds great. Gwen is laughing. Laura Hopper is saying is still

taking us 20 years at Utah. Marianne says it was much with inventory. Need to repeat the question. My question was, for the regionals who got the records from 1976 until the late 90s, when a batch loaded those -- did you actually inventory your collection against the records? Did you assume that you had everything at the regional, see did not have to do that? Kirsten is saying we put the records in the catalog, but we suppressed them because they did not match. Barb, it would've been possible to do a poll if I thought about that sooner. I do not know if he can have them do one quickly. Austin public did not match. Stephanie says we did not but we should have. I have to agree with that assessment also. We did these a few years before our fire in the fire happened, and it got worse we ultimately have been doing an inventory to match records to items on the shelves. We got halfway through our collection and that was challenging. When I say we have been working this for years, we tried to go through certain agencies. We are doing as we catalog. Barbie did some retro cataloging. I have to share this. We can all tell him that we are at a regional meeting and no one can take his call. Good grief. Sent him to voicemail. It does look like some people did, but many people did not. In terms of inventory with the materials. I guess here is my question for the group. If it were possible to do the same thing with pre-1976 records, would people be more inclined to inventory those, or would they be happy to have the records of the catalog so at least people would know the you may or may not have those? Flora likes the idea. Kristen, attempted to inventory pre-1976 records. The question is what a be analyzed? For Gwen, we would put records to create awareness. It would become problematic as I become regional overtime. Same here. One theory is to put everything and for information and try to find it for someone who wants to document it. Celine likes it, but she would need the support for it. Stephanie would want to inventory. Arlene is not sure. For us, it strikes me -- oftentimes our users will go straight to the catalog if they cannot find a record for it. They will not look any further. Mary and -- I was bringing an inventory for agency. So will be discarded and others in remotest porridge. Laura Harper -- we are too far along in catalog it is great the you are able to do that. You want to start at a glacier pays the summer. In Florida, the give up, yes. Gail would probably not be able to do the inventory. That is what we use the monthly catalog database for. If we do not have it. I can say one thing for us. If we have something on the shelf that does not have a barcode, and someone wants to viral it, we can do it on the fly. We either copy catalog or see if there is a record in our catalog for it. That helps incrementally. As far as retro stuff, some of their older serial titles have been done. Other certain parts of agencies -- if there is anything we get off of the discard list we catalog. From my perspective, as I said at the session last month, my ideal would be if we could compare our holding to someone who is mostly fully catalogued and be able to extract that way. At least that way we would have a fairly good shot at getting a pretty comprehensive batch of records that we should have. I meant the on-the-fly,. We get a lot of request because of records we have loaded into catalog because they should even if our holding some unknown. Yes that was going to be my next question. How close are we -- I think you mean are there any regions that are fully catalogued. Is that what you're asking? I did that survey last month. I did not get anyone who said they were fully catalogued. If and when we get fully catalogued I will run to every regional will flood your inboxes to say we are done. I thought Minnesota was also, but they never got back to me. Except for some off-site storage stuff. Again it strikes me because there is so much overlap -- or there should be a substantial amount of overlap. Even trying to get tons -- enough records so that you can at least do an inventory imagine materials will be very helpful. Some may be more complete in catalog collections and other regionals. Stephanie said we try to use the old self and retro catalog has not worked well. We know well that our paper holdings are not as great as we would have thought. Large selections [Indiscernible]. I am not sure. Maybe this is a question for GTO. I do not recall many binary servers -- if there ever was a question asking -- 2 questions. How tangible is your catalog and what percentage? Again, I would have to think some of the large collections must -- must be close to being catalogued. Regional or otherwise.

>> This is Ashley at GPO. I cannot speak authoritatively are not. We have try to SS the five-year collection. I do not believe, I could be wrong, we have asked retrospectively whether it is completely catalogued.

>> May be that is something for the next one. I think it would be good to know that. I think the way ACO is doing it -- where we list one catalog and its collection. James says it is surprising that no regional is fully catalogued. Pre-76. Flora is right, the enormity of the task and staff shortages. To me, for us it strikes me, if we can get the records -- which we will just have to take as is and match our holdings to that, it is much faster than having to copy catalog. That is oversimplified as a way of doing it. Again, if with a copy catalog, it will take you forever. Is Arlene said, the records are out there. Finding an efficient way of doing it. Scott, from Yale, says large selective has a DGI catalog was transferred off-site. California's regional has four miles of docs. Our region has that as well. We are about 75 percent continue to work on things. Any suggestions for what you would like to see catalogued work sorry, but it is true James. To figure out what is catalog versus on catalogued. RB said, they are sampling to determine 75 percent which is a much higher percentage. When we inventoried, we use students who had very specific things they could and could not do. Again, we have follow-up after it. We are committed to this as a long-term project. I do not know how Minnesota that it. I heard they hired extra staff did it that way. Even if we did get records and put them in, copy catalog would be easier on a smaller scale. The other thing that is problematic is the monographic series. Do you want to do them as a series, do I to have analytics? Some to make you want to tear your hair. That is always a challenge also. James is asking what is the least catalog timeframe? When is saying I come across departments that are not CL. This department of defense, technical manuals are very difficult. They are trying to catalog the energy commission, micro cards etc. We are just talking tangible things. Microfiche is another thing. You cannot have students or volunteers file. Barbie says the catalogs -- James is writing these down. There are records out there and local agency office catalogs that are hard to find. Great James, if you can target the most needed areas that is helpful. I guess, the one thing from our perspective, we have maps -- we have an inventory of the different sheets. I do not know that every single sheet has an individual record. Especially some of the older ones. That is one thing for us. Okay. What else about inventorying. When do you find the department of defense gaps or date related? Laura is saying pre-1980. The thing to with topo quads is that you can do them as a series. Some of them have changed names. One thing to slow down progress is pre-76 hearings are bound with titles. In some cases, for whatever reason, we used to do bounds with USGS, the different numbered series. We put a stop to that. Lisa do it analytic and you could figure it out that way. Cindy is still not available. Unless Flora has objections, we can keep going.

>> It seems productive so far. I can keep going and we will check in and another few minutes. James says records are at OL cc. Is merged in the records are not the same. Is another piece of the puzzle. Realistically, there are records out there and people are different points in cataloging. It strikes me that there should be some way to at least determine the percentage of cataloging. Records that are available with some amount of affinity. Ultimately, it is a data mining exercise. Their records for some additions, but not all again, we are all identifying the same problems. It seems like there should be some way to at least get to the point where we can say definitively, there is a certain percentage of things that have records. Discuss potential corporate partners to standardize records, but at what cost? Who pays for it? Pre-76 is something that that a my office. Old-school but valuable. Who came up with the bound idea? I'm sure they won't admit it, but I agree with you. You have discussed this before, you local data bit -- base. They are probably no longer with us. Again, some of these bound with things, they say if it is part of a numbered series, realistically you can refer them to the series of ethane is bound with. You're going to imply that is the case. Yuma publication number. Although, I will say having a bound with note, makes it easier to extract a record for us. Extract a report for us. We asked them to look for anything has that note in it. A regional for over 150 years old, the card catalog is hard to find things to use. Are place

holding record for materials is there and having the written record. Okay. I guess going back to the idea of inventorying, regional is very widely in the number of resources that they have of who they can call on to help with things. For an academic library, we can use student assistance to do some of the work. We are fortunate that we can hire that many. I wonder, since I have some time, what options there might be. At some point, there will have to be an inventory if you want to know who has what if you look for a number copies. What are ways that collections could be inventoried that are not going to be so order some more onerous and the libraries itself? What are some outside of the box ways we can think of getting the done? With the guideline of retaining for copies, regionals should we retain a certain agency? Yes, that is a good idea. It means inventory would be slow. Certainly, if we are looking at some of these titles that are part of a larger regional discard, those low as it should be inventoried. I would like to make a database and put together something like a unique catalog withholdings by inventory. You must be at the State Department. At the regional all over could adopt a COD model. I think the partnership produces a decent chunk. Check to see if you're typing to all participants. Barb, going back to the full idea of COD model. To Barb and Flora. One of the things that we discussed last time, one of the great things of the whole idea of the centers of excellence, is that it does allow libraries to focus on particular agencies formats or what have you. That are specific to their institutions focus. A good example is the Park service. They have a Park service management program where they focus on Park service materials. It makes perfect sense in terms of reflection development and pitching it to your administration. The downside is not everything is being selected for the function of the side of the soul itself. The question then, is how you get people to take the agencies that are not necessarily as adoptable, shall we say. At the same time, each regional could commit to doing a little bit. That certainly takes it a long way further to getting everything catalogued. That should be coming through, if not schooling down. I am seeing this. The fact that you are inventory by nature's time and dividing it up might be doable. Absolutely. Yes, the session is going to be recorded Sandy. Arlene, most of the a Sills regionals are fully catalogued for the COD's. Bill, if you are here, weight I will show you a map that I made for you. There you go. Never let it be said that I never did anything for you tell. Your question was why not libraries that have a question for an agency to have a COD model like Barbie said? You set a good agency and about agency. We don't want to call it a bad agency. It will give them a complex. A good agency and another agency. Catalog all materials on microfiche. James Jacobs from our upcoming catalog project. Their prima catalogued by cover by COD. You had an athletics meeting? Okay. We are working on cataloging but we're not even close yet. In the digital age we are working to do preservation. Further collaborating with someone like half trust helps us to know what we deftly have. So that we need only find the rest. Not a pretty plan, but it narrows down the scope. What copy are you talking? One copy throughout the country? Are you talking these can you elaborate on that? I guess, it sounds like a great idea. To me, it is one of these things, where it is a great idea. We have copies, but only one copy we can ensure access and preservation through digitization. Certainly, we need to retain and make sure the copies are retained. Is less copy of record. Going back for discussion, if all the regionals do it, that would be a coordinated effort. I am not sure how that can happen. Again, if there is a way to do a clearing house where you can say such and such region is taken response ability for this. They will get these catalogs. Once the records are available, they can give you the numbers, so you can do them for your own library. That seems like a tremendous way to get materials. You are right Dan, as single copy is too risky. It looks like a GPO is thinking at least 10 to match the GPO regions in the country. There you go. I am thinking a catalog registry. We've taken this on and these are the ones we're going to do. For those considering selective housing agreement, you might be surprised if they have things that are fully catalogued. Cataloging registry will be helpful. That way if libraries come across, you look around and see what has not been done. In regional, we had a sheet flow in your basement. Sorry to hear that. I hope your collection is okay. It is she T. You made me say that out loud. Yes, for now James. They are talking about the CGP to document regional holdings to help with discard project. We have a Google doc

where we use as a cataloging work longer there could add OCLC number. The work longer contains every record. I like the idea of a catalog and registry so we can see which library has done which agency maybe that is something we could investigate further. It seems like an interesting idea lots of people like that. Okay. Catalog registry sounds great. We could discuss this amongst ourselves. It could be an interesting project for someone. CAT registry, that is a little different thing. I am thinking with a librarian. Who has many cats. The record of all the catalogs have done to figure some could come in handy. We could kick around the idea of a catalog registry. We can go from there. Maybe counsel can discuss this a little bit. Regional could take it to the regionals to discuss what we would like to see in a cataloging registry. To me, it is something to see what the progress is and who has done what. I didn't mean to be a troublemaker. That was the term we must use because of insurance. The sheet flow. Interesting. We had a flood here a little over a year ago. I know what I called it I don't know if it was an official term. You learn something new every day. Is there anything else? I'm going back to the questions. We have done a registry in Virginia I think this is something the regionals will be helpful to take this discussion to take the regionals list as well. Bat around some ideas. That is something that is doable. Something that might be useful to everyone. What other regional model topics do we want to discuss? Other things that we can talk about. I take it we do not have Cindy?

>> I apologize, I have not been able to get through to her through personal or work contacts.

>> I hope everything is okay. If we want to, we could talk about regional discard at this point? The discard tool, if we want? I think it would be helpful if a regional could take response by for certain agency and get it catalogued. Otherwise weak could spend a lot of time determining which of our items we have catalogued. That could be an initial way to populate catalog. We could say which ones have actually been catalogued so far. What percentage you think are done and can be used on what. Flora, for someone in beta group, I assume your type up the tool? My understanding is that they are still doing final tweaks on it. I think I have had a number of volunteers that want to do beta testing for that. I think the plan is to do that for the summer and do it in conjunction with the regional discard group. Is there a possibility of sharing regional across state lines? I am looking at Arlene's question. Is anybody pushing for two -- such as Kansas and Nebraska? I do not know specifically that anybody trying to do it yet. I think it is something dish I will not speak for anybody. I think at is something that falls in the gray area. Perhaps there are states pushing for it and they may get a different answer for it. I do not know that there is anyone trying for it. Are you talking about the test phase for regional discard? They mention end of summer 2017. I think with the idea is, is they want to be able to give a report at the fall meeting for the progress of that. Because of our flood, we do not have a complete regional collection at the agency. Okay. If you are interested, all of our items are in the catalog. Again, this is underscoring the need -- if we can all work together we can figure out who's catalog has what. If it catalogued everything in her collection at regional, it will not be everything. We all have things. The regional collections are comprehensive. They are huge. Again, not all regionals came in at the same time. Everyone should have a lot of the same stuff, but everyone -- very few people will have what everybody else has. You can get records from someone who has many or most things catalogued. They do not have what you have, but you should catalog. Where's Laura. And Mississippi. Yes also, we have a lot of non-depository stuff from Doc X in Seattle Public Library. The titles I am most concerned about which all statistical data. I frugally come across pre-76 statistical sources that are not digitized anywhere. A lot of these old artisan -- items are getting brittle and I worry about effectively losing public access to this data. That is some of -- some of these government documents, especially during wartime's were printed on that paper. We see some of our world work to stuff printed on some of the worst paper out there. They are cool things that we would hate to lose. The other when I see are folded maps in documents. They get brittle and the longer they stay folded less likely they are to get unfolded. 40s and 50s PR is are in terrible condition. They just keep getting older tabular data is an untapped gold mine. Absolutely. I wonder with all of the big data movement, they are looking at current stuff. I have seen different places that are scanning the data

Cabell -- tables. That can be an issue with getting decent scans to make it usable. I wonder if there is a push for historic documents and getting it digitized to use it in other ways. Someone said Zan ran.com. The session is being recorded. Ashley can you confirm that the chats will be made available ?

>> Yes.

>> We have some good links and information that people may want to follow up on. Any other questions or ideas and thoughts that we might have an regional models? Regional discard and what have you? I think this has been an interesting continued discussion. I think we may have a good solution or output that we can point to it comes from this. If we come up with a catalog and registry. It sounds like model number one -- I'm going to disagree with that. I think model went to be the idea that we strive for. How we get there is debatable. Realistically, the 21st century, we should be asking ourselves why aren't we fully catalogued? Everything else is. Other things are. I do not say that it is a no, I said it is an long-term project. It is doable. There are some challenges to getting to that point it is doable. Carmen says there is a process that duplicates the folding maps. I'm drooling over that with my folded map collection pick some places have taken them and flatten them. Oklahoma did it to their maps. That is wonderful. Laura says, what about discard versus new tool for GPO ASE RL? I believe it is fairly flexible and you can do that. I guess we would have to look more closely. Lisa says I do not think my comments of it showing up. Currently they have for beta testers. They call for testers when they get closer. Probably July, turning for late summer or early fall release. Good to know. I guess Lisa, when you state release, is that whenever one can use it?

>> Yes. It felt like you have a definitive report in the fall meeting in October. Is that correct? Cool, okay. Mark your calendars for that. Is there anything else? Then I will turn a back over to Flora. This is been a helpful discussion. Thank you Barbie.

>> Thank you Hallie .

>> I went back to my Apple. Turning back to you.

>> Our third agenda item had to do with dealing with obsolete format. Formats that libraries often do not have the equipment to read anymore. We wondered if it is okay to rely on Indiana University's virtual CD-ROM/Floppy Disk Library , which is not complete. I did not know if you had ideas about this? Special stories about how you've dealt with some of these things? Melissa, just because you still read floppy disks. I am reading the chat. Right, exactly. We cannot keep up with all of the radio syncretic resources. I'm trying to remember what I heard. Exactly, we do not have the computing equipment. I cannot remember what the intermediate discs were. Were they called? Not CD. I guess I am hopeful that GPO will understand -- thank you Glenn. Whether the cost? That the public software to. Sometimes you can get external drives to read a floppy and you hope that your computing system can handle whatever software it is. I do not remember any conclusion we made a DLC. You might be able to open a file -- what do we call that? Spaghetti code. Laura, why did you buy that? For fun or for your library? Thank you Hallie . Good point Arlene. Back to the similar problem of sharing catalog records and completeness. Indiana has done a great first step. Do we have a complete inventory of what discs have been issued that we may or may not want to have access to? Who is ignorant about cataloging records? Yes Hallie, that is the thing . If any given place felt that they could claim that their sources complete. Technology is a read, what is your driving up to put the disc into? I am reading chat. I hope you are also. Laura, good point. Back to volunteering. If you have time and staff -- right, you helped with Indiana's process. Content, how to get what is in the files. As my colleague would say, irregardless of how it is distributed. You are seeing interested in preserving what is on the disc. Assuming the way that we have what is on the disc is assessable in the future. I have to admit that I have not spent enough time with IU virtual library to know the scope. Thanks Daniel. You are talking about virtual box with link. And having an older version. Barbie thank you, you're saying agencies themselves. If the issue data on a disk, if they care about it, it is up to them. We may not be able to get to it. I have no idea Arlene. I need to investigate. What is I use goal? Have they been supported with funding? How complete to the aim to be

this is something for us to pursue before the fall DLC to find out more. Arlene, thank you. Same can we identify things that are not listed? Hallie, please, tangible is so overrated as soon as you get to the Condit this content. Kiersten, thank you. Maybe we do not have to house these. Whatever spaces we've been using for other places. Data on paper until it falls apart is fine. Longer-lasting in my lifetime than floppy this or busted CDs that I have. This is an endeavor for us to look into further. Some are giant magnets more than others. Credit cards CDs etc. are Albrecht. May be in the fall at our regional discussion, we can go a little further and find out more about what others have pursued. I forget who said it, ask GPO. See what GPO and Indiana have discussed. What is their end goal or is there anything that firm all about the scope of what they are collecting. Great idea. It is so weird for me to be talking. Ashley, you probably have not found Cindy ?

>> I have not been able to get a hold of her. Hallie, I appreciate you doing such a thorough job talking about regional models and are management program. I apologize, I should have worked with Cindy more closely to make sure we were together for today. Laura Harper says some dad links in GPO records did not match up. And catalog records for an E version -- I can see that being an ongoing challenge. Speaking of inventory, it is a similar problem of virtual inventory. Do you all have other suggestions about the problem of floppies and formats? I appreciate your participation today. I appreciate the regal people. Kristen, Abigail, Brent and Suzanne Carol. Thanks for helping us get together our agenda. Of course, counsel numbers were playing along. Hallie, you are wonderful leading the discussion Ashley, from GPO, thanks for helping us out to help us talk about these things. Sandy says, can anybody at GPO address this? Lisa, what is your thought about folks from GPO who are best suited to talk to us about floppy disks?

>> This is Lisa can you hear me?

>> We can hear you.

>> As far as the regional discards, I am not sure exactly where we are on the process. I can field some questions on the Needs & Offers tool tool. If that would be helpful.

>> Anybody want to ask specific questions on that? Perhaps those that want to volunteer for beta testing are already in the loop. Or with the testing unfolding, that is where the specific questions were come from.

>> There is a link in the slide deck for the tool presentation to a feedback form. On that form, if you want to volunteer to beta test, you can go in there and tell us you want to do some beta testing. We will send out a call in late June or early July for beta testers. I know a lot of people are using the tool. We would like to get some of them involved in the testing. I think we want to get a good representation in the beta test.

>> Thank you. Hello Heidi. I forget who recommended you to take part in this. Ashley, thanks again. We've ensuring these links. Hello Robin. Thank you. Think you Kentucky, for asking any development on how GPO will be able to identify which regionals will hold the last four copies so the other regionals can discard? Heidi, you able to answer that question? Barbie, thank you. Earlier, you talked about that idea. Hallie says CGP would be the first -- perfect place. Updating us and how to work on that. Record-keeping. Heidi says, -- echoing what Robin said. Regionals in particular, have good ideas to offer. I want to thank everybody. Arlene, good point. Yes, thank you Marie. They may not have hung on to them, and I might be a good place to begin. Right, Murray. That superseded regionals that you could discard. To be totally complete. Right. If a regional has agreed to keep forever, and then there are space issues, it would make it impossible. Dan. Regionals divided. Right. Oh boy. Dan does not know if records -- we agreed to keep everything work Hallie, a selective depository could agree to keep as a last resort. Again, we need to know how to keep a record of that. Heidi asked about regional distribution. Good. Sandy says they agree to keep. Dan has the last copy of who is going to hold onto what. What year was those -- were those decisions made. 2002. Hally says -- Dan can you share a list with us? That could be interesting. Someone like me who has done this for a few years, may not have the back story. I'm sure

we still have it, as we are completely retentive. Arlene is saying a damn lot share she will. Thank you. That is very important. I appreciate your mentioning this because I am not paid close enough attention to my own work. Right. No definitive whether regional or selective could be the one who agrees forever to keep a copy of last resort. Marianne, right. This is something where with my regal work, I have not done a good job of figuring out where our files are from past discussions. We have talked about moving to Google docs to make it pretty open and easy to share thank you Marianne. What else? Anything else? I wish you could all see this massive microphone set up a IT. Carmen says, if read -- regional coordinator successors still agree to pass things like this -- in fact in DLC planning session -- I found it quite helpful. We are looking about right now. Exactly in response to Hallie , we could have a criteria. We need a way to locally have a plan. Arlene, thank you. She will share it with us, who agreed to keep what. Hallie admits she did not know . Superseded items were discarded that they thought they would keep. Dan, buried in a box. I'm so glad I cannot hear all of you. That will be very good for meaning in October. To read all of this and see where we stand. Carmen is honored the damned keep something at home, related to his job. In respect to successors to come into the Jeep after someone leaves or retires. They do not know about the commitment to keep things. Heidi, and others that GPO, I hope this will be helpful to set a record. University of Maryland will not be able to keep up with their commitment. Your ministry what allow it. -- Your administrator says no. That is an issue that many depositories face I want to say stupid admen, but that is not great because this is recorded. I appreciate all of you tuning in. Thank you for the substantive questions and background information. Ashley , I appreciate that we will have the transcript captured so that I can study it and remember what I need to investigate further and plan for future meetings. If you all do not have any more comments, I will say that we can adjourn now. Thanks Ashley . Thank you , thanks to everyone. I appreciate your thoughtful comments. Tune in to our virtual meetings. With this giant microphone, I want to say thank you and good night. Anyway, good afternoon. Mahalo! we need to convene in Hawaii someday.

>>

>> McCown -- [Event Concluded]