

From 05/11/16 08:04 AM To 05/11/16 10:25 AM Hawaii  
From 05/11/16 10:04 AM To 05/11/16 12:25 PM Alaska  
From 05/11/16 11:04 AM To 05/11/16 01:25 PM Arizona  
From 05/11/16 11:04 AM To 05/11/16 01:25 PM Pacific  
From 05/11/16 12:04 PM To 05/11/16 02:25 PM Mountain  
From 05/11/16 01:04 PM To 05/11/16 03:25 PM Central  
From 05/11/16 02:04 PM To 05/11/16 04:25 PM Eastern  
From 05/11/16 02:04 PM To 05/11/16 04:25 PM SA W

---Begin Transcript---

### Chat

**Lisa Russell** -> All Attendees: We showed mock ups at the virtual conference to show the look and feel, but the back end programming isn't finished yet.

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: It does sound like they talked a lot with the ASERL database people and are basing a lot on that excellent tool.

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: Barbie: My question was related.

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: From what we've seen GPO's tool has more flexibility

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: just got here --- has there been discussion on discards?

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: Thanks, Hallie, for commenting.

**Erin Guss** -> All Participants: not yet, @Laura Harper!

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: Maybe we in UT should volunteer to serve NV and/or WY. I think this has been discussed but not in a concrete way during my tenure at UT State Univ

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: we're a 9-hour flight from Guam

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: Are SHA's required to be in the "home state?"

**Melissa Bernstein** -> All Participants: No

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: no

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: not in MS

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: Yes--maps only.

**Kate Tallman** -> All Participants: No (colorado)

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: Yes

**Renee Bosman** -> All Participants: not in NC

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: In Utah we have maps in the geology dept at UT STate Unive... very limited

**Marianne Mason** -> All Participants: Yes - Law library partnership (Iowa)

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: no SHA in HI

**Crystal Lentz** -> All Participants: Yes. We (WA) have smallish ones now, but are looking to expand them.

**Sandra McAninch** -> All Participants: yes, Law has our law-related titles

**Blaine Redemer** -> All Participants: none for IL

**James Shaw** -> All Participants: No SHA in Nebraska

**Laura Saur** -> All Participants: We also had one for maps, but the map series in the agreement have been discontinued.

**Gail Fithian** -> All Participants: BPL has no selective housing arrangements but we are open to having them!

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: UNM is close to closing on an MOU for 3 NM depository libraries

**Danae Snavelly** -> All Participants: Yes, Law library partnership

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: Virginia - small SHA's for ASERL CoE collections mostly

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: We make arrangements for other libraries to take slip laws and other things that supersede quickly; we take Statutes at Large (like that) -- Missouri

**Abigail DeSoto** -> All Participants: No

**Andy Bourgeois** -> All Participants: No SHA in Arizona, though still some discussion of trying one

**Jane Canfield** -> All Participants: Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands are a 3-4 hour flight away also-I have considered the idea of having the PR/VI depositories discuss our selections with the idea of trying ot be as comprehensive as possible but lack of personnel inhibits all of us

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: I've given my documents in certain CoE collections to selectives to house as "the regional copy." We stamp them as such.

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: Our law lib, like all of our selectives, has reduced its collection and is not interested in housing part of the regional collection.

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Oregon's "large" SHA's are divided by SuDoc class scheme

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: The MOU will divide the Regional collection in NM which will require SHA's between the partners

**Scott Matheson** -> All Participants: Does everyone know what Dan is referring to in NM?

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: It does get tricky to divide by SuDoc class with historical materials ... such as FS => HE

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: I still think that a regional in charge of several states could push it and try a SHA with a library in a different state.

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: I was tasked with putting together an MOU for UNM, NMSU and the State Library of NM. We will divide the Regional collection and all share responsibilities for collection development, ILL, public service, etc

**Karen Russ** -> All Participants: Arlene, I agree. AR is having some challenges there too

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: haahaahaaa

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: Hallie, taking us 20 yrs & still going

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: We didn't at UT State Univ

**Marianne Mason** -> All Participants: Suppressed then matched with inventory

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: so much for retired life!

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: please repeat the question

**Gail Fithian** -> All Participants: Boston Public Library did not match

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: Didn't inventory. But should have!

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: That was before my time here.

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: Like Laura, we have been working on this for years and are just trying to complete certain agencies.

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: Some inventory has happened, but mostly no.

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: We didn't match; are doing as we catalog

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: I have to share this with everyone although it is very off topic ... Brady Eames just tried to call me (came up on my phone ID) Watch out everyone, he may be going through the Regional list!

**Andy Bourgeois** -> All Participants: Me too!

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Exactly!

**Andy Bourgeois** -> All Participants: Sent him to voicemail

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: Bless his heart??

**Melissa Bernstein** -> All Participants: Heard he's been on a rampage...

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: We inventoried any large series and serials that went into storage. And hearigs in storage.

**Scott Matheson** -> All Participants: Yes, thanks Dan

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: I like that idea - we can rely on ILL fo access

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: would the serials be analyzed?

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: We would put records in the catalog to provide awareness even if we don't have the docs. So many are available online.

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: That would be more problematic --- as we've become R over

time

**Celina McDonald** -> All Participants: I like it, but I doubt I would the needed support for it.

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: I would want to inventory, but my admin would say NO!

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: I'm not sure it is helpful to have records locally when they are not inventoried? Why not just direct folks to WorldCat?

**Marianne Mason** -> All Participants: Iowa is doing an inventory by agency for actual holdings.

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: Maybe, if we also knew some thing would be discarded and others moved ot storage.

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: We're too far along on retro cataloging for this to help.

**Renee Bosman** -> All Participants: We would take batch records if they are decent. We are going to start (glacial pace) inventory this summer at UNC.

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: +Hallie

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: they give up.. yes

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: Inventory has to do with space priorities more than the existances of records.

**Gail Fithian** -> All Participants: would probably not be able to do inventory, and is also good to have the record even if we don't hold an item

**Alicia Kubas** -> All Participants: that's what we use the monthly catalog database from proquest for. then we ill if we don't have it.

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: That's our plan, too.

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: I meant the "on the fly" comment by Hallie.

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: We get a lot of ILL requests because of records we've loaded in the catalog because of GWLA borrow it now even if our holdings not in OCLC

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: How close are we to have a Regional close to full cataloging?

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: Think MINN is?

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Yes!

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: I wish!

**Alicia Kubas** -> All Participants: i think MN is close except for some off site storage items!

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: We have tried using the old shelf list recently to work on retro cataloging. It has not worked that well.

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: Certain selectives may have more complete, and more catalogued collections than some regionals

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: There are some large selectives that are pretty close ... I think Univ. of Wash. is an example.

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: that's surprising to me that no regionals are fully cataloged. Is pre-1976 the issue? other issues?

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: James, enormity of task & staff shortages

**Kevin Walker** -> All Participants: At U of Alabama, we are about 85-90% cataloged.

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: James, lack of pre-1976 copy and lack of staff are the issues

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: The records are out there ... its staff resources that really hold us back

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: Why James are you suprised. I am not.

**Scott Matheson** -> All Participants: A large selective (Yale Univ, not me at the law library) had DGI catalog the entire collection when it was transferred offsite

**Scott Matheson** -> All Participants: These records are in OCLC (might not be perfect, but pretty good).

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: California's regional has four miles of docs

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: Stanford is at around 75%. and we continue to work through

things. have a project going this summer to target some gaps

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: any suggestions on sudoc ranges you'd like to see cataloged?

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: I have been trying to figure out how much is cataloged vs. uncataloged. It's difficult because they are mixed together on the shelves.

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: lol

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: We would need twice as many employees as we currently have!

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Interstate Commerce Commission ... those publications are a bear to catalog!

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: what's the \*least\* cataloged areas or time frames?

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: got it arlene

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: I've come across a lot of War Dept and DoD stuff that's not in OCLC

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: try cataloging all the old AEC microfiche/mcards. That's a real bear!

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: oofta dan

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: writing these down

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Honestly, most of the FDLP distributed material has records out there ... it's the local agency office publications that are more challenging to find records for

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: prob won't get to all of them. but we \*will\* target some most needed areas

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Yes ... maps are definitely under cataloged!

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: Gwen, do you find the DoD gaps are date-related?

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: Pre 1980 topos

**Kevin Walker** -> All Participants: One thing that has slowed our progress with a retro project to catalog all of our pre-76 hearings are "bound-with" titles.

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: DoD 1960s and 1970s

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: yes we have lots of bound-with!

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: ok gwen

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: We do have all of our D 103: cataloged--Corps of Engineers.

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: our records are in OCLC

**Laurie Fortier** -> All Participants: It's been problematic when OCLC's dedup program has eliminated merged and deleted records that were not the same.

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: That's our Center of Excellence piece of the puzzle.

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Another big issue with finding records in OCLC is lack of 086 (SUDoc) fields. I add them whenever I can ...

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: Pamphlets -- often have records for some eds. but not all

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: There was a project here at KU to catalog topoquads. We started with Kansas and then surrounding areas. I can inquire to learn more if someone is interested.

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: We've discussed potential corporate partners to catpre and standardize records... but at what cost?

**Kevin Walker** -> All Participants: Our pre-76 catalog/shelf list is a card catalog kept outside my office...old school, but invaluable.

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: Who came up with that @\$\*&!! "bound with" idea?

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: they threw away our card catalog...made me so sad!

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: the bound-with originator is probably no longer with us

**Kevin Walker** -> All Participants: Since we've been a regional for 150+ years, without the card catalog it would be hard to find titles...the agencies changed names over the years, etc.

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: Indeed, regionals over all adopt a CoE model.

**Andy Bourgeois** -> All Participants: I accidentally sent this only to Janet, but in response to Flora we haven't seen the ProQuest records from our partnership but that will produce a decent chunk

**Kate Tallman** -> All Participants: (some chat isn't coming through...check to see if you are typing to "All Participants") :)

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Inventory by nature is time consuming ... but dividing up like COE model might be doable

**Sandra McAninch** -> All Participants: Some of the comments that Hallie is reading are not showing up in the chat box. Will they be in session record?

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Are most of the ASERL regionals that are COE's fully cataloged for the COE's yet?

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: As I noted, we are--Corps of Engineers.

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: We have cataloged all COE material except for hearings and microfiche

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: Nice!

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: for our upcoming cataloging project, we're looking for gaps and will compare to what the COEs are doing. will prb not catalog sudocs if they're covered by a coe

**Renee Bosman** -> All Participants: We are working on cataloging COE material but are not even close yet.

**Kevin Walker** -> All Participants: In the digital age, we need only one copy to ensure preservation and access to the information. Further, collaborating with someone like HathiTrust helps up to know what we definitely have. So, then we need only find the rest. Not a perfect plan, but it narrows down the scope of a widespread inventory project.

**Kevin Walker** -> All Participants: Ideally, we all have copies, but with only one copy we can ensure access and preservation through digitization.

**Daniel Cornwall** -> All Participants: A single copy is too risky.

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: like the digital registry, it'd help if there were a cataloging registry

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: One thing for those considering SHA's with selectives ... ask that part of the agreement include full cataloging.

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: GPO figure out how we register our "copies of record" in the CGP or somewhere else. Register at least the minimum of 4 (or 10) there.

**Daniel Cornwall** -> All Participants: +1 James - Cataloging registry would be very helpful.

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: that way when/if libraries come across some funds to do cataloging projects, they could look and see what's not been done

**Naomi Lederer** -> All Participants: I'm not at a regional, but we had a "sheet flow" (i.e. a lot of water) in our basement.

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: that's sheety

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: I win!

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: They are talking about using CGP to document regional holdings to help with discard project

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: we have a google doc we use as a cataloging work logger...could add OCLC number. The work logger contains every record worked on by the catalogers

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: I like the idea of a cataloging registry too

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: Hey, Jody--ncie idea!

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: It would help if there was more pre-76 in CGP

**Gail Fithian** -> All Participants: yes to cat registry

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: someone??

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: Thank you Stephanie! I have record of all the catalogers have done and figure could come in handy at some point!

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Participants: No sheet!

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: CGP should equal catalog registry

**Naomi Lederer** -> All Participants: I didn't mean to be a troublemaker, but that was the term we must use because of insurance!

**Naomi Lederer** -> All Participants: Yes

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: we've sort of done a registry in Va - <http://guides.lib.virginia.edu/depcataloging>

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: nice Barbie

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Is anyone going to try to push for a two state shared regional (aka Kansas-Nebraska) again?

**Barbara Miller** -> All Participants: I think it would be helpful if a regional could take responsibility for a certain agency and get it cataloged. Otherwise we would spend a lot of time determining which of our items we have cataloged.

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: Can someone in beta group explain current status?

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: Some possibility of sharing regional responsibilities across state lines - same as what Arlene said.

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: Good question Arlene. I'm curious too

**Lisa Russell** -> All Attendees: I hadn't prepared anything, but since Cindy isn't here, I could field questions on the Needs & Offers tool

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: I meant the regional discard thingy

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: They mentioned end of summer 2016 - into fall for N&O testing

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: Because of our flood, we don't have a complete regional collection of any agency.

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: yes

**Naomi Lederer** -> All Participants: If any of you are interested, all of our (which might not be all of them) World War I War Department (W) items are in our library catalog. <http://col.st/tL9X4>

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: The biggest fallacy of the FDLP is that all Regional collections are "comprehensive"

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: That's right Hallie!

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: We've finished W also

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: But it would help us identify what we don't have

**Lisa Russell** -> All Attendees: We have had 4 people volunteer using the comments form for the beta test of FDLP eXchange (aka Needs and offers). There is a link to the feedback form in the slides from the virtual conference if you want to volunteer for the beta test. We will also put out a call for beta testers when we get close to testing.

**Naomi Lederer** -> All Participants: Laura--where are you? All Ws?

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: U Miss

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: We also have a lot of non-depository stuff from Docex and Seattle Public Library

**Kevin Walker** -> All Participants: The titles I am most concerned about are those which hold statistical data. I frequently come across pre-76 statistical sources that are not digitized anywhere. A lot of these older items are getting brittle and I worry about effectively losing public access to these data. I want to work with my selectives over the coming year to find and consolidate these items.

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: me too Kevin

**Naomi Lederer** -> All Participants: Thanks for intel, Laura.

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: have y'all seen zanran.com? tabular data search

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: want that software to run digitized documents through

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: Some 1940s-1950s PRs are in terrible condition

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: tabular data is an untapped gold mine

**Kevin Walker** -> All Participants: very true

**flora shrode** -> All Participants: yes - thanks Ashley!

**Monica Dorame** -> All Participants: Yes thanks!

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: so it sounds like model #1 is what we're gravitating toward no?

**james jacobs** -> All Participants: that is, Hallie's model 1

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: Kansas Univ has very extensive Serial Set Map collection - flat (many in mylar) in map cases that duplicates the folded maps into the Serial Set collection.

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: One ? about ASERL discard process vs new tool --- may require negotiation with GPO/ASERL --- can ASERL tool take priority for Regional/selective?

**Lisa Russell** -> All Participants: I've been chatting to All Attendees instead of All Participants, so I don't think my comments have shown up. We currently have 4 beta testers for FDLPEXchange (aka Needs & Offers). We will send out a call for testers when we get closer.

**Lisa Russell** -> All Participants: Probably July for beta test. We're targeting late summer / early fall for release.

**Lisa Russell** -> All Participants: Yes, hopefully everyone will be able to use it then

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: GREAT job, Hallie.

**Bill Sudduth** -> All Participants: Office of Education publications in the Monthly Catalog (1943-1976) - 6554 entries; 3176 items sent to depositories or only 48.5%

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: Thank you Hallie! Great discussion!

**Naomi Lederer** -> All Participants: I missed almost all of the Spring meeting (was at TRAIL), so this has been a nice catch up of a section of what went on. Thanks!

**Melissa Bernstein** -> All Participants: Wait, floppy disks are obsolete? ;-)

**Melissa Bernstein** -> All Participants: :-)

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: Format is not the only issue -- even CDROMs run on software that we don't have any longer. Our IT dept won't maintain computers with older versions of Windows.

**Lisa Russell** -> All Participants: Anyone who is using the ASERL tool can use the new tool for offering nationally. A regional could set up their region with zero days to review so that it would go straight to national offers.

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Very few libraries have computers that can read floppy disks anymore

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Zip

**Aimee Quinn** -> All Participants: zip

**Daniel Cornwall** -> All Participants: zip drives?

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: It's possible to get an external disk drive to read floppies

**Karen Russ** -> All Participants: Yes Gwen

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Even if you can read them, today's computers may not be able to read the contents

**Laura Saur** -> All Participants: I just bought an external floppy drive at a yard sale for \$1.

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: We didn't talk about this at last month's meeting

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: I think the problem is that the Indiana repository is not complete ... is there any effort to try to work on this?

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: If it were complete, would this be an option to allow regionals to discard disks?

**Ashley Dahlen** -> All Participants: So there is two things going on - the technology to read the format and the software on the disk that needs to be emulated? Is that correct?

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: In the past, I've tried to contact them about plans to add

more content, but have not gotten responses.

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: Just wondering if we could have 5-10 volunteers to keep the tangible floppies/diskettes so rest of us could discard?

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: I sent all of UNM's collection to IU

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Again, separating content from carrier

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: We're interested in preserving the information those disks contain, not the disks themselves

**Daniel Cornwall** -> All Participants: With regards to old software, emulators like VirtualBox could be an answer - see <https://forums.virtualbox.org/viewtopic.php?t=859> for an example of creating a windows 3.1 box.

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: Most agencies now have the data that they put out on floppies on the web. THEY are able to consider the web as THE place, but we aren't - even though we can't access it.

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Does GPO's agreement with Indiana ask for it to work on being more complete?

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: FDLP floppy disks don't take a lot of space, and CDs can be put into 3-ring binders with pages holding 8 CDs each. Since it's not a space problem, holding on to them is not a problem for us.

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: If we could identify things we have that are not IU, that would be a place a start

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: So the question seems to be - if we have access to the information in electronic (vs. tangible electronic, which are the disks themselves) can we discard the tangible?

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: At least data on paper is still accessible, the floppies just aren't accessible at all.

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: +1 Barbie

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: All it takes in 1 giant magnet...

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Ask GPO/IU to present on their agreement at fall meeting

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: Problem I found recently was that first, URL at IU had changed & GPO recs had dead links & we didn't have catalog recs for all e-version (IU virtual copies)

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: So if IU has a comprehensive collection of the content from these floppies and cdroms - I would like approval to discard the artifact.

**Daniel Cornwall** -> All Participants: Floppy disks in particular have a shorter shelf life. See [http://www.nytimes.com/2005/12/29/technology/circuits/the-lifespan-of-a-diskette.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2005/12/29/technology/circuits/the-lifespan-of-a-diskette.html?_r=0)

**Sandra McAninch** -> All Participants: Can anyone else at GPO address the Regional discards/elec. substitution possibilities?

**Robin Haun-Mohamed** -> All Participants: Laurie Hall

**Andy Bourgeois** -> All Participants: yes

**Monica Dorame** -> All Participants: yes

**Daniel Cornwall** -> All Participants: So may not be worthwhile to still hang onto floppies. That's why IU project was important.

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: Good info, thanks Dan!

**Heidi Ramos** -> All Participants: Hi everyone. My name is Heidi Ramos and I am the Project Manager for Regional Discard and I am online. I can address any Regional Discard questions.

**Ashley Dahlen** -> All Participants: DLC webinar archive link: <http://login.icohere.com/public/topics.cfm?cseq=1328>

**Robin Haun-Mohamed** -> All Participants: GPO is taking notes and we will share with Laurie and others. Catalog Registry, 2 state regional with shared collection across state lines; floppy disk project status-more info wanted by regionals, including discard if a complete set available.

**Sandra McAninch** -> All Participants: Any developments on how GPO will be able to identify



which Regionals will hold the last 4 copies so that other Regionals can discard?

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: Sandee +1

**Barbie Selby** -> All Participants: I want a registry of THAT - regionals who will retain.

**Sandra McAninch** -> All Participants: Me too!

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: That info should be attached to the CGP

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: I believe we plan to retain everything

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Copies of last resort

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: GPO has always talked about having more than 4 ... 4 was just the number suggested by JCP

**Robin Haun-Mohamed** -> All Participants: for Sandee--still working on the specifics related to that.

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: 4 is the bottom recommended by JCP

**Heidi Ramos** -> All Participants: We have not identified all options at this time. We would love to hear suggestions.

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: The number should be based on Regional distribution ... however that is determined

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: There was a list showing what titles regionals had pledged to retain even though they were free to discard them because they had been superseded. That might be a good place to start

**Heidi Ramos** -> All Participants: Dan.. beta tester for Regional Discard or FDLP eXchange?

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Attendees: Yes--we were on that list.

**Marie Concannon** -> All Participants: They pledged to keep them even though they were allowed to discard

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Also need a failsafe - what happens if a regional originally agrees to permanently retain but later changes their mind?

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: Maire, long ago in a far away land many Regional librarians did divide the superceded items for that purpose

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: Many have retired and I have no idea if that material was kept

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Why does the regional need to hold the copy of last resort? Can't a selective agree to do so as well?

**Heidi Ramos** -> All Participants: Could you clarify what you mean by Regional Distribution?

**Sandra McAninch** -> All Participants: I still have a record of what we agreed to keep

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: I have the last copy of who was going to hold onto what

**Daniel Cornwall** -> All Participants: +1 Hallie

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: 2002

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Scan and share, Dan!

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: no! mine!

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: I'm sure I have it somewhere ...

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: I will review my files and find it

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: it

**Heidi Ramos** -> All Participants: We are looking at all possibilities so copy could be Regional or Selective. No decision has been made on that.

**Marianne Mason** -> All Participants: The lists were posted on GPO Community site for Regionals

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: It would be interesting to know if coordinator successors are still committed to this agreement.

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Should be part of succession planning

**Heidi Ramos** -> All Participants: Hallie - yes, we would have to have some type of criteria for the retained copies.

**Melissa Bernstein** -> All Participants: You can't leave, Flora!

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: I found it ... I will post on Regional-l

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: It wasn't when I took over here - I inadvertently wound up discarding superseded items we had agreed to keep. :(

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: Thanks Arlene

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: I was new!

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: Mine is buired in a box at the house

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: Wow - such dedication that you have a copy at your house!

**Dan Barkley** -> All Participants: I suspect those successors didn't know aboutthe list and didn't work to continue working on it

**Celina McDonald** -> All Participants: UMD won't be able to continue with the agreement.

**Heidi Ramos** -> All Participants: Yes, thank you.

**Celina McDonald** -> All Participants: My admin won't allow it.

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Attendees: Thanks one and all!

**Ashley Dahlen** -> All Participants: I will post the recording, the chat transcript, and the closed captioning transcript in teh webinar archive.

**Melissa Bernstein** -> All Participants: Thanks to all!

**Arlene Weible** -> All Participants: Thanks Flora!

**Hallie Pritchett** -> All Participants: Thanks everyone!

**Abigail DeSoto** -> All Participants: Thanks!

**Celina McDonald** -> All Participants: Thanks.

**Jody Hewitt** -> All Participants: Thanks!

**Laura Harper** -> All Participants: Thanks!

**Stephanie Braunstein** -> All Attendees: See you in October!

**Barbara Miller** -> All Participants: thanks!

**Blaine Redemer** -> All Attendees: Thank you.

**Carmen Orth-Alfie** -> All Participants: Thanks

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: Mahalo and Aloha!

**Kate Irwin-Smiler** -> All Participants: Thanks!

**Gwen Sinclair** -> All Participants: +1 Flora!

**Aimee Quinn** -> All Participants: Thanks!

**Dan Barkley** -> All Attendees: thanks for letting the old retired guy to lurk

**Ashley Dahlen** -> All Participants: I'm going to close out this session. Thanks all for attending!

**Laura Sours** -> All Participants: Thanks!

---End Transcript---