
REGIONAL DEPOSITORY MODELS CONTINUING THE DISCUSSION

Hallie Pritchett Chair, Depository Library Council University of Georgia

Academic library 31 State library 12 Public library 3



Current breakdown – 46 regionals

7 multi-state regionals


- □ Connecticut State Library Rhode Island
- □ University of Florida Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands
- □ University of Hawaii, Manoa American Samoa, Guam and Micronesia
- □ University of Maine, Orono New Hampshire and Vermont
- $\hfill\Box$ University of Maryland $-\hfill$ Delaware and D.C.
- $\hfill\Box$ University of Minnesota Michigan and South Dakota
- □ Washington State Library Alaska


Current breakdown – 46 regionals


- 4 states with 2 regionals
- □ Alabama
- Louisiana
- □ Texas
- □ Wisconsin
- 1 state with a shared regional
- □ North Dakota

29 regionals that serve a single state

- □ 3 states have shared regional arrangements
 - Missouri
 - Oregon
 - Tennessee
- Other states may have smaller-scale SHAs







Proposed regional models

- □ Fully cataloged regionals
 - ALL tangible regional collections would be fully cataloged and inventoried
- More multi-state regionals
 - Regions or consortia would determine the number of regionals necessary to serve their users
- □ Eliminate regionals entirely
 - Separates collection development and management from public service

Does not require Title 44 change

- Multi-state regionals, so long as the entire regional collection resides in the regional's home state
- Selective Housing Agreements (SHAs) to have other libraries in the regional's home state house parts of the regional collection
- Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to share reference service

May require Title 44 change

- Two designated regionals in one state sharing the regional collection
 - Must have senatorial approval (e.g. North Dakota)
- Multi-state regionals, where the regional collection resides in multiple states
 - e.g. Kansas-Nebraska proposal

Requires Title 44 change

- ☐ More then two regionals in one state
- □ Eliminate regionals and transfer responsibilities to separate entities
- □ Keep less than the full regional collection
- Substitute electronic documents not approved by the SuDoc

Discussion topics

- □ The use of Selective Housing Agreements
- □ Catalog records for pre-1976 documents
- □ To inventory or not to inventory?
- □ Other regional model-related topics?

Thank you!

Hallie Pritchett hpritche@uga.edu