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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 PURPOSE

URS Corporation (URS), on behalf of the United States Army Corps of Engineers - St. Paul District (USACE), conducted a biological assessment to identify and characterize fish and invertebrate communities and biotic integrity within the Red River of the North and six tributaries. These waterbodies were assessed because they could be affected by a potential flood damage reduction project at Fargo, North Dakota and Moorhead, Minnesota. The assessed waterbodies included (Figure 1.1):

- Red River of the North
- Wild Rice River
- Sheyenne River
- Maple River
- Rush River
- Lower Rush River, and
- Wolverton Creek.

The USACE, together with the sponsor cities of Fargo, North Dakota and Moorhead, Minnesota, began the Fargo-Moorhead Metro Feasibility Study in September 2008. The purpose of this study was to identify alternatives for long-term flood risk management for the Fargo/Moorhead area. Components of the feasibility study included gaining a better understanding of flood issues, establishing flood risk management measures, documenting findings and, if appropriate, recommending implementation of a Federal project. The USACE and the cities of Fargo and Moorhead have subsequently developed a conceptual plan for a flood diversion channel around Fargo and Moorhead. The conceptual plan contains two potential diversion concepts: (1) a diversion in Minnesota or (2) a diversion in North Dakota. A North Dakota diversion would directly affect the Red River of the North and the six tributaries listed above. The USACE released a Supplemental Draft Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in April 2011, and a Final Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement was released in July 2011 (USACE 2011a; USACE 2011b).

Data collected for this initial Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project fishery, macroinvertebrate and habitat evaluation will help the USACE and other State and Federal agencies to understand baseline aquatic community conditions within the rivers potentially affected by a proposed North Dakota diversion alignment. These data are the first of at least two
pre－project baseline sampling events．Data collected in post－project monitoring events will be compared to these pre－project datasets，enabling State and Federal agencies to quantitatively assess impacts to the biological community from the Fargo／Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project activities．The sampling methodologies used for the Fargo／Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project adhere to index of biotic integrity（IBI）scoring systems presently being revised by the North Dakota Department of Health（NDDoH）and the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency（MPCA）．The USACE will use the data collected during baseline sampling events to calculate IBI scores in accordance with the new NDDoH and MPCA systems．Species abundance and species composition metrics for this first baseline sampling event are presented below in Section 3.0 of this baseline assessment report．The USACE will incorporate these calculated metrics，as well as the raw data，into the new scoring systems for determination of IBIs．

Governing agencies，in their evaluation of whether water quality standards are met，will consider all readily available and reliable data and information，including IBIs calculated from measurements of the resident fish community，the resident aquatic invertebrate community and a quantitative or qualitative assessment of habitat quality．NDDoH and MPCA，in their development of new approaches to setting water quality standards，recognize that waterbodies naturally differ and that they，therefore，should not all be held to the same standards．This new approach is referred to as tiered aquatic life use standards（TALU）．To date，Ohio is the only state to apply TALUs to non－wadeable rivers．Ohio designed their stream assessment method for application to different stream sizes（non－wadeable，wadeable and headwater streams），via the establishment of IBIs modified for each category of streams（Ohio Environmental Protection Agency［OEPA］1988b）．

It is important for the USACE to understand the integrity of the existing biological systems in waterbodies potentially affected by the Fargo／Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project，and thus，the capacity for these waterbodies to recover from perturbations related to the project． Systems that possess or reflect high biological integrity can withstand or rapidly recover from most perturbations imposed by natural environmental processes and some of those induced by humans（Karr et al．1986），whereas biological communities that are degraded and have low biological integrity have already reached their threshold to withstand and rapidly recover from natural and anthropogenic perturbations．Because aquatic biota inhabit their receiving waters all of the time，and will show the harmful effects of past stresses，the condition of the aquatic biota is generally representative of environmental conditions even though maximum stresses might have occurred at times other than the sampling dates（OEPA 1988a）．

## 1．2 BACKGROUND

The Fargo／Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project area is within the Glacial Lake Agassiz Basin Ecoregion of North Dakota（United States Geological Survey［USGS］2006）．Lake Agassiz was an expansive，shallow post－glacial lake covering much of northwestern Minnesota， northeastern North Dakota and southern Manitoba after the last stage of glacial advance（the Wisconsin Stage）．When the lake retreated，it left a unique geologic setting within the Upper Great Plains that still strongly influences hydrology，stream geomorphology and aquatic biota today（Emmons \＆Olivier Resources，Inc［EOR］2009）．The Red River Valley is extremely flat， dropping only 157 feet over about 240 miles（measured as river valley length），or less than 1 foot／mile between Fargo and Lake Winnipeg（Haugerud 2006）．

The combination of the flat open landscape，widespread agriculture and bare soils contribute to wind erosion rates well above the natural background rate（EOR 2009）．Areas of excess bluff and streambank erosion are found in the Red River Valley．Research by Simon et al．（2008）found mass wasting of high streambanks or valley wall bluffs occurring in many Red River Valley streams，especially on the Wild Rice and Red Rivers（EOR 2009）．Simon et al．（2008）found that most of the streams for which they conducted rapid geomorphic assessments had evidence of streambank instability； $71 \%$ were found to be in an unstable channel evolution stage．For example，both the Wild Rice River and Wolverton Creek，near their junction with the Red River， have substantial streambank erosion occurring（EOR 2009）．

Sediment and nutrients may be carried as wash load，suspended load and bedload．Although wash load（or dissolved load）plays an important role in water chemistry and particularly in larger rivers such as the Red River，lower Buffalo and Wild Rice Rivers，suspended load and bedload are the primary concerns for impaired biota and turbidity．The large majority of sediment in the Red River Valley is transported as suspended material because of the fine particle size of soils in the Lake Plain；they are predominantly silts and clays．In addition，the silt and fine sand，prevalent in the Red River Valley，cause embeddedness of coarse gravels and cobbles needed by some fish for spawning，i．e．，simple lithophilic spawners（Niemela et al． 1998）．The majority of streams that contain spawning riffles are located on the eastern edge of the Red River Valley on the Lake Aggasiz benches located in Minnesota．Native species such as lake sturgeon and walleye are reliant on these systems for their reproductive success．

The Red River Basin contains a prevalence of intermittent streams，and，therefore，has lower fish diversity than the Mississippi River Basin to the east．Fish have difficulty surviving in low flow conditions，where temperature may be too high and dissolved oxygen too low．Though the lack of coarse bed material is thought to create poor habitat for many fish species，omnivores and
tolerant fish species may thrive in this setting．Several of the larger tributaries of the Red River Valley are alluvial channels．Their bed and banks consist of coarser，sandier material than the lacustrine clays in the lake plain（EOR 2009）．

Today approximately $90 \%$ of the entire Red River Valley is in agricultural land use with high losses of wetland and native prairie．Agricultural ditches and streams in farm fields have unique characteristics that distinguish them from less disturbed streams．These characteristics include reduced sinuosity，reduced habitat complexity，entrenchment from berm construction，altered sediment transport regime and loss of native riparian vegetation zones．

### 2.0 METHODS

The Performance Work Statement for Evaluation of Fish, Benthic Invertebrates and Physical Habitat of Rivers Potentially Affected by the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project (Performance Work Statement) is included in Appendix A of this document, and served as the project scope of work. Appendices associated with the Performance Work Statement are not included in this document; however, they are incorporated by reference in this document.

### 2.1 STUDY LOCATIONS AND SURVEY DESIGN

### 2.1.1 Study Location Selection

This biological assessment included a total of 23 study reaches selected by the USACE to be surveyed for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project (see Figure 1.1). The study reaches include:

- footprint locations - likely footprint locations for concrete structures or channel diversions
- upstream and downstream locations - areas above and below structures where altered hydraulics could influence habitat and biota
- control sites


### 2.1.2 Study Reach Descriptions

The USACE reviewed various sources which recommend sample distances to adequately characterize stream diversity and biotic integrity. Based on this review of information, the USACE prescribed the study reach lengths to be assessed for the Red River of the North and its tributaries. For this study, the entire length of each footprint location (for concrete structures or channel diversions) was assessed. For all other study reaches, a length of at least 35 times the low-flow wetted stream width was surveyed.

### 2.1.3 Study Timing

The study was originally planned to be conducted on all of the stream reaches during the summer of 2011. This plan was modified due to higher than normal stream flows throughout the Red River Valley during the spring and summer of 2011. More normal stream flows were only observed on the smaller, wadeable streams during late summer in 2011, whereas stream flows on the non-wadeable streams remained high throughout the summer. All wadeable streams were assessed in 2011, while all non-wadeable streams were assessed in 2012.

### 2.1.4 Site Reconnaissance

URS performed an on-site reconnaissance of each study reach, prior to sampling for fish, macroinvertebrates and physical habitat. A reconnaissance of the wadeable stream reaches (Rush River, Lower Rush River and Wolverton Creek) was conducted in September 2011. A reconnaissance of the non-wadeable stream reaches (Red River of the North, Wild Rice River, Sheyenne River and Maple River) was conducted in August 2012.

The reconnaissance effort allowed field personnel to become familiar with the reaches, verify sampleability of the study reaches, determine the safest access points and confirm the use of sampling equipment appropriate for the reach characteristics. USACE personnel were present for some portions of the reconnaissance effort to observe and discuss site conditions with URS personnel. A combination of public boat ramps, highway rights-of-way and private property was used to access the seven streams of interest for this study.

During the reconnaissance effort, URS personnel verified the locations of the USACE-prescribed study reaches. Stream depth and width were measured at several locations throughout each study reach in an effort to verify that streams were navigable by boat for a distance at least 35 times the wetted width of the stream. During the 2012 reconnaissance effort, it was found that the originally-prescribed study lengths for three reaches on the Red River of the North (Reaches 4, 5 and 6) did not account for at least 35 times the wetted stream width. The lengths of study Reaches 4 and 6 were each extended 500 feet in both the upstream and downstream directions, prior to the commencement of sampling activities. Study Reach 5 (footprint location) was not extended, per instruction from USACE. Reach 7 (Wild Rice River) was determined to be navigable by boat throughout its originally-prescribed length. This study reach was boat navigable during the habitat assessment and macroinvertebrate sampling effort, conducted one and a half weeks after the site reconnaissance. However, five weeks lapsed between the reconnaissance and the fish sampling effort for this reach. In that time, the water level dropped approximately one foot due to beaver dam construction and dry weather, and the downstream extent of study Reach 7 was not suitable for boat navigation at the time of fish sampling. Therefore, fish shocking activities were terminated approximately 500 feet short of the originally-prescribed downstream extent.

A global positioning system (GPS) was used to collect geographic coordinates at the upstream and downstream extents of each study reach. The coordinates were saved as waypoints for subsequent navigation to the study reaches. Study reach geographic coordinates and final study reach lengths are presented in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 - Study Reach Coordinates and Length

| Study Reach \# | Upstream Extent |  | Downstream Extent |  | Length (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Latitude | Longitude | Latitude | Longitude |  |
| Red River of the North |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 46.616330 | -96.781785 | 46.620671 | -96.776901 | 3948 |
| 2 | 46.711613 | -96.783836 | 46.717867 | -96.783832 | 4043 |
| 3 | 46.751585 | -96.786004 | 46.754776 | -96.784526 | 3828 |
| 4 | 46.926731 | -96.775711 | 46.92691 | -96.785317 | 4941 |
| 5 | 47.074474 | -96.825334 | 47.076156 | -96.827394 | 2645 |
| 6 | 47.127584 | -96.82436 | 47.130675 | -96.831044 | 4962 |
| Wild Rice River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 46.486453 | -96.792857 | 46.491236 | -96.793128 | 2879 |
| 7 <br> (Downstream extent of electroshocking) | 46.486453 | -96.792857 | 46.490197 | -96.791293 | 2276 |
| 8 | 46.651845 | -96.855716 | 46.655700 | -96.856355 | 3039 |
| 9 | 46.696289 | -96.843483 | 46.702462 | -96.837897 | 4475 |
| 10 | 46.754004 | -96.809335 | 46.757130 | -96.806688 | 2974 |
| Sheyenne River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 46.656703 | -96.945821 | 46.657167 | -96.939504 | 3033 |
| 12 | 46.735329 | -96.930547 | 46.743898 | -96.932438 | 4238 |
| 13 | 46.789944 | -96.905453 | 46.793908 | -96.906948 | 2944 |
| 14 | 46.937171 | -96.916815 | 46.940267 | -96.915770 | 3286 |
| 15 | 47.030688 | -96.873607 | 47.035583 | -96.873957 | 3644 |
| Maple River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 46.902615 | -97.056785 | 46.905185 | -97.059218 | 2493 |
| 17 | 46.930479 | -96.966724 | 46.930165 | -96.955420 | 5615 |
| 18 | 46.924757 | -96.931229 | 46.924617 | -96.927286 | 2601 |
| Lower Rush River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 46.948531 | -96.996884 | 46.946072 | -96.994222 | 1892 |
| 20 | 46.977390 | -96.929308 | 46.977334 | -96.922933 | 1591 |
| Rush River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 46.972916 | -97.013321 | 46.975811 | -97.010624 | 1387 |
| 22 | 46.998632 | -96.929545 | 46.996391 | -96.924565 | 1524 |
| Wolverton Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 46.699886 | -96.767672 | 46.702324 | -96.768147 | 1001 |

Notes:
For a given waterbody, sample reaches are presented in an upstream to downstream order.
All coordinates in decimal degrees. The geographical datum is North American 1983 Datum (NAD83).

## 2．2 FIELD SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

## 2．2．1 Non－Wadeable Streams

## 2．2．1．1 Fishery Assessment

Fisheries assessments of the Fargo／Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project＇s non－wadeable streams were conducted in August and September 2012 at base flow conditions．All fisheries assessments were conducted during daylight hours．Sampling was not started earlier than 60 minutes after sunrise，and finished no later than 60 minutes before sunset．Sampling was not conducted during periods of relatively increased turbidity and high flows，given that these conditions negatively affect sampling efficiency．

## Equipment

The type of fish sampling equipment was selected based on site conditions noted during the on－ site reconnaissance．The USACE had previously outlined anticipated equipment types for fish sampling at each study reach．URS coordinated any deviations from the USACE＇s identified fisheries protocol with the USACE Project Biologist and USACE Contract Point of Contact prior to sampling．According to observed site conditions at the time of sampling，the following streams were treated as non－wadeable：
－Red River of the North
－Wild Rice River
－Sheyenne River，and
－Maple River．
The site character warranted use of the following equipment for fisheries sampling on the non－ wadeable streams：

| Waterbody | Equipment | Logic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red River of the North （Reaches 2－6） | Boom Shocker | －Large river <br> －Accessible boat ramps <br> －Ability to maneuver in and around submerged cover <br> －Permits use of one boat driver and two fish netters |
| Red River of the North （Reach 1） | Mini－boom | －Non－wadeable river <br> －Not accessible via boat ramp <br> －Ability to portage boat and equipment <br> －Permits use of one boat driver and one fish netter |
| Wild Rice River |  |  |
| Sheyenne River |  |  |
| Maple River |  |  |

For this Fargo／Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project，the USACE recommended，and URS adopted，the non－wadeable fish sampling protocols used in a 2010 fish assemblage assessment conducted on the Red River of the North（Midwest Biodiversity Institute［MBI］2010，included
as Appendix B of the Performance Work Statement). For the non-wadeable streams fisheries assessments, a boat-rigged, pulsed direct current (DC) electrofishing apparatus was used. Specifically, the equipment consisted of:

- Boom Shocker
- 16-foot, modified V-hull, aluminum jon boat
- Smith-Root ${ }^{\circledR} 5.0$ generator-powered pulsator (GPP) alternator-pulsator
- Electrode array
- Cathode array - Port (left) bow: twelve droppers in linear array, $1 / 4$-inch diameter galvanized cable, six feet eight inches long; Starboard (right) bow: ten droppers in linear array, $1 / 4$-inch diameter galvanized cable, six feet eight inches to eight feet two inches long
- Anode array - Two circular arrays, each 0.9 meter in diameter and extended approximately 1.4 meters in front of the forward bow; six droppers on each array, $3 / 16$-inch diameter stainless steel cable, five feet long


[^0]- Mini-boom Shocker
- 15-foot, flat bottom, aluminum jon boat
- Smith-Root ${ }^{\circledR}$ 5.0 GPP alternator-pulsator
- Electrode array
- Cathode array - thirty droppers in linear array on forward bow, $3 / 16$-inch diameter stainless steel cable, three feet one inch long
- Anode array - One circular array, 0.9 meter in diameter and extended approximately 0.9 meter in front of the forward bow, twelve droppers, 3/16-inch diameter stainless steel cable, five feet long


Mini-boom Shocker on Wild Rice River

The custom-built Smith-Root® 5.0 GPP alternator-pulsator was used to convert, control and regulate the electric current. It produces up to 1,000 volts $(\mathrm{V})$ at 2-20 amperes, depending on the relative conductivity of the waterbody. The pulse configuration consists of a fast rise, slow decay wave that can be adjusted to 30,60 or 120 Hertz (Hz, pulses per second). Via trial and error at the beginning of each study reach assessment, field personnel selected the voltage and pulse configuration settings that produced the most effective fish shocking. Based on the high conductivities of the sampled waterbodies, the low voltage range was selected (50-500V). Using the low voltage range, it was determined that a pulse configuration of 120 Hz produced the most effective fish shocking, which occurred with an electrical energy output of 9 to 14 amperes.

The unusually high conductivities of the waterbodies presented an initial challenge in accomplishing effective shocking of fish. Prior to adopting the custom-built Smith-Root ${ }^{\circledR} 5.0$ GPP alternator-pulsator, URS tested traditional electroshocking equipment on the Red River of the North and its tributaries, which included an anode array(s) mounted from the boat, the boat serving as the cathode and a Smith-Root ${ }^{\circledR}$ variable voltage pulsator (VVP) 15B alternatorpulsator for the boom shocker and a Smith-Root ${ }^{\circledR} 1.5$ kilovolt-ampere (kVA) alternator-pulsator for the mini-boom. However, the catch per unit effort (CPUE, fish caught per hour fished) ranged from 12 to 66 fish per hour, indicating that this traditional electroshocking equipment was not effective in the subject waters. The USACE, URS and Smith-Root collaborated to develop the specific electrofishing apparatus (alternator-pulsator and electrode arrays) outlined above, which was subsequently used to achieve the most effective fish shocking. Table 2.2 presents the equipment specifications, alternator-pulsator settings and fish capture efficiency for each fish sampling attempt on each non-wadeable study reach.

Table 2.2 - Electroshocking Specifications and Fish Capture Efficiency for Non-Wadeable Streams

| Study Reach |  | Equipment Specifications |  |  |  |  | Control Box Settings |  |  |  | Fish Capture |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { Sampled } \end{gathered}$ | Jon Boat Length and Type | Motor | Generator | Anode Array | Cathode Array | Control Box Model | Voltage Range | Frequency | Amperes | Fish Abundance (\# fish) | CPUE ${ }^{1}$ |
| Red River of the North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 09/04/12 | 15 ft , flat-bottom | Mercury, 15 hp | Kohler, 14 hp | Anode Setup 1 | Cathode Setup 1 | 5.0 GPP(custom built) | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at 100) } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | $\begin{gathered} 10-13 \\ \text { (primarily } 12 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 73 | 107 |
|  | 09/21/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 138 | 93 |
| 2 | 08/31/12 | 16 ft , modified V-hull | Evinrude, 60 hp | Honda, 11 hp | Anode Setup 2 | Cathode Setup 2 | VVP 15B | $\begin{gathered} 130-200 \\ \text { (primarily 200) } \end{gathered}$ | 50 | 9-13 | 33 | 66 |
|  | 09/08/12 |  |  | Kohler, 14 hp |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \mathrm{GPP} \\ \text { (custom built) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at 100) } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | $\begin{gathered} 9-14 \\ \text { (primarily 12) } \end{gathered}$ | 162 | 108 |
| 3 | 08/30/12 | 16 ft , modified V-hull | Mercury, 15 hp | Honda, 11 hp | Anode Setup 2 | Cathode Setup 2 | VVP 15B | $\begin{gathered} 130-170 \\ \text { (primarily } 150 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-70 \\ \text { (primarily } 50 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 10-13 | 25 | 53 |
|  | 09/09/12 |  |  | Kohler, <br> 14 hp |  |  | 5.0 GPP (custom built) | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at 100) } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 12 | 168 | 112 |
| 4 | 08/29/12 | 16 ft , modified V-hull | Mercury, 15 hp | Honda, 11 hp | Anode Setup 2 | Cathode Setup 2 | VVP 15B | $\begin{gathered} \text { 130-170 } \\ \text { (primarily } 150 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 55-70 | 10-13 | 15 | 37 |
|  | 09/11/12 |  |  | Kohler, 14 hp |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.0 GPP } \\ & \text { (custom built) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 100 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 12 | 245 | 144 |
| 5 | 09/01/12 | 16 ft , modified V-hull | Mercury, 15 hp | Honda, 11 hp | Anode Setup 2 | Cathode Setup 2 | VVP 15B | 110-120 | 50 | 9-10 | 9 | 12 |
|  | 09/10/12 |  |  | Kohler, <br> 14 hp |  |  | 5.0 GPP (custom built) | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 50 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 12 | 57 | 52 |
| 6 | 09/02/12 | 16 ft , modified V-hull | Mercury, 15 hp | Honda, 11 hp | Anode Setup 2 | Cathode Setup 2 | VVP 15B | 100-110 | 55 | 9-10 | 17 | 27 |
|  | 09/10/12 |  |  | Kohler, 14 hp |  |  | 5.0 GPP (custom built) | $50-500$ (surveyed at 60) | 120 | 12 | 78 | 45 |


| Study Reach |  | Equipment Specifications |  |  |  |  | Control Box Settings |  |  |  | Fish Capture |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach \# | Date Sampled | Jon Boat Length and Type | Motor | Generator | Anode Array | Cathode Array | Control Box Model | Voltage Range | Frequency | Amperes | Fish Abundance (\# fish) | CPUE ${ }^{1}$ |
| Wild Rice River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 09/13/12 | 15 ft , flat-bottom | Mercury, 15 hp | Kohler, <br> 14 hp | Anode Setup 1 | Cathode Setup 1 | 5.0 GPP <br> (custom built) | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 75 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | $\begin{gathered} 12-14 \\ \text { (averaged 12) } \end{gathered}$ | 347 | 358 |
| 8 | 09/12/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 75 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12-14 \\ \text { (averaged 13) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 184 | 173 |
| 9 | 09/14/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 75 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 12 | 524 | 349 |
| 10 | 09/15/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 60 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12-13 \\ \text { (averaged 12) } \end{gathered}$ | 544 | 443 |
| Sheyenne River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 09/17/12 | 15 ft , flat-bottom | Mercury, 15 hp | Kohler, <br> 14 hp | Anode Setup 1 | Cathode <br> Setup 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \mathrm{GPP} \\ & \text { (custom built) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 50 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 120 | $\begin{gathered} 12-14 \\ \text { (averaged 14) } \end{gathered}$ | 49 | 36 |
| 12 | 09/18/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at 60) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 12 | 137 | 79 |
| 13 | 09/16/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 50 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 12-14 | 90 | 74 |
| 14 | 09/19/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 60 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 12-14 | 150 | 117 |
| 15 | 09/20/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 60 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12-14 \\ \text { (averaged 14) } \end{gathered}$ | 236 | 172 |
| Maple River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 08/13/12 | 14 ft , flat-bottom | Mercury, 15 hp | Honda, Eu2000 | Anode Setup 3 | Cathode Setup 3 | 1.5 kVA | 0-560 | 120 | 8-9 | 8 | 44 |
|  | 09/05/12 | 15 ft , flat-bottom |  | Kohler, $14 \mathrm{hp}$ | Anode Setup 1 | Cathode Setup 1 | 5.0 GPP (custom built) | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 60 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 11-12 | 81 | 90 |
| 17 | 09/06/12 | 15 ft , flat-bottom |  | Kohler, <br> 14 hp | Anode Setup 1 | Cathode Setup 1 | 5.0 GPP (custom built) | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at 75) } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 12 | 383 | 244 |
| 18 | 08/14/12 | 14 ft , flat-bottom |  | Honda, Eu2000 | Anode Setup 3 | Cathode Setup 3 | 1.5 kVA | 0-560 | 120 | 8-9 | 11 | 27 |
|  | 09/05/12 | 15 ft , flat-bottom |  | Kohler, <br> 14 hp | Anode Setup 1 | Cathode Setup 1 | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \mathrm{GPP} \\ \text { (custom built) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed at } 50-75 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 12 | 250 | 382 |

Notes: 1 - CPUE - Catch per unit effort - defined as fish caught per hour electroshocked.
Shaded rows represent trial sampling efforts. Unshaded rows represent study sampling events
Anode Setup $1=$ single, circular array with 12 droppers
Anode Setup 2 = two circular arrays with 6 droppers each
Cathode Setup 1 = linear array at front of bow with 30 droppers
Cathode Setup 2 = two linear arrays: starboard (10 droppers) and port (12 droppers)
Cathode Setup 3 = hull of jon boat serves as the cathode

## Sampling Procedure

The electrofishing crew for the boom shocker consisted of a boat driver, one primary netter on the forward bow and one assist netter standing behind the primary netter. The electrofishing crew for the mini-boom shocker consisted of a boat driver and one primary netter at the front of the boat. All crew members were equipped with nets and reasonable attempts were made to capture all fish sighted, including those that appeared behind the boat.

The primary netter operated a foot pedal switch, which controlled the timing and duration by which electrical energy was emitted to the water. The boat driver, for safety purposes, had a toggle switch immediately accessible to disengage the alternator-pulsator system. The netter(s) wore linemen's rubber insulating gloves during fish shocking at all times. All crew members wore life preservers at all times while on the boat. All crew members wore polarized sunglasses. The following boat nets were used:

- eight-foot handle and $1 / 4$-inch mesh netting
- six-foot handle and $1 / 8$-inch mesh netting

In accordance with accepted electroshocking procedure, the boat driver slowly and methodically maneuvered the boat in a downstream direction, along the shoreline, maneuvering in and around submerged cover, advantageously positioning the netter(s) to pick up stunned and immobilized fish. In swift-moving waters, the boat driver maintained the boat position and speed such that the electric field moved with or slightly faster than the water current. As necessary, the field crew would return to slower-moving areas along the shoreline and within submerged cover to more thoroughly shock these locations. Shocking in an upstream direction was avoided, so as not to compress the effective shocking zone, given that the natural mechanism is for fish to swim toward the anode in the presence of an electrical gradient. The boat driver also monitored and adjusted the alternator-pulsator to ensure that efficient and safe fish capture was maintained.

In trial sampling efforts, field personnel used fishing times of 1,400 seconds to 2,700 seconds for study reaches 0.8 to 1.5 kilometers in length. In an effort to yield fish numbers commensurate with those of the 2010 study on the Red River of the North (MBI 2010), URS subsequently employed fishing times within the range of those used in the 2010 study. Suggested fishing times are in the range of 2,000 to 2,500 seconds for a 0.5 kilometer site, but can range upwards to 3,500 to 4,500 seconds where there is extensive instream cover and slack flows. The fish sampling results presented in this report reflect the use of these suggested fishing times.
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## Sample Processing

Fish sampling was conducted by personnel experienced in electroshocking and handling of fish. Captured fish were immediately placed in an on-board live well. Two live wells were maintained - one for larger fish and one for smaller fish. To limit physical stress on the captured fish, crew members introduced an aerator to each live well and regularly replaced the live well water. For study reaches where the volume of fish captured exceeded the capacity of the live well, electroshocking activities were temporarily halted, and the crew motored several meters upstream of the current sampling location to process and release fish. Fish captured were identified to species, examined for external anomalies, weighed, measured and then released unless retained as voucher specimens. Fish holding and handling times were minimized as much as possible. Voucher specimens collected for later verification of identification were preserved with ethyl alcohol, and the container was labeled with the date of collection, waterbody and study reach. Although the Performance Work Statement specified the use of formalin preservative, field personnel used ethyl alcohol because none of the voucher specimens collected were retained for more than 48 hours. Regional ichthyology keys, including The Fishes of Missouri (Pflieger 1997) and The Fishes of Ohio (Trautman 1981), were used to identify voucher specimens, and all identification of voucher specimens was performed within 24 to 48 hours of collection. URS personnel trained in fish taxonomy performed the field identifications and identification of voucher specimens.

All fish were measured to the nearest 10 millimeters (mm) and recorded. Fish less than 20 mm in length were not counted as part of the catch. URS personnel used a 1,000-gram (g) hand-held spring scale or electronic scale to measure all fish less than $1,000 \mathrm{~g}$ to the nearest 1 g . Fish weighing more than $1,000 \mathrm{~g}$ were weighed to the nearest 25 g on a 50 kilogram ( kg ) hand-held spring scale. Small fish (e.g., minnows and young-of-year) within the same species were typically batch-weighed. Weights of all other fish were individually recorded on the datasheets. All observed incidences of external anomalies were recorded on the field datasheets.

The following information was recorded on field datasheets (Fish Data Sheet form, MBI 2010):

- Date
- Names of all sampling crew members
- Description of equipment type (unit design and power settings)
- Waterbody name and study reach number
- County
- GPS coordinates for beginning and end of study reach
- Photograph of beginning and end of each reach, looking upstream and downstream
- Conditions at the beginning of sampling ( pH , water temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, total suspended solids, Secchi depth)
- Time of day
- Seconds shocked
- All fish collected (identified to species), including total length (mm) and weight (g)
- Anomalies (DELT [deformities, eroded fins, lesions, tumors] and all other abnormalities observed on individual fish collected)

The following additional information was recorded in the field logbook for the project:

- Description of equipment type (unit design, power settings, electrode array)
- Names of field personnel
- Basic description of weather
- Daily calibration readings for water chemistry instrument
- Water chemistry measurements
- Beginning and ending time of sample collection
- Challenges to sampling effectiveness or efficiency
- Depth range during sampling (maximum, minimum, average)
- General substrate types and qualitative abundance
- Photograph of beginning and end of each reach, looking upstream and downstream


### 2.2.1.2 Water Chemistry Data Collection

In-situ water chemistry measurements were collected for pH , water temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen and total suspended solids for each non-wadeable study reach. These data were collected with a Horiba U-50 Series multi-parameter water quality meter. Water clarity was also measured with a Secchi disk at each non-wadeable study reach. Water chemistry measurements were collected from the side of the boat, near the center of the stream and at the upstream extent of each study reach. These measurements were collected immediately prior to fish sampling. Water chemistry measurements were recorded in the project field logbook and on the fisheries assessment field datasheets.

Field personnel, trained in instrument calibration and maintenance, performed equipment calibration in accordance with the instrument manufacturer's specifications and procedures. URS maintained operation manuals for the Horiba U-50 Series water quality meter in the field.

The calibration, maintenance and status of the instrument were documented in the project field logbook.

### 2.2.1.3 Physical Habitat Assessment

A physical habitat assessment was conducted per a modified version of the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index, QHEI (OEPA 2006, included in Appendix B of the Performance Work Statement), for each of the study reaches within the non-wadeable streams examined in the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. The same modified version of the QHEI was used in the 2010 study for the Red River of the North (MBI 2010). This modified version used the guidance and scoring procedures outlined by Ohio EPA (2006); however, it incorporated modifications for large rivers. The QHEI is comprised of six principal metrics:

1) Substrate,
2) Instream Cover,
3) Channel Morphology,
4) Riparian Zone,
5) Pool/Riffle Quality, and
6) Map Gradient.

The QHEI is a rapid assessment procedure which provides the ability to relate habitat quality to the stream's potential to support a biological community. It provides a measure of habitat that generally corresponds to those physical factors which affect fish communities and other aquatic life. General narrative ranges have been assigned to QHEI scores, providing a recognizable, quantifiable means to communicate general habitat quality. Separate narrative ranges have been established for headwater streams ( $\leq 20$ square mile drainage area) and larger streams. On a maximum QHEI scoring scale of 100 , the narrative ranges are as follows:

| Narrative Rating | QHEI Range |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headwaters <br> ( $\leq 20$ sq. mi. drainage area) | Larger Streams |
| Excellent | $\geq 70$ | $\geq 75$ |
| Good | 55 to 69 | 60 to 74 |
| Fair | 43 to 54 | 45 to 59 |
| Poor | 30 to 42 | 30 to 44 |
| Very Poor | $<30$ | $<30$ |

The QHEI does not necessarily have the resolution to predict the abundance of individual aquatic species in a stream, but it can be useful in explaining shifts in the general composition and ecological function of lotic fish communities (Rankin 1989).

### 2.2.1.4 Macroinvertebrate Assessment

For this Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project, the USACE recommended the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) National Rivers and Streams Assessment benthic macroinvertebrate sampling protocol for non-wadeable streams (USEPA 2009, included as Appendix E of the Performance Work Statement). Macroinvertebrate sampling was conducted in August and September 2012 during base flow conditions. Sampling was not conducted during periods of high flows, given that these conditions negatively affect sampling efficiency.

Macroinvertebrate sampling was conducted several days prior to the fisheries assessments on all of the non-wadeable study reaches. This was a deviation from the Performance Work Statement, which indicated macroinvertebrate sampling would be conducted following the fish sampling. However, this was coordinated with the USACE Project Biologist and USACE Contract Point of Contact and allowed the field team to maintain sampling schedule efficiency while fisheries activities were temporarily paused to reassess fish sampling procedures and acquire custom electroshocking equipment more appropriate for site-specific stream characteristics.

## Equipment and Sampling Procedure

A 500-micron mesh, modified D-frame kick net, with detachable bucket was used to collect composite macroinvertebrate samples. A composite sample comprised of sub-samples collected at eleven, equally-spaced transects was collected from each study reach. Geographical information systems (GIS) was used to establish geographic coordinates of sampling transects
within each study reach. These transect coordinates were loaded into a GPS unit as waypoints for navigation by field personnel. At each of the eleven transects, a sample station ( 10 meters x 15 meters) was randomly selected at either the right or left descending bank. Sample stations were established in areas where the water depth did not exceed 0.5 meter. While standing in the boat, field personnel used the D-frame kick net to sweep through 1 linear meter of the most dominant habitat type along the stream bank within the randomly selected sample station.

## Sample Processing

As sub-samples were collected within a study reach, net contents were emptied into a 500micron mesh sieve bucket, which was nestled in a larger plastic bucket. At each transect location, a direct stream wash bottle was used to thoroughly rinse the contents collected within the kick net into the sieve bucket. Personnel continued to sieve the composite sample, reducing it in volume, as they progressed along the study reach.

The composite sample was transferred to a 1-liter Nalgene ${ }^{\circledR}$ bottle by gently agitating the sieve in the plastic bucket of water, washing the contents of the sieve to one side and pouring into the bottle. The sieve was examined for any clinging organisms which were then gently placed into the sample bottle before preserving with ethanol. The void space in the sample bottle was filled so as to ensure that the ethanol was not diluted below $70 \%$ and to leave zero headspace. Each jar was carefully tipped to mix the ethanol, water and macroinvertebrate contents. Larger, predaceous invertebrates were immediately placed in the sample bottle and preserved with $70 \%$ ethanol to prevent the damage or consumption of other collected specimens. Field personnel were able to reduce the volume of the samples so that each composite sample fit into one sample bottle. Each sample bottle was labeled with the collection date and study reach number. Information for each macroinvertebrate composite sample was recorded in the project field logbook.

With approval of the USACE Project Biologist, sorting and identification of the macroinvertebrate samples was contracted to Dr. Andre Delorme (Valley City State University). Labeled macroinvertebrate composite samples were stored in a cooler in a temperature controlled environment, until samples could be transported or shipped to the laboratory. Chain-of-custody procedures were followed to provide documentation of the handling of each sample from time of collection through receipt by the laboratory. The field team leader completed the chain-ofcustody forms, which accompanied each sample through transit from the field to the laboratory. This form was used by both the field sampler and the laboratory to verify the contents of each shipment of samples. When transferring possession of the samples, both the individual relinquishing the container(s) and the receiver signed and dated the chain-of-custody form. As
recommended by the USACE, macroinvertebrate samples were processed according to NDDoH methodologies (NDDoH 2008b, included as Appendix F of the Performance Work Statement).

### 2.2.2 Wadeable Streams

### 2.2.2.1 Fishery Assessment

Fisheries assessments of the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project's wadeable streams were conducted in September 2011. As with the non-wadeable streams, sampling was conducted at base flow conditions. All fisheries assessments were conducted during daylight hours. Sampling was not started earlier than 60 minutes after sunrise, and finished no later than 60 minutes before sunset. Sampling was not conducted during periods of increased turbidity and high flows, given that these conditions negatively affect sampling efficiency.

## Equipment

The type of fish sampling equipment selected was based on site conditions noted during the onsite reconnaissance. In the Performance Work Statement, the USACE outlined anticipated equipment types for fish sampling on wadeable streams. Based on site conditions observed at the time of reconnaissance, the following streams were confirmed as wadeable:

- Rush River, and
- Wolverton Creek.

Per the Performance Work Statement, the USACE considers a site as sampleable if it has a defined stream channel and at least $50 \%$ of the sampling reach contains water. Less than $50 \%$ of the Lower Rush River streambed was wetted at the time of URS' September 2011 on-site reconnaissance. Based on visual assessment, this stream has an intermittent flow regime and did not meet the requirements of a sampleable stream. In coordination with the USACE Project Biologist and USACE Contract Point of Contact, the Lower Rush River was removed from the stream sampling schedule.

The following equipment was used for fisheries sampling on the wadeable streams:

| Waterbody | Equipment | Logic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rush River | Stream Shocker | - Larger, wadeable stream |
| Wolverton Creek |  | - Towable unit with power capability and two anodes to <br> effectively sample larger streams <br> - Ability to weave between habitat types in a single <br> electrofishing run |
| - One person to control electrofisher, two people to control |  |  |
| anodes and to net fish |  |  |

For this Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project, the USACE recommended, and URS adopted, the NDDoH fish sampling protocol for wadeable streams (NDDoH 2009, included as Appendix A of the Performance Work Statement). For the wadeable streams fisheries assessments, a tote barge-mounted, pulsed DC electrofishing apparatus was used. Specifically, the equipment consisted of:

- Stream Shocker
- Smith-Root® SR-6 Tote Barge with built-in cathode plate
- Smith-Root ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2.5 GPP alternator-pulsator
- Two, 6-foot-long pole anodes with electrode rings



## Stream Shocker on Rush River
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The Smith-Root ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2.5 GPP alternator-pulsator was used to control and regulate the electric current, and produces up to $1,000 \mathrm{~V}$ at $0-8$ amperes depending on the relative conductivity of the waterbody. The pulse configuration consists of a fast rise, slow decay wave that can be adjusted to $7.5,15,30,60$ or 120 Hz . Via trial and error at the beginning of each study reach assessment, the voltage and pulse configuration settings were selected that produced the most effective fish shocking. Based on the high conductivities of the sampled waterbodies, the low voltage range ( $0-$ 500 V ) was selected. Using the low voltage range, it was determined that a pulse configuration of 30 Hz produced the most effective fish shocking, which occurred with an electrical energy output of 4.2 to 5.5 amperes.

Table 2.3 presents the equipment specifications, alternator-pulsator settings and fish capture efficiency for each fish sampling attempt on each wadeable study reach.

Table 2.3 - Electroshocking Specifications and Fish Capture Efficiency for Wadeable Streams

| Study Reach |  | Equipment Specifications |  | Control Box Settings |  |  |  | Fish Capture |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach \# | Date Sampled | Platform | Generator | Control Box Model | Voltage Range | Frequency | Amperes | Fish Abundance (\# fish) | CPUE ${ }^{1}$ |
| Rush River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 09/13/11 | SmithRoot SR6 Tote Barge ${ }^{2}$ | Honda, 5.5 hp | 2.5 GPP (custom built) | $\begin{gathered} 50-500 \\ \text { (surveyed } \\ \text { at } 250 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 30 | 5.5 | 511 | 593 |
| 22 | 09/12/11 |  |  |  | 50-500 (surveyed at 250 ) | 30 | 5.5 | 272 | 327 |
| Wovlerton Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 09/14/11 | SmithRoot SR6 Tote Barge ${ }^{2}$ | Honda, 5.5 hp | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \mathrm{GPP} \\ \text { (custom built) } \end{gathered}$ | 50-500 (surveyed at 500) | 30 | 4.2 | 49 | 133 |

Notes: 1 - CPUE - Catch per unit effort - defined as fish caught per hour electroshocked.
2 - The SR-6 Tote Barge has two, 11 -inch electrode rings on anode wands (poles). Crew consisted of two shockers who each used an anode wand. The SR-6 also has one built-in cathode plate.

## Sampling Procedure

The electrofishing crew for the stream shocker consisted of a three-person crew. Two people each handled a wand and a third person pushed the tote barge and attended the generator. The two crew members with wands were equipped with nets and netted all fish sighted. Crew members used dip nets with $1 / 8$-inch mesh netting and six-foot long handles. Reasonable attempts were made to capture all fish sighted, including those that appeared behind the netters.

Each wand was equipped with a switch, which controlled the timing and duration that electrical energy was emitted to the water. The person attending the generator was required to depress a safety button to engage the system. All crew members wore linemen's rubber insulating gloves
at all active fish shocking times as well as non-conductive waders at all times while in the water. All crew members wore polarized sunglasses.

The wadeable study reaches lacked natural barriers to fish passage (i.e., riffle areas); therefore, prior to the commencement of electroshocking, block nets were positioned at the upstream and downstream extents, as well as at the approximate mid-point of each study reach. This prevented fish escaping. Sampling began at the furthest downstream end of the reach, and was performed by shocking along both stream banks simultaneously (each of the two wand handlers covered one half of the stream). Field personnel made a single pass up each wadeable study reach. The person attending the generator monitored and adjusted the alternator-pulsator to ensure that efficient and safe fish capture was maintained.

## Sample Processing

Fish sampling was conducted by personnel experienced in electroshocking and handling of fish. Captured fish were immediately placed in a live well on the tote barge. Two live wells were maintained - one for larger fish and one for smaller fish. To limit physical stress on the captured fish, crew members introduced an aerator to each live well and regularly replaced the live well water. For study reaches where the volume of fish captured was anticipated to exceed the capacity of the live well, field personnel would temporarily halt electroshocking activities at the block net placed near the approximate mid-point of the stream reach, and proceed to process and release fish. Fish were released downstream of the block net.

Fish captured were identified to species, examined for external anomalies, weighed, measured and then released unless retained as voucher specimens. Fish holding and handling times were minimized as much as possible. Voucher specimens collected for later verification of identification were preserved with ethyl alcohol, and the container was labeled with the date of collection, waterbody and study reach. The Performance Work Statement specified formalin preservative; however, field personnel used ethyl alcohol since no voucher specimens were retained longer than 48 hours. Regional ichthyology keys, including The Fishes of Missouri (Pflieger 1997) and The Fishes of Ohio (Trautman 1981), were used to identify voucher specimens, and all identification of voucher specimens was performed within 24 to 48 hours of collection. Personnel trained in fish taxonomy performed the field identifications and identification of voucher specimens.

Adult and juvenile specimens were counted and identified to species. Fish were measured to the nearest 10 mm . Fish less than 20 mm in length were not counted as part of the catch. A $1,000-\mathrm{g}$ hand-held spring scale or electronic scale was used to measure fish less than $1,000 \mathrm{~g}$ to the nearest 1 g . Fish weighing more than $1,000 \mathrm{~g}$ were weighed to the nearest 25 g on a $50-\mathrm{kg}$ hand-
held spring scale. Per the established protocol, only species-level information was recorded on the field datasheets, as opposed to information specific to the individuals. All observed incidences of external anomalies were recorded on the field datasheets.

The following information was recorded on field datasheets:
NDDoH Biological Monitoring Field Collection Data Form (NDDoH 2009)

- Waterbody name, study reach number and study reach description
- Latitude and longitude for beginning and end of study reach
- County
- River basin and ecoregion
- Basic description of weather
- Waterbody flow rate
- Conditions at the beginning of sampling ( pH , water temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen)
- Study reach length, average width and average depth
- Stream habitat types present
- Substrate types present
- Collection method
- Beginning and ending time of sample collection
- Names of all sampling crew members


## NDDoH Fish Collection Field Form (NDDoH 2009)

- Waterbody name, study reach number and study reach description
- Latitude and longitude for beginning and end of study reach
- County, township, range, section
- River basin and ecoregion
- Names of all sampling crew members
- List of all fish species collected
- Number of individuals collected within each species
- Minimum and maximum lengths (mm) within each species
- Bulk weight (g) for each species
- Number of anomalies observed within each species

The following additional information was recorded in the field logbook for the project:

- Date
- Description of equipment type (unit design, power settings, electrode array)
- Names of field personnel
- Basic description of weather
- Daily calibration readings for water chemistry instrument
- Water chemistry measurements
- Beginning and ending time of sample collection
- Seconds shocked
- Challenges to sampling effectiveness or efficiency
- Depth range during sampling (maximum, minimum, average)
- General substrate types and qualitative abundance
- Photograph looking upstream and downstream from the study reach mid-point
- Photograph of beginning and end of each reach, looking upstream and downstream


### 2.2.2.2 Water Chemistry Data Collection

In-situ water chemistry measurements were made for pH , water temperature, conductivity and dissolved oxygen for each wadeable study reach. These data were collected with a Horiba U-22 Series multi-parameter water quality meter. Water chemistry measurements were collected while wading in the stream, near the center the stream and at the upstream extent of each study reach. These measurements were collected immediately prior to fish sampling. Care was taken not to disturb the sediment and affect the water chemistry readings by allowing sufficient time for sediment to settle before collecting water chemistry readings, positioning downstream of the water chemistry reading location and facing upstream when collecting the water chemistry readings. Water chemistry measurements were recorded in the project field logbook and on the NDDoH Biological Monitoring Field Collection Data Form.

Field personnel trained in instrument calibration and maintenance performed equipment calibration in accordance with the instrument manufacturer's specifications and procedures. URS maintained operation manuals for the Horiba U-22 Series water quality meter in the field. The calibration, maintenance and status of the instrument were documented in the project field logbook.

### 2.2.2.3 Physical Habitat Assessment

Two physical habitat assessment protocols were conducted for each of the study reaches within the wadeable streams examined in the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. Habitat assessments were conducted following the fisheries assessment in each study reach. One assessment was conducted per the modified version of the QHEI (OEPA 2006, included as Appendix B of the Performance Work Statement), also used for non-wadeable streams on this project. Another assessment was conducted per the MPCA Physical Habitat and Water Chemistry Assessment Protocol for Wadeable Stream Monitoring Sites (MPCA 2012, included as Appendix C of the Performance Work Statement).

MPCA's habitat assessment protocol for wadeable streams is designed for use at wadeable monitoring sites for which an integrated assessment of water quality is conducted - fish, macroinvertebrate, physical habitat and water chemistry. The MPCA habitat assessment protocol uses a transect-point method in which thirteen transects are established within the study reach. In accordance with the protocol, four equally-spaced points were located, plus the thalweg, along each transect. Field personnel proceeded in a downstream to upstream direction collecting measurements and visual estimates of key components of the habitat structure. The key components in MPCA's habitat assessment protocol include:

1) Channel Morphology,
2) Substrate,
3) Cover, and
4) Riparian Condition.

Data were recorded on the following datasheets:

- Station Features datasheet
- one form for each study reach
- describes the length and location of major morphological features
- Transect datasheet
- one form for each transect within the study reach
- describes instream characteristics, stream cover and land use characteristics
- Visit Summary datasheet
- one form for each study reach
- describes location information, water chemistry and channel characteristics


## ****

Similar to the QHEI, the MPCA habitat assessment protocol is a rapid assessment procedure which provides for the ability to relate habitat quality to the stream's potential to support a biological community. The habitat components included in the MPCA protocol are similar to those in the QHEI method, and are considered to generally correspond to physical factors which affect fish communities and other aquatic life.

### 2.2.2.4 Macroinvertebrate Assessment

In accordance with specifications of the Performance Work Statement, macroinvertebrates were sampled immediately following the fisheries assessment on each of the wadeable study reaches. For this Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project, the USACE recommended the NDDoH macroinvertebrate sampling protocol for wadeable streams (NDDoH 2008a, included as Appendix D of the Performance Work Statement). Macroinvertebrate sampling was conducted in September 2011 during base flow conditions. Sampling was not conducted during periods of high flows, given that these conditions negatively affect sampling efficiency.

## Equipment and Sampling Procedure

A 500-micron mesh, modified D-frame kick net with detachable bucket was used to collect composite macroinvertebrate samples. The composite sample for a given study reach was comprised of sub-samples collected at eleven equally-spaced transects. GIS was used to establish geographic coordinates of sampling transects within each study reach. These transect coordinates were loaded into a GPS unit as waypoints for navigation by field personnel. Within a given study reach, at the most-downstream transect (i.e., Transect A), field personnel randomly selected the initial sample station at either the right descending bank (R), stream center (C) or left descending bank (L). Following selection of the initial sample station, sample stations for subsequent transects were systematically assigned (i.e., R-L-C repeating pattern). At each sample station, personnel used the D-frame kick net to collect a sample one meter downstream of the given transect. Each sample station was classified as either riffle/run or pool/glide based on whether there was sufficient current to fully extend the net. Areas where water current was not sufficient to extend the net were operationally defined as pool/glide habitat. Sampling was initiated at the downstream extent of the study reach, and proceeded upstream.

The procedure for collecting macroinvertebrates was to seat the net on the stream bottom with the net opening facing upstream. A one-square-foot quadrat was visualized (one net width wide and one net width long) in front of the net. Large substrate particles and large rocks which occurred at least half way into the quadrat were manually picked, washed and/or gently scrubbed so that any organisms were washed into the net. All material picked/washed/scrubbed from the substrate was placed into a sieve-bottom bucket. After scrubbing large particles and rocks:

Riffle/Run Habitats -
No riffle/run habitats coincided with any of the transect sample stations. Therefore, no macroinvertebrate sampling of riffle/run habitats was conducted.

## Pool/Glide Habitats -

Starting at the upstream end of the quadrat, the remaining finer substrate within the quadrat was vigorously kicked while dragging the net repeatedly through the disturbed area just above the stream bottom for 30 seconds. The net was continuously moved to prevent trapped organisms from escaping. The net was then quickly removed from the water using a surfacing motion to wash the organisms to the bottom of the net. For pool areas in which the water was too deep to effectively kick the substrate in front of the net, personnel faced upstream and jabbed and swept the net through the quadrat. After each jab and sweep, the net was completely removed from the water and placed back at the upstream extent of the quadrat to prevent the loss of organisms previously collected. In this situation, three series of jabs/sweeps were conducted within a quadrat. For pool/glide areas in which the water was too shallow for sampling with the net, the substrate was stirred with gloved hands and a 500micron sieve used to collect the organisms from the water in the same manner a net is used in larger pools.

For sample stations containing large rocks which prevented proper seating of the net on the stream bottom, macroinvertebrates were hand-picked for 30 seconds from an approximate one-square-foot quadrat of substrate. For sample stations that were choked with vegetation, personnel swept the net through the vegetation within a one-square-foot quadrat for 30 seconds.

## Sample Processing

As sub-samples were collected within a study reach, contents were emptied into a 500-micron mesh sieve bucket which was nestled in a larger plastic bucket. At each transect location, a direct stream wash bottle was used to thoroughly rinse the contents collected within the kick net into the sieve bucket. Sieving the composite sample was continued to reduce sample volume as personnel progressed along the study reach.

The composite sample was transferred to a one-liter Nalgene ${ }^{\circledR}$ bottle by gently agitating the sieve in the plastic bucket of water, washing the contents of the sieve to one side and pouring into the bottle. The sieve was examined for any clinging organisms which were gently placed into the sample bottle before preserving the sample with ethanol. The void space in the sample bottle was filled so as to ensure that the ethanol was not diluted below $70 \%$ and to leave zero headspace. Each jar was carefully tipped to mix the ethanol, water and macroinvertebrate contents. Larger, predaceous invertebrates were immediately placed in the sample bottle and
preserved with $70 \%$ ethanol, to prevent the damage or consumption of other collected specimens. The volume of the samples was sufficiently reduced so that each composite sample fit into one sample bottle. Each sample bottle was labeled with the collection date and study reach number. Information for each macroinvertebrate composite sample was recorded in the project field logbook.

With approval from the USACE, sorting and identification of the macroinvertebrate samples collected from the wadeable study reaches was contracted to Dr. Andre Delorme (Valley City State University). Labeled macroinvertebrate composite samples were stored in a cooler in a temperature controlled environment until samples could be transported or shipped to the laboratory. Chain-of-custody procedures were followed to provide documentation of the handling of each sample from time of collection through receipt by the laboratory. The field team leader completed the chain-of-custody forms, which accompanied each sample through transit from the field to the laboratory. This form was used by both the field sampler and the laboratory to verify the contents of each shipment of samples. When transferring possession of the samples, both the individual relinquishing the container(s) and the receiver signed and dated the chain-of-custody form. As recommended by the USACE, macroinvertebrate samples were processed according to NDDoH methodologies (NDDoH 2008b, included as Appendix F of the Performance Work Statement).

### 2.2.3 Data Management and Analysis

All data collected for fisheries, water quality, physical habitat and macroinvertebrate assessments were entered into Microsoft Excel®, per direction of the USACE. These data were subsequently imported into Microsoft Access ${ }^{\circledR}$ to establish a project database in anticipation of future data collection. Geographic coordinates representing the study reach extents and macroinvertebrate sample transects were imported into ArcGIS ${ }^{\circledR}$. All field datasheets were scanned and saved in portable document format (PDF). Site photographs were logged, and photographic logs saved in PDF. With submittal of this assessment findings report, data collected are provided in both electronic and hard copy form (including original field datasheets) to the USACE.

Various metrics will be used to compare these pre-project data to future, post-project data. USACE, in the Performance Work Statement, stipulated calculation of the following measures for each study reach sampled for fish and macroinvertebrates:

- Species Abundance
- Total number of each species collected
- Relative species abundance
- Catch per unit effort
- Species Composition
- Richness
- Evenness
- Diversity

Species richness is the number of different species in a population (or, for purposes of the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project, the number of different species within a study reach). As specified by the USACE, the rarefaction technique was used to assess species richness. In the rarefaction technique, the expected species richness for a standard sample size is calculated. The species richness values for samples of varying size can be standardized against this expected value. Typically samples to be compared (and, therefore, standardized) to one another would all be collected from a single entity monitored over time (i.e., a single study reach). Given that this sampling event represents the first baseline event, multiple data sets are not available for a given study reach. For this baseline assessment report, the sample size used for standardization of species richness is the minimum number of individuals sampled at any one of the 21 sampled study reaches. For aquatic macroinvertebrates, the minimum number of individuals collected for a given study reach was 195 (collected in Sheyenne River Study Reach 14). For fish, the minimum number of individuals collected for a given study reach was 49 (collected in Sheyenne River Study Reach 11). This assessment report also presents an alternative sample size used for standardization of species richness. This alternative sample size represents a number of individuals lower than the minimum caught within any one of the 21 study reaches sampled. The intent in establishing this alternative standard sample size is to allow for comparison of species richness among future samples within given study reaches (for instance, in case a future sampling yields less than 49 fish in a given study reach). For aquatic macroinvertebrates, this lower-than-minimum number is 100 . For fish, this lower-thanminimum number is 25 . This baseline sampling event allows for a comparison of species richness across study reaches. Collection of additional data with future sampling events, will allow for comparison of species richness within study reaches.

Whereas richness represents the number of species present within a study reach, evenness represents the relative abundance of the species (i.e., the number of individuals within a species proportionate to the total number of individuals within a sample). Within a given study reach, the relative abundance is calculated for each species by dividing the number of individuals of a given species by the total number of individuals in the study reach. Abundance plots of species rank versus relative abundance are presented in this assessment report, and provide a graphical representation of species evenness within study reach populations for aquatic macroinvertebrates and fish.

## 

The Simpson Diversity Index was calculated for the aquatic macroinvertebrate and fish populations sampled at each of the 21 study reaches. The index provides a quantification of how many different types of species are present within the sampled population, and also accounts for how evenly the individuals are distributed among the species. The diversity index value is maximized when all species are equally abundant. For a given study reach, $n(n-1)$ was calculated ( $\mathrm{n}=\#$ of individuals within a species), and summed across all species present. This summation was divided by $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}-1)$, where $\mathrm{N}=$ total \# of individuals for the study reach.

$$
D=\frac{\sum n(n-1)}{N(N-1)}
$$

Where:
$n=$ total \# of individuals in a particular species, and
$N=$ total \# of individuals of all species
The value of D ranges between 0 and 1 . A dataset with a high diversity yields a low diversity index value (i.e., 0 represents infinite diversity); whereas, a dataset with low diversity yields a high diversity index value (i.e., 1 represents no diversity). Since this interpretation is counterintuitive, it is common to transform the Simpson Diversity Index such that the resultant diversity index value increases with increasing dataset diversity and vice versa. The popular transformations are the inverse Simpson Index (1/D) and the Gini-Simpson Index (1-D). Both transformations of the Simpson Diversity Index were calculated for aquatic macroinvertebrate and fish data collected within each of the 21 study reaches assessed.

Per the Performance Work Statement, the USACE will use the collected data to calculate IBI scores. The prescribed sampling methodologies outlined by the USACE in the Performance Work Statement for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project adhere to IBI scoring systems presently being revised by both the NDDoH and the MPCA. The prescribed sampling methodologies were primarily based on those provided by NDDoH , given that the majority of the study reaches are in North Dakota.

### 2.3 REPEATABILITY IN FUTURE SAMPLING

Maintaining consistency in monitoring methods will allow for temporal data comparability within study reaches over time. Trends may be elucidated as subsequent baseline and postproject impact sampling efforts are conducted. Haugerud (2006), however, indicates that the aquatic macroinvertebrate IBI for glide/pool habitats in the Lake Agassiz Plain Ecoregion, current as of May 2006, may not be robust enough to minimize between year comparisons. For the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project, it may be necessary to examine whether the adopted IBI scoring systems are based on sufficient monitoring data to adequately assess between year comparisons.

To ensure comparability of results among this extreme low-flow baseline sampling effort and subsequent sampling efforts on the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project, the same study reaches should be sampled (so as to provide data for among year comparisons within a reach), the same sampling methods should be incorporated, sampling should be conducted at the approximate same time of year and under similar hydrologic conditions. Since flow (hydrologic) conditions can vary significantly, a baseline should be established for wet, dry and normal hydrologic conditions during the preferred late summer low-flow period that is desired for electrofishing.

### 2.3.1 Locations

The premise of the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project is to monitor changes in the biotic structure of designated study reaches over time. To meet this objective, it will be necessary to sample the same study reaches in subsequent sampling efforts, with the purpose of comparing data within a given reach over time. Study reach locations and study reach lengths need to remain consistent from sampling event to sampling event. Spatial integrity is extremely important since temporal comparison of data among spatially different study reaches will not provide the information necessary to quantify the affects from activities of the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project.

### 2.3.2 Methods

The same electrofishing equipment should be employed each time a study reach is sampled. For instance, those streams that were sampled with a boom shocker in this event should continue to be sampled with a boom shocker in subsequent events. In addition, for each study reach, the same model of alternator-pulsator used in this sampling effort should be used in all subsequent sampling efforts. Fish capture is highly dependent on the manner in which the fish perceives and responds to the electrical shock. The conductivity of the water is the main factor affecting electrofishing efficiency. Therefore, the ability to control the electrical energy emitted to the water is of critical importance, especially in the high conductivity conditions of the waterbodies examined in the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project.

In studies within the same waterbodies and across waterbodies within the same ecoregion, it is important to employ the same level of effort for fish and macroinvertebrate capture. Population abundance is assessed by quantifying the number of individuals captured per unit of sampling effort and is reported as CPUE. Diversity is used as an indicator to support the concept that polluted sites yield fewer species. For instance, the same fishing effort protocols (seconds fished per study reach length) were adopted for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project as practiced by MBI in their assessment of the Red River of the North three years prior. This
reduces the risk of collecting misrepresentative data and subsequently misinterpreting data findings. The same macroinvertebrate collection protocols should be used in subsequent efforts on a given study reach, as these protocols dictate the area and/or time of sweeping.

### 2.3.3 Timing and Environmental Conditions

Subsequent sampling efforts, for a given study reach, should be conducted in the same time of year. Per accepted convention, fish sampling is conducted in mid to late summer during low-flow conditions. Subsequent sampling efforts should be conducted at the same time of year, so as to avoid the less efficient, colder temperature and higher flow portions of the year, and to minimize effects on sampling from changes in fish distribution which occur throughout the year. Restricting sampling to the summer months also minimizes the influence of spring spawning or other seasonal factors. In an effort to pair information on the macroinvertebrate community with collected fish data, macroinvertebrates should continue to be sampled at the same time as the fish. This reduces variability in environmental factors such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, precipitation and stream flow conditions.

### 2.3.4 Data Analysis

Consistency in taxonomic identification and the level of taxonomic refinement is important. Misidentification of species can lead to false scoring of the biotic integrity of a community. Lumping individuals into larger taxonomic groups, particularly macroinvertebrates, can make data unusable for IBI scoring. With regard to fish, field assessors should continue the practice of not including individuals less than 20 mm in length in the sampled fish population. It has been found that established methods do not consistently sample fish of this size (Karr et al. 1986; OEPA 1988b).

When calculating IBI scores, a trained biologist should examine the components of the score, together with the fish or aquatic macroinvertebrate community. In this scenario, computergenerated IBI scores can improve the overall evaluation by reducing time spent on calculations and increasing time available for interpretation. Total IBI scores, calculated without an in-depth analysis of the communities to which they are applied, can be an inappropriate measure of environmental quality (OEPA 1988b).

### 3.0 RESULTS

Per the Performance Work Statement, the following metrics have been calculated with data collected for this first baseline sampling event:

- Species Abundance
- Total number of each species collected
- Relative species abundance
- Catch per unit effort
- Species Composition
- Richness
- Evenness (presented as abundance plots)
- Diversity

The tables presented below include, for a given study reach, the total number of taxa/species collected, the catch per unit effort, species richness (per the rarefaction technique) and species diversity (per the Simpson Diversity Index).

As discussed in Section 2.2.3, species richness is presented in two different ways for both macroinvertebrates and fish. For a given study reach, it is presented as the number of taxa/species, (1) relative to the minimum number of individuals caught among all 21 study reaches ( 195 for macroinvertebrates and 49 for fish) and (2) relative to a number lower than the minimum caught in any study reach ( 100 for macroinvertebrates and 25 for fish).

The tables below present the Simpson Diversity Index in three ways - (1) the original Simpson Diversity Index as Simpson's D, (2) the Gini-Simpson Diversity Index as 1-D and (3) the inverse Simpson Diversity Index as 1/D. A high index value for Simpson's D is indicative of low diversity in the dataset; however, a high index value for Gini-Simpson or inverse Simpson is indicative of high diversity in the dataset.

Abundance plots are also presented below as a visualization of the species evenness. Relative abundance is plotted on the Y -axis and species ranks are plotted on the X -axis (the most abundant species is ranked 1 , the second most abundant is 2 , etc.). Relative species abundances are included in the report appendices. Relative species abundance is presented for each taxon/species within a study reach, and is the total number of individuals for that species, expressed as a percentage of the total number of individuals in the study reach.

No Federally- or State-listed species were captured during field assessment activities for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. There are no Federally- or State-listed fish or
aquatic macroinvertebrate species with known occurrence in Cass and Richland Counties, North Dakota or Clay County, Minnesota. Two fish species that have not previously been documented within the Red River Basin were field identified during the study effort. These were the black redhorse sucker (Moxostoma duquesnei) and the river carpsucker (Carpiodes carpio). These species are further discussed in Section 4.4.

Site photographs are included in Appendix B. Copies of QHEI (and MPCA habitat assessment, as appropriate) field datasheets are included in Appendix C. Appendix D presents, for each study reach, a list of all aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa identified, the species richness and relative species abundance. Appendix $\mathbf{D}$ also includes aquatic macroinvertebrate abundance plots (species rank versus relative abundance) for each of the study reaches. Laboratory bench sheets for aquatic macroinvertebrates are presented in Appendix E. Copies of the fish datasheets are included in Appendix F. Appendix G presents, for each study reach, a list of all fish species captured, the species richness and the relative species abundance. Appendix $\mathbf{G}$ also includes fish abundance plots (species rank versus relative abundance) for each of the study reaches. Appendix H presents the lengths and weights of all individual fish captured, as well as observations of anomalies for each study reach.

### 3.1 RED RIVER OF THE NORTH

The Red River of the North contained six study reaches for this sampling effort (see Figures 3.1 through 3.6). Reach 1 is a location upstream of potential hydraulic alterations, Reaches 2 and 5 are at footprint locations, Reaches 3 and 4 are downstream of potential hydraulic alterations and Reach 6 is a control location. All six study reaches were assessed in August and September 2012.

### 3.1.1 QHEI Assessment Findings

A summary of the QHEI assessment, which presents scores for the six principal metrics for each of the Red River of the North study reaches, is included in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 - Red River of the North QHEI Assessment

| Study <br> Reach (Date Assessed) | Metric 1 | Metric 2 | Metric 3 | Metric 4 | Metric 5Pool/Glide andRiffler Riffle/Run Quality |  | Metric 6 | Total <br> QHEI <br> Score <br> Max = <br> 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Substrate $\text { Max }=20$ | Instream Cover Max $=20$ | Channel Morphology Max = 20 | Riparian Zone and Bank Erosion Max = 10 | Pool/Glide Quality Max $=12$ | Riffle/ Run Quality Max $=8$ | Gradient and Drainage Area Max = 10 |  |
| Study Reach 1 (9/4/12) | 4 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { poor } \end{gathered}$ |
| Study Reach 2 (8/31/12) | 2.5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 3 (8/30/12 | 2.5 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 4 (8/29/12) | 4.5 | 7 | 7 | 4.5 | 9 | 3 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { fair } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 5 (9/1/12) | 2.5 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 6 (9/2/12) | 2 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { poor } \end{gathered}$ |

Substrates observed at all six of the Red River of the North study reaches were dominated by a mixture of hardpan and heavy silt with extensive embeddedness. Instream cover was sparse and was limited primarily to logs and other woody debris and some pools greater than 70 centimeters in depth. The morphology of the Red River of the North, within the assessed study reaches, exhibited moderate sinuosity, poor development of riffle/pool complexes, low channel stability and moderate affects from anthropogenic channel modifications. Bank erosion was consistently moderate, with approximately $50 \%$ of each streambank within each of the study reaches eroded, broken down or showing other signs of stress. The riparian width ranged from narrow (5-10 meters) to wide ( $>50$ meters), with the widths at most study reaches being moderate (10-50 meters). The quality of the floodplain (area immediately outside of the riparian zone or greater than 100 meters from the stream) at the study reaches was generally poor, consisting of open pasture and row crops. Other poor-quality floodplain cover (urban/industrial), in addition to higher quality floodplains (forest/swamp and shrub/old field) were observed at some of the Red

River of the North study reaches. Of the six study reaches on the Red River of the North, only one (Reach 4) had riffle/run complexes present. The remainder of the study reaches were dominated by either pools or glides. All of the study reaches had low to moderate gradients and large drainage areas (QHEI defines a large drainage area as greater than 622.9 square miles).

### 3.1.2 Water Chemistry Assessment Findings

Water chemistry measurements taken immediately prior to sampling at each of the six study reaches on the Red River of the North are presented in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 - Red River of the North Water Chemistry

| Reach | Station <br> Description <br> Upsteam <br> Location | Sample <br> Date | Water <br> Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Specific <br> Conductivity <br> (mS/cm) | D.O. <br> (mg/L) | Secchi <br> Depth <br> (inches) | Turbidity <br> (NTU) | pH <br> $($ SU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 1 | 12.7 <br> Study <br> Reach 2 <br> Footprint Site | $9 / 8 / 12$ | 18.0 | 0.535 | 8.7 | 12.2 | 30.7 | 8.50 |
| Study <br> Reach 3 | Downstream <br> Location | $9 / 9 / 12$ | 17.4 | 0.499 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 171 | 8.10 |
| Study <br> Reach 4 | Downstream <br> Location | $9 / 11 / 12$ | 18.5 | 0.601 | 8.4 | 10.5 | 53.6 | 7.61 |
| Study <br> Reach 5 | Footprint Site | $9 / 10 / 12$ | 18.0 | 1.670 | 8.9 | 5.0 | 289 | 8.35 |
| Study <br> Reach 6 | Control Site | $9 / 10 / 12$ | 16.8 | 1.670 | 8.6 | 6.0 | 305 | 7.97 |

Note - All water chemistry measurements were taken immediately prior to the fish sampling effort.
Dissolved oxygen (range of 7.8 to $8.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and pH (range of 7.61 to 8.50 ) measurements were well within the standard range of surface water readings. Water temperature at Reach $1\left(12.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was noticeably lower than the temperature at other study reaches on the Red River of the North, but was also taken at a later date (9/21/12, versus readings on $9 / 8 / 12$ through $9 / 11 / 12$ for the remaining study reaches). This deviation in water temperature could have reflected the beginning of the seasonal shift from summer to fall (maximum daily air temperatures in the area ranged from $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from $09 / 1 / 12$ to $09 / 15 / 12$; however, as of $09 / 16 / 12$ through $09 / 21 / 12$, maximum daily air temperatures ranged from $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Study Reaches 5 and 6 , the mostdownstream reaches on the Red River of the North, displayed less clarity/higher turbidity than the four study reaches further upstream. The higher turbidities observed at Study Reaches 5 and 6 may have influenced the higher conductivities observed for these reaches, as compared to the more upstream study reaches. The increased turbidity and conductivity at Study Reaches 5 and 6 were likely a result of increased flows from the Sheyenne River observed during the assessment period. The Sheyenne River is discussed further in Section 3.3.

## * *** *

### 3.1.3 Macroinvertebrate Abundance and Composition

Macroinvertebrates were collected within each of the six study reaches on the Red River of the North, using the methodologies outlined in Section 2.2.1.4. Samples were picked and species identified to the lowest level possible by Valley City State University. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.3. Additionally, a rank abundance plot (Plot 3.1) for the six study reaches on the Red River of the North is included.

## Table 3.3 - Red River of the North Macroinvertebrate Data Analysis

| Reach | Total \# of <br> Taxa | Total \# of Individuals | CPUE | Richness $E\left(S_{n}\right)$ | St Dev | Richness $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{S}_{100}\right)$ | St Dev | Simpson's <br> D | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Reach 1 | 22 | 506 | 78.1 | 11.858 | 2.042 | 7.695 | 1.840 | 0.637 | 0.363 | 1.569 |
| Study Reach 2 | 22 | 491 | 19.6 | 12.541 | 2.017 | 8.087 | 1.894 | 0.822 | 0.178 | 1.217 |
| Study Reach 3 | 23 | 473 | 15.8 | 13.633 | 2.009 | 9.409 | 1.838 | 0.519 | 0.481 | 1.927 |
| Study Reach 4 | 26 | 507 | 31.7 | 17.589 | 1.967 | 12.622 | 1.998 | 0.540 | 0.460 | 1.852 |
| Study Reach 5 | 20 | 509 | 17.6 | 12.991 | 1.749 | 9.261 | 1.750 | 0.720 | 0.280 | 1.389 |
| Study Reach 6 | 17 | 482 | 32.1 | 10.182 | 1.720 | 6.975 | 1.618 | 0.809 | 0.191 | 1.237 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - average number of individuals per grid square picked
Plot 3.1 - Red River of the North Macroinvertebrate Abundance Plot


The total number of taxa identified at each of the study reaches on the Red River of the North was relatively consistent (ranging from 17 to 26, with a mean of 22). No obvious geographical differences were observed. The catch per unit effort (i.e., average number of individuals per grid square picked) indicates that more individuals were collected per grid square within Study

Reaches 1, 4 and 6, as compared to remaining reaches; however, per the abundance plot above, the abundance ranking of the dominant taxa at all study reaches was consistent. Within each of the six study reaches, the most common taxon (i.e., species rank 1) occurred at a relative abundance between $70.4 \%$ and $90.6 \%$ (mean $81.1 \%$ ). Coincidentally, the water boatman (Corixidae family) was the most common taxon identified at each of the study reaches (see Appendix D). Relative abundance of all other taxa was low in comparison.

### 3.1.4 Fish Abundance and Composition

Fish were sampled at each of the six study reaches on the Red River of the North, using electrofishing techniques as discussed in Section 2.2.1.1. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.4. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for the six study reaches on the Red River of the North is included.

Table 3.4 - Red River of the North Fish Data Analysis

| Reach | Total \# <br> of <br> Species | Total \# of <br> Individuals | Shock <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CPUE | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ | St <br> Dev | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E ( \mathbf { S } _ { 2 5 } )}$ | St Dev | Simpson's <br> $\mathbf{D}$ | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 1 | 13 | 138 | 5289 | 93.9 | 9.69 | 1.20 | 7.76 | 1.21 | 0.19 | 0.81 | 5.27 |
| Study <br> Reach 2 | 14 | 162 | 5356 | 108.9 | 9.93 | 1.36 | 7.32 | 1.41 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Study <br> Reach 3 | 15 | 168 | 5386 | 112.3 | 10.96 | 1.29 | 8.53 | 1.34 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 4.92 |
| Study <br> Reach 4 | 20 | 245 | 6089 | 144.9 | 12.36 | 1.59 | 9.10 | 1.56 | 0.16 | 0.84 | 6.21 |
| Study <br> Reach 5 | 15 | 57 | 3882 | 52.9 | 13.99 | 0.88 | 9.90 | 1.40 | 0.23 | 0.77 | 4.41 |
| Study <br> Reach 6 | 11 | 78 | 6105 | 46.0 | 9.44 | 0.97 | 7.59 | 1.11 | 0.18 | 0.82 | 5.59 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - number of individuals netted per electrofishing hour

Plot 3.2 - Red River of the North Fish Abundance Plot


The total number of fish species captured at each of the six study reaches on the Red River of the North ranged from 11 to 20 species, with a mean of 15 species. The total number of individuals ranged from 57 to 245 , with a mean of 141 . The catch per unit effort at the study reaches on the Red River of the North ranged from 46.0 to 144.9. Study Reaches 5 and 6 had the lowest number of individuals captured, and subsequently the lowest CPUEs ( 52.9 and 46.0 , respectively). These two study reaches also had the highest turbidities ( 289 NTU and 305 NTU, respectively) and highest observed conductivities ( $1.67 \mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm}$ at both locations) of all study reaches assessed on the Red River of the North.

Three common species of fish were the most abundant at each of the six study reaches on the Red River of the North (see Appendix G). At Study Reaches 2, 3, 5 and 6, the channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) was the most abundant species captured, with the spotfin shiner (Cyprinella spiloptera) being the second most abundant at each of the sites except Study Reach 5. At Study Reach 1, the sand shiner (Notropis stramineus) was most abundant, followed by the spotfin shiner (Cyprinella spiloptera) and the channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus). At Study Reach 4, the spotfin shiner (Cyprinella spiloptera) was the most abundant, with equal numbers of the sand shiner (Notropis stramineus) and channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) each present at lesser abundance. The higher species richness observed at Study Reach 4, as compared to other study reaches of the Red River of the North, may be attributable to the instream habitat present at Reach 4. This was the only study reach on the Red River of the North to contain riffle habitat.

A total of eight individual instances of anomalies were observed across all of the study reaches on the Red River of the North. Surface lesions were the most common anomaly observed (five of the eight instances). Other anomalies observed included an eroded fin and blindness. With the exception of Study Reach 5, all locations on the Red River had at least one anomaly observed.

### 3.2 WILD RICE RIVER

The Wild Rice River contained four study reaches for this sampling effort (see Figures 3.7 through 3.10). Reach 7 is an upstream control location, Reach 8 is upstream of potential hydraulic alterations, Reach 9 is a footprint location and Reach 10 is downstream of potential hydraulic alterations. These study reaches were assessed in August and September 2012.

### 3.2.1 QHEI Assessment Findings

A summary of the QHEI assessment, which presents scores for the six principal metrics for each of the Wild Rice River study reaches, is included in Table 3.5.

Table 3.5 - Wild Rice River QHEI Habitat Assessment

| Study <br> Reach (Date Assessed) | Substrate $\operatorname{Max}=20$ | Instream Cover Max = 20 | Channel Morphology Max = 20 | Riparian <br> Zone and Bank Erosion Max = 10 | Pool/Glide Quality Max = 12 | Riffle/ Run Quality Max $=8$ | Gradient and Drainage Area $\text { Max = } 10$ | Total <br> QHEI <br> Score <br> Max $=$ <br> 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Reach 7 (8/20/12) | 4.5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 8 (8/20/12) | 3.5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 42.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 9 (8/21/12) | 3.5 | 6 | 10 | 4.5 | 6 | 0 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { poor } \end{gathered}$ |
| Study Reach 10 (8/21/12) | 5.5 | 6 | 6 | 5.5 | 6 | 0 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \text { poor } \end{gathered}$ |

Substrates observed at all four of the Wild Rice River study reaches were dominated by a mixture of hardpan and silt, and included extensive embeddedness. Silt cover was moderate to heavy at each of the four reaches. Instream cover was sparse in Reaches 7, 9 and 10, but moderate in Reach 8. Pools (greater than 70 centimeters deep) and logs/woody debris comprised the available instream cover. Comparatively, the morphology of downstream Reaches 9 and 10 on the Wild Rice River was generally more stable and developed than that of upstream Reaches 7 and 8. Reach 7 displayed poor sinuosity and poor development of riffle/pool complexes. Reaches 8, 9 and 10 each displayed moderate sinuosity and the development of riffle/pool complexes was fair. Reach 10, however, is impounded due to the presence of a dam downstream of this reach whereas Reaches 8 and 9 currently display geomorphic character representative of a recovering system. Bank erosion was consistently moderate, with approximately $50 \%$ of each streambank within each of the study reaches eroded, broken down or showing other signs of stress. The width of the riparian zone was most typically moderate ( $10-50$ meters). The quality of
the floodplain at the study reaches was generally poor, consisting of open pasture and row crops. The floodplain in the vicinity of Reach 10 contained some slightly higher quality floodplain land cover (residential park/newly-abandoned agricultural field). Each of the four study reaches assessed on the Wild Rice River were dominated by pool/glide habitat. Riffle/run complexes were not observed on any of the reaches assessed on the Wild Rice River. The gradient of the Wild Rice River generally lessened from upstream to downstream. Reaches 7 and 8, the upstream reaches, had high and very high gradients, respectively; whereas, downstream Reaches 9 and 10 had moderate-high and low gradients, respectively. The drainage area is large (defined as greater than 622.9 square miles in the QHEI).

### 3.2.2 Water Chemistry Assessment Findings

Water chemistry measurements taken immediately prior to sampling at each of the four study reaches on the Wild Rice River are presented in Table 3.6.

Table 3.6 - Wild Rice River Water Chemistry

|  | Reach | Station <br> Description | Sample <br> Date | Water <br> Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Specific <br> Conductivity <br> $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ | D.O. <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | Secchi <br> Depth <br> (inches) | Turbidity <br> (NTU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH <br> $($ SU) $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study Reach <br> 7 | Upstream <br> Location | $9 / 13 / 12$ | 13.7 | 1.580 | 5.3 | 9.0 | 74.1 | 7.88 |
| Study Reach <br> 8 | Upstream <br> Location | $9 / 12 / 12$ | 16.9 | 1.760 | 6.2 | 24.5 | 10.2 | 8.17 |
| Study Reach <br> 9 | Footprint Site | $9 / 14 / 12$ | 13.9 | 1.770 | 6.8 | 14.2 | 19.7 | 8.30 |
| Study Reach <br> 10 | Downstream <br> Location | $9 / 15 / 12$ | 13.7 | 1.690 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 44.5 | 8.19 |

Note - All water chemistry measurements were taken immediately prior to the fish sampling effort.
Measurements for pH across the four study reaches were within the standard range for surface water, and temperature readings were typical for the time of year. Dissolved oxygen for Wild Rice River Study Reaches 7, 8 and 9 was reduced as compared to that for Study Reach 10 and study reaches on other waterbodies assessed for the Project. Dissolved oxygen levels recorded for Reaches 7, 8 and 9 may be reflective of stagnant, non-flowing water that was observed at these reaches on the Wild Rice River (although, Study Reach 10 also displayed little flow, but a higher concentration of dissolved oxygen registered here). Study Reach 8 was the least turbid of those assessed on the Wild Rice River. Water turbidity within the Wild Rice River did not display a trend from upstream to downstream. The Wild Rice River displayed relatively high conductivity at all reaches, consistent with all Red River Valley reaches assessed for this Project, with the exception of the four upstream reaches on the Red River of the North.

## * ***

### 3.2.3 Macroinvertebrate Abundance and Composition

Macroinvertebrates were collected within each of the four study reaches on the Wild Rice River using the methodologies outlined in Section 2.2.1.4. Samples were picked and species identified to the lowest level possible by Valley City State University. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.7. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for the four study reaches on the Wild Rice River is included below.

## Table 3.7 - Wild Rice River Macroinvertebrate Data Analysis

| Reach | Total \# of <br> Taxa | Total \# of Individuals | CPUE | Richness $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | St Dev | Richness $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{S}_{100}\right)$ | St Dev | $\begin{gathered} \text { Simpson's } \\ D \end{gathered}$ | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Reach 7 | 27 | 480 | 53.3 | 22.043 | 1.667 | 17.332 | 1.939 | 0.277 | 0.723 | 3.608 |
| Study Reach 8 | 23 | 505 | 33.7 | 14.556 | 1.926 | 10.305 | 1.859 | 0.613 | 0.387 | 1.630 |
| Study Reach 9 | 25 | 530 | 31.2 | 15.646 | 1.939 | 11.904 | 1.755 | 0.335 | 0.665 | 2.987 |
| Study Reach 10 | 21 | 498 | 158.2 | 12.710 | 1.921 | 8.691 | 1.808 | 0.623 | 0.377 | 1.606 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - average number of individuals per grid square picked
Plot 3.3 Wild Rice River Macroinvertebrate Abundance Plot


The total number of taxa identified at each of the study reaches on the Wild Rice River was consistent (ranging from 21 to 27, with a mean of 24). The catch per unit effort indicates that Reach 10 had a greater density of individuals, as compared to other study reaches on the Wild Rice River. The abundance plot shows that, for each of the four reaches on the Wild Rice River, the dominant taxon accounted for $50 \%$ to $80 \%$ of the sampled aquatic macroinvertebrate population. The evenness of the sampled macroinvertebrate populations in the Wild Rice River was low, with the second-most abundant species in each study reach accounting for only $7 \%$ to
$16 \%$ of the population. The diversity indices show that Study Reach 7 had the greatest diversity (i.e., greatest number of and most evenness across taxa) and Study Reach 10 had the least diversity. No obvious geographical differences were observed, in that the same taxa were observed with the most abundant and least abundant occurrences across the four reaches (see Appendix D). A hemipteran, of the Corixidae family, and ostracods were the two most common taxa identified across the four study reaches on the Wild Rice River. The water boatman (Corixidae family) was the third-most commonly observed species across the four reaches assessed on the Wild Rice River. The prevailing abundance of only a few individual taxa is indicative of a macroinvertebrate community with poor biotic integrity.

### 3.2.4 Fish Abundance and Composition

Fish were sampled at each of the four study reaches on the Wild Rice River using electrofishing techniques as discussed in Section 2.2.1.1. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.8. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for the four study reaches on the Wild Rice River is included below.

## Table 3.8 - Wild Rice River Fish Data Analysis

| Reach | Total \# <br> of <br> Species | Total \# of <br> Individuals | Shock <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CPUE | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ | St <br> Dev | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E ( S} \mathbf{( 2 5 )}$ | St <br> Dev | Simpson's D | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 7 | 12 | 347 | 3488 | 358.1 | 6.57 | 1.08 | 5.34 | 1.05 | 0.28 | 0.72 | 3.56 |
| Study <br> Reach 8 | 10 | 184 | 3818 | 173.5 | 7.46 | 0.98 | 6.22 | 1.02 | 0.26 | 0.74 | 3.85 |
| Study <br> Reach 9 | 12 | 523 | 5391 | 349.2 | 5.42 | 1.10 | 4.30 | 1.01 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 1.80 |
| Study <br> Reach 10 | 16 | 543 | 4416 | 442.7 | 7.17 | 1.45 | 5.29 | 1.28 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 1.95 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - number of individuals netted per electrofishing hour

Plot 3.4 - Wild Rice River Fish Abundance Plot


The total number of species captured at each of the four study reaches on the Wild Rice River ranged from 10 to 16 species, with a mean of 13 species. The total number of individuals ranged from 184 to 543 , with a mean of 399 . The catch per unit effort on the Wild Rice River ranged from 173.5 to 442.7 . Orangespotted sunfish (Lepomis humilis) was the most abundant fish species captured at each of the four study reaches, accounting for $37 \%$ to $74 \%$ of the population on any given reach (see Appendix G). Other small species, the spotfin shiner (Cyprinella spiloptera) and fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas), were the two next most common fish species captured. Reaches 7 and 8 displayed more species evenness (equivalent relative abundance) than did Reaches 9 and 10, in which a single species was highly dominant. The diversity indices show that Study Reaches 7 and 8 had higher diversity than downstream Study Reaches 9 and 10.

In addition to the orangespotted sunfish (Lepomis humilis), the spotfin shiner (Cyprinella spiloptera) and the fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas), other species captured within each of the four reaches on the Wild Rice River included the sand shiner (Notropis stramineus), channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) and common carp (Cyprinus carpio).

Only one anomaly was observed among all of the fish captured on the Wild Rice River. This was an eroded fin that was observed at Study Reach 7.

### 3.3 SHEYENNE RIVER

The Sheyenne River contained five study reaches for this sampling effort (see Figures 3.11 through 3.15). Reach 11 is a location upstream of potential hydraulic alterations, Reach 12 is a footprint location and Reaches 13, 14 and 15 are all downstream of potential hydraulic alterations. All five study reaches were assessed in August and September 2012.

## *****THREE

### 3.3.1 QHEI Assessment Findings

A summary of the QHEI assessment, which presents scores for the six principal metrics for each of the Sheyenne River study reaches, is included in Table 3.9.

Table 3.9 - Sheyenne River QHEI Habitat Assessment

| Study <br> Reach (Date Assessed) | Substrate $\text { Max = } 20$ | Instream Cover Max = 20 | Channel Morphology Max = 20 | Riparian Zone and Bank Erosion Max = 10 | Pool/Glide Quality Max $=12$ | Riffle/ Run Quality Max $=8$ | Gradient and Drainage Area Max = 10 | Total <br> QHEI <br> Score $\operatorname{Max}=100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Reach 11 (8/19/12) | 2.5 | 11 | 8 | 5.5 | 8 | 0 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { fair } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 12 (8/19/12) | 2.5 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 13 (8/18/12) | 2.5 | 12 | 8 | 5.5 | 8 | 0 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \text { poor } \end{gathered}$ |
| Study Reach 14 (8/18/12) | 2.5 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 36.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study (8/17/12) | 2.5 | 7 | 8 | 6.5 | 8 | 0 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { poor } \end{gathered}$ |

Substrates observed at all five of the Sheyenne River study reaches were dominated by a mixture of hardpan and heavy silt with extensive embeddedness. Instream cover primarily consisted of overhanging vegetation, logs and other woody debris and some pools greater than 70 centimeters in depth. The instream cover was sparse at Study Reaches 12, 14 and 15 and moderate at Study Reaches 11 and 13. The study reaches of the Sheyenne River generally exhibited moderate sinuosity, poor development of riffle/pool complexes, low channel stability, and moderate affects from anthropogenic channel modifications. Bank erosion was consistently moderate, with approximately $50 \%$ of each streambank within each of the study reaches eroded, broken down or showing other signs of stress. The riparian width ranged from narrow ( $5-10$ meters) to wide ( $>50$ meters), with the widths at most study reaches being moderate (10-50 meters). The floodplain adjacent to the study reaches was primarily open pasture and/or row crops, with one study reach (Reach 13) occurring within a residential community. None of the study reaches on the Sheyenne River contained riffle/run complexes, as all were dominated by glide/pool regimes. The calculated map gradients on the Sheyenne River study reaches were low to moderate-high and all reaches had large drainage areas (QHEI defines a large drainage area as greater than 622.9 square miles).

### 3.3.2 Water Chemistry Assessment Findings

Water chemistry measurements taken immediately prior to sampling at each of the five study reaches on the Sheyenne River are presented in Table 3.10.

Table 3.10 - Sheyenne River Water Chemistry

| Reach | Station <br> Description | Sample <br> Date | Water <br> Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Specific <br> Conductivity <br> $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ | D.O. <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | Secchi <br> Depth <br> (inches) | Turbidity <br> $(\mathrm{NTU})$ | pH <br> $($ SU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Reach <br> 11 | Upstream <br> Location | $9 / 17 / 12$ | 14.7 | 2.080 | 9.0 | 6.50 | 218 | 8.54 |
| Study Reach <br> 12 | Footprint Site | $9 / 18 / 12$ | 13.7 | 2.080 | 8.5 | 5.50 | 248 | 8.11 |
| Study Reach <br> 13 | Downstream <br> Location | $9 / 16 / 12$ | 15.3 | 2.070 | 9.7 | 4.80 | 240 | 8.36 |
| Study Reach <br> 14 | Downstream <br> Location | $9 / 19 / 12$ | 13.3 | 2.110 | 9.6 | 5.20 | 235 | 8.35 |
| Study Reach <br> 15 | Downstream <br> Location | $9 / 20 / 12$ | 12.6 | 2.080 | 9.4 | 4.70 | 259 | 8.53 |

Note - All water chemistry measurements were taken immediately prior to the fish sampling effort.
Dissolved oxygen (range of 8.5 to $9.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and pH (range of 8.11 to 8.54 ) measurements were within the standard range of surface water readings. Water temperatures were relatively consistent across the five study reaches and ranged from 15.27 to $12.55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a steady decline occurring as the sampling effort progressed. Turbidities and specific conductivities at the five study reaches were consistent (ranges of 218 to 259 NTU and 2.070 to $2.110 \mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm}$, respectively) among the reaches, but were also higher than many of the other waterbodies examined during this study effort. These higher turbidity and conductivity readings were potentially caused by an increase in flow through the Sheyenne River, due to water pumped from Devil's Lake. Flows (though not measured in this study effort) were noticeably higher in all of the reaches on the Sheyenne River, as well as the downstream reaches of the Red River of the North.

### 3.3.3 Macroinvertebrate Abundance and Composition

Macroinvertebrates were collected within each of the five study reaches on the Sheyenne River using the methodologies outlined in Section 2.2.1.4. Samples were picked and species identified to the lowest level possible by Valley City State University. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.11. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for the five study reaches of the Sheyenne River is included below.

Table 3.11 - Sheyenne River Macroinvertebrate Data Analysis

| Reach | Total <br> \# of <br> Taxa | Total \# of <br> Individuals | CPUE | Richness <br> E( $\left.\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ | St Dev | Richness <br> E(S $\left.\mathbf{S}_{100}\right)$ | St Dev | Simpson's <br> D | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 11 | 41 | 501 | 41.8 | 26.878 | 2.425 | 19.623 | 2.359 | 0.289 | 0.711 | 3.466 |
| Study <br> Reach 12 | 36 | 494 | 24.7 | 24.116 | 2.250 | 18.003 | 2.186 | 0.226 | 0.774 | 4.418 |
| Study <br> Reach 13 | 43 | 501 | 10.7 | 31.674 | 2.321 | 23.832 | 2.428 | 0.199 | 0.801 | 5.031 |
| Study <br> Reach 14 | 33 | 195 | 3.6 | 33.000 | 0.000 | 24.375 | 2.105 | 0.139 | 0.861 | 7.214 |
| Study <br> Reach 15 | 23 | 257 | 4.8 | 20.414 | 1.381 | 14.970 | 1.863 | 0.274 | 0.726 | 3.645 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - average number of individuals per grid square picked
Plot 3.5 - Sheyenne River Macroinvertebrate Abundance Plot


The total number of taxa identified at each of the study reaches on the Sheyenne River ranged from 23 to 43 (mean of 35), with the two lowest values occurring at the downstream reaches (14 and 15). Overall there was a significant decline in catch per unit effort from the upstream study reach (Reach 11 - with a CPUE of 41.8) to the two furthest downstream reaches (Reach 14 and Reach 15 , with CPUEs of 3.6 and 4.8 , respectively). The relative abundance of the dominant taxa was not as consistent at the study reaches on the Sheyenne River, as compared to the Red River of the North and the Wild Rice River. The relative abundance of the most common taxa ranged from 26.2 to $51.9 \%$ (mean $41.7 \%$ ). The differences between the most common taxon and the next most common taxon at any given reach was not as pronounced at the study reaches on the Sheyenne River. Similar to most of the study reaches on the Red River of the North and the Wild Rice River, the water boatman (Corixidae family) was the most common taxon identified at each of the study reaches on the Sheyenne River.

## * ***

### 3.3.4 Fish Abundance and Composition

Fish were sampled at each of the five study reaches on the Sheyenne River, using mini-boom electroshocking techniques as discussed in Section 2.2.1.1. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.12. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for the five study reaches on the Sheyenne River is included below.

Table 3.12 - Sheyenne River Fish Data Analysis

| Reach | Total \# of Species | Total \# of Individuals | Shock Time (sec) | CPUE | Richness $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{St} \\ \mathrm{Dev} \end{gathered}$ | Richness $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{S}_{25}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{St} \\ \mathrm{Dev} \end{gathered}$ | Simpson's D | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Reach 11 | 15 | 49 | 4797 | 36.8 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 10.90 | 1.34 | 0.12 | 0.88 | 8.52 |
| Study Reach 12 | 16 | 137 | 6220 | 79.3 | 10.83 | 1.44 | 8.03 | 1.42 | 0.21 | 0.79 | 4.73 |
| Study Reach 13 | 11 | 90 | 4731 | 68.5 | 9.84 | 0.89 | 7.85 | 1.18 | 0.23 | 0.77 | 4.35 |
| Study Reach 14 | 14 | 150 | 4834 | 111.7 | 10.25 | 1.28 | 7.95 | 1.32 | 0.18 | 0.82 | 5.47 |
| Study Reach 15 | 10 | 236 | 4936 | 172.1 | 6.97 | 1.04 | 5.70 | 1.05 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 4.03 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - number of individuals netted per electrofishing hour
Plot 3.6 - Sheyenne River Fish Abundance Plot


The total number of species captured at each of the five study reaches on the Sheyenne River ranged from 10 to 16 species, with a mean of 13.2 species. The total number of individuals
ranged from 49 to 236 , with a mean of 132 . Study Reach 11 had the lowest number of individuals and the lowest catch per unit effort (36.8), but also had the second highest number of species (15); whereas Study Reach 15 had the highest number of individuals and highest catch per unit effort (172.1), but the lowest number of species (10).

The sand shiner (Notropis stramineus) was the most abundant species at Study Reaches 12, 13 and 15, as well as being the second-most abundant species at Study Reaches 11 and 14. The spotfin shiner (Cyprinella spiloptera) was the most abundant species at Study Reach 14 and the second-most abundant at Study Reach 15, while the channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) was the most abundant at Study Reach 11 and the second-most abundant at Study Reach 13.

Only one anomaly was observed among all of the fish captured on the Sheyenne River. This anomaly was surface lesions on one individual observed at Study Reach 7.

### 3.4 MAPLE RIVER

The Maple River contained three study reaches for this sampling effort (see Figures 3.16 through 3.18). Reach 16 is a location upstream of potential hydraulic alterations, Reach 17 is at a footprint location, and Reach 18 is downstream of potential hydraulic alterations. All three study reaches were assessed in August and September 2012.

### 3.4.1 QHEI Assessment Findings

A summary of the QHEI assessment, which presents scores for the six principal metrics for each of the Maple River study reaches, is included in Table 3.13.

Table 3.13 - Maple River QHEI Habitat Assessment

| Study <br> Reach (Date Assessed) | Substrate $\text { Max }=20$ | Instream Cover Max $=20$ | Channel Morphology Max = 20 | Riparian <br> Zone and <br> Bank <br> Erosion <br> Max = 10 | Pool/Glide Quality Max = 12 | Riffle/ Run Quality Max $=8$ | Gradient and Drainage Area Max = 10 | Total QHEI Score Max $=100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Reach 16 (9/5/12) | 2.5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 17 (9/6/12) | 4.5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 18 (9/5/12) | 2.5 | 7 | 7 | 4.5 | 6 | 0 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ \text { poor } \end{gathered}$ |

Substrates observed at each of the three Maple River study reaches were dominated by a mixture of hardpan and heavy silt with extensive embeddedness. Instream cover was sparse and consisted of overhanging vegetation, logs and other woody debris and pools greater than 70 centimeters in
depth. The morphology of the Maple River within the study reaches exhibited low sinuosity, poor to moderate development of riffle/pool complexes, low to moderate channel stability and moderate to heavy affects due to anthropogenic channel modification. Bank erosion varied from very low amounts on the upper two reaches (16 and 17) to moderate/heavy amounts at the downstream reach (18). The riparian zone width was consistently narrow (5-10 meters) to moderate (10-50 meters). The quality of the floodplain at the three study reaches was poor and consisted primarily of open pasture and row crops. Study Reach 17 was the only reach that contained riffle/run complexes, but they were of low quality. The other two reaches had pool habitat. The gradients ranged from high at Reach 16, even though an impoundment on the Maple River appears to have a great influence, to low at Reaches 17 and 18. The Maple River has a large drainage area (defined as greater than 622.9 square miles in the QHEI).

### 3.4.2 Water Chemistry Assessment Findings

Water chemistry measurements taken immediately prior to sampling at each of the three study reaches on the Maple River are presented in Table 3.14.

Table 3.14 - Maple River Water Chemistry

| Reach | Station <br> Description | Sample <br> Date | Water <br> Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Specific <br> Conductivity <br> $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ | D.O. <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | Secchi <br> Depth <br> (inches) | Turbidity <br> (NTU) | pH <br> $($ (SU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Reach 16 | Upstream <br> Location | $9 / 5 / 12$ | 19.1 | 1.400 | 7.2 | 9.00 | 63.2 | 8.16 |
| Study Reach 17 | Footprint Site | $9 / 6 / 12$ | 18.8 | 1.460 | 9.7 | 9.25 | 49.5 | 8.58 |
| Study Reach 18 | Downstream <br> Location | $9 / 5 / 12$ | 20.6 | 1.500 | 8.8 | 7.25 | 62.4 | 8.65 |

Note - All water chemistry measurements were taken immediately prior to the fish sampling effort.
Measurements for pH across the three study reaches were within the standard range for surface water, and dissolved oxygen and temperature readings were typical for the time of year. Specific conductivities were consistent across all of the reaches on the Maple River and were similar to the other tributaries of the Red River of the North that were included in this study. Turbidity measurements were also relatively consistent across the three sample reaches.

### 3.4.3 Macroinvertebrate Abundance and Composition

Macroinvertebrates were collected within each of the three study reaches on the Maple River, using the methodologies outlined in Section 2.2.1.4. Samples were picked and species identified to the lowest level possible by Valley City State University. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.15. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for the three study reaches of the Maple River is included below.

Table 3.15 - Maple River Macroinvertebrate Data Analysis

| Reach | Total <br> \# of <br> Taxa | Total \# of <br> Individuals | CPUE | Richness <br> E( $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | St Dev | Richness <br> E( $\left.\mathbf{S}_{100}\right)$ | St Dev | Simpson's <br> D | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 16 | 34 | 506 | 62.1 | 25.924 | 1.927 | 20.634 | 2.048 | 0.105 | 0.895 | 9.536 |
| Study <br> Reach 17 | 33 | 500 | 45.5 | 25.239 | 1.929 | 19.824 | 2.068 | 0.144 | 0.856 | 6.937 |
| Study <br> Reach 18 | 35 | 513 | 46.6 | 24.383 | 2.170 | 18.544 | 2.152 | 0.146 | 0.854 | 6.831 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - average number of individuals per grid square picked
Plot 3.7 - Maple River Macroinvertebrate Abundance Plot


The total number of taxa identified at each of the study reaches on the Maple River was consistent (ranging from 33 to 35 , with a mean of 34 ). The catch per unit effort was consistent at Reaches 17 and 18 ( 45.5 and 46.6, respectively), but higher at Study Reach 16 (CPUE of 62.1). Unlike some of the other rivers assessed in the study, the relative abundance at the three study reaches did not show a large amount of variance between the dominant taxon and the second (and subsequent) taxon, especially at Study Reaches 16 and 17. The relative abundance of the dominant taxon at the reaches ranged from $20.8 \%$ to $31.0 \%$, while the abundance of the secondand third-most dominant taxon ranged from $13.5 \%$ to $18.0 \%$ and $11.4 \%$ to $12.3 \%$, respectively. Additionally, each of the three study reaches had a different taxon identified as the most common. The evenness in the distribution of individuals across taxa in the Maple River study reaches is a positive indicator of community health, implying that conditions are suitable for a variety of organisms to equally survive.

### 3.4.4 Fish Abundance and Composition

Fish were sampled at each of the three study reaches on the Maple River, using electrofishing techniques as discussed in Section 2.2.1.1. A summary of the species composition is presented in

Table 3.16. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for the three study reaches on the Maple River is included below.

Table 3.16 - Maple River Fish Data Analysis

| Reach | Total\# <br> of <br> Species | Total\# of <br> Individuals | Shock <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CPUE | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ | St <br> Dev | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E ( \mathbf { S } _ { 2 5 } )}$ | St <br> Dev | Simpson's D | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 16 | 13 | 81 | 3206 | 91.0 | 10.72 | 1.16 | 7.83 | 1.34 | 0.35 | 0.65 | 2.85 |
| Study <br> Reach 17 | 13 | 383 | 5650 | 244.0 | 9.68 | 1.06 | 8.10 | 1.14 | 0.19 | 0.81 | 5.27 |
| Study <br> Reach 18 | 15 | 250 | 2350 | 383.0 | 7.98 | 1.50 | 5.88 | 1.33 | 0.26 | 0.74 | 3.84 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - number of individuals netted per electrofishing hour
Plot 3.8 - Maple River Fish Abundance Plot


The total number of species captured at each of the three study reaches on the Maple River ranged from 13 to 15 species, with a mean of 14 species. The total number of individuals ranged from 81 to 383 , with a mean of 238 . The catch per unit effort at the Maple River study reaches ranged from 91 to 383 . Orangespotted sunfish (Lepomis humilis) was the most abundant fish species captured at Study Reaches 16 and 17 ( $58 \%$ and $37 \%$, respectively), and the second-most abundant species ( $32 \%$ of the population) captured at Reach 18. The fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) was the most dominant species captured at Study Reach 18, accounting for $34 \%$ of the population observed (see Appendix G).

A total of seven anomalies were observed on five individuals within the fish captured at the three study reaches on the Maple River. Four individuals had eroded fins, with two of these individuals also having an additional anomaly observed (one instance of parasites and one instance of swirled scales). Additionally, one individual was observed to have deformities. Each of the three study reaches had at least one anomaly noted.

### 3.5 LOWER RUSH RIVER

The Lower Rush River was one of three wadeable streams to be assessed in the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. The USACE designated two study reaches for the Lower Rush River (see Figures 3.19 and 3.20). Study Reach 19 was a location upstream of potential hydraulic alterations and Study Reach 20 was a footprint location. However, during the September 2011 site reconnaissance, the Lower Rush River was found not to meet the requirements of a sampleable stream. Less than $50 \%$ of the Lower Rush River streambed was wetted at the time of the site reconnaissance; therefore, this stream was removed from the sampling schedule.

### 3.6 RUSH RIVER

The Rush River contained two wadeable study reaches for this sampling effort (see Figures 3.21 and 3.22). Reach 21 is a location upstream of potential hydraulic alterations and Reach 22 is a footprint location. Both study reaches were assessed in September 2011.

### 3.6.1 QHEI and MPCA Habitat Assessment Findings

A summary of the QHEI assessment, which presents scores for the six principal metrics for each of the Rush River study reaches, is included in Table 3.17. A summary of the MPCA habitat assessment, which presents information from the three key components for each of the Rush River study reaches, is included in Table 3.18.

Table 3.17 - Rush River QHEI Habitat Assessment

| Study <br> Reach (Date Assessed) | Substrate $\operatorname{Max}=20$ | Instream <br> Cover <br> Max $=20$ | Channel Morphology Max = 20 | Riparian Zone and Bank Erosion Max = 10 | Pool/Glide Quality Max $=12$ | Riffle/ Run Quality Max = 8 | Gradient and Drainage Area $\text { Max }=10$ | Total QHEI <br> Score Max $=100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Reach 21 (9/13/11) | 5.5 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.5 \\ & \text { poor } \end{aligned}$ |
| Study Reach 22 (9/12/11) | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \text { very poor } \end{gathered}$ |

For the MPCA habitat assessment, percent substrate types were derived from presence/absence tabulations for the thirteen transects within each reach. Each transect was comprised of five equidistant quadrats. Each quadrat was assumed to account for $20 \%$ of the stream cross-sectional cover. The assumed percentages were averaged across the thirteen transects for the reach. Percent cover for fish values were collected for each of the thirteen transects. Field assigned percentages were averaged across the transect for each cover type present. Cover types not present were assigned a percentage of zero.

Table 3.18 - Rush River MPCA Habitat Assessment

| Study <br> Reach (Date Assessed) | Morphology |  |  | Substrate |  | Cover for Fish |  | Riparian Condition |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stream <br> Feature <br> Type <br> Present | Number of Stream Feature Types | Average <br> Length for Given Stream Feature Type (meters) | Type | Percent | Type | Percent | Dominant land use within 30 meter of stream edge | Dominant <br> land use from 30100 meter of stream edge |
| Study Reach 21 (9/13/11) | Run | 3 | 132 | Clay | 91 | Undercut Bank | <1 | Cropland | Cropland |
|  | Riffle | 2 | 5 | Silt | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Study Reach 22 (9/12/11) | Run | 1 | 449 | Silt | 75 | Undercut Bank | <1 | Cropland | Cropland |
|  |  |  |  | Clay | 25 |  |  |  |  |

Substrates observed at both of the Rush River study reaches were dominated by a mixture of hardpan and moderate to heavy silt with extensive embeddedness. Instream cover was nearly absent and was limited to very small amounts of undercut banks. The morphology of the Rush River in the area of the study reaches exhibited no sinuosity, poor development of riffle/run complexes, low channel stability and severe effects from channel modifications. Bank erosion was severe throughout both reaches. The riparian zone width ranged from none to narrow with the surrounding floodplain consisting of open pasture/row crop. The riffle/run quality was low with poor substrate and extensive embeddedness. The study reaches had low to moderate gradients and large drainage areas (QHEI defines a large drainage area as greater than 622.9 square miles).

### 3.6.2 Water Chemistry Assessment Findings

Water chemistry measurements taken immediately prior to sampling at both of the study reaches on the Maple River are presented in Table 3.19.

Table 3.19 - Rush River Water Chemistry

| Reach | Station <br> Description | Sample <br> Date | Water <br> Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Flow <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right)$ | Specific <br> Conductivity <br> $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ | Transpa <br> (ency <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | Tube <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Turbidity <br> $(\mathrm{NTU})$ | pH <br> $($ SU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 21 | Upstream <br> Location | $9 / 13 / 11$ | 16.0 | 0.07 | 1.29 | 4.7 | 12 | 93.7 | 7.50 |
| Study <br> Reach 22 | Footprint Site | $9 / 12 / 11$ | 20.7 | 0.06 | 1.35 | 5.5 | 21 | 155 | 7.67 |

Note - All water chemistry measurements were taken immediately prior to the fish sampling effort.
Water temperatures were within normal range for surface water during the time of year that the assessment was conducted and pH measurements were also within the standard range of surface water readings. Specific conductivity and turbidity readings were similar to other tributaries within the Red River Basin that were included in this study. Dissolved oxygen readings (4.7 and $5.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) were lower than dissolved oxygen readings on most of the other tributaries in the study.

### 3.6.3 Macroinvertebrate Abundance and Composition

Macroinvertebrates were collected in both of the study reaches on the Rush River using the methodologies outlined in Section 2.2.2.4. Samples were picked and species identified to the lowest level possible by Valley City State University. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.20. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for the two study reaches of the Rush River is included below.

Table 3.20 - Rush River Macroinvertebrate Data Analysis

| Reach | Total <br> \# of <br> Taxa | Total \# of <br> Individuals | CPUE | Richness <br> E( $\left.\mathbf{S}_{n}\right)$ | St Dev | Richness <br> E(S (So0) | St Dev | Simpson's <br> $\mathbf{D}$ | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 21 | 35 | 491 | 9.1 | 26.016 | 2.070 | 20.017 | 2.162 | 0.156 | 0.844 | 6.399 |
| Study <br> Reach 22 | 27 | 492 | 14.5 | 20.019 | 1.890 | 14.813 | 2.035 | 0.232 | 0.768 | 4.313 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - average number of individuals per grid square picked

Plot 3.9 - Rush River Macroinvertebrate Abundance Plot


The total number of taxa identified at each of the study reaches on the Rush River was similar (35 and 27). No obvious geographical differences were observed between the two locations. Similarly, the catch per unit efforts (9.1 and 14.5) and the relative abundances were consistent between the two locations. The most common taxon identified at Study Reach 21 was a beetle in the Elmidae family (Stenelmis) that was present at a relative abundance of $32.2 \%$, while a midge in the Chironomidae family (Procladius) was the most common taxon identified at Study Reach 22 , with a relative abundance of $37.2 \%$ (see Appendix D).

### 3.6.4 Fish Abundance and Composition

Fish were sampled at each of the study reaches on the Rush River, using wadeable electroshocking techniques as discussed in Section 2.2.2.1. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.21. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for both of the study reaches on the Rush River is included below.

Table 3.21 - Rush River Fish Data Analysis

| Reach | Total \# <br> of <br> Species | Total \# of <br> Individuals | Shock <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CPUE | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ | St <br> $\mathbf{D e v}$ | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E (}\left(\mathbf{S}_{25}\right)$ | St <br> Dev | Simpson's D | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 21 | 15 | 511 | 3411 | 539.3 | 10.52 | 1.08 | 8.88 | 1.17 | 0.12 | 0.88 | 8.10 |
| Study <br> Reach 22 | 18 | 272 | 2897 | 338.0 | 13.10 | 1.37 | 10.01 | 1.48 | 0.14 | 0.86 | 6.94 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - number of individuals netted per electrofishing hour

Plot 3.10 - Rush River Fish Abundance Plot


The total number of species captured at Study Reaches 21 and 22 on the Rush River were 15 and 18, respectively. The total number of individuals showed more variation between the two reaches, with 511 individuals being captured (CPUE of 539.3) at Reach 21 and 272 individuals being captured (CPUE of 338.0) at Reach 22. Coincidentally, the reach that had the higher number of individuals captured, also had the lower dissolved oxygen reading, indicating that dissolved oxygen is not a limiting factor in this water body.

A total of six individuals with anomalies were observed at Study Reach 22 on the Rush River. Specific anomalies were not documented, but typically include deteriorated or eroded fins, lesions or tumors. No anomalies were noted at Study Reach 21.

### 3.7 WOLVERTON CREEK

Wolverton Creek was the only waterbody assessed in this study that was wholly within Minnesota. It was a wadeable stream that contained one study reach (see Figure 3.23). Reach 23 is a footprint location that was assessed in September 2011.

### 3.7.1 QHEI and MPCA Habitat Assessment Findings

A summary of the QHEI assessment, which presents scores for the six principal metrics for Study Reach 23, is included in Table 3.22. A summary of the MPCA habitat assessment, which presents information from the three key components for Study Reach 23, is included in Table 3.23.

Table 3.22 - Wolverton Creek QHEI Habitat Assessment

| Study <br> Reach <br> (Date | Substrate | Instream <br> Cover <br> Massessed) | Riparian <br> Channel <br> Morphology <br> Max $=20$ | Zone and <br> Bank <br> Erosion <br> Max $=10$ | Pool/Glide <br> Quality <br> Max $=\mathbf{1 2}$ | Riffle/ <br> Run <br> Quality <br> Max $=8$ | Gradient <br> and <br> Drainage <br> Area <br> Max $=10$ | Total <br> QHEI <br> Score <br> Max $=100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 23 <br> $(9 / 14 / 11)$ | 3.5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 41.5 <br> poor |

For the MPCA habitat assessment, percent substrate types were derived from presence/absence tabulations for the thirteen transects. Each transect was comprised of five equidistant quadrats. Each quadrat was assumed to account for $20 \%$ of the stream cross-sectional cover. The assumed percentages were averaged across the thirteen transects for the reach. Percent cover for fish values were collected for each of the thirteen transects. Field assigned percentages were averaged across the transect for each cover type present. Cover types not present were assigned a percentage of zero.

Table 3.23 - Wolverton Creek MPCA Habitat Assessment

|  | Morphology |  |  | Substrate |  | Cover for Fish |  | Riparian Condition |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach (Date Assessed) | Stream <br> Feature <br> Type <br> Present | Number of Stream Feature Types | Average <br> Length <br> for Given <br> Stream <br> Feature <br> Type <br> (meters) | Type | Percent | Type | Percent | Dominant land use within 30 meter of stream edge | Dominant land use from 30100 meter of stream edge |
| Study <br> Reach 23 <br> (9/14/11) | Run | 3 | 99 | Clay | 68 | Overhanging Vegetation | 11 | Meadow | Cropland |
|  | Bend | 2 | 3 | Silt | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Boulder | 8 |  |  |  |  |

The substrate observed within Study Reach 23 was a mixture of hardpan and moderate silt with extensive embeddedness. Instream cover was sparse and was limited to overhanging vegetation, some undercut banks and a few boulders. The few boulders present did not serve as functional cover for fish. Wolverton Creek consisted of a series of runs divided by bends. A small amount of functional overhanging vegetation was the only type of cover for fish that was present within the study reach. Stream morphology exhibited low sinuosity, poor development of riffle/pool complexes, high channel stability and little affects from historic channel modifications. Very little bank erosion was observed within Reach 23. The riparian zone width was moderate (10-50 meters) and the floodplain outside of the riparian zone was generally poor, consisting of row crops and open pasture. The study reach on Wolverton Creek had some riffle area, but it was less
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than 5 centimeters in depth. The reach had a very high gradient and a large drainage area (defined as greater than 622.9 square miles in the QHEI).

### 3.7.2 Water Chemistry Assessment Findings

Water chemistry measurements taken immediately prior to sampling at the study reach on Wolverton Creek are presented in Table 3.24.

Table 3.24 - Wolverton Creek Water Chemistry

| Reach | Station <br> Description | Sample <br> Date | Water <br> Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Flow <br> $(\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{sec})$ | Specific <br> Conductivity <br> $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ | D.O. <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | Transparency <br> Tube $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Turbidity <br> $(\mathrm{NTU})$ | pH <br> $(\mathbf{S U})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 23 | Footprint <br> Location | $9 / 14 / 11$ | 12.8 | 0.01 | 1.06 | 6.3 | 9 | 74.8 | 7.86 |

Note - All water chemistry measurements were taken immediately prior to the fish sampling effort.
Dissolved oxygen, water temperature and pH measurements were within the standard range of surface water readings for the time of year when the assessment was performed. Specific conductivity and turbidity readings were consistent with readings from other water bodies within the Red River Valley.

### 3.7.3 Macroinvertebrate Abundance and Composition

Macroinvertebrates were collected at Study Reach 23 on Wolverton Creek, using the methodologies outlined in Section 2.2.2.4. The sample was picked and species identified to the lowest level possible by Valley City State University. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.25. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for Study Reach 23 is included below.

Table 3.25 - Wolverton Creek Macroinvertebrate Data Analysis

| Reach | Total <br> \# of <br> Taxa | Total \# of <br> Individuals | CPUE | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ | St Dev | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{S}_{100}\right)$ | St Dev | Simpson's <br> $\mathbf{D}$ | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 23 | 26 | 514 | 39.5 | 18.890 | 1.848 | 14.677 | 1.849 | 0.413 | 0.587 | 2.423 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - average number of individuals per grid square picked

Plot 3.11 - Wolverton Creek Macroinvertebrate Abundance Plot


A total of 26 taxa were identified at Study Reach 23. The relative abundance of the most common taxon (Caenis, within the Order Ephemeroptera) was $63.2 \%$ (see Appendix D). The second-most common taxon (Procladius, within the Family Chironomidae) was $7.2 \%$. The high relative abundance of one individual taxon is typically indicative of a stressed macroinvertebrate community.

### 3.7.4 Fish Abundance and Composition

Fish were sampled at Study Reach 23 on Wolverton Creek, using wadeable electroshocking techniques as discussed in Section 2.2.2.1. A summary of the species composition is presented in Table 3.26. Additionally, a rank abundance plot for Study Reach 23 is included below.

Table 3.26 - Wolverton Creek Fish Data Analysis

| Reach | Total \# <br> of <br> Species | Total \# of <br> Individuals | Shock <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CPUE | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ | St <br> Dev | Richness <br> $\mathbf{E ( S}\left(\mathbf{S}_{25}\right)$ | St <br> Dev | Simpson's D | 1-D | 1/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study <br> Reach 23 | 12 | 120 | 3238 | 133.4 | 10.14 | 1.04 | 7.96 | 1.26 | 0.24 | 0.76 | 4.18 |

Note: CPUE (catch per unit effort) - number of individuals netted per electrofishing hour

Plot 3.12 - Wolverton Creek Fish Abundance Plot


A total of 120 individuals representing 12 species were captured at Study Reach 23 on Wolverton Creek. The most common species was the black bullhead (Ameiurus melas), of which 53 individuals were captured, representing $44.2 \%$ of the individuals observed within the reach (see Appendix G). The second-most common species captured was the orangespotted sunfish (Lepomis humilis), which had 21 individuals. This represents $17.5 \%$ of the individuals observed within the reach.

A total of two individuals with anomalies were observed at Study Reach 23 on Wolverton Creek. Specific anomalies were not documented, but typically include deteriorated or eroded fins, lesions or tumors.

### 4.0 DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study is to identify and characterize fish and invertebrate communities and biotic integrity within the Red River of the North and six tributaries. These waterbodies were assessed because they could be affected by a potential flood damage reduction project at Fargo, North Dakota and Moorhead, Minnesota. The sampling activities documented in this report represent the first in a series of investigations that include fisheries and macroinvertebrate sampling, as well as an assessment of physical aquatic habitat, which will allow Federal and State agencies to better understand the existing aquatic community within rivers potentially affected by a North Dakota diversion alignment. As part of an adaptive approach, pre- and postproject monitoring is being performed to evaluate the impacts resulting from the project. Sampling outlined in this document is the first of at least two pre-project sampling events that will serve for future comparison. A discussion of findings is presented in the following sections.

Various metrics ultimately will be used for data comparison pre- and post-project, to include calculations of IBI scores. Revised IBI scoring systems are currently being developed for the Red River Basin by both NDDoH and MPCA. The sampling methodologies used for these scoring systems were followed for this effort.

### 4.1 FISHERY EVALUATION

Fish serve as good indicators of water quality conditions because changes in fish relative abundance (numbers and weight), species richness, composition and other attributes are directly influenced by the presence of water quality disturbances and/or habitat alterations. The presence of permanent, large populations of different fish species is generally considered to be the result of a combination of many favorable factors (Trautman 1942). Factors which account for variations in the distribution and abundance of fishes in streams and rivers include, but are not limited to, stream size, instream cover, stream morphology, depth, flow, substrate, gradient and water quality. The decreased diversity and abundance to the fish community from perturbations to the physical and/or chemical quality of a stream is reflected by an association predominated by stress tolerant species (Goldstein et al. 1994; OEPA 1988b). Tolerant species in the Red River Valley include black bullhead (Ameiurus melas), fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas), carp (Cyprinus carpio), creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus), white sucker (Catostomus commersonii), central mudminnow (Umbra limi), blacknose dace (Rhinichthys obtusus), golden shiner (Notemigonus crysoleucas) and bluntnose minnow (Pimephales notatus) (Goldstein et al. 1994). Also, as large river habitat is encountered, additional species include quillback (Carpoides cyprinus), bigmouth buffalo (Ictiobus cyprinellus), channel catfish (Ictalurus
punctatus), green sunfish (Lepomis cyanellus) and freshwater drum (Aplodinotus grunniens). Increases in tolerant species indicate a loss of biotic integrity (Goldstein et al. 1994).

Fish communities can become degraded without undergoing large declines in species richness, relative numbers or biomass. In fact, some forms of perturbation (e.g., habitat modification, nutrient enrichment) can cause fish numbers and biomass to increase with only slight reductions in species richness. In these instances, the degradation to the community is more often reflected by significant changes in trophic composition and predominant feeding guilds (OEPA 1988b).

Fish metrics generally fall into three main categories, including (1) species richness and composition, (2) trophic composition and (3) fish abundance and condition (Karr 1981; Rankin 1989). Fish species richness and abundance metrics were calculated for each study reach sampled. Each is discussed below.

### 4.1.1 Species Abundance

The greater the number of individuals within each species in a stream system, the greater the resiliency and the biotic integrity of the system. Total number of individuals in a sample is standardized by CPUE that accounts for both time and distance sampled. Relative abundance of all species present is comparable to the overall ability of the stream to support an aquatic community. Reductions in relative abundances from expected values would indicate some form of stress affecting some survival requirement of the fish community. The Rush River and two of the non-wadeable tributaries (Wild Rice and Maple Rivers) had the highest number of fish captured, as well as the highest CPUE. The abundance numbers in the Maple and Wild Rice Rivers were driven by the large catches of orangespotted sunfish (Lepomis humilis) and shiner species, whereas the high CPUE on the Rush River was more evenly distributed among large catches of carp (Cyprinus carpio), creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus), fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas), freshwater drum (Aplodinotus grunniens), sand shiners (Notropis stramineus) and spotfin shiners (Cyprinella spiloptera). The CPUE was lower on the larger river systems, and a few sites had extremely low CPUE values. These low values may be attributable to high flow conditions on the Sheyenne River and on the Red River of the North downstream of the confluence with the Sheyenne River. These high flows during an extreme low-flow period were a result of excess water being diverted from Devil's Lake into the Sheyenne River.

### 4.1.2 Species Composition

Richness is the total number of species, and it is a component of the diversity metric (Pielou 1975). Species richness is a function of the natural and anthropogenic changes occurring within an ecosystem. Generally, higher species richness is indicative of higher biotic integrity. In 1987, the Elm, Rush, Maple, Sheyenne and Wild Rice Rivers in North Dakota were sampled for fishes by the North Dakota Game and Fish Department, NDGF (Duerre 1988). Species richness of these tributaries ranged from a low of ten species for the Rush River to a high of 43 species for the Sheyenne River. Species richness observed during this Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project sampling event ranged from a low of six species at Study Reach 9 on the Wild Rice River to a high of fifteen species on the Sheyenne River at Study Reach 11. Values were variable among study reaches but the general tendency was for the larger river systems to exhibit a higher richness value. The Rush River was an exception to this trend, although the higher flow conditions during the summer of 2011 (as compared to the summer of 2012) adds an additional variable to this trend. The higher flows could have drawn fish further up the tributaries from the larger streams such as the Red River.

Goldstein et al. (1995) noted that the majority of the rivers that drain the North Dakota side of the Red River flow through both the Red River Valley and Northern Glaciated Plains ecoregions. In comparison to the rivers on the Minnesota side, rivers flowing through these ecoregions contain fewer aquatic macrophytes, lower stream gradients, finer substrates and reduced diversity of geomorphological units. Water quality typically is characterized by higher nutrient concentrations, specific conductance and pH . These factors contribute to explaining the differences in species richness among such rivers as the Wild Rice, Sheyenne and Maple Rivers and the measured deviations in the species richness-watershed area relation in the Red River Basin, where species richness is lower in North Dakota rivers than in similar-sized Minnesota rivers (Goldstein et al. 1995).

Evenness describes the distribution of abundance of individuals among species (Pielou 1975). If all species have equal abundance, the distribution of abundances has maximum evenness. In many cases where environmental degradation has occurred, one species in the community has been able to increase its numbers while other species have declined. Those species with the capacity to capitalize on a change in physical or chemical environments are usually tolerant species. Plafkin et al. (1989) listed twelve tolerant Midwestern species, of which eleven are recorded from the Red River Valley. Reduced evenness indicates a loss of biotic integrity. Increases in tolerant species also indicate a loss of biotic integrity. Evenness trends for this sampling event were similar to species richness trends. The Maple and the Wild Rice Rivers displayed the lowest evenness, indicating that the biotic integrity in these systems was lower than
in the larger river systems such as the Sheyenne and Red Rivers (see fish abundance plots in Section 3.0 Results). The abundance plot indicated that for each of the four reaches on the Wild Rice River, the dominant fish species accounted for $37 \%$ to $74 \%$ of the sample population. There were intra-stream spatial differences in evenness between upstream and downstream sites in this system and could be indicative of better biotic integrity in the upper reaches of the Wild Rice River. The Rush River was the anomaly again, and this small system exhibited the greatest evenness across species, possibly indicating a biotic integrity higher than all the other streams sampled in 2011 and 2012. However, and as previously noted, this could be a reflection of the difference in the hydrologic conditions between the two years.

Species diversity is the total number of individuals among different species present in the stream system. Species diversity accounts for both species richness and species evenness. As species diversity (the number and kinds of fish) increases, biotic integrity improves. Simpson Diversity Index values calculated for this Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project predictably follow the trends observed for species richness and evenness. Values were variable among study reaches within a stream, but in a comparison of all study reaches, the Sheyenne and Red Rivers had the greatest fish species diversity of the non-wadeable streams. Species diversity within the wadeable Rush River rivaled that of the Sheyenne River, and appeared more diverse than the Red River; however, the Rush River diversity could also have been influenced by the higher flow conditions observed during 2011. Goldstein et al. (1995) observed that the number of species found in Red River Basin stream systems is related to stream size as measured by watershed area, but they noted that there were certain streams that were outliers. This Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project study concurred with observations noted by the above authors. The highest species richness was found in the Rush and Red Rivers, with 25 and 24 fish species respectively. Wolverton Creek had the lowest with 12 fish species, while other systems ranged from 16 to 19 different fish species. Different fish species assemblages tended to be dominant in the larger river systems such as the Red and Sheyenne Rivers, compared to the smaller wadeable and non-wadeable tributaries sampled in 2011 and 2012. Sand shiners (Notropis stramineus) and spotfin shiners (Cyprinella spiloptera) dominated the catch in all stream systems. Carp (Cyprinus carpio) were present in good numbers in all systems but were only present in very low numbers in the Sheyenne River. Channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) were found in every river sampled, while goldeye (Hiodon alosoides) were abundant and were only found in the large flowing rivers including the Red, Sheyenne and Wild Rice Rivers. Orangespotted sunfish (Lepomis humilis) were only present in the Maple, Wild Rice and Rush Rivers, while fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas) were found in these same rivers as well as the Red and Sheyenne Rivers. These species compositions were similar to other fish studies conducted on the Red River of the North (Niemela et al. 1998; Yoder et al. 2011).

The fish communities in various parts of the Red River Valley have both similarities and differences. Most species assemblages contain a core of common species found throughout the Red River Valley. Channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus), carp (Cyprinus carpio), white suckers (Catostomus commersonii), walleye (Stizostedion vitreum), sand shiners (Notropis stramineus) and spotfin shiners (Cyprinella spiloptera) were present in all stream systems, with the exception of Wolverton Creek where channel catfish and sand shiners were not observed. Differences in species assemblages likely are associated with numerous factors which include:
(1) the types and amounts of various habitats differ,
(2) the number of ecoregions the rivers flow through,
(3) the amount of anthropogenic disturbance, and
(4) the ability of each species to expand its range by colonization of new areas when environmental conditions are favorable.

These species assemblages are not constant as they appear to change through time, as noted by differing temporal fish compositions identified in different studies on these same streams (Goldstein et al. 1995; Niemela et al. 1998; Yoder et al. 2011). Hydrologic and climatic variability may also be important factors in contributing to changing fish distributions as noted in the localized effect of high flows in the Rush River during the 2011 sampling period and the Sheyenne River during the 2012 sampling period for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project.

There was intra-stream variability present among reaches within each river system sampled in 2011 and 2012, but a general trend was evident when all the above fish metrics were compared. These fish metrics collectively indicate that the species abundance and composition of the large river systems such as the Red and Sheyenne Rivers is more vigorous than the species abundance and composition of other non-wadeable systems such as the Maple and Wild Rice Rivers. The Rush River was the outlier to this trend since it is not a large system but it had notable relative abundance and species diversity as compared to all the streams sampled. However, this may well have been influenced by higher flows during sampling of the Rush River in 2011.

### 4.2 AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATE EVALUATION

Advantages to using macroinvertebrates as sensors of water quality include their high diversity, rapid colonization and variability in tolerance to perturbation (Rosenberg and Resh 1993). Benthic macroinvertebrate metrics generally fall into five distinct categories, including (1) richness metrics, (2) composition metrics, (3) tolerance/intolerance metrics, (4) feeding measure metrics and (5) habit metrics. Macroinvertebrate richness and composition metrics were calculated in this investigation for each study reach sampled.

### 4.2.1 Species Abundance

The total number of collected macroinvertebrate individuals was consistent among study reaches within a stream and among the six streams assessed in the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. The Sheyenne River was the outlier to this trend. Within the Red, Wild Rice, Maple and Rush Rivers and Wolverton Creek, the number of macroinvertebrate organisms collected ranged from 473 to 530 . The number of organisms collected at the Sheyenne River ranged from 195 to 501, with the downstream reaches on the Sheyenne (Reaches 14 and 15) yielding noticeably fewer individuals than the upstream reaches (195 and 257 individuals collected on downstream reaches, as opposed to 494 to 501 individuals collected on upstream reaches). The collection of fewer macroinvertebrates on the downstream reaches of the Sheyenne River may be the result of poorer habitat conditions. When comparing the CPUE (average number of individuals per grid square picked) and the number of individuals collected across the assessed streams, the number of individuals collected generally reflected the CPUE. The CPUE for Wolverton Creek, relative to that of the other streams, indicated a lesser level of effort to yield a commensurate number of organisms.

The macroinvertebrate abundance numbers were dominated by one taxon, the water boatman (Order Hemiptera, Family Corixidae). This taxon was the most abundant organism in fifteen of the 21 study reaches and it was the second most abundant organism in two reaches. The water boatman accounted for more than $45 \%$ of the macroinvertebrate collection across all 21 study reaches. Ostracods (Order Ostracoda) were the next most abundant macroinvertebrate organism, accounting for more than $10 \%$ of all individuals collected.

### 4.2.2 Species Composition

Total macroinvertebrate taxa present within a waterbody can serve as an indicator of the integrity of that waterbody. Total taxa is a metric commonly used in IBI scoring systems. The number of taxa present within an area is expected to decrease in response to perturbation. The data collected across the 21 study reaches assessed for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project do not display clear trends in number of macroinvertebrate taxa present with change in stream size. Within the larger rivers (Red River, Wild Rice River and Sheyenne River), total taxa collected within a given study reach ranged from 17 to 43 (average number of taxa $=27$ ). Total macroinvertebrate taxa collected across the three study reaches of the Maple River (moderatesized river) ranged from 33 to 35 . Within the small rivers (Rush River and Wolverton Creek), total taxa collected within a given study reach ranged between 26 and 35, with an average of 29 taxa collected in a reach. There were no clear trends within a given waterbody between number of macroinvertebrate taxa present and progression upstream or downstream.

A general assessment of the number of dominant taxa within individual study reaches and across all 21 study reaches for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project indicates a high relative abundance for a handful of taxa, indicating low evenness. A large percentage of a single dominant taxon can be equated with the dominance of a pollution tolerant organism and lowered diversity (Barbour et al. 1999). Community domination by a few species is typically an indicator of a stressed environment. The macroinvertebrate relative abundance plots presented in Section 3.0 for each of the six sampled waterbodies show a skew in abundance toward one to two taxa for all study reaches sampled on the Red River of the North, the Wild Rice River, the Sheyenne River and Wolverton Creek. A more even abundance across macroinvertebrate taxa was observed for all study reaches sampled on the Maple and Rush Rivers, indicating that these two rivers may have a more stable macroinvertebrate assemblage than other rivers sampled.

The skewed abundance toward a handful of macroinvertebrate taxa is evident when evaluating data collected across all 21 study reaches for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. One macroinvertebrate taxon (water boatman) accounted for $45.7 \%$ of the relative abundance of taxa sampled across all 21 study reaches. The water boatman is a predatory organism within the Order Hemiptera and Family Corixidae. The Digital Key to Aquatic Insects of North Dakota (Valley City State University [VCSU] 2012a) includes a 0 to 10 scale for rating an organism's tolerance to poor water quality, with 0 representing non-tolerant taxa and 10 representing the most tolerant taxa. Per this rating system, the water boatman has an assigned tolerance value of 5 , indicating that it is moderately tolerant to poor water quality conditions. Other taxa that accounted for a disproportionate amount of the individuals sampled across the 21 study reaches included Ostracoda ( $10.6 \%$ relative abundance), Caenis ( $4.7 \%$ relative abundance), Palmacorixa gillettei (4.2\% relative abundance) and Procladius (4.2\% relative abundance). Organisms within the Order Ostracoda are collectors, and inhabit that trophic guild. Ostracoda are considered to be organisms tolerant of poor water quality (tolerance value 8; VCSU 2012b). Organisms of the genus Caenis belong to Order Ephemeroptera, Family Caenidae. These organisms are omnivores, inhabiting the collector, gatherer and scraper trophic guilds. They have a tolerance value of 7. Palmacorixa gillettei is a predatory organism in Order Hemiptera and Family Corixidae, and has an assigned tolerance value of 5. Organisms of the genus Procladius are predatory and belong to Order Diptera, Family Chironomidae and Subfamily Tanypodinae. They have a tolerance value of 7 (VCSU 2012a). These data indicate that the taxa which account for approximately $70 \%$ of macroinvertebrate taxa sampled across all 21 study reaches are moderately to highly tolerant of poor water quality conditions.

Organisms of the Order Diptera ('true' fly larvae) are predominantly known to be tolerant of environmental stressors. When assessing macroinvertebrate communities, the percent Diptera is
used as a common metric. For macroinvertebrates collected across all 21 study reaches of the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project, there were 41 taxa within the Order Diptera, accounting for 1,468 individuals. This represents $32 \%$ of the total macroinvertebrate taxa and $14.8 \%$ of the total number of individuals collected.

High levels of diversity (species richness, together with an even relative abundance) suggest that niche space, habitat and food sources are adequate to support a diverse community of macroinvertebrates (Barbour et al. 1999). Simpson Diversity Index values calculated for this Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project indicate that the Sheyenne and Maple Rivers displayed more variation in macroinvertebrate diversity across sampled reaches, whereas species diversity was somewhat consistent across study reaches within the other streams. The Maple and Sheyenne Rivers had the greatest macroinvertebrate diversity. The Red River of the North and Wild Rice Rivers and Wolverton Creek had the lowest macroinvertebrate diversity. The macroinvertebrate diversity data do not appear to be correlated to fish diversity data within a given reach or waterbody. There are also no evident trends between the habitat scores and macroinvertebrate diversity across the 21 study reaches. For instance, the Red River of the North and the Sheyenne River received the highest QHEI overall habitat scores; however, the Red River of the North had the lowest macroinvertebrate diversity. The Sheyenne River had some of the highest macroinvertebrate diversity, despite the fact that it was one of the worst-scoring streams on the substrate habitat component in particular.

### 4.3 HABITAT EVALUATION

The QHEI gives scientists a measure of physical habitat characteristics of a sampled stream, similar to IBI measures of the vertebrate (fish) and macroinvertebrate communities. By combining evaluations of QHEI with measures of the fish and aquatic macroinvertebrate communities, the USACE is gaining a well-rounded perspective of both the physical and biological conditions of streams potentially affected by the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. This type of comprehensive assessment facilitates an evaluation of humaninduced disturbance, by calibrating the biological integrity results for examined fish and macroinvertebrate communities against habitat data.

Terrestrial habitat is linked to aquatic habitat quality because it exerts control over the quantity and quality of surface water runoff. Land use alterations of runoff impact stream invertebrates and fish through a variety of mechanisms, including changes in water chemistry, quality and direct habitat loss from sedimentation and erosion. Even in areas where stream habitat varies widely over several key drivers, land use is often the strongest and most significant parameter (Allan et al. 1997). Riparian vegetation not only provides habitat, but also stabilizes stream
banks. The historic riparian vegetation of the Red River Valley consisted of prairie vegetation, with the exception of forests adjacent to the larger rivers.

In the Red River Valley, agricultural land use is directly associated with high nutrients, suspended solids and pesticides, while streams with undisturbed watersheds have the highest biotic integrity (Stoner et al. 1998). The agricultural shift in land cover leads to increased water temperature, higher flow rates directly into streams and loading of silt, organic material and other suspended solids into streams, which can impact respiration, inhibit visual predation and cover riffle habitats (EOR 2009).

Information collected in the QHEI assessments for this first baseline sampling event for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project endorse the documented conditions of waterbodies in the Lake Agassiz Basin, with QHEI scores for each of the six principal QHEI metrics representative of lotic macrohabitats compromised in their ability to support fish and macroinvertebrate communities. In a comparison of overall study reach habitat scores to the QHEI narrative categories, two (10\%) of the examined study reaches are categorized as having fair habitat (Red River Reach 4 and Sheyenne River Reach 11). Eighteen (85\%) of the examined study reaches are categorized as having poor habitat. One (5\%) of the examined study reaches is categorized as having very poor habitat (Rush River Reach 22).

Habitat conditions across all 21 study reaches assessed were generally consistent. The waterbodies are characteristic low gradient streams with clay/silt substrate, moderate to heavy silt load, high turbidity and a predominance of glide/pool microhabitats. Instream cover was limited (typically sparse at $5-25 \%$, but occasionally moderate at $25-75 \%$ ) within all waterbodies assessed, and was limited to pools greater than 70 centimeters deep, backwater areas and $\operatorname{logs} /$ woody debris. Study reaches on the Sheyenne and Maple Rivers contained some overhanging vegetation.

Run/riffle/pool complexes were absent in the six assessed waterbodies, with the exception of Reach 4 on the Red River of the North. This observed absence of run/riffle/pool complexes is characteristic of most waterbodies in the Lake Agassiz Basin ecoregion, with its low gradient and silt laden waters. A sizeable riffle area spans most of the Red River at the downstream extent of Study Reach 4. This riffle may be related to the on-site wastewater treatment plant which discharges to the Red River immediately upstream of the riffle area. The water current was swift in this location, the substrate was dominated by rocky substrates favored by fish and macroinvertebrates and the moving water likely stimulates the maintenance of high dissolved oxygen levels and lower water temperatures. A significant amount of partially submerged woody debris exists in this area, providing structure for fish and macroinvertebrates.

The assessed waterbodies reflected the character of the surrounding agricultural setting. All study reaches displayed low to moderate sinuosity and low channel stability (high bed load and unstable banks), with exception of Sheyenne River Reach 15 and Maple River Reach 16 which displayed moderate channel stability. Riparian zone widths among the 21 study reaches ranged from narrow (5-10 meters) to wide ( $>50$ meters), with zones most often being moderate in width (10-50 meters). With the exception of the Maple River, Rush River and Wolverton Creek, riparian zones were forested; although, they could be quite narrow in some instances. Riparian zones along the Maple River, Rush River and Wolverton Creek consisted of old field vegetation. The floodplain quality of the assessed waterbodies was generally low, consisting primarily of row crop. Bank erosion was moderate to heavy at all assessed study reaches, with the exception of Maple River Reaches 16 and 17 where there was little to no bank erosion.

### 4.4 CHALLENGES TO SAMPLING AND DATA INTEGRITY

The effectiveness of electrofishing is influenced by a variety of environmental, technical and logistical factors. It was necessary for the electrofishing crew to remain diligent in overcoming sampling challenges, so as to minimize biasing the catch in terms of fish size and species composition. The pulse rate and the intensity of the electric field strongly influence the size and nature of the catch. The conductivity of the water influences the shape and extent of the electric field, and, thus, affects the field's ability to induce capture in the fish. With the exception of Reaches 1, 2, 3 and 4 on the Red River of the North, high water conductivities were of particular concern in all study reaches sampled in the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. Conductivities in Wolverton Creek, Rush River, Maple River, Sheyenne River, Wild Rice River and the downstream portions of the Red River of the North (Reaches 5 and 6) ranged between 1,060 microSiemens/centimeter ( $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) and $2,110 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ (as compared to conductivity ranges of $495 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ to $601 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ in the upstream portions of the Red River of the North). Effective stunning of fish occurs when an electrified zone of sufficient amplitude is introduced to the water. The conductivity of the water and that of the fish's flesh (which varies across species) are the main factors affecting electrofishing. Because the electric current follows the path of least resistance, if a high voltage is applied in high conductivity waters, the current will bypass the fish completely (i.e., shocking effectiveness is minimal). To combat this challenge, a customdesigned Smith-Root® 5.0 GPP electroshocking system was adopted, which enables the use of low voltages and high currents, and is rated effective in waters with conductivities between 10 $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ and 5,500 $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$.

All waterbodies sampled for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project, with exception of the Red River of the North, had limited accessibility. The five most-downstream locations on the Red River of the North (Study Reaches 2 through 6) were the only reaches
accessible via boat ramp, and, thereby accommodating use of the boom shocker. The remaining thirteen non-wadeable study reaches did not have boat ramp accessibility, and required the use of the mini-boom shocker. Use of the mini-boom shocker reduced netting efficiency in that this system could accommodate only one netter (as opposed to two netters on the boom shocker). In addition, the configuration of the mini-boom boat did not permit the netter to station themselves on the bow of the boat, which would otherwise allow them to exert more leverage when netting stunned and immobilized fish.

Habitat structure for fish was limited throughout the waterbodies sampled for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. The streams are low gradient and lack run/riffle/pool complexes. Stream banks were dominated by fine-grained substrate (silt and sand). Rocks and root mats were very limited along the shoreline. A limited amount of partially submerged and emergent debris existed along the edges of the streams and in the shallow water areas. Submerged debris was scattered within the flowing portion of the streams; however, much of this debris occurred at depths of 5 feet or greater (below the effective shocking depth).

Swift water current represented another challenge to electroshocking, particularly on the downstream study reaches ( 4,5 and 6 ) of the Red River of the North and all reaches of the Sheyenne River. The swift currents required frequent turning, backing, shifting and changes in speed as the driver maneuvered the electrofishing boat in a manner that advantageously positioned the netters to pick up stunned and immobilized fish. Communication, awareness of the environment and deliberate and controlled movements were key practices that enabled maneuverability of the boat in as efficient and safe a manner as possible.

In addition to the swift water currents experienced on the Sheyenne River and portions of the Red River of the North downstream of the confluence with the Sheyenne River, the presence of submerged debris and variability in its distribution required increased maneuvering of the boat. Windy conditions also proved challenging to the boat driver's ability to maneuver the boat and the netters' ability to maintain footing and combat resistance, particularly on the following study reaches: Red River of the North Reaches 1, 2, 5 and 6; Wild Rice River Reach 8 and Sheyenne River Reaches 12, 14 and 15.

Netters were challenged in their ability to see stunned and immobilized fish, due to the highly turbid water within all waterbodies sampled for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. Visibility (Secchi depth) ranged from 12 centimeters (cm) to 200 cm , with an average of 30 cm , throughout the waterbodies sampled. As is advisable, sampling was conducted at periods of water clarity and flow typical for the given waterbodies.

As sampling progressed through the month of September, an increased volume of leaves were falling onto the water from the surrounding wooded riparian zones. The falling leaves proved distracting to netters while they maintained a close watch for fish at, or just below, the water's surface. Small leaf litter on the water was sometimes mistaken for small fish while larger leaf litter mats may have concealed stunned fish below the water's surface.

Although no hybrid fish species were observed in this sampling effort for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project, field assessors were cognizant of the potential for presence of hybrid species. Hybrid fish species can be very difficult to identify. URS personnel trained in fish taxonomy performed the field identifications, and referenced regional ichthyological texts as appropriate. Some established IBI scoring systems include a metric for the proportion of individuals as hybrids; therefore, when such a metric is incorporated into the scoring, it is especially important that hybrids, when present, are accurately identified.

Within Study Reaches 16 and 18 of the Maple River, fish capture tallies include the black redhorse sucker (Moxostoma duquesnei, one adult individual on each of the two reaches). Within Study Reaches 17 and 18 of the Maple River, fish capture tallies include the river carpsucker (Carpiodes carpio, 31 juvenile individuals on Reach 17 and 3 juvenile individuals on Reach 18). Current documentation of fish distribution in the Red River Valley does not account for these two species (Peterka and Koel 1996). Field identifications were based on the morphometric and meristic characteristics of the individual specimens on the Maple River sites. Live individuals were verified against ichthyological field keys (Pfiegler 1997). Morphological features of the black redhorse sucker (Moxostoma duquesnei) are similar to those of the golden redhorse sucker (Moxostoma etythrurum); however, the black redhorse sucker has a longer, more slender caudal peduncle, usually 44-47 lateral scales and 10 pelvic rays. Whereas, the more common golden redhorse sucker (Moxostoma etythrurum) usually has 40-42 lateral scales, 9 pelvic rays and a shorter, deeper caudle peduncle. The meristic identification of these specimens in the field identified a higher lateral scale and pelvic ray count, which keyed them as black redhorse suckers (Moxostoma duquesnei). Morphological features of the river carpsucker (Carpiodes carpio) are similar to those of the quillback carpsucker (Carpiodes cyprinus). Quillback carpsuckers have a very high, pointed dorsal fin, with the first ray at least 4-6 times as long as the shortest dorsal ray. The juvenile specimens identified in the field had dorsal fin rays that were very short and did not reach beyond the middle of the dorsal fin. This distinction keyed them out as river carpsuckers (Carpiodes carpio). The presence of a nipple on the lower middle lip on the river carpsucker (Carpiodes carpio) is another differentiating characteristic between it and the quillback carpsucker (Carpiodes cyprinus), but the identification of this trait is virtually indistinguishable in juvenile specimens. Juvenile river carpsucker (Carpiodes carpio) can also be
mistaken as common carp (Cyprinus carpio). The spines of the dorsal and anal fins are serrated on the common carp, whereas, the spines of the river carpsucker (Carpiodes carpio) are not.

Other golden redhorse sucker (Moxostoma etythrurum), quillback carpsucker (Carpiodes cyprinus) and common carp (Cyprinus carpio) specimens were captured during surveys in the Red River of the North and other assessed tributaries (including the Maple River), but the Maple River was the only place where the black redhorse sucker (Moxostoma duquesnei) and the river carpsucker (Carpiodes carpio) were identified. This could be a result of species introduction or the presence of different morphs of these species that have adapted to the Maple River drainage. The latter could result in a misidentification of these species in the field. The specimens in question were not archived for follow-up laboratory identification.

Samples with extremely low numbers in the catch can present a scoring problem in some of the proportional metrics unless certain adjustments are made. At low population sizes resultant of severe impact, the normal structure of the community is unpredictably altered, and the proportion of omnivores, insectivorous fishes and the percent affected by anomalies do not always match expected trends. Scoring very degraded sites without modifying scoring criteria for the proportional metrics can overrate the total IBI score for these sites. For instance, OEPA has found that when relative numbers are fewer than 200 individuals per 0.3 kilometer sampled via wading methods or 1.0 kilometer sampled via boat methods, total IBI scores can be overrated (OEPA 1988b). With exception of Red River Reach 4, Maple River Reaches 17 and 18, Sheyenne River Reach 15 and all reaches on the Wild Rice River, fish capture rates achieved on the remaining non-wadeable study reaches for this initial baseline effort for the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project were less than 200 fish per kilometer. With exception of Rush River Reach 21, fish capture rates achieved on the remaining wadeable study reaches were less than 200 fish per 0.3 kilometer. For this reason, NDDoH and MPCA's scoring system for fish community integrity should include modifications to account for low catch numbers.

### 4.5 PATH FORWARD - FARGO/MOORHEAD FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROJECT

The multi-metric data collected for this first baseline sampling effort on the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project will provide input to the IBI scoring systems currently being developed by NDDoH and MPCA. The IBI scoring systems will enable quantitative comparison of the biotic communities within the study reaches to those representative of reference conditions as well as pre- and post-alignment conditions.

This first pre-project baseline sampling event was a biological assessment to identify and characterize fish and invertebrate communities and biotic integrity within the Red River of the North and other tributaries potentially affected by the project. Collected data were used to
quantify habitat conditions and to calculate common metrics of species abundance and community composition. Collected habitat data correspond with documented conditions of the low gradient, predominantly agricultural Lake Agassiz Basin. Only two of 21 study reaches examined had fair habitat (Red and Sheyenne Rivers), with the remainder having poor or very poor (Rush River) habitat. A handful of taxa moderately to highly tolerant of poor water quality conditions dominated the macroinvertebrate collections. The Maple and Rush Rivers displayed the greatest evenness across macroinvertebrate taxa. Fish species composition among the sampled rivers was similar to other fish studies conducted on the Red River of the North. The large river systems, Red River of the North and Sheyenne River, contained more robust fish populations than smaller, non-wadeable systems; although the Rush River, a non-wadeable stream and one of the smallest sampled, had the greatest fish diversity of all six rivers examined.

Fisheries and macroinvertebrate sampling, as well as evaluation of physical aquatic habitat, will allow Federal and State agencies to better understand the aquatic community within rivers potentially affected by a North Dakota diversion alignment. Data in this report represent the first in a series of pre- and post-project monitoring activities that will be performed to evaluate the impacts resulting from the Fargo/Moorhead Flood Risk Management Project. These data ultimately will be used in revised IBI scoring systems currently being developed for the Red River Basin by both NDDoH and MPCA.
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## PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENT FOR

## EVALUATION OF FISH, BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES AND PHYSICAL HABITAT OF RIVERS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED BY THE FARGO/MOORHEAD FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROJECT

1. DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES. The Contractor shall provide all management, equipment, fuel and labor necessary to complete this contract. All work performed by the contractor shall be performed in accordance with all applicable laws, regulations, instructions, and commercial practices. Because of the unfavorable weather conditions during the summer of 2011, the majority of the field work was not able to be started and will need to be completed during the 2012 summer season. The scope of work remains the same as proposed last season with altered timeframe to accommodate the data collect to the summer of 2012.
1.1 Purpose: The purpose of this study is to identify and characterize fish and invertebrate communities and biotic integrity within the Red River and six tributaries that could be affected by a potential flood damage reduction project at Fargo, ND and Moorhead, MN. These include the Red, Wild Rice, Sheyenne, Maple, Rush and Lower Rush rivers; and Wolverton Creek (Figure 1).

### 1.2 Background:

The St. Paul District, Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), and the sponsor cities of Fargo, North Dakota and Moorhead, Minnesota began the Fargo-Moorhead Metro Feasibility Study in September 2008. Purpose of the study was to identify alternatives for long-term flood risk management for the Fargo/Moorhead area.

The scope of the feasibility study was to better understand flood issues, establish flood risk management measures that could be implemented, document findings and, if appropriate, recommend implementation of a Federal project. The analyses performed to date have resulted in a conceptual plan for a flood diversion channel around Fargo and Moorhead. This has included two potential diversion concepts being carried forward: a diversion in Minnesota, or a diversion in North Dakota. A North Dakota diversion would directly affect the Red River and six tributaries. USACE released a draft EIS in May, 2010. A Supplemental Draft EIS was released in May, 2011.

Under this SOW the Contractor shall perform fisheries and macroinvertebrate sampling, as well as assess physical aquatic habitat, that will allow federal and State agencies to better understand the existing aquatic community within rivers potentially affected by a North Dakota diversion alignment. As a part of an adaptive approach, pre- and post-project monitoring will be performed to evaluate the impacts resulting from the project. This will include multiple sampling events prior to and following construction. It also will include sampling within direct impact areas, as well as adjacent control sites. Sampling outlined
here will provide the first of at least two pre-project sampling events that will serve for future comparison. Post-project monitoring also will be performed in these same areas.

Sampling sites for this effort will be located on the Red, Wild Rice, Sheyenne, Maple, Rush and Lower Rush rivers, as well as Wolverton Creek (Figure 1). Work efforts will include field surveys, data entry and brief report summary.

Various metrics will be used for data comparison pre and post-project, to include calculations of IBI scores. Revised IBI scoring systems are currently being developed for the Red River Basin by both North Dakota (ND Dept. of Health); and Minnesota (MN Pollution Control Agency). These IBIs are both still in development, and will be based on prescribed sampling methodologies. These sampling methodologies will be followed for this effort. Since the majority of study reaches are in North Dakota, the methods will be primarily based from those provided from North Dakota. Methodologies used to guide sampling are be identified within this Scope of Work.
2. SERVICE SUMMARY (SS): The contract will perform field work to complete reach sampling for fish, macroinvertebrates and physical habitat. Data analysis and report preparation also shall be performed. Quality Control and Quality Assurance measures will be utilized during execution of the contract. The government shall inspect and evaluate the contractor's performance to ensure services are received in accordance with this contract. A written Quality Control Plan shall be submitted to the contract POC for review, feedback, and approvial.
2.1 Study Reaches: A total of 23 study reaches will be surveyed (Figure 1; Table 1). Study reaches include the likely footprint locations for concrete structures or channel diversions. They also include areas above and below structures where altered hydraulics could influence habitat and biota. Lastly, most rivers shall include one adjacent study reach to serve as a control site. USACE shall provide a GIS Shape file for the study reaches which shall serve to further verify reach location.

Table 1. The contractor shall perform surveys for fish, macroinvetebrates and physical habitat at each of the study reaches listed here and shown in Figure 1.

| Study <br> Reach No. | Tributary | Descriptor | Type | Length <br> (feet) | Method | Fisheries <br> Gear Type |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Red River | Upstream (Hydraulic) | Test | 4,000 | Non-Wade | Boomshocker* |
| 2 | Red River | Footprint | Test | 4,500 | Non-Wade | Boomshocker* $^{*}$ |
| 3 | Red River | Protected Area (Hyd) | Test | 4,000 | Non-Wade | Boomshocker* $^{*}$ |
| 4 | Red River | Protected Area (Hyd) | Test | 4,000 | Non-Wade | Boomshocker* $^{*}$ |
| 5 | Red River | Footprint | Test | 2,500 | Non-Wade | Boomshocker* $^{*}$ |
| 6 | Red River | Downstream | Control | 4,000 | Non-Wade | Boomshocker* |
| 7 | Wild Rice River | Upstream | Control | 3,000 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 8 | Wild Rice River | Upstream (Hyd) | Test | 3,000 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 9 | Wild Rice River | Footprint Location | Test | 4,500 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 10 | Wild Rice River | Protected Area (Hyd) | Test | 3,000 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 11 | Sheyenne River | Upstream | Control | 3,200 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 12 | Sheyenne River | Footprint | Test | 4,300 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 13 | Sheyenne River | Protected Area (Hyd) | Test | 3,200 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 14 | Sheyenne River | Protected Area (Hyd) | Test | 3,200 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 15 | Sheyenne River | Protected Area (Hyd) | Test | 3,700 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 16 | Maple River | Upstream | Control | 2,500 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 17 | Maple River | Footprint | Test | 5,600 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 18 | Maple River | Protected Area (Hyd) | Test | 2,500 | Non-Wade | Mini-boom |
| 19 | Lower Rush River | Upstream | Control | 1,300 | Wadeable | Stream shocker |
| 20 | Lower Rush River | Footprint | Test | 1,300 | Wadeable | Stream shocker |
| 21 | Rush River | Upstream | Control | 2,000 | Wadeable | Stream shocker |
| 22 | Rush River | Footprint | 2,000 | Wadeable | Stream shocker |  |
| 23 | Wolverton Creek | Footprint | Test | 1,000 | Wadeable | Stream shocker |

*These study reaches will be sampled by boomshocker, provided they require less than 60 minutes to reach, one way, by boat. If they require longer than 60 minutes to reach by boat, then these reaches will be sampled via mini-boom.
2.2 Study Reach Length: The distance of stream or river that should be sampled to adequately characterize diversity or biotic integrity varies. Lyons (1992) recommend sampling a stream segment at least 35 times the mean stream width for estimating species richness in midwestern U.S. streams with a DC stream shocker. EPA's Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program, using a "proportional-distance designation," recommends sampling a
stream segment at least 40 times the mean stream width. Others such as Ohio EPA (after Yoder and Smith 1999) recommend a distance from 0.5 to 1.0 km for surveying rivers that require a boat for electroshocking.

The distance of each survey reach is identified in Table 1. These are based on several factors. Footprint areas will have the entire footprint surveyed. All other survey reaches will sample an area at least 35 times the stream width. Contractor must ensure that reach sample lengths are at least 35 times stream width, based on field conditions.
2.3 Field Tasks: The contractor shall perform the following field tasks:

1) Site Reconnaissance Investigation
2) Fisheries Assessment
3) Physical Habitat Assessment
4) Macroinvertebrate Assessment

Reach Reconnaissance: First, the contractor shall perform Reconnaissance of each study reach prior to sampling for fish, macroinvertebrates and physical habitat. This Reconaissance shall include becoming familiar with each survey reach to the extent that will allow efficient sampling. This Reconaissance shall include a cursory view of survey sites, confirming the appropriate gear for sampling fish and macroinvertebrates based on sample reach characteristics; confirming reach access and any other logistical issues for sampling. A Reach Reconaissance will be performed by the biologist and one technician that will participate in sampling for fish and macroinvertebrates. Reach Reconnaissance shall be performed during June or July and will be coordinated with Corps Project Biologist. Whenever practical, the Corps and agency members will participate in the Reach Reconaissance to observe and discuss conditions.

The contractor can select how they wish to access survey sites whether from public access (e.g., boat landings), public road crossings or private property. USACE will provide rights-of-entry allowing direct access from adjacent property for all survey reaches. Site access on most tributary sites may be limited to portable equipment on private property. Contractor must plan appropriately for sampling in such conditions.

For fisheries sampling, gear types include the following (gear types further discussed in attachments):

Stream-shocker: Used in larger, wadeable streams and rivers. The stream-shocker is a towable unit that can effectively sample larger streams because it has additional power capabilities and employs two anodes, thus increasing the electrified zone. Three personnel are required for operation, one to control the electrofisher, one to control the anode, and one to transfer fish. A single electrofishing run is conducted in an upstream direction weaving between habitat types.

Mini-boom: Used in non-wadeable streams and rivers that are either too small or that do not afford the access necessary to utilize a boom-shocker. The mini-boom electrofisher is a jon-boat that is light enough to be portaged, yet provides a stable work platform. Personnel consist of one person to operate the boat, monitor the control box, and ensure the safety of a single fish
collector on the bow. A single electrofishing run is conducted in a downstream direction weaving between habitat types.

Boom-shocker: Used in large, accessible rivers. The accepted sampling procedure is to slowly and methodically maneuver the electrofishing boat in a downstream direction maneuvering in and around submerged cover to advantageously position the netter(s) to pick up stunned and immobilized fish. Personnel consist of one person to operate the boat, monitor the control box, and ensure the safety of two fish collectors on the bow.

The anticipated gear types for each reach are outlined in Table 1. This includes stipulations for sampling on the Red River with a boomshocker versus use of a mini-boom for sampling. The above shall be considered when preparing the cost estimate. Any deviation in gear type, based on field conditions observed during reconnaissance, must be coordinated with the Project Biologist and Contract Point of Contact (POC). A contract modification shall be considered at that point, as appropriate.

Additional consideration shall be give to the Lower Rush River during Reach Reconaissance. This tributary may be intermittent, and may or may not be sampleable. A site is considered sampleable if it has a defined stream channel and at least $50 \%$ of the sampling reach contains water. The site on the Lower Rush will be qualitatively (visually) assessed for whether it meets this criteria. If the Lower Rush appears to not be sampleable, the contractor shall coordinate with the project biologist and determine whether this tributary should be included in the proposal for sampling of fish, macroinvertebrates and physical habitat (Task 2).
2.3.1 Pre-Project Teleconference: The contractor shall hold a teleconference with USACE, as well as federal and state natural resource agencies, at least two weeks prior to the initiation of field surveys. Purpose is to review the SOW, sampling approach, field schedule, survey sites, gear-type to be used at each survey site, contractor field personnel, and agency participation. Contractor will contact USACE for a list of agency personal that shall be invited to attend the telecom.
2.3.2 Fisheries Assessment: The contractor shall complete fisheries sampling according to the appended sampling protocol for wadeable (Appendix A) and non-wadeable streams (Appendix B). For this contract the Rush, Lower Rush and Wolverton Creek would be considered wadable streams; and the Red, Wild Rice, Sheyenne and Maple rivers would be considered non-wadeable streams. This shall be verified during site reconnaissance, with final sampling methodology discussed during the agency phone conference.

Deviation from the identified fisheries protocol will be made to include the following stipulations. Any additional deviations planned prior to sampling must be coordinated with the Project Biologist. Deviations from the protocol that must be made in the field during sampling to account for field conditions, or other circumstances, must be fully identified and documented within field notes.
2.3.2.1 The contractor shall complete all fisheries surveys during daylight hours between 1 July and 30 September, 2012. Daylight hours are defined as starting sampling no earlier than 60 minutes after sunrise, and finishing no later than 60 minutes before sunset. Sampling shall occur
when streams are at or near base flow conditions. The contractor shall contact the Project Biologist when sampling is planned to commence and agree with the Project Biologist that flow conditions are appropriate.
2.3.2.2 Electrical settings for electrofishing are described for boomshocking in Appendix B. To the extent practicable these settings will be followed for boomshocking, mini-boomshocking and stream shocking. Power settings shall ultimately be selected on those needed for the optimum combination of voltage and amperage output to most effectively stun fish. This shall be determined on a trial and error basis at the beginning of each survey. Contractor shall try to avoid power settings so extreme that fish mortality becomes excessive. Because power output affects catch rates of fishes differently, it is critical that power settings and output from all electrofishing samples is recorded on field data sheets. Water quality observations (including temperature and conductivity) shall also be collected (outlined below).
2.3.2.3 Field collection of fish must be conducted by qualified/trained technicians that are efficient with this type of sampling. During sampling an effort shall be made to collect all fish observed. Fish $<20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in total length are not counted as part of the catch.
2.3.2.4 Field identifications of fish must be conducted by qualified/trained fish taxonomists or fisheries biologist, familiar with local and regional ichthyofauna. Fish collected shall be identified in the field down to species using scientifically accepted taxonomic keys (e.g., Becker 2001, Pflieger 1997, Trautman 1981). Fish that cannot be identified will have a voucher specimen collected, preserved using accepted methods, and identified later in the lab.
2.3.2.5 All fish will be measured to the nearest 10 mm and recorded.
2.3.2.6 All fish that are alive after processing should be immediately returned to the stream, unless they are needed as voucher specimens. Effort shall be made to minimize handling mortality, such as using a live well, quickly sorting fish into numerous wet containers, and replacing their water supply.
2.3.2.7 Should individuals of any federally threatened or endangered species be captured at any time during fieldwork, the contractor shall, as soon as it is convenient, but not to exceed the following work day, notify the Corps’ Project Biologist and the Agency Points of Contact. Specimens also should be photographed for documentation.
2.3.2.8 At a minimum, the contractor shall record the following information for each survey:
2.3.2.8.1 County
2.3.2.8.2 Stream name, location description and reach number,
2.3.2.8.3 GPS coordinates for beginning and end of reach sampled
2.3.2.8.4 Date
2.3.2.8.5 Photograph of beginning and ending of each reach, looking upstream or downstream towards the area sampled
2.3.2.8.6 beginning and ending time of sample collection,
2.3.2.8.7 names of all sampling crew members
2.3.2.8.8 full description of gear type, basic unit design, number of anodes, power settings, etc.
2.3.2.8.9 All fish collected down to species, including length,
2.3.2.8.10 conditions at the beginning of sampling, to include:

- water temperature
- conductivity
- dissolved oxygen
- Secchi disk depth
- total suspended solids (as measured in Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTUs)
- Basic description of weather
2.3.2.8.11
2.3.2.8.12
2.3.2.8.13
2.3.2.8.14

Note any issues that may have influenced sampling effectiveness or efficiency depth range during sampling (minimum and maximum), approximate average depth, general substrate types encountered, and qualitative abundance of each
2.3.3 Physical Habitat Assessment: Following completion of the fisheries survey, the contractor also shall perform an assessment of physical habitat and water chemistry. The contractor shall follow the protocol from Appendix D for non-wadeable streams; and Appendix D and E for wadeable streams. This will include two assessments for wadeable streams. Lab water quality analyses shall not be performed as a part of this effort (Appendix D, E. 3 Lab Water Chemistry will not be performed).
2.3.4 Macroinvertebrate Assessment: Macroinvertebrate Assessments shall be completed after assessments for fisheries. Macroinvertebrate surveys will follow the methodology outlined at Appendix E for wadeable streams; and Appendix F for non-wadeable. Macroinvertebrate samples will be processed according to the methodology at Appendix G. Several acceptable laboratories are available for analysis. Before a laboratory is used, the Corps Project Biologist must approve of the desired laboratory. State agency partners have used similar protocol and achieved satisfactory results through contracting with the following laboratories for macroinvertebrate analysis: Rithron Inc, (Missoula, MT); and Dr. Andre Delorme with Valley City State University.
2.4 Data Entry: All data collected for fisheries surveys, macroinvertebrate surveys and physical habitat shall be entered into Microsoft Excel 2007. All data sheets shall be scanned and saved as a PDF file. The Contractor will be responsible to provide study data, both electronic and hard copies, to USACE at study completion.
2.5 Data analysis shall include measures of species abundance and composition at each study reach using the following format or methodologies. These will be computed for both fish and macroinvertebrates.

### 2.5.1 Abundance

2.5.1.1 Total number of each species collected for each reach sampled.
2.5.1.2 Relative species abundance - total number of individuals of a species expressed as a percentage of the total number of individuals of all species.
2.5.1.3 Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) - expressed as the number of each species collected per hour of electrofishing time.

### 2.5.2 Composition

2.5.2.1 Richness - Rarefaction method $\left[E\left(S_{n}\right)\right]$.
2.5.2.2 Evenness -Abundance plots [species rank (X) -vs- relative abundance (Y)].
2.5.2.3 Diversity Indices - Simpson's $\left(D_{s}\right)$
2.5.3 Index of Biotic Integrity: IBI scores will be computed by the government from data collected during this effort. Contractor shall not be reimbursed for calculating IBI scores from project data.
2.6 Reporting Requirements: The Contractor shall prepare, in draft and final forms, a technical report for this effort. The report shall:
2.6.1 consist of the following sections:

- Introduction
- Methods
- Results
- Discussion
2.6.2 include the following:
- The map from this SOW showing location of all reaches sampled.
- General characterization of fish and invertebrate communities within each study reach, including discussion of species abundance and diversity.
- Discussion of presence and abundance of rare species (e.g., federally Threatened or Endangered species; as well as similar species with such designations by the State of North Dakota).
- Discussion of field conditions during sampling, including any field conditions that may have influenced sampling efficiency or the results observed.
2.6.3 Five (5) copies of the draft report shall be provided to the Contract POC. The Contractor shall be responsible for any revisions to the draft report required by the Contract POC.
2.6.4 Fifteen (15) copies of the final report shall be furnished to the Contract POC. One copy of original field collection data/notes (hard copy and electronic), photo logs, photographs, and negatives shall be provided along with the final report.
2.6.5 This scope of work, minus the appendices shall be included as an appendix of the final report. The appendices of this Performance Work Statement shall be referenced.
2.6.6 Original field data sheets, as well as CD with scanned electronic copies of all data sheets, shall be provided to USACE at the time the final report is submitted.


### 3.0 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY AND SERVICES

3.1 Government Furnished Facilities. None
3.2 Government Furnished Supplies and Equipment. None
3.3 Government Furnished Utilities. None.
3.4 Telephone Service. None.
3.5 Security and Fire. None.
3.6 Refuse Collection and Disposal. N/A.
3.7 Mail Service. N/A.

## 4. GENERAL INFORMATION

4.1 Safety. All work shall adhere to pertinent provisions of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Safety and Health Requirements Manual, EM 385-1-1, dated 3 September 1996 (and all subsequent revisions).
4.2 Survey work shall be done in rivers with moving water and variable clarity, obstructions and bottom conditions. Adequate safety precautions should be taken to minimize the risk of bodily injury or damage to equipment.
4.3 USACE shall provide rights-of-entry allowing direct access from adjacent property at all sampling sites
4.4 Permits. The Contractor shall be responsible for securing all applicable sampling permits from both State and Federal Governments.
4.5 Agency Participation. The contractor shall allow at least one agency representative (e.g., USACE, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, North Dakota Game and Fish, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources) to observe all aspects of field work. Additional agency representatives may observe all aspects of field work from the river bank. This shall occur for reconnaissance site visits, fisheries sampling, macroinvertebrate sampling and physical habitat assessment. The contractor shall contact the Project Biologist at least one week in advance of any field work to identify dates of work and determine logistics of agency participation with the consultant. For sampling with a mini-boom shocker, it's recognized the boat may not be able to accommodate agency biologists. In this case, agency biologists may observe from the bank. Agency participation is critical for transparency, developing confidence in study results, and providing oversight that sampling is done in a reasonable and reliable manor.
4.6 Training. The contractor must ensure that sample collection, identification, analysis and report preparation are performed by fully qualified individuals. This contract does not include training to complete the requirements outlined.

### 4.7 Contract Coordination.

4.7.1 Elliott Stefanik is the Project Biologist for this work. He may be reached by phone: 651-290-5260, or E-mail: Elliott.L.Stefanik@usace.army.mil. It is the Contractor's responsibility to contact the Project Biologist to if field conditions, or any other conditions, will affect completion of surveys pursuant to the SOW.
4.7.2 Kevin Bluhm is the contractPOC for this work. He may be reached by phone: 651-2905247, E-mail: Kevin.W.Bluhm@usace.army.mil, and by mail at: Attn: Kevin Bluhm, PDE; Corps of Engineers; St. Paul District; $1805^{\text {th }}$ Street East, St. Paul, MN 55101.
4.7.3 Agency Points of Contact are for MNDNR is Nathan Kestner: Nathan.Kestner@state.mn.us; North Dakota Game and Fish is Bruce Kreft: bkreft@ nd.gov; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is Rich Davis: Richard.Davis@fws.gov.
4.8 Project Schedule. The following Project Schedule shall apply:

| Tasks/Milestone | Date/Calendar Day |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date of Award* | $* 0$ |
| Field Work Completed | 30 September, 2012 |
| Draft Report Submittal | 15 November, 2012 |
| Date of Letter with Corps Project Review <br> Comments <br> on Draft Report Submitted to Contractor | 31 December 2012 |
| Final Report Submittal | 15 days following date of Corps letter with <br> Project Review Comments. |

*:Calendar Day 0 is the Date in Block 3 of DD Form 1155.
4.9 Payment Schedule. The Payment Schedule shall be as follows:

| Tasks/Milestone | Percent of Contract Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| 100 Percent Field Work Completion** | 60 |
| Submittal of Draft Report | 15 |
| Corps Acceptance of Final Report | 25 |

**:Completion of field work shall be documented by letter submitted by the contractor to the Corps Contracting Point of Contact (POC).

### 4.11 References.
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EPA. 1998. Development of Index of Biotic Integrity Expectations for the Lake Agassiz Plain Ecoregion. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Chicago, IL. EPA 905-R-96-005. NTS. September 1998.
Located at: http://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/biomonitoring/bio-streams-fish.html
Lyons, J. 1992. The Length of Stream to Sample with a Towed Electrofishing Unit When Fish Species Richness Is Estimated. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 12:198-203. 1992.

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, Biological Monitoring Program. Fish Community Sampling Protocol for Stream Monitoring Sites. No date listed. Located at: http://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/biomonitoring/bio-streams-fish.html

Pflieger, W.L. 1997. The Fishes of Missouri. Missouri Department of Conservation. Jefferson City, Missouri.

Trautman, M.B. 1981. The Fishes of Ohio. Ohio State University Press.
5.0 APPENDICES. Following are the appendices that provide more specific guidance on methodology for sample collection.

APPENDIX A - Methodology for fisheries sampling for wadeable streams.
APPENDIX B - Methodology for fisheries sampling for non-wadeable streams.
APPENDIX C - Methodology for sampling physical habitat on non-wadeable streams.
APPENDIX D - Methodology for sampling physical habitat on wadeable streams.
APPENDIX E - Methodology for macroinvertebrate surveys on wadeable streams.

APPENDIX F - Methodology for macroinvertebrate surveys on non-wadeable streams.
APPENDIX G - Laboratory procedures for processing macroinvertebrate samples.


Study Reach locations for pre-project fish, macroinvertebrate and physical habitat surveys to verify project impacts.



Client Name:
USACE - St Paul District

| Photo No. | Date: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $8 / 31 / 12$ |
| Description: |  |

Photo taken from upstream end of Study Reach 2, facing upstream.


Site Location:
Red River of the North
Project No.
25008875

|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Photo No. | Date: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $8 / 31 / 12$ |
| Description: |  |

Photo taken from upstream end of Study Reach 2, facing downstream.









| Photo No. 18 | Date: 9/1/12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Descriptio <br> Photo tak upstream Study Re facing do | from <br> d of 5, stream. |  |


| Client Name: <br> USACE - St. Paul District | Site Location: <br> Red River of the North | Project No. <br> 25008875 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Photo No. <br> 19Date: <br> $9 / 1 / 12$ |  |  |  |
| Description: <br> Photo taken from <br> downstream end of <br> Study Reach 5, <br> facing upstream. |  |  |  |


| Photo No. Date: <br> $\mathbf{2 0}$ $9 / 1 / 12$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Description: <br> Photo taken from downstream end of Study Reach 5, facing downstream. |  |



| Client Name: <br> USACE - St. Paul District |  | Site Location: <br> Red River of the North | Project No. <br> 25008875 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Photo No. <br> 23Date: <br> $9 / 2 / 12$ |  |  |  |
| Description: <br> Photo taken from <br> downstream end of <br> Study Reach 6, <br> facing upstream. |  |  |  |







Site Location:
Wild Rice River

Project No.
25008875

| Photo No. <br> 33 | Date: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $9 / 14 / 12$ |  |
| Description: |  |

Photo taken from upstream end of Study Reach 9, facing upstream.


| Photo No. | Date: |
| :---: | :---: |
| 34 | $9 / 14 / 12$ |
| Description: |  |

Photo taken from upstream end of Study Reach 9, facing downstream.





Client Name:
USACE - St. Paul District

Site Location:
Sheyenne River
Project No.
25008875

| Photo No. | Date: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $9 / 17 / 12$ |

Description:
Photo taken from downstream end of Study Reach 11, facing upstream.



| Client Name: USACE - St. Paul District |  | Site Location: <br> Sheyenne River | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Project No. } \\ & 25008875 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Photo No. } \\ 47 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date: } \\ 9 / 18 / 12 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Description: <br> Photo taken from downstream end of Study Reach 12, facing upstream. |  |  |  |







Client Name:
USACE - St Paul District

Site Location:
Sheyenne River
Project No.
25008875

| Photo No. | Date: |
| :---: | :---: |
| 57 | $9 / 20 / 12$ |

Description:
Photo taken from upstream end of Study Reach 15, facing upstream.


| Photo No. <br> 58 | Date: |
| :--- | :---: |
| $9 / 20 / 12$ |  |
| Description: |  |

Photo taken from upstream end of Study Reach 15, facing downstream.


| Client Name: USACE - St. Paul District |  |  | Site Location: <br> Sheyenne River | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Project No. } \\ & 25008875 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Photo No. } \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Date: } \\ 9 / 20 / 12 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Description: <br> Photo taken from downstream end of Study Reach 15, facing upstream. |  | \% |  |  |




| Photo No. <br> 62 | Date: <br> $8 / 13 / 12$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Client Name: <br> USACE - St. Paul District | Site Location: <br> Maple River | Project No. <br> 25008875 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Photo No. <br> 63Date: <br> $8 / 13 / 12$ |  |  |
| Description: <br> Photo taken from <br> downstream end of <br> Study Reach 16, <br> facing upstream. |  |  |



| Client Name: USACE - St Paul District |  |  | Site Location Maple River | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project No. } \\ & 25008875 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Photo No. } \\ 65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Date: } \\ 8 / 22 / 12 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Description: <br> Photo taken from upstream end of Study Reach 17, facing upstream. |  |  |  |  |




Client Name:
USACE - St Paul District

Site Location:
Maple River

Project No.
25008875

| Photo No. | Date: |
| :---: | :---: |
| 69 | $8 / 14 / 12$ |

Description:
Photo taken from upstream end of Study Reach 18, facing upstream.


| Photo No. | Date: |
| :---: | :---: |
| 70 | $8 / 14 / 12$ |
| Description: |  |

Photo taken from upstream end of Study Reach 18, facing downstream.














| Client Name: <br> USACE - St. Paul District | Site Location: <br> Rush River | Project No. <br> 25008875 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Photo No. <br> 91 | Date: <br> $9 / 12 / 11$ |  |
| Description: <br> Photo taken from <br> downstream end of <br> Study Reach 22, <br> facing upstream. |  |  |
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| River Code： | STORET \＃： |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1］SUBSTRATE Check onivTwo substate TYPE BOXES： |  |

ull Name \＆Affiliation：


Lat／Long：：

1］SUBSTRATE Check ONLYTWO substrate TYPE BOXES：

## BEST TYPES POOL RIFFLE OTHER TYPES POOL RIFFLE

GRAVEL［7］
SAND［6］
EDROCK［ظ］
Comments


4］BANK EROSION AND RIPARIAN ZONE Check ONE in each calegory for EACH BANK（Or 2 per bank \＆average）

| go | RIPARIAN WIDTH | FLOOD PLAIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EROSION | WIDE $>50 \mathrm{~m}$［4］ | －Forest，SWAMP［3］ |
| ONE ILITLE［3］ | －MODERATE $10-50 \mathrm{~m}$［3］ | －Shrub or old FieLd［2］ |
| 口［ MODERATE［2］ | 四区NARROW $5-10 \mathrm{~m}$［2］ | －RESIDENTIAL，PARK，NEW |
| （ heaw／SEVERE［1］ | $\square \mathrm{VERY}$ NARROW＜ 5 m | Ofenced pasture［1］ |
|  | $\square \square$ NONE［0］ | 因 OPEN PASTURE，ROWCROP |

## Comments

##  <br>  URBAN OR INDUSTRIAL［0］

 Indicate predominant land use（s） past 100 m riparian．

RUN DEPTH

XMAXIMUM $>50 \mathrm{~cm}[2]$
－MAXIMUM＜50cm［1］
 Check ONE（Or $2 \&$ average）．
RIFFLE／RUN SUBSTRATE RIFFLE／RUN EMBEDDEDNESS $\square$ STABLE（e．g．，Cobble，Boulder）［2］ पMOD．STABLE（e．g．，Large Gravel）［1］臬UNSTABLE（ $0 . \mathrm{g}$ ．，Fine Gravel，Sand）［0］ －NONE［2］




Field Recording Form for Biological Monitoring
North Dakota Department of Health
Division of Water Quality-SWQMP
Telephone: 701.328.5210
Fax: 701.328.5200

SITE ID: Site 21 $\qquad$
FIELD NUMBER: $\qquad$ SAMPLERS: $K P G P+N B$
station description: Rush River, upper end



OTHER $\qquad$

see MPCA Habitat in fo


| FIELD WATER CHEMISTRY | SITE PHOTOS |
| :--- | :--- |
| TEMP: $16.0 \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | UPSTREAM: See Photo logs |
| DO: $4.67 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | DOWNSTREAM: $\quad 11$ |
| $\mathrm{pH}: \quad 7.50$ |  |
| CORD: $1.29 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ |  |


| WEATHER CONDITIONS (Temp., Wind, etc.): SunnY Clear, Tamp 50\% Wind $15-20 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| COMMENTS: |

Figure 7.17.3. Macroinvertebrate Field Collection Data Recording Form

## SITE DRAWING (Show direction of water flow and north)

## COMMENTS:

Figure 7.17.3 ctd. Macroinvertebrate Field Collection Data Recording Form (reverse).

Field Number: Site 21 Date(mm/dd/yy): $09 / 13 / 11$ Crew: KP, GP, NB

$\qquad$

3rd - 4 th:
4th -5 th:
5th - 6th:
6th -7 th:
Fth - 8th:
8th - 9th:
9th - 10 th:
10th - 11 th:
11 th - 12 th:
12th -13 th: $\square$ 13th -14 th: $\square$
14th $-15 \mathrm{th}: \square$
Sum:


Mean: 0
SUMMARY
Distance Between Riffles(pli:

ft
Length (m) Of Individual Riffles, Pools, And Runs:
1st Riffle: $\qquad$ 1st Pool: $\qquad$ 1st Run: $\qquad$ 2nd Riffle: $\qquad$ 2nd Pool: $\qquad$
3rd Riffle: $\qquad$
4th Riffle: $\qquad$
5th Riffle: $\qquad$
6th Riffle: $\qquad$
7th Riffle: $\qquad$
Eth Riffle: $\qquad$
9th Riffle: $\qquad$
10th Riffle: $\qquad$ 10th Pool: $\qquad$ 10th Run: $\qquad$
11th Riffle: $\qquad$ 11th Pool: $\qquad$ 11th Run: $\qquad$
12th Riffle: $\qquad$ 12th Pool: $\qquad$ 12th Run: $\qquad$
13th Riffle: $\qquad$ 13th Pool: $\qquad$ 13th Run: $\qquad$
14th Riffle: $\qquad$ 14th Pool: $\qquad$ 14th Run: $\qquad$
15th Riffle: $\qquad$ 15th Pool: $\qquad$ 15th Run: $\qquad$


Sum: $\qquad$ and Run: $\qquad$ 3rd Pool: $\qquad$ ard Run: $\qquad$ 4th Pool: $\qquad$ 4th Run: $\qquad$
5th Pool: $\qquad$ 5th Run: $\qquad$ fth Pool: $\qquad$
7th Pool: $\qquad$ 6th Run: $\qquad$ 7th Run: $\qquad$ 8th Pool: $\qquad$ 8th Run: $\qquad$
9th Pool: $\qquad$ 9th Run: $\qquad$

* For riffles, runs, and pools note distance from start at beginning of feature. For bends, log jams, etc., note center-point.

Station Features Continued:

| DISTANCE <br> FROM START <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | STREAM FEATURE <br> (Rend, Riffle, Pool, Run, <br> Log Jam, etc.) | LENGTH <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

LOCATION INFORMATION
Field Number: 11 RRo21 Date (mm/dd/yy):09/13/11 Stream Name: $\qquad$ Rush River Location: Site 21 Rush River Upstream Lie County: $\qquad$
Visit Result (circle one): Reportable - Replicate - Other (explain)
GPS File Name: $\frac{\text { Fargo Fisheries of } 131 / \text { Type of GPS Fix: } \square 2 D ~}{\text { (only if GPS taken during visit) }} \square 3 \mathrm{PDOP}$ $\qquad$
Data Source: $\qquad$ USACE Proper Fargo Eateries

FIELD WATER CHEMISTRY
Flow Measure

| 0 | 0,00 | $L B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.0054 |
| 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.0081 |
| 0.33 | 0.06 | 0.0198 |
| 0.43 | 0.08 | 0.0344 |
| 0.46 | 0.06 | 0,0276 |
| 0.37 | 2.06 | 0.0222 |
| 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.015 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0 | $R B$ |

$\qquad$
0930 Air Tem..(c): $\qquad$ Water Temp. (co): $\qquad$ 1.29 $\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm}$ Dissolved Oxygen ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ): $\qquad$ 4.67
 Time (24 hr clock):
$\qquad$ Stream Flow ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ):
 0.07
$\qquad$ 93.7 pH: $\qquad$ Normal Below (m) $\qquad$ Above
$\qquad$ (m)
$\qquad$ 2 Water Level:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Collection Time (field sample): $\qquad$ Collection Time (field duplicate): $\qquad$
CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS
Transect Spacing $(\mathrm{m}): 31,3$ Station Length $(\mathrm{m})$ (from stream features form): 407,5
Width $=5,2 \mathrm{~m}$ Channel Condition (check appropriate box):
$\square$ Natural Channel $\square$ Old Channelization $\square$ Recent Channelization $\square$ Concrete Channel Mean Distance Between Bends ( m ): $\qquad$ Mean Distance Between Riffles (ph): 20
Total Length (Sum) of All ( $(1 \text { ) })^{\text {I }}$ : Riffles: $\qquad$ Pools: $\qquad$ Runs: $\qquad$ 1302 Total Number of: Riffles: $\qquad$ 2 Pools: $\qquad$ O Runs: $\qquad$ 3 Bends: $\qquad$ Log Jams: $\qquad$
COMMENTS/NOTES: $\qquad$ Channelized stem widitd-like yultios
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| Field Number: $\qquad$ She 21 Date (mm/dd/yy): $\qquad$ $9 / 13$ 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew: $k P, G P, N B$ $\qquad$ |  | Distance from Start (m):_15 |  |  |  |
| Stream Width (m): 5,5 $\qquad$ Cha | Channel Type (circle one): |  | Riffle | Pool |  |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest point, $0=$ rightbank *) | 1/5 | 2/5 | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| Water Depth (cm) | 10 | 42 | 52 | 36 | 52 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 11 | 42 | 52 | 38 | 52 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 120 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}$ = rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 4 |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
OUndercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris O Boulders
OSubmergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes OOther (specify):
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) * _ Meadow ___ Shrubs ___ Woodland ____ We__ Wetland

Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):
Center Upstream $\underline{O}$ Center Left $\theta$ Center Downstream $\theta$ Center Right $\underline{\theta}$ Left Bank * $O$ Right Bank *

* Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.


Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\delta$ |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks $\bigcirc$ Overhanging Vegetation $\circlearrowleft$ Woody Debris Boulders O Submergent Macrophytes $\quad$ Emergent Macrophytes \& Other (specify): $\qquad$
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L /R)*


## Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: <br> $\qquad$ (m) <br> RIGHT BANK * <br> $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

OCenter Upstream DCenter Left OCenter Downstream $\theta$ Center Right $O$ Left Bank * $O$ Right Bank *

[^1](Revised Dec 2002)

| Field Number: $\qquad$ Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) $\qquad$ 11 Transect |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew: $\qquad$ Distance from Start ( m ): $\qquad$ <br> Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one): <br> Riffle |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | 4/5 | Deep |
| Water Depth (cm) | 38 | 54 | 58 | 54 | 58 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 38 | 54 | 59 | 54 | 59 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay |  |  | $\chi$ | $\chi$ |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks $\bigcirc$ Overhanging Vegetation 0 Woody Debris $O$ Boulders DSubmergent Macrophytes DEmergent Macrophytes DOther (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *
A X Cropland ___ Meadow ___ Shrubs ___ Woodland ___ Wetland

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

$\bigcirc$ Center Upstream O Center Left $O$ Center Downstream $O$ Center Right $Q$ Left Bank * Right Bank*

* Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

Field Number: $\qquad$ Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) $\qquad$ $09 / 13 / n$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ Crew: $A P, G P, N B$ Stream Width (m): 3, 7 Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool
$\qquad$ 08

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank <br> * | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth (cm) | 25 | 38 | 49 | $4 /$ | 49 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 25 | 39 | 49 | 43 | 49 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, 0 = rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank $^{*}$ ) | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 / 5}$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris 0 Boulders $\square_{0}$ Submergent Macrophytes $\quad \mathcal{O}$ Emergent Macrophytes $\&$ Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: 1.5

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) * $\times 1 \times$ Cropland _I _Pasture _I_ Barnyard _I_ Developed __ Exposed Rock Meadow $\qquad$ Shrubs $\qquad$ Woodland $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Other (specify):

Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

D C
Center Upstream 0 Center Left $\qquad$ Center Right $\qquad$ Left Bank $\qquad$ Right Bank*

[^2]Field Number: $\qquad$ Date (mm/dd/yy): $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13) $\qquad$
Crew: $\mathcal{K P}, G b, N B$
Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ Distance from Start ( m ): $\qquad$

Channel Position (fifths of welted stream width and deepest point, $0=$ right bank *)
Water Depth (cm)
Depth of Fines and Water (cm)
Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%)

$$
\text { Channel Type (circle one): } \quad \text { Riffle }
$$

Pool


Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\chi$ | $\infty$ | $\chi$ | $\times$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
5 Undercut Banks o Overhanging Vegetation o Woody Debris of Boulders QSubmergent Macrophytes $\bigcirc$ Emergent Macrophytes $\cap$ Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *:

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

$O_{C}$ Center Upstream $O$ Center Left $O$ Center Downstream $O$ Center Right $O$ Left Bank * $O$ Right Bank *

[^3]

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, 0 = rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank*) | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 / 5}$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:

## Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation 0 Woody Debris O_Boulders

 DSubmergent Macrophytes © Emergent Macrophytes o Other (specify):Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK * $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L /R) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK * $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

0 Center Upstream $D$ Center Left 0 Center Downstream $\partial$ Center Right $O$ Left Bank * $O$ Right Bank *

* Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

| Field Number: Site 21 Date (mm/dd/yy):09/13/11 Transect Number (1-13) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew: $\qquad$ GP NB <br> Distance from Start ( m ): $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ | Channel Type (circle one): |  | Riffle | Pool Rum |  |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest point, $0=$ rightbank *) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1/5 | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| Water Depth (cm) | 43 | 37 | 40 | 25 | 43 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 43 | 41 | 43 | 35 | 43 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | /07 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {}}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  | $X$ |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest } \\ \text { point, } 0=\text { rightbank }\end{array}\right)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
O Undercut Banks OOverhanging Vegetation OWoody Debris OBulders $\bigcirc$ Submergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes o Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center Upstream
$\bigcirc$ Center Left 0 Center Downstream Center Right $\qquad$ Left Bank

[^4]Field Number: $\quad$ Site 21 Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$


Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ <br> (solid slab)   |  |  |  | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| Bedrock |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Silt | $X$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Clay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of whetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached $\&$ filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
$\bigcirc$ Undercut Banks 0 Overhanging Vegetation $\bigcirc$ Woody Debris $\quad$ Boulders
O Submergent Macrophytes $\bigcirc$ Emergent Macrophytes D Other (specify):
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:

$$
\text { LEFT BANK *: } \quad 2.0(\mathrm{~m}) \quad \text { RIGHT BANK } *: 2
$$

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L /R) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK* $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK*: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

$$
\text { Center Upstream } \cup \text { Center Left } O \text { Center Downstream } O \text { Center Right } O \text { Left Bank * O_ Right Bank * }
$$

| Field Number: $\qquad$ site お1 Date (mm/dd/yy): $13 / 11$$\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew: $\qquad$ $G *, N B$ Distance from Start (m): $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream Width (m) $\qquad$ | Channel Type (circle one): |  | Riffle | Pool | Run) |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest point, $0=$ riahtbank *) | 1/5 | $2 / 5$ | 3/5 | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| Water Depth (cm) | 21 | 35 | 33 | 29 | 35 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 26 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 35 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 120 | 100 | 10 | 0 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text { }}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Clay |  | $\infty$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | $\sim$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris OBoulders $\bigcirc$ OUbmergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes o Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: . 0

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R)*


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturned land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: S(m) RIGHT BANK *: 6 (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

$\theta_{\text {Center Upstream }}$
$O$ Center Left $D$
Center Downstream $O$ Center RightLeft Bank * Right Bank *

[^5]Field Number: $\qquad$ Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): 10 Crew: $18, G 6, N B$ 4.3 Channel Type (circle one):
Riffle
$\qquad$
Stream Width (m): $\qquad$

| $1 / 5$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 31 |  |
| 33 |  |
| 100 |  |


| 215 | $3 / 5$ | 415 | Deep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 57 | 37 | 57 |
| 50 | 58 | 38 | 58 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of welted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text { }}$ | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 / 5}$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  | $X$ |  |  |  |
| Clay | $X$ |  | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of welted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 / 5}$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:


Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK*: 2,5 (m)
(m)
RIGHT BANK *: 3.5

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK*: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center Upstream $\square$ Center Left $\qquad$ Center Right $\qquad$ Left Bank * $\qquad$ Right Bank *

[^6]
# Field Number: <br> $\qquad$ Date (mm/dd/yy): 09 Transect Number (1-13): <br> $\qquad$ 

Crew: $K P, G B, N B$ $\qquad$ Distance from Start $(m): 325$
Stream Width (m): 3,7 Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point,$=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 38 | 54 | 28 | 30 | 54 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 38 | 56 | 30 | 36 | 56 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest $25 \%)$ | 100 | 1200 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  | . | $\times$ |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\varnothing$ | $\times$ |  | $\infty$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris Boulders O Submergent Macrophytes $\quad 0$ Emergent Macrophytes 0 Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:

$$
\text { LEFT BANK *: } 1.0(\mathrm{~m}) \quad \text { RIGHT BANK*: 5.0 }
$$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within $10 \mathbf{m}$ of stream:


## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center UpstreamCenter Left C Center Downstream $\qquad$ Center RightLeft Bank[^7]
$\qquad$
Stream Width (m): $\quad 3,1$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle
$\qquad$

| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest } \\ \text { point. } 0=\text { rightbank }\end{array}\right)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 18 | 52 | 57 | 39 | 57 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 19 | 53 | 57 | 41 | 57 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with: O Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris O Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes $O$ Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *
XI, X Cropland _1 _Pasture __ Barnyard _ Woodland __ Wetland __ Exposed Rock

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center Upstream0 Center Left $\underline{0}$ Center Downstream $\qquad$Left Bank * Right Bank *

* Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

| Field Number: $\qquad$ S.te 21 Date (mm/dd/y): $07 / 13 / 4$ $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): 13 $\qquad$ | Date (mm/dd/yy): $09 / 13 / 11$ |  | Transect Number (1-13): 13 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew: KP, GB,NB |  | Distance from Start (m): 367 |  |  |  |
| Stream Width (m): 2,6 Cha | Channel Type (circle one): |  | Riffle | Pool | Run |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest point. $0=$ rightbank *) | 1/5 | 215 | 3/5 | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| Water Depth (cm) | 46 | 50 | 37 | 40 | 50 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 51 | 53 | 38 | 43 | 53 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank <br> ¹ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (grity, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $X$ |  |  |  |  |
| Clay |  | $X$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $X$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | $D$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
7Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris O Boulders D Submergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ RIGHT BANK* $\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L /R)* XIXCropland __ Pasture __ Barnyard __ De_ Developed _ We__ Exposed Rock $\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *:

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):
OCenter Upstream $\square$ Center Left $\qquad$ Center Downstream $\qquad$ Left Bank * 0 Right Bank *

Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index and Use Assessment Field Sheet

$\qquad$ Scorers Full Name \& Affiliation: Keun PuMey URS



4] BANK EROSION AND RIPARIAN ZONE Check ONE in each category for EACH BANK (Or 2 per bank \& average)


Indicate for functional riffles; Best areas must be large enough to support a population of riffle-obligate species: Check ONE (Or 2 \& average).

## RIFFLE DEPTH RUN DEPTH

RIFFLE / RUN SUBSTRATE
RIFFLE / RUN EMBEDDEDNESS
$\square$ BEST AREAS $>10 \mathrm{~cm}[2]$
$\square$ BEST AREAS $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}[1]$
XMAXIMUM $>50 \mathrm{~cm}[2]$
$\square$ STABLE ( $\theta . g .$, Cobble, Boulder) [2] MOD. STABLE (e.g., Large Graval) [1] $\square$ NONE [2] ZBESTAREAS $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$区UNSTABLE (e.g., Fine Gravel, Sand) [0] Comments Reach ddant contain riffles, therefore seorel zero.口LOW [1]
QMODERATE $[0]$
EXTENSIVE



Field Recording Form for Biological Monitoring
North Dakota Department of Health
Division of Water Quality-SWQMP
Telephone: 701.328.5210
Fax: 701.328.5200
strew: Site 22
fill d number: $/ 1$ RR OZ
DATE: 09 12 1 D
samplers: $K P, G P, N B$
$\qquad$ station description: Foot Print Location e lower End of Rush River
 ECOREGION (circle one): $\begin{array}{lllll}43 & 42 & 46 & 48\end{array}$

INVERTEBRATE COLLECTION METHOD (circle one):
REACH LENGTH:
 OTHER $\qquad$
448.7 m


| FIELD WATER CHEMISTRY | SITE PHOTOS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TEMP: | $20.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | UPSTREAM: See Plato Los |
| DO: | $5.46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | DOWNSTREAM: |
| $\mathrm{pH}:$ | 7.67 |  |
| $\mathrm{COND:}$ | $1.35 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ |  |


| WEATHER CONDITIONS (Temp., Wind, etc.): $2 l .1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Clear, strong wind ( r 30 mph ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| COMMENTS: |

Figure 7.17.3. Macroinvertebrate Field Collection Data Recording Form

Section 7.17
Revision 1
December 2008
Page 12 of 14

## SITE DRAWING (Show direction of water flow and north)

## COMMENTS

Figure 7.17.3 ctd. Macroinvertebrate Field Collection Data Recording Form (reverse).

Field Number: 22 Date(mm/dd/yy): $09 / 12 / 11$ crew: RP, NB, GP



Length ( $m$ ) Of Individual Riffles, Pools, And Runs:


* For riffles, runs, and pools note distance from start at beginning of feature. For bends, log jams, etc., note center-point.


## Station Features Continued:

| DISTANCE <br> FROM START <br> (m) | STREAM FEATURE <br> (Bend, Riffle, Pool, Run, <br> Log Jam, etc.) | LENGTH <br> (m) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

LOCATION INFORMATION
Field Number:11 RRO22 Date (mm/dd/yy):09/12/11 stream Name: Rush River
Location: Site 22 (Foot forinT Location)
$\qquad$ County: $\qquad$ $C_{\text {ass }}$

Visit Result (circle one): Reportable - Replicate - Other (explain)
GPS File Name: Fargo Fisheries $09 / 211$ Type of GPS Fix: $\square$ 2D $\qquad$
Data Source: $\qquad$ USAGE Project: $\qquad$ Fargo Fisheries

FIELD WATER CHEMISTRY


LAB WATER CHEMISTRY
Collection Time (field sample): $\qquad$ Na Collection Time (field duplicate): $\qquad$ NA

CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS
Transect Spacing $(\mathrm{m}): \quad 34,5$ Station Length $(\mathrm{m})$ (from stream features form): $\qquad$ 448.7

Channel Condition (check appropriate box):
$\square$ Natural Channel
Old Channelization $\square$ Recent Channelization $\square$ Concrete Channel Mean Distance Between Bends ( m ): $\qquad$ 0 Mean Distance Between Riffles (m): $\qquad$ 0

Total Length (Sum) of All (m): Riffles: $\qquad$ Pools: $\qquad$ Runs: $\qquad$ 448.7

Total Number of: Riffles: $\qquad$ 0 Pools: $\qquad$ 0 Runs: $\qquad$ Bends: $\qquad$ Log Jams: $\qquad$
commentsinotes: Stralsht/Chanelized stream, no definable bends/riffles/Pools
$\qquad$

| Field Number: Site 22 $\qquad$ Date (mm/dd/y): $09 / 12 / 11$ $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ 1 | Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) $09 / 12 / 11$$\qquad$ |  | Transect Number (1-13): |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew: $K P, G P, N B$ $\qquad$ |  | Distance from Start (m) : 34,5 |  |  |  |
| Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ | Channel Type (circle one): |  | Riffl | Pool | (Ruin |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest point, $0=$ rightbank *) | 1/5 | 2/5 | 3/5 | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| Water Depth (cm) | 29 | 44 | 49 | 43 | 49 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 47 | 53 | 82 | 45 | 82 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Positlon (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 / 5}$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $<$ | $X$ |
| Clay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:

$$
\text { LEFT BANK *: } \quad 5,0(\mathrm{~m}) \quad \text { RIGHT BANK }:=\frac{5}{} 0
$$

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *
XI_Cropland

Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: O (m) RIGHT BANK *: 0 (m)

Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

0
Center Upstream O_Center Left
OCenter Downstream 0 Center RightLeft Bank * Right Bank *

| Field Number: $\qquad$ e 22 Date (mm/dd/yy): | $09 / 12 / 1$ <br> Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew: $\qquad$ | - Distance from Start (m):69 |  |  |  |  |
| Stream Width (m): 7.6 Cha | Type (circle one): |  | Riffle | Pool | Run |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest point, $0=$ rightbank *) | 1/5 | 2/5 | 3/5 | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| Water Depth (cm) | 46 | 54 | 39 | 40 | 54 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 61 | 62 | 56 | 48 | 62 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 160 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, 0 = rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Clay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $\left.)^{*}\right)$ | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks © Overhanging Vegetation Boulders
O Submergent Macrophytes $\quad$ Emergent Macrophytes 0 Other (specify): $\qquad$
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK * $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{R}$ ) *
$\times \quad$ Cropland
 $\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

(1) Center Upstream
${ }^{\circ}$ Center Left O Center Downstream $\qquad$ Center Right $\qquad$ Left Bank * ${ }^{2}$ Right Bank *

* Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.
(Revised Dec 2002)

| Field Number: sile $\qquad$ | $\qquad$ |  | Transect Number (1-13): |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew: $F P, G P, N B$ | I Type (circle one) |  | m | ): | 3,5 |
| Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ 4.3 |  |  | Rif | Pool | Run |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest point, $0=$ rightbank *) | 1/5 | $2 / 5$ | 3/5 | 4/5 | Deep |
| Water Depth ( cm ) | 38 | 45 | 43 | 37 | 45 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 59 | 43 | 63 | 38 | 63 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 190 | 190 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {}}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ | $\times$ | $X$ |  | $\times$ |
| Clay |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank ) | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $3 / 5$ | $\mathbf{4 / 5}$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris Oulders OSubmergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *
 $\qquad$

Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *:_O (m) RIGHT BANK *: 0 (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center UpstreamQ Center Left 0 Center Downstream $O$ Center Right $\qquad$ Left Bank * Right Bank *


Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank*) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Clay | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $^{\text {}}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
D Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris O Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes $O$ Emergent Macrophytes OOther (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:

$$
\text { LEFT BANK *: } 5,0(\mathrm{~m}) \quad \text { RIGHT BANK } *: \leq, 0
$$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L /R) *

| $\times 1 \times$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:

$$
\text { LEFT BANK *: } \quad 0 \quad(\mathrm{~m}) \quad \text { RIGHT BANK *: } O
$$

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center Upstream 1 Center Left $\qquad$ Center Downstream $\qquad$Left Bank *

[^8]

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis bail to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |
| Clay | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
$\bigcirc$ Undercut Banks $\circ$ Overhanging Vegetation Boulders
$\bigcirc$ OUbmergent Macrophytes o Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify):
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK*: $\qquad$ RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK*:
D (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

[^9]Field Number: SiTe 22 Date (mm/dd/yy):09/12/11 Transect Number (1-13): $\frac{6}{2}$


| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth (cm) | 27 | 47 | 15 | 29 | 47 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 27 | 48 | 15 | 39 | 48 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\circ}$ ( | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached $\&$ filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation $\quad$ Woody Debris O Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify):
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK* $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK*: 5.0
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *
X 1 Cropland _1 _Pasture __ Barnyard __ Meadow Developed ___ Exposed Rock
$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R)*


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:


## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

## 0 Center Upstream 0 Center Left 0 Center Downstream OC enter Right OLeft Bank * Right Bank *

[^10] Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ Crew: K8,NB, GP 4,0
$\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one):
Riffle
$\qquad$ Stream Width (m):

Pool

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 28 | 33 | 38 | 26 | 38 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 40 | 33 | 44 | 32 | 44 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Clay |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris Boulders DSubmergent Macrophytes $O$ Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify): $\qquad$
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *. $\qquad$ (m) RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{m}$ of stream: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):


[^11]Date (mm/dd/yy): $\qquad$
 Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ Distance from Start ( m ): $\qquad$ 276 Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank $*$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth (cm) | 49 | 67 | 59 | 44 | 67 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 52 | 72 | 62 | 51 | 72 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Clay | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:


Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from $\mathbf{3 0}$ to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK * $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

[^12]Field Number: Site 22 Date (mm/dd/yy): $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ Crew: $\qquad$ $B$
7
Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle

Pool
Run)

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point. $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 45 | 58 | 58 | 38 | 58 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 55 | 66 | 63 | 38 | 66 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:


Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {}}$ ) | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $3 / 5$ | $\mathbf{4 / 5}$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | $\rho$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
0 Undercut Banks Overhanging Vegetation $O$ Woody Debris $O$ Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes $\quad 0$ Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify): $\qquad$
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

[^13]Field Number: Site 22 Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ): $\qquad$ $09 / 12 / 4$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ Crew: $1 \angle, N B, G P$ 6.1 Channel Type (circle one): Riffle
$\qquad$
Stream Width ( m ): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool
Run)

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 31 | 29 | 33 | 32 | 33 |
| Depth of Fines and Water $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 42 | 38 | 38 | 41 | 38 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of welted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\times$ |
| Clay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | $\varnothing$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
-Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris O Boulders
OSubmergent Macrophytes $\quad 0$ Emergent Macrophytes $\quad$ O Other (specify):
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK*: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *
X IX Cropland - Pasture _- Barnyard

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: O (m) RIGHT BANK *: 0 (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center Upstream ○ Center Left O Center Downstream O_Center Right Left Bank * ORight Bank *

[^14]Field Number: Site 22 Date (mm/dd/yy):09/12/11 Transect Number (1-13): 11 Crew: $\qquad$ P Distance from Start ( m ): $\qquad$ Stream Width $(m)$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool Ruin

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 28 | 28 | 26 | 21 | 28 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 48 | 50 | 31 | 21 | 50 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest $25 \%)$ | 700 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {}}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |
| Clay |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank $*)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
$\bigcirc$ Undercut Banks O Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris Boulders O Submergent Macrophytes $O$ Emergent Macrophytes $\quad O$ Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

$\bigcirc$ Center Upstream $O$ Center Left $O$ Center Downstream Center Right $O$ Left Bank* $O$ Right Bank*

[^15](Revised Dec 2002)


Crew: $1 P, N B, G P$
Distance from Start ( m ): $\qquad$ 14

Stream Width ( m ): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle

Pool


| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 39 | 47 | 46 | 35 | 47 |
| Depth of Fines and Water $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 53 | 56 | 48 | 37 | 56 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ | $X$ |  |  | $X$ |
| Clay |  |  | $X$ | $\times$ |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of vetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $)^{2}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
3 Undercut Banks o Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris $O$ Boulders O Submergent Macrophytes D Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *. $\qquad$ RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) * |0) X Cropland __ Pasture _1 _Barnyard __ De_ Developed __ Exposed Rock

## $\qquad$

$\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ 0
(m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center Upstream 0 Center Left 0 Center Downstream $\qquad$ Center Right $\qquad$ Left Bank* Right Bank *[^16]Field Number: $\qquad$ Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ): $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ Distance from Start (m): 448,5 Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool
Stream Width (m): $\qquad$
Deep

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 10 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 19 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 24 | 46 | 38 | 27 | 46 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest $25 \%)$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of whetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $X$ | $X$ | $\times$ | $X$ | $X$ |
| Clay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
$\bigcirc \bigcirc O$ Undercut Banks OVerhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris O Boulders D Submergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m) RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $(\mathbf{L} / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK ${ }^{*}$ O (m) RIGHT BANK ${ }^{*}: \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{m})}$

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

0 Center Upstream O Center Left $0^{0}$ Center Downstream O Center Right OLeft Bank * Right Bank *

[^17]Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index and Use Assessment Field Sheet
Stream \＆Location： $\qquad$ 23

Scorers Full Name \＆Affiliation：

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |



| 4］BANK EROSION AND RIPARIAN ZONE Check ONE in each category for EACH BANK（Or 2 per bank \＆average） |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| EROSION | 号 MDE $>80 \mathrm{~m}$［4］ | FOREST，SWAMP［3］ | CONSERVATION TILLAGE［1］ |
| 区 X NONE／LITTLE［3］ | Q［xMODERATE 10－50m［3］ | 曽通 SHRUB OR OLD FIELD［2］ | $\square \square$ URBAN OR INDUSTRIAL［0］ |
| $\square$ MODERATE［2］ | －$\square$ NARROW 5 －10m［2］ | $\square \square$ RESIDENTIAL，PARK，NEW FIELD［1］ | $\square \square$ MINING／CONSTRUCTION［0］ |
| －HEAVY／SEVERE［1］ | $\square$ VERY NARROW $<6 \mathrm{~m}$［1］ NONE 0$]$ | $\square$ FENCED PASTURE［1］区OPEN PASTURE，ROWCROP［0］ | Indicate predominant land use（s） past 100 m riparian． |
| Comments |  |  | Maximum |

5］POOL／GLIDE AND RIFFLE／RUN QUALITY
MAXIMUM DEPT
Check ONE（ONLYI）
X $>1 \mathrm{~m}[6]$
$\square 0.4<1 \mathrm{~m}[4]$
$\square 0.4<0.7 \mathrm{~m}[2]$
$\square 0.2<0.4 \mathrm{~m}[1]$
$\square<0.2 \mathrm{~m}[0]$

Comments
Check ONE（ONLYI）
風 1 m ［
$0.7-6 \mathrm{~m}$［4］
0.20 .4 m ［1］
－ $0.2 \mathrm{~m}[0]$

CHANNEL WIDTH
Check ONE（Or $2 \&$ average） © POOL WIDTH＞RIFFLE WIDTH［2］ CPOOL WIDTH＝RIFFLE WIDTH［1］ ［POOL WIDTH RIFFLE WIDTH［0］

CURRENT VELOCITY
Check ALL that apply

Indicate for functional riffles；Best areas must be large enough to support a population of riffle－obligate species：
RIFFLE DEPTH
RUN DEPTH
$\square$ BEST AREAS $>10 \mathrm{~cm}[2]$ $\square$ BEST AREAS $5.10 \mathrm{~cm}[1]$ F（BEST AREAS $<5 \mathrm{~cm}]$
$\qquad$
 Check ONE（Or 2 \＆average）．
RIFFLE／RUN SUBSTRATE RIFFLE／RUN EMBEDDEDNESS ［metric＝0］［UNSTABLE（e．g．，Fine Gravel，Sand）［0］
$\square$ NONE［2］
LOW［1］


| Recreation Potential |
| :---: |
| Primary Contact |
| Secondary Contact |
| （circle one and comment on back） |



INO RIFFLE［metric＝0］

6］GRADIENT 10.8 rifte at wastrean and very High $=8$
DRAINAGE AREA

$$
(>622.9 \mathrm{miz}) \quad \text { HIGH - VERY HIGH [10-6] }
$$ $\square$ MODERATE［0］ XEXTENSIVE［0］$[-1]$


componont is scored azero.



Field Recording Form for Biological Monitoring
North Dakota Department of Health
Division of Water Quality-SWQMP
Telephone: 701.328.5210
Fax: 701.328.5200

SITE ID: SITE 23
field number: //WC OZ 3
station description: WOLverton Conk - Footprint site
DATE:


$$
5+2 x+1
$$

- 19 $K P, G P, N B$
SAMPLERS:

$\qquad$


| FIELD WATER CHEMISTRY | STE PHOTOS |
| :--- | :--- |
| TEMP: $12.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | UPSTREAM: |
| DO: $6.32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | DOWNSTREAM: |
| pH: 7.86 |  |
| CORD: $1.06 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{cm}$ |  |


| WEATHER CONDITIONS (Temp., Wind, etc.): 41 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, Guan Clear, Mod wind |
| :--- |
| COMMENTS: |

Figure 7.17.3. Macroinvertebrate Field Collection Data Recording Form

## SITE DRAWING (Show direction of water flow and north)

## COMMENTS:

Figure 7.17.3 ctd. Macroinvertebrate Field Collection Data Recording Form (reverse).

Field Number: Site 23 Date(mm/dd/yy): 09/14/11 Crew: KP,GP,NB



## Length (m) Of Individual Riffles, Pools, And Runs:



[^18]Station Features Continued:

| DISTANCE <br> FROM START <br> (m) | STREAM FEATURE <br> (Bend, Rifilie, Pool, Run, <br> Log Jam, etc.) | LENGTH <br> (m) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

LOCATION INFORMATION
 Location: $\qquad$ site 23 County: $\qquad$ Clay

Visit Result (circle one): Reportable. Replicate - Other (explain)
GPS File Name: Fargo_Fisheries_09144 $\frac{\text { (only if GPS taken during visit) }}{\text { Type of GPS Fix: } \quad \square 2 \mathrm{X}}$ ( $\quad$ PDOP: $\qquad$
Data Source: $\qquad$ USACE Project: $\qquad$ Fargo Fisheries

FIELD WATER CHEMISTRY
$\qquad$ 0905 Air Temp. ${ }^{(C)}$ ): $\qquad$ 5.0 Water Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ}$ c): $\qquad$ 12.8

$\qquad$ pH: 7.86 Stream Flow ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}$ : 0,01
$\qquad$
Transparency Tube (cm): $\qquad$ Water Level: Normal $\square$ Below $\qquad$ (m) $\square$ Above $\qquad$ (m)

LAB WATER CHEMISTRY $\qquad$

Collection Time (field sample): $\qquad$ Collection Time (field duplicate): $\qquad$

CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS
Transect Spacing $(\mathrm{m}): 23.4$ Station Length $(\mathrm{m})$ (from stream features form): $\quad 304$
Channel Condition (check appropriate box):
M Natural Channel $\square$ Old Channelization $\square$ Recent Channelization $\square$ Concrete Channel Mean Distance Between Bends ( $m$ ): $\qquad$ 99 Mean Distance Between Riffles (m): $\qquad$ Total Length (Sum) of All ( $m$ ): Riffles: $\qquad$ Pools: $\qquad$ Runs: $\qquad$ 298 Total Number of: Riffles: $\qquad$ Pools: $\qquad$ Runs: $\qquad$ Bends: $\qquad$ Log Jams: $\qquad$

COMMENTS/NOTES: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Field Number: Site 23
Crew: $K P, G P, N B$ Date (mm/dd/yy): 9/14/11 Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ Distance from Start (m): 11.7 Stream Width $(\mathrm{m}): \quad 5.4 \quad$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool Pan?

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth (cm) | 76 | 104 | $1 / 0$ | 113 | 113 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 74 | 107 | 113 | 118 | 118 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| Clay | $\boxed{ }$ | $\times$ | $X$ |  | $X$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest } \\ \text { point, } 0=\text { rightbank }\end{array}\right)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | $\theta$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
O Undercut Banks 15 Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris 0 Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify)

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ 0

RIGHT BANK *: 0 (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *
 $\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: 10 (m) RIGHT BANK *: 10 (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center Upstream 0 Center Left $O$ Center Downstream Center Right 0 Left Bank * Right Bank *

[^19]Field Number: $\qquad$ Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ): $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ Crew: $\qquad$ $G P, N B$
$\qquad$
Stream Width $(\mathrm{m}): \quad 5,4$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool ReRun)

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ <br> 34 | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 24 | $1 / 9$ | 37 | 91 | 91 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 39 | 49 | 52 | 100 | 100 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 / 5}$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ | $C$ | $\times$ | 6 | $\times$ |
| Clay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
$\frac{15}{0}$ Overhanging Vegetation $\frac{O}{0}$ Woody Debris $\frac{0}{}$ Boulders
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *
 $\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *
 $\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ RIGHT BANK*: $\qquad$ (m)

Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):Center Upstream 0 Center Left $\qquad$ Center Downstream $O_{C}$ Center Right $\qquad$ Left Bank *
Field Number: Site 23 Date (mm/dd/yy): $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$

Crew: $\qquad$ B

Distance from Start (m): $\qquad$
Stream Width $(\mathrm{m}): \quad 8,5$
Channel Type (circle one): Riffle
Pool
kun

| Channel Position (fifths of welted stream width and deepest <br> point $0=$ rightbank $*)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 85 | 79 | 98 | 18 | 98 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 105 | 85 | 104 | 24 | 104 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest $25 \%)$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 / 5}$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\chi$ | $\chi$ | $\times$ |
| Clay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
O Undercut Banks 10 Overhanging Vegetation O Woody Debris © Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes o Emergent Macrophytes Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


## Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:

 LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#117 that are shaded):

Center Upstream $\qquad$ OC enter Downstream $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Right Bank *

[^20]Field Number: Site 23 $\qquad$ Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$ Distance from Start ( m ): $\qquad$ 82.2

Crew $\square$ KP, GP, NB
Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one):

Riffle
Pool
Run


Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:


Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *:
0
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *区

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK * $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *:
10
(m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

$\bigcirc$ Center Upstream $\bigcirc$ Center Left $\triangle$ Center Downstream 0 Center Right $\bigcirc$ Left Bank* Right Bank*

[^21]$\qquad$ Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ): $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): $\qquad$
Crew $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Distance from Start (m): 105.7
Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool


| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth (cm) | 18 | 76 | 91 | 76 | 91 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 18 | 79 | 91 | 76 | -791 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}$ (rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
Q Undercut Banks 12 Overhanging Vegetation $O$ Woody Debris $O$ Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes $\quad$ Emergent Macrophytes 0 Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *:
10 (m)
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L. /R) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) * $\times 1 \times$ Cropland

Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: 10 (m) RIGHT BANK *: 10 (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

O Center Upstream Center Left $\Theta$ Center Downstream $O^{O}$ Center Right $\theta$ Left Bank* $O$ Right Bank*

[^22](Revised Dec 2002)

Field Number: Site 23 Crew: $1 \angle \rho, 6 P, N B$

Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): 6 Distance from Start (m): $\qquad$ 2

Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one):
Riffle
Pool
Kun

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 49 | 94 | 76 | 46 | 94 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 55 | 100 | 76 | $5 \geq$ | 100 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetter stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, <BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Clay |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:
Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 / 5}$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
O Undercut Banks ○ Overhanging Vegetation © Woody Debris Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes $\leftrightarrow$ Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $2.0 \quad(\mathrm{~m})$

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) * IXCropland $\qquad$ Pasture $\qquad$ Barnyard $\qquad$ Developed $\qquad$ Exposed Rock I Meadow Shrubs Woodland Wetland Other (specify):
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:

$$
\text { LEFT BANK *: } 10 \quad \text { (m) RIGHT BANK *: } 10
$$

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

0 Center Upstream $\bigcirc$ Center Left $\bigcirc$ Center Downstream $\bigcirc$ Center Right $Q$ Left Bank * ORight Bank *


## Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}$ = rightbank ${ }^{\text { }}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\lambda$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
10 Undercut Banks 20 Overhanging Vegetation 0 Woody Debris 0 Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes OOther (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: 0 (m) RIGHT BANK *: $0 \quad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *
XIXMeadow Cropland
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *
KI XCropland

## Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:

LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

0 Center Upstream ○Center Left ○Center Downstream ○Center Right © Left Bank * $\theta$ Right Bank*

[^23]Field Number: SITE 23 Date (mm/dd/yy): 09/14/1/ Transect Number (1-13): $\frac{8}{1762}$
Crew: $K P, G B, N B \quad$ Distance from Start $(\mathrm{m}): 176,2$

Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool

Run)

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 67 | 58 | 37 | 21 | 67 |
| Depth of Fines and Water $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 70 | 64 | 40 | 24 | 70 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest $25 \%)$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:


Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Note Amount Observed on Quadrate. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channel Position (fifths of feted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
O Undercut Banks 5 Overhanging Vegetation $\bigcirc$ Woody Debris 0 Boulders DSubmergent Macrophytes O Emergent Macrophytes O Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
$\qquad$
LEFT BANK *:
(m)

RIGHT BANK*: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *
XI Cropland _ Pasture _ _ Barnyard

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L /R) * $\lambda \mid X$ Cropland $\qquad$ Barnyard $\qquad$ Developed
Wetland $\qquad$ Exposed Rock (y): $\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK*: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):


[^24]Field Number:_Site 23 Date (mm/dd/yy): $09 / 14 / 11$ Transect Number (1-13): $\frac{9}{99}$
$$
\text { crew: } K P, G P, N B
$$
$\qquad$
Stream Width ( m ):
$$
2,7
$$
$\qquad$
$$
\text { Channel Type (circle one): } \quad \text { Riffle }
$$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle
Pool

Pool
(RUT)

| Channel Position (fifths of welted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 70 | 91 | 76 | 46 | 91 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 73 | 94 | 78 | 46 | 94 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest $25 \%)$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of welted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $X$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\chi$ | $\chi$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $*$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached $\&$ filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
__Undercut Banks 10 Overhanging Vegetation__ Woody Debris ___
Boulders
__Submergent Macrophytes
Emergent Macrophytes
Other (specify): $\qquad$
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *:
(m)

RIGHT BANK *:
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *
X X Meadow ___ Pasture __ Barnyard

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *
X IX Cropland __ Pasture __ Barnyard __ D__Weveloped __ Exposed Rock
$\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:

$$
\text { LEFT BANK *: } 10 \quad(\mathrm{~m}) \quad \text { RIGHT BANK }: 10
$$

Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):
$\bigcirc^{\subseteq}$ Center Upstream Center Left $O$ Center Downstream $O$ Center Right $O$ Left Bank * ORight Bank *

[^25]Field Number: $5 . \mathrm{T}_{2} 23$ Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ): $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): 10

Distance from Start (m): $\qquad$ $-223,2$ Crew: $K P, 60, N B$

Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool


| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest } \\ \text { point, } 0=\text { rightbank }\end{array}\right)$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:


Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
10 Undercut Banks 10 Overhanging Vegetation $O$ Woody Debris $O$ Boulders
OSubmergent Macrophytes 10 Emergent Macrophytes 0 Other (specify):
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $\quad 0,0$ (m)
(
RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) * XIX Cropland $\qquad$ Barnyard
Woodland $\qquad$ Developed
Wetland $\qquad$ Exposed Rock
Other (specify): ): $\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK*: $\qquad$ (m)

RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (m)

Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):
$\bigcirc$ Center Upstream 0 Center Left OC enter Downstream OC enter Right Left Bank * $O$ Right Bank *

[^26]| Field Number: Site 23$\qquad$ Date ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew: $K P, G P, N B$$\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream Width $(\mathrm{m}): 2 \mathrm{~L}$ : 6 Chan | Channel Type (circle one): |  | Riffle | Pool | Run |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest point, 0 = rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | 2/5 | 3/5 | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| Water Depth (cm) | 43 | 64 | 70 | 64 | 70 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 45 | 70 | 72 | 67 | 72 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\text {}}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank *) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | $D$ | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:

$$
0 \text { Undercut Banks } 20 \text { Overhanging Vegetation } 0 \text { Woody Debris } 0 \text { Boulders }
$$

$O$ Submergent Macrophytes $\quad O$ Emergent Macrophytes $\quad O$ Other (specify): $\qquad$
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:
LEFT BANK *: $0_{1}, \mathscr{D}(\mathrm{~m})$ RIGHT BANK *: 0.0 (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *
$x / \bar{x}$ Meadow
$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream: LEFT BANK *: 10 (m) RIGHT BANK *: 10 (m)

Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):
$\bigcirc$ Center Upstream $\bigcirc$ Center Left $O$ Center Downstream OCenter Right $\bigcirc$ Left Bank* $\bigcirc$ Right Bank *

[^27]Field Number: Site 23 Date (mm/dd/yy): $09 / 14 / 11$ Transect Number (1-13): $\frac{12}{27}$ Crew: $14, G P, N B \quad$ Distance from Start (m): 270,2 Stream Width $(\mathrm{m}): 3,9 \quad$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle Pool RuM

| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest } \\ \text { point, } 0=\text { rightbank }\end{array}\right)$ | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $2 / 5$ | $\mathbf{3 / 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 / 5}$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 49 | 58 | 40 | 34 | 58 |
| Depth of Fines and Water (cm) | 49 | 58 | 40 | 36 | 58 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank ${ }^{\prime}$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\varnothing$ | $\chi$ | $\times$ | $\chi$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank $\%$ | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest $5 \%$ ) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest $5 \%$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:

O Undercut Banks ( Overhanging Vegetation Woody Debris O Boulders
OSubmergent Macrophytes $\quad \square$ Emergent Macrophytes $\quad \square$ Other (specify):
Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect:

$$
\text { LEFT BANK }{ }^{*}: \quad 0,0(\mathrm{~m}) \quad \text { RIGHT BANK }: \quad 0, D(\mathrm{~m})
$$

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *

$\qquad$
Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): ( $L / R$ ) *


Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:

$$
\text { LEFT BANK*: } 10 \text { (m) } \quad \text { RIGHT BANK } *: 10 \text { (m) }
$$

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

- Center Upstream ○ Center Left $\qquad$ (1 )Center Right $\qquad$ Left Bank Right Bank *

[^28]Field Number: $\qquad$ Date (mm/dd/yy): $\qquad$ Transect Number (1-13): 13 Crew: $K P, 6 \rho, N B$

Stream Width (m): $\qquad$ Channel Type (circle one): Riffle ( m ): $\qquad$ Distance from Start Pool Rरिण

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point. $0=$ rightbank | $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 73 | 94 | 94 | 85 | 94 |
| Depth of Fines and Water $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 73 | 97 | 96 | 88 | 97 |
| Embeddedness of Coarse Substrates (nearest 25\%) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Check Dominant Substrate Type in Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of meted stream width and deepest <br> point, $\mathbf{0}$ (rightbank ${ }^{*}$ ) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (solid slab) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder (basketball or bigger) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubble/Cobble (tennis ball to basketball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel (BB to tennis ball) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand (gritty, visible, < BB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times(4)$ |
| Detritus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Amount Observed on Quadrate:

| Channel Position (fifths of wetted stream width and deepest <br> point, $0=$ rightbank*) | $1 / 5$ | $2 / 5$ | $3 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | Deep |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algae (attached \& filamentous., nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macrophytes (nearest 5\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cover for Fish: Percent length of transect (over at least 10 cm water depth) with:
O Undercut Banks Io Overhanging Vegetation Boulders OSubmergent Macrophytes © Emergent Macrophytes © Other (specify):

Bank Erosion: Length (nearest 0.1 m ) of bare soil, within 5 m of waters edge, along transect: LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m) RIGHT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use within 30 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *
$\times 1 \times$ Meadow

Riparian Land Use: Dominant land use from 30 to 100 m of stream edge (along transect): (L/R) *
 ):

Riparian Buffer Width: Length (nearest meter) of undisturbed land use along transect, within 10 m of stream:
LEFT BANK *: $\qquad$ (m)
RIGHT BANK *: /o (m)

## Canopy/Shading (Densiometer reading, note \#/17 that are shaded):

Center Upstream OCenter Left Center Downstream 〇 Center Right D Left Bank* ORight Bank*

[^29]Macroinvertebrate Taxa List

| Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Taxa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | 1 |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | 2 |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | 3 |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | - | 4 |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | 5 |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | 6 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | 7 |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | 8 |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | 9 |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | 10 |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Gomphus | 11 |
| Trichoptera | - | - | - | 12 |
| Diplostraca | Macrothricidae | - | - | 13 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | 14 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia okoboji | 15 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | 16 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | 17 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paralauterborniella | 18 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptotendipes | 19 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | 20 |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Procloeon | 21 |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | - | 22 |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | 23 |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | 24 |
| Coleoptera | Carabidae | - | - | 25 |
| Collembola | - | - | - | 26 |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Macronychus | 27 |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Stylurus | 28 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | 29 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | 30 |
| Decapoda | Hyalellidae | - | Hyalella azteca | 31 |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Probezzia | 32 |
| Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | - | Liodessus | 33 |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Potamyia | 34 |
| Oligochaeta | Naididae | - | - | 35 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Axarus | 36 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Harnichia | 37 |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Acerpenna | 38 |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa | 39 |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Maccaffertium | 40 |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | - | 41 |
| Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | - | Caenis | 42 |
| Coleoptera | - | - | - | 43 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Harnischia | 44 |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | 45 |
| Hemiptera | Gerridae | - | Rheumatobates | 46 |

## Macroinvertebrate Taxa List

| Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Taxa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acari | - | - | - | 47 |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Dubiraphia | 48 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | 49 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Ablabesmyia | 50 |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Cheumatopsyche | 51 |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Oecetis | 52 |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Hydrospsyche | 53 |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | - | 54 |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara | 55 |
| Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | - | Laccophilus | 56 |
| Coleoptera | Haliplidae | - | Peltodytes | 57 |
| Basommatophora | Ancylidae | - | Ferrissia | 58 |
| Cyclopoida | Cyclopidae | - | - | 59 |
| Diptera | Dolichopodidae | - | - | 60 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Dicrotendipes | 61 |
| Calanoida | Diaptomidae | - | Diaptomus | 62 |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Nectopsyche | 63 |
| Thysanoptera | - | - | - | 64 |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | - | 65 |
| Araneae | - | - | - | 66 |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Pisidium | 67 |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | Hydrellia | 68 |
| Diplostraca | Daphniidae | - | - | 69 |
| Ostracoda | Candonidae | - |  | 70 |
| Diptera | - | - | - | 71 |
| Diptera | Simuliidae | - | Simulium | 72 |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetiscidae | - | Baetisca | 73 |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | - | 74 |
| Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | - | Tropisternus | 75 |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Baetis | 76 |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Apobaetis | 77 |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Pseudocloeon | 78 |
| Decapoda | Cambaridae | - | Orconectes | 79 |
| Hemiptera | Nepidae | - | Ranatra fusca | 80 |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Heptagenia | 81 |
| Odonata | Calopterygidae | - | Hetaerina | 82 |
| - | - | - | - | 83 |
| Ephemeroptera | Ephemeridae | - | Pentagenia | 84 |
| Hemiptera | Hebridae | - | Merragata | 85 |
| Diptera | Psychodidae | - | Pericoma | 86 |
| Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | - | Ochthebius | 87 |
| Hemiptera | Belostomatidae | - | Belostoma flumineum | 88 |
| Trichoptera | Hydroptilidae | - | Neotrichia | 89 |
| Hemiptera | Pleidae | - | Neoplea | 90 |
| Ephemeroptera | Ephemeridae | - | Hexagenia limbata | 91 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Cricotopus | 92 |

## Macroinvertebrate Taxa List

| Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Taxa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Rheotanytarsus exiguus gr. | 93 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paracladopelma | 94 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomini | 95 |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara lineata | 96 |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | Parydra | 97 |
| Trichoptera | Hydroptilidae | - | - | 98 |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | - | 99 |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | - | 100 |
| Trichoptera | Hydroptilidae | - | Mayatrichia | 101 |
| Coleoptera | Lampyridae | - | - | 102 |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Enallagma | 103 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Parachironomus | 104 |
| Nemata | - | - | - | 105 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Endochironomus | 106 |
| Ephemeroptera | Ephemeridae | - | Hexagenia | 107 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Nanocladius | 108 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paralauterborniella nigrohalteralis | 109 |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Forcipomyia | 110 |
| Hemiptera | Notonectidae | - | Notonecta | 111 |
| Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | - | - | 112 |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | Ceratopogoninae | - | 113 |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Bezzia | 114 |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Culicoides | 115 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Phaenopsectra | 116 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | - | 117 |
| Lepidoptera | Noctuidae | - | - | 118 |
| Oligochaeta | - | - | - | 119 |
| Cyclpoida | Cyclopidae | - | - | 120 |
| Diplostraca | Bosminidae | - | - | 121 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Microchironomus | 122 |
| Heteroptera | Corixidae | - | - | 123 |
| Heteroptera | Nepidae | - | Ranatra | 124 |
| Heteroptera | Belostomatidae | - | Belostoma | 125 |
| Amphipoda | Hyalellidae | - | Hyalella | 126 |
| Megaloptera | Sialidae | - | Sialis | 127 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paratanytarsus | 128 |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Synendotendipes | 129 |

## Study Reach 1 - Red River of the North

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 397 | 78.46 | 61.25 35 of 54 squares picked in a |
| 2 | 75 | 14.82 | 11.57 subsample of 10 |
| 4 | 7 | 1.38 | 1.08 |
| 3 | 4 | 0.79 | 0.62 |
| 5 | 3 | 0.59 | 0.46 |
| 8 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.31 |
| 14 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.31 |
| 16 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.31 |
| 6 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 9 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.15 |



Study Reach 2 - Red River of the North

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 445 | 90.63 | 17.80 25 of 54 squares picked |
| 3 | 10 | 2.04 | 0.40 |
| 6 | 6 | 1.22 | 0.24 |
| 18 | 4 | 0.81 | 0.16 |
| 24 | 4 | 0.81 | 0.16 |
| 30 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.12 |
| 5 | 2 | 0.41 | 0.08 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.41 | 0.08 |
| 8 | 2 | 0.41 | 0.08 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 9 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 14 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 25 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 26 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 28 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.04 |



Study Reach 3 - Red River of the North

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 333 | 70.40 | 11.10 30 of 54 squares picked |
| 6 | 62 | 13.11 | 2.07 |
| 2 | 38 | 8.03 | 1.27 |
| 5 | 10 | 2.11 | 0.33 |
| 17 | 6 | 1.27 | 0.20 |
| 8 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.10 |
| 20 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.10 |
| 3 | 2 | 0.42 | 0.07 |
| 10 | 2 | 0.42 | 0.07 |
| 4 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 30 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 31 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 34 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 35 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 36 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 37 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
|  |  |  |  |



Study Reach 4 - Red River of the North

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 369 | 72.78 | 23.06 |
| 16 of 54 squares picked |  |  |  |
| 2 | 42 | 8.28 | 2.63 |
| 10 | 27 | 5.33 | 1.69 |
| 3 | 9 | 1.78 | 0.56 |
| 7 | 7 | 1.38 | 0.44 |
| 16 | 7 | 1.38 | 0.44 |
| 38 | 7 | 1.38 | 0.44 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.99 | 0.31 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.99 | 0.31 |
| 39 | 5 | 0.99 | 0.31 |
| 40 | 3 | 0.59 | 0.19 |
| 8 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.13 |
| 11 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.13 |
| 17 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.13 |
| 23 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.13 |
| 26 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.13 |
| 35 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.13 |
| 14 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 28 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 30 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 31 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 41 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 42 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 43 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
|  |  |  |  |



Study Reach 5 - Red River of the North

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 431 | 84.68 | 14.86 29 of 54 squares picked |
| 6 | 25 | 4.91 | 0.86 |
| 2 | 9 | 1.77 | 0.31 |
| 38 | 8 | 1.57 | 0.28 |
| 16 | 6 | 1.18 | 0.21 |
| 21 | 6 | 1.18 | 0.21 |
| 35 | 5 | 0.98 | 0.17 |
| 5 | 3 | 0.59 | 0.10 |
| 10 | 3 | 0.59 | 0.10 |
| 3 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.07 |
| 76 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.07 |
| 4 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 14 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 40 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 63 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 66 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |



Study Reach 6 - Red River of the North

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 433 | 89.83 | 28.87 15 of 54 squares picked |
| 6 | 18 | 3.73 | 1.20 |
| 5 | 8 | 1.66 | 0.53 |
| 17 | 5 | 1.04 | 0.33 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.62 | 0.20 |
| 10 | 3 | 0.62 | 0.20 |
| 39 | 2 | 0.41 | 0.13 |
| 4 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 14 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 26 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 35 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 38 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 44 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.07 |



Study Reach 7 - Wild Rice River

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 243 | 50.63 | 27.00 |
| 9 | of 54 squares picked |  |  |
| 2 | 37 | 7.71 | 4.11 |
| 9 | 33 | 6.88 | 3.67 |
| 3 | 29 | 6.04 | 3.22 |
| 41 | 27 | 5.63 | 3.00 |
| 24 | 23 | 4.79 | 2.56 |
| 16 | 15 | 3.13 | 1.67 |
| 49 | 9 | 1.88 | 1.00 |
| 45 | 8 | 1.67 | 0.89 |
| 34 | 7 | 1.46 | 0.78 |
| 30 | 6 | 1.25 | 0.67 |
| 14 | 5 | 1.04 | 0.56 |
| 46 | 5 | 1.04 | 0.56 |
| 17 | 4 | 0.83 | 0.44 |
| 50 | 4 | 0.83 | 0.44 |
| 6 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.33 |
| 10 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.33 |
| 13 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.33 |
| 29 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.33 |
| 47 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.33 |
| 4 | 2 | 0.42 | 0.22 |
| 5 | 2 | 0.42 | 0.22 |
| 48 | 2 | 0.42 | 0.22 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.11 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.11 |
| 36 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.11 |
| 39 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.11 |
|  |  |  |  |



Study Reach 8 - Wild Rice River

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 393 | 77.82 | 26.20 15 of 54 squares picked |
| 2 | 37 | 7.33 | 2.47 |
| 3 | 23 | 4.55 | 1.53 |
| 17 | 12 | 2.38 | 0.80 |
| 23 | 8 | 1.58 | 0.53 |
| 14 | 4 | 0.79 | 0.27 |
| 9 | 3 | 0.59 | 0.20 |
| 20 | 3 | 0.59 | 0.20 |
| 24 | 3 | 0.59 | 0.20 |
| 30 | 3 | 0.59 | 0.20 |
| 45 | 3 | 0.59 | 0.20 |
| 10 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.13 |
| 5 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 6 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 35 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 39 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 49 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 51 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 52 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 53 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 54 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
|  |  |  |  |



Study Reach 9 - Wild Rice River

| Taxa | \# of individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / square |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 287 | 54.15 | 16.88 | 17 of 54 squares picked |
| 3 | 84 | 15.85 | 4.94 |  |
| 2 | 61 | 11.51 | 3.59 |  |
| 45 | 23 | 4.34 | 1.35 |  |
| 23 | 16 | 3.02 | 0.94 |  |
| 9 | 12 | 2.26 | 0.71 |  |
| 49 | 12 | 2.26 | 0.71 |  |
| 24 | 11 | 2.08 | 0.65 |  |
| 47 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.24 |  |
| 14 | 2 | 0.38 | 0.12 |  |
| 17 | 2 | 0.38 | 0.12 |  |
| 50 | 2 | 0.38 | 0.12 |  |
| 52 | 2 | 0.38 | 0.12 |  |
| 6 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 8 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 12 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 16 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 20 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 29 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 39 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 48 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 55 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 56 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 57 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |
| 58 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.06 |  |



Study Reach 10 - Wild Rice River

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2 | 388 | 77.91 | 123.25 |
| 1 | 61 | 12.25 | 19.38 17 of 54 squares picked in a subsample of 10 |
| 49 | 15 | 3.01 | 4.76 |
| 3 | 6 | 1.20 | 1.91 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.00 | 1.59 |
| 23 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.95 |
| 7 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.64 |
| 14 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.64 |
| 29 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.64 |
| 46 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.64 |
| 47 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.64 |
| 6 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 35 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 39 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 45 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 52 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 59 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 60 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 61 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.32 |



## Study Reach 11 - Sheyenne River

| Taxa | \# of individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / square |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 260 | 51.90 | 21.6712 of 54 squares picked |
| 2 | 47 | 9.38 | 3.92 |
| 62 | 31 | 6.19 | 2.58 |
| 23 | 28 | 5.59 | 2.33 |
| 3 | 22 | 4.39 | 1.83 |
| 76 | 16 | 3.19 | 1.33 |
| 51 | 9 | 1.80 | 0.75 |
| 63 | 8 | 1.60 | 0.67 |
| 78 | 8 | 1.60 | 0.67 |
| 6 | 7 | 1.40 | 0.58 |
| 21 | 7 | 1.40 | 0.58 |
| 4 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.50 |
| 17 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.50 |
| 16 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.42 |
| 7 | 4 | 0.80 | 0.33 |
| 40 | 4 | 0.80 | 0.33 |
| 42 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.25 |
| 66 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.25 |
| 10 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.17 |
| 18 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.17 |
| 64 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.17 |
| 77 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.17 |
| 5 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 14 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 45 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 53 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 54 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 56 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 65 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 67 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 68 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 69 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 70 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 71 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 72 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 73 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 74 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 75 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 79 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| 80 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.08 |



| Taxa | \# of individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / square |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 204 | 41.30 | 10.2020 of 54 squares picked |
| 2 | 105 | 21.26 | 5.25 |
| 23 | 29 | 5.87 | 1.45 |
| 6 | 27 | 5.47 | 1.35 |
| 21 | 22 | 4.45 | 1.10 |
| 3 | 19 | 3.85 | 0.95 |
| 77 | 14 | 2.83 | 0.70 |
| 4 | 8 | 1.62 | 0.40 |
| 76 | 8 | 1.62 | 0.40 |
| 78 | 8 | 1.62 | 0.40 |
| 66 | 7 | 1.42 | 0.35 |
| 68 | 7 | 1.42 | 0.35 |
| 7 | 4 | 0.81 | 0.20 |
| 62 | 4 | 0.81 | 0.20 |
| 35 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.15 |
| 81 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.15 |
| 83 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 16 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.10 |
| 29 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.10 |
| 71 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.10 |
| 5 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 40 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 41 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 47 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 54 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 55 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 56 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 79 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 80 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 82 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 84 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 85 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 86 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 87 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| 88 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.05 |



Study Reach 13 - Sheyenne River

| Taxa | \# of individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / square |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 210 | 41.92 | 4.4747 of 54 squares picked |
| 3 | 53 | 10.58 | 1.13 |
| 6 | 31 | 6.19 | 0.66 |
| 77 | 30 | 5.99 | 0.64 |
| 21 | 17 | 3.39 | 0.36 |
| 10 | 14 | 2.79 | 0.30 |
| 2 | 13 | 2.59 | 0.28 |
| 63 | 13 | 2.59 | 0.28 |
| 4 | 11 | 2.20 | 0.23 |
| 78 | 11 | 2.20 | 0.23 |
| 23 | 10 | 2.00 | 0.21 |
| 16 | 8 | 1.60 | 0.17 |
| 40 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.13 |
| 41 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.13 |
| 76 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.13 |
| 20 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.11 |
| 42 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.11 |
| 51 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.11 |
| 29 | 4 | 0.80 | 0.09 |
| 55 | 4 | 0.80 | 0.09 |
| 66 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.06 |
| 68 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.06 |
| 81 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.06 |
| 93 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.06 |
| 5 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.04 |
| 9 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.04 |
| 30 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.04 |
| 32 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.04 |
| 46 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.04 |
| 53 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.04 |
| 71 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.04 |
| 89 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.04 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 8 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 47 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 54 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 82 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 90 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 91 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 92 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 94 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| 95 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.02 |



Study Reach 14 - Sheyenne River

| Taxa | \# of individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / square |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 51 | 26.15 | 0.94 | Entire Sample Picked |
| 3 | 47 | 24.10 | 0.87 |  |
| 23 | 12 | 6.15 | 0.22 |  |
| 96 | 12 | 6.15 | 0.22 |  |
| 16 | 9 | 4.62 | 0.17 |  |
| 53 | 9 | 4.62 | 0.17 |  |
| 10 | 7 | 3.59 | 0.13 |  |
| 41 | 7 | 3.59 | 0.13 |  |
| 17 | 4 | 2.05 | 0.07 |  |
| 5 | 3 | 1.54 | 0.06 |  |
| 6 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 7 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 24 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 29 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 30 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 42 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 55 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 76 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 77 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 98 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 99 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 8 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 14 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 18 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 36 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 38 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 40 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 63 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 66 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 71 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 97 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |
| 100 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.02 |  |



Study Reach 15 - Sheyenne River

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | 121 | 47.08 | 2.24 Entire Sample Picked |
| 6 | 46 | 17.90 | 0.85 |
| 3 | 35 | 13.62 | 0.65 |
| 17 | 14 | 5.45 | 0.26 |
| 23 | 6 | 2.33 | 0.11 |
| 96 | 5 | 1.95 | 0.09 |
| 77 | 4 | 1.56 | 0.07 |
| 10 | 3 | 1.17 | 0.06 |
| 14 | 3 | 1.17 | 0.06 |
| 18 | 3 | 1.17 | 0.06 |
| 76 | 3 | 1.17 | 0.06 |
| 16 | 2 | 0.78 | 0.04 |
| 29 | 2 | 0.78 | 0.04 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
| 28 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
| 44 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
| 54 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
| 66 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
| 80 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
| 90 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
| 101 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
| 102 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.02 |
|  |  |  |  |



Study Reach 16 - Maple River

| Taxa | \# of individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / square |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 105 | 20.75 | 12.8944 of 54 squares picked in a subsample of 10 |
| 49 | 79 | 15.61 | 9.70 |
| 1 | 58 | 11.46 | 7.12 |
| 17 | 52 | 10.28 | 6.38 |
| 3 | 38 | 7.51 | 4.66 |
| 24 | 34 | 6.72 | 4.17 |
| 9 | 20 | 3.95 | 2.45 |
| 2 | 15 | 2.96 | 1.84 |
| 16 | 13 | 2.57 | 1.60 |
| 14 | 12 | 2.37 | 1.47 |
| 45 | 10 | 1.98 | 1.23 |
| 5 | 8 | 1.58 | 0.98 |
| 50 | 7 | 1.38 | 0.86 |
| 29 | 6 | 1.19 | 0.74 |
| 31 | 6 | 1.19 | 0.74 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.99 | 0.61 |
| 20 | 5 | 0.99 | 0.61 |
| 104 | 5 | 0.99 | 0.61 |
| 106 | 5 | 0.99 | 0.61 |
| 48 | 4 | 0.79 | 0.49 |
| 32 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.25 |
| 39 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.25 |
| 55 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.25 |
| 69 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.25 |
| 103 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.25 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 54 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 57 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 59 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 66 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 85 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 88 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 90 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 105 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.12 |



Study Reach 17 - Maple River

| Taxa | \# of individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / square |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 147 | 29.40 | 13.3611 of 54 squares picked |
| 1 | 90 | 18.00 | 8.18 |
| 24 | 57 | 11.40 | 5.18 |
| 17 | 35 | 7.00 | 3.18 |
| 39 | 29 | 5.80 | 2.64 |
| 5 | 20 | 4.00 | 1.82 |
| 30 | 17 | 3.40 | 1.55 |
| 23 | 16 | 3.20 | 1.45 |
| 14 | 9 | 1.80 | 0.82 |
| 10 | 8 | 1.60 | 0.73 |
| 16 | 8 | 1.60 | 0.73 |
| 42 | 7 | 1.40 | 0.64 |
| 53 | 7 | 1.40 | 0.64 |
| 6 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.55 |
| 7 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.55 |
| 29 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.55 |
| 107 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.45 |
| 47 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.27 |
| 49 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.27 |
| 52 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.27 |
| 3 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.18 |
| 8 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.18 |
| 59 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.18 |
| 108 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.18 |
| 109 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.18 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.09 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.09 |
| 35 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.09 |
| 63 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.09 |
| 67 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.09 |
| 79 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.09 |
| 92 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.09 |
| 100 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.09 |



## Study Reach 18 - Maple River



## Study Reach 21 - Rush River



## Study Reach 22 - Rush River

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 14 | 183 | 37.20 | 5.38 34 or 54 squares picked |
| 42 | 114 | 23.17 | 3.35 |
| 17 | 96 | 19.51 | 2.82 |
| 19 | 15 | 3.05 | 0.44 |
| 107 | 14 | 2.85 | 0.41 |
| 122 | 13 | 2.64 | 0.38 |
| 103 | 8 | 1.63 | 0.24 |
| 92 | 5 | 1.02 | 0.15 |
| 29 | 4 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| 49 | 4 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| 108 | 4 | 0.81 | 0.12 |
| 9 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.09 |
| 20 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.09 |
| 32 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.09 |
| 47 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.09 |
| 50 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.09 |
| 112 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.09 |
| 123 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.09 |
| 79 | 2 | 0.41 | 0.06 |
| 121 | 2 | 0.41 | 0.06 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 45 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 67 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 115 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 117 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 119 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 120 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
|  |  |  |  |



## Study Reach 23 - Wolverton Creek

| Taxa | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / <br> square |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 42 | 325 | 63.23 | 25.00 |
| 13 or 54 squares picked |  |  |  |
| 14 | 37 | 7.20 | 2.85 |
| 48 | 34 | 6.61 | 2.62 |
| 103 | 17 | 3.31 | 1.31 |
| 123 | 15 | 2.92 | 1.15 |
| 116 | 14 | 2.72 | 1.08 |
| 17 | 11 | 2.14 | 0.85 |
| 36 | 10 | 1.95 | 0.77 |
| 47 | 10 | 1.95 | 0.77 |
| 29 | 9 | 1.75 | 0.69 |
| 50 | 7 | 1.36 | 0.54 |
| 92 | 3 | 0.58 | 0.23 |
| 16 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.15 |
| 20 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.15 |
| 45 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.15 |
| 52 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.15 |
| 115 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.15 |
| 120 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.15 |
| 126 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.15 |
| 128 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.15 |
| 57 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
| 107 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
| 124 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
| 125 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
| 127 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
| 129 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
|  |  |  |  |



## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 1

Date Sampled: 9/4/2012
35 of 54 squares picked in a subsample of 10

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 396 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 75 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 4 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | - | L | 7 | Damaged |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | - | 3 |  |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 1 | Damaged |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | A | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | L | 1 | 1 |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | - | L |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Argia | 1 |  |  |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Tricorythodes | 1 |  |  |
| Trichoptera | - | Gomphus | 1 | Damaged |  |  |
| Diplostraca | Macrothricidae | - | - | 1 |  |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia okoboji | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L |  |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paralauterborniella | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptotendipes | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | 1 |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Procloeon | L | 1 | 1 |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | - | L | 1 | L\&R/Voucher |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 2

Date Sampled: 8/31/2012
25 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 445 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 6 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 10 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 4 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 2 |  |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | A | 2 | Voucher (2) |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 2 |  |
| Coleoptera | Carabidae | - | - | A | 1 | Voucher |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | L | 1 |  |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Gomphus | L | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 1 |  |
| Collembola | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - |  | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Macronychus | L | 1 |  |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Stylurus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptotendipes | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paralauterborniella | L | 4 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | L | 3 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 3

Date Sampled: 8/31/2012
30 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 333 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 62 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 38 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 10 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 2 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | - | L | 1 | Damaged |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 2 |  |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | A | 2 |  |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Gomphus | L | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Decapoda | Hyalellidae | - | Hyalella azteca | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Probezzia | L | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | - | Liodessus | A | 1 | Voucher |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Potamyia | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Procloeon | L | 1 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Naididae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 6 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Axarus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Harnichia | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptotendipes | L | 1 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 4

Date Sampled: 8/31/2012
16 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 369 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 42 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 5 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 27 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Acerpenna | L | 7 | Damaged |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 7 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 9 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 2 |  |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Stylurus | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 5 |  |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa | - | 5 |  |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Gomphus | L | 2 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Maccaffertium | L | 3 |  |
| Collembola | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 1 |  |
| Decapoda | Hyalellidae | - | Hyalella azteca | - | 1 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | - | L | 1 | Early Instar |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | A | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | - | Caenis | L | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | - | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 7 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | L | 1 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Naididae | - | - | - | 2 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 5

Date Sampled: 8/31/2012
29 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 431 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 25 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | - | L | 1 | Damaged |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 9 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 3 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 2 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Maccaffertium | L | 1 |  |
| Araneae | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | - | Liodessus | A | 1 | Voucher |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Gomphus | L | 1 |  |
| Gastropoda | Hydrobiidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 3 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Nectopsyche | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 6 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Baetis | L | 2 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Procloeon | L | 6 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Acerpenna | L | 8 | Voucher (3) |
| Oligochaeta | Naididae | - | - | - | 5 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 6

Date Sampled: 8/31/2012
15 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 433 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 18 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 8 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | - | L | 1 | Damaged |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 1 |  |
| Collembola | - | - | - | 1 |  |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Harnischia | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 5 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Acerpenna | L | 1 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Naididae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa | - | 2 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | 3 |  |  |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | - | - | 1 | Large and Rare |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 7

Date Sampled: 8/20/2012
9 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 243 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 37 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 29 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | L | 33 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 21 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | - | L | 27 | Early Instar |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | - | 8 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 2 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Potamyia | L | 7 |  |
| Hemiptera | Gerridae | - | Rheumatobates | - | 5 |  |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 3 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | A | 2 |  |
| Diplostraca | Macrothricidae | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | - | L | 2 | Damaged |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa | - | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Dubiraphia | L | 2 | Voucher |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | P | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Macronychus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | L | 6 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | L | 9 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 15 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 4 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Axarus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Ablabesmyia | L | 4 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 8

Date Sampled: 8/31/2012
15 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 393 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 37 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 23 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 8 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 3 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | P | 3 |  |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | - | 3 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 2 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Cheumatopsyche | L | 1 |  |
| Diplostraca | Macrothricidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa | - | 1 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Oecetis | L | 1 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Hydrospsyche | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 12 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 4 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Naididae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 1 | Large and Rare |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | - | L | 1 | Large and Rare |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 9

Date Sampled: 8/21/2012
17 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 287 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 61 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 84 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 16 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara | A | 1 |  |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | - | 23 |  |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | 4 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | L | 12 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 10 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | - | Laccophilus | A | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Haliplidae | - | Peltodytes | A | 1 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Oecetis | L | 2 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | A | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Dubiraphia | L | 1 |  |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa |  | 1 |  |
| Basommatophora | Ancylidae | - | Ferrissia |  | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Trichoptera | - | - | - | P | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | L | 12 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Ablabesmyia | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 2 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 10

Date Sampled: 8/21/2012
17 of 54 squares picked in a subsample of 10

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 388 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 61 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 6 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 3 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 2 |  |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Hemiptera | Gerridae | - | Rheumatobates | L | 2 |  |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | - | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 1 |  |
| Cyclopoida | Cyclopidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Macronychus | L | 1 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Oecetis | L | 1 |  |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Dolichopodidae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | L | 15 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Dicrotendipes | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 2 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Naididae | - | - | - | 1 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 11

Date Sampled: 8/19/2012
12 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 260 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 47 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 28 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 22 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | - | L | 6 | Damaged |
| Calanoida | Diaptomidae | - | Diaptomus | - | 31 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 7 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Nectopsyche | L | 8 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Cheumatopsyche | L | 9 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Maccaffertium | L | 4 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 4 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 6 |  |
| Thysanoptera | - | - | - | - | 2 | Voucher (2) |
| Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | - | Caenis | L | 3 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 2 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | - | L | 1 | Early Instar |
| Araneae | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | - | 1 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Pisidium | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | Hydrellia | P | 1 |  |
| Diplostraca | Daphniidae | - | - | - | 1 | Voucher |
| Ostracoda | Candonidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | - | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Simuliidae | - | Simulium | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetiscidae | - | Baetisca | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Hydrospsyche | L | 1 |  |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | - | Tropisternus | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paralauterborniella | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | L | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Baetis | L | 16 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Apobaetis | L | 2 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Procloeon | L | 7 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Pseudocloeon | L | 8 |  |
| Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | - | Laccophilus | A | 1 | Large and Rare |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 1 | Large and Rare |
| Decapoda | Cambaridae | - | Orconectes | - | 1 | Large and Rare |
| Hemiptera | Nepidae | - | Ranatra fusca | A | 1 | Large and Rare |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 12

Date Sampled: 8/19/2012
20 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 204 | - |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 105 | - |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | - | L | 8 | Damaged |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Baetis | L | 8 | Voucher (4) |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 27 | - |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 29 | - |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 19 | - |
| Araneae | - | - | - | - | 7 | - |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | Hydrellia | L | 7 | - |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Heptagenia | L | 3 | Damaged |
| Decapoda | Cambaridae | - | Orconectes | - | 1 | - |
| Odonata | Calopterygidae | - | Hetaerina | L | 1 | - |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 4 | - |
| Calanoida | Diaptomidae | - | Diaptomus | - | 4 | Voucher (2) |
| Diptera | - | - | - | P | 2 | - |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 1 | - |
| Ephemeroptera | Ephemeridae | - | Pentagenia | L | 1 | Damaged |
| Hemiptera | Hebridae | - | Merragata | A | 1 | - |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | - | L | 1 | Early Instar |
| Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | - | Laccophilus | A | 1 | - |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Gomphus | L | 1 | - |
| Diptera | Psychodidae | - | Pericoma | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara | A | 1 | - |
| Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | - | Ochthebius | A | 1 | Voucher |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 2 | - |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 2 | - |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Apobaetis | L | 14 | - |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Procloeon | L | 22 | - |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Pseudocloeon | L | 8 | - |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Maccaffertium | L | 1 | - |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | - | L | 1 | Damaged |
| Oligochaeta | Naididae | - | - | - | 3 | - |
| Hemiptera | Nepidae | - | Ranatra fusca | A | 1 | Large and Rare |
| Hemiptera | Belostomatidae | - | Belostoma flumineum | A | 1 | Large and Rare |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 13

Date Sampled: 8/18/2012
47 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 210 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 31 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | - | L | 11 | Damaged |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 53 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 10 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Nectopsyche | L | 13 | Voucher (5) |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 13 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 14 | Voucher (4) |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | - | L | 6 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Cheumatopsyche | L | 5 | Voucher (3) |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Hydrospsyche | L | 2 | Voucher (1) |
| Araneae | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Maccaffertium | L | 6 | Voucher (2) |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Heptagenia | L | 3 | Voucher (1) |
| Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | - | Caenis | L | 5 | Voucher (2) |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | L | 2 | Voucher (1) |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 2 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara | A |  | Voucher (2) |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | Hydrellia | L | 3 | Voucher (2) |
| Diptera | - | - | - | P | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Probezzia | L |  | Voucher (2) |
| Trichoptera | Hydroptilidae | - | Neotrichia | L |  | Voucher (2) |
| Hemiptera | Gerridae | - | Rheumatobates | A | 2 | Voucher (2) |
| Hemiptera | Pleidae | - | Neoplea | A | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | A | 1 |  |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Ephemeridae | - | Hexagenia limbata | L | 1 |  |
| Odonata | Calopterygidae | - | Hetaerina | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 4 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 8 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Cricotopus | L | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Baetis | L | 6 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Apobaetis | L | 30 | Voucher (8) |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Procloeon | L | 17 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Pseudocloeon | L | 11 | Voucher (4) |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Rheotanytarsus exiguus gr. | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paracladopelma | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomini | L | 1 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 14

Date Sampled: 8/18/2012
Entire Sample Picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 51 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 47 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 12 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara lineata | A | 12 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Apobaetis | L | 2 | Damaged |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Hydrospsyche | L | 9 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | - | L | 7 | Early Instar |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 3 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 7 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | A | 2 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | - | Caenis | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | Parydra | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Trichoptera | Hydroptilidae | - | - | P | 2 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara | A | 2 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Nectopsyche | L | 1 |  |
| Araneae | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | Maccaffertium | L | 1 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | - | - | - | P | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 4 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 9 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Axarus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | - | L | 2 | Damaged |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paralauterborniella | L | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Baetis | L | 2 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Acerpenna | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | - | L | 1 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 15

Date Sampled: 8/17/2012
Entire Sample Picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 121 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 46 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 35 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Baetis | L | 3 | Damaged |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara lineata | A | 5 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 6 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 3 |  |
| Hemiptera | Nepidae | - | Ranatra fusca | - | 1 |  |
| Araneae | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 1 |  |
| Hemiptera | Pleidae | - | Neoplea | A | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | - | L | 1 | Damaged |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | Stylurus | L | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 1 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydroptilidae | - | Mayatrichia | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Coleoptera | Lampyridae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 14 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Harnischia | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paralauterborniella | L | 3 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Apobaetis | L | 4 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 16

Date Sampled: 8/13/2012
44 of 54 squares picked in a subsample of 10

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 38 | Voucher (10) |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 105 | Voucher (25) |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Enallagma | L | 2 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 58 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Ablabesmyia | L | 7 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 12 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Parachironomus | L | 5 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | L | 20 | Voucher (3) |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 23 | Voucher (10) |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | A | 11 | Voucher (5) |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 15 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 8 | Voucher (4) |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | - | 10 |  |
| Decapoda | Hyalellidae | - | Hyalella azteca | - | 6 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Dubiraphia | A | 3 | Voucher (2) |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Dubiraphia | L | 1 |  |
| Hemiptera | Pleidae | - | Neoplea | A | 1 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 5 |  |
| Araneae | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | - | L | 1 | Damaged/Early Instar |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 1 |  |
| Cyclopoida | Cyclopidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Diplostraca | Daphniidae | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Coleoptera | Haliplidae | - | Peltodytes | A | 1 |  |
| Nemata | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Probezzia | L | 2 |  |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa | - | 2 | Voucher (2) |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara | A | 2 |  |
| Hemiptera | Hebridae | - | Merragata | A | 1 | Voucher |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | L | 79 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 52 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Endochironomus | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 13 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 6 |  |
| Hemiptera | Belostomatidae | - | Belostoma flumineum | A | 1 | L\&R/Voucher |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 17

Date Sampled: 8/22/2012
11 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 147 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 90 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 56 |  |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa | - | 29 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 20 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 16 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 2 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Leptohyphidae | - | Tricorythodes | L | 8 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | - | Caenis | L | 7 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Ephemeridae | - | Hexagenia | L | 5 | Early Instar |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | P | 6 |  |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Hydrospsyche | L | 7 |  |
| Coleoptera | Heteroceridae | - | - | L | 2 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Oecetis | L | 3 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Nectopsyche | L | 1 |  |
| Cyclopoida | Cyclopidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | - | Procloeon | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | A | 1 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Pisidium | - | 1 |  |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | - | L | 1 | Early Instar |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 6 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | L | 17 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 9 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Nanocladius | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Cricotopus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 35 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 8 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 6 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paralauterborniella nigrohalteralis | L | 2 |  |
| Decapoda | Cambaridae | - | Orconectes | - | 1 | Large and Rare |
| Oligochaeta | Naididae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Cyclopoida | Cyclopidae | - | - | L | 1 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2012: Site 18

Date Sampled: 8/14/2012
11 of 54 squares picked

| Class/SubClass/Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 159 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Trichocorixa | A | 34 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 69 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | L | 9 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Palmacorixa gillettei | A | 34 |  |
| Oligochaeta | Tubificidae | - | - | - | 15 |  |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 35 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 6 |  |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | - | 5 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | L | 5 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Oecetis | L | 4 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | A | 3 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Pisidium | - | 2 | Voucher (2) |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Dubiraphia | L | 2 |  |
| Cyclopoida | Cyclopidae | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Diplostraca | Daphniidae | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Araneae | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 1 |  |
| Hemiptera | Pleidae | - | Neoplea | A | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | - | Laccophilus | A | 1 |  |
| Hemiptera | Nepidae | - | Ranatra fusca | A | 1 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara | A | 1 |  |
| Hemiptera | Corixidae | - | Sigara lineata | A | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Forcipomyia | L | 1 | Voucher |
| Ostracoda | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ephydridae | - | Hydrellia | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Ablabesmyia | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Cricotopus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 63 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 11 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | L | 27 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Endochironomus | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paralauterborniella | L | 3 |  |
| Hemiptera | Notonectidae | - | Notonecta | - | 1 | Large and Rare |
| Neotaenioglossa | Hydrobiidae | - | Amnicola limosa | - | 1 | Large and Rare |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomini | L | 1 |  |

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2011: Site 21

Data updated 1-24-12 with Chironomid information
Date Sampled: 9/13/2011
Entire sample picked

| Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Araneae | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Dubiraphia | L | 3 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | L | 156 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Stenelmis | A | 2 |  |
| Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | - | - | A | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | Ceratopogoninae | - | L | 3 | early instar |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Bezzia | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Culicoides | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Probezzia | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Axarus | L | 10 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 8 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 25 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptotendipes | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Harnischia | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Phaenopsectra | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | P | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Cricotopus | L | 22 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Nanocladius | L | 13 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | - | P | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Ablabesmyia | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 85 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Telopelopia | L | 54 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | - | - | - | 2 | Emerging |
| Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | - | Caenis | L | 13 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Ephemeridae | - | Hexagenia | L | 8 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Heptageniidae | - | - | L |  | Damaged |
| Lepidoptera | Noctuidae | - | - | L | 1 |  |
| Nemata | - | - | - | - | 4 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | - | L |  | Early Instar |
| Odonata | Gomphidae | - | - | L | 5 | Early Instar |
| Oligochaeta | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Trichoptera | Hydropsychidae | - | Cheumatopsyche | L | 32 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Sphaerium | - | 11 |  |

Red numbers and names indicate updated data after QA/QC

## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2011: Site 22

Data updated 1-24-12 with Chironomid information
Date Sampled: 9/12/2011
34 or 54 squares picked

| Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | - | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | - | - | A | 3 |  |
| Cyclpoida | Cyclopidae | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Decapoda | Cambaridae | - | Orconectes | - | 2 |  |
| Diplostraca | Bosminidae | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Culicoides | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Probezzia | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 96 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 4 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptotendipes | L | 15 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Glyptotendipes | L | 4 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Microchironomus | L | 13 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | P | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Cricotopus | L | 5 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Nanocladius | L | 4 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | - | P | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Ablabesmyia | L | 3 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 183 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | - | Caenis | L | 114 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Ephemeridae | - | Hexagenia | L | 14 |  |
| Heteroptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 3 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Argia | L | 3 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Enallagma | L | 8 |  |
| Oligochaeta | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| Veneroida | Pisidiidae | - | Pisidium | - | 1 |  |

[^30]
## Macroinvertebrate data, Fargo Diversion work, 2011: Site 23

## Data updated 1-24-12 with Chironomid information

Date Sampled: 9/14/2011
13 or 54 squares picked

| Order | Family | Subfamily | Genus | Life Stage | Count | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | - | Caenis | L | 325 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Procladius | L | 37 |  |
| Odonata | Coenagrionidae | - | Enallagma | L | 17 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Dubiraphia | L | 33 |  |
| Heteroptera | Corixidae | - | - | N | 15 |  |
| Acari | - | - | - | - | 10 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Cricotopus | L | 3 |  |
| Basommatophora | Physidae | - | Physa | - | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Ceratopogonidae | - | Culicoides | L | 2 |  |
| Heteroptera | Nepidae | - | Ranatra | A | 1 |  |
| Heteroptera | Belostomatidae | - | Belostoma | A | 1 |  |
| Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | - | Oecetis | L | 2 |  |
| Coleoptera | Elmidae | - | Dubiraphia | A | 1 |  |
| Amphipoda | Hyalellidae | - | Hyalella | - | 2 |  |
| Cyclpoida | Cyclopidae | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| Ephemeroptera | Ephemeridae | - | Hexagenia | L | 1 |  |
| Megaloptera | Sialidae | - | Sialis | L | 1 |  |
| Coleoptera | Haliplidae | - | Peltodytes | A | 1 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Chironomus | L | 11 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Axarus | L | 10 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Phaenopsectra | L | 14 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Polypedilum | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Cryptochironomus | L | 9 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | Paratanytarsus | L | 2 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Chironominae | - | L | 2 | damaged |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Tanypodinae | Ablabesmyia | L | 7 |  |
| Diptera | Chironomidae | Orthocladiinae | Synendotendipes | L | 1 |  |

Macroinvertebrate Data for 21 samples collected by URS
Samples processed and Identified by:

VCSU Macroinvertebrate Lab
101 SW College St.
Valley City, ND 58072
Contact Person:
Dr. Andre DeLorme
701-845-7573
andre.delorme@vcsu.edu
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued


Qragespottel sunfish weight (300) is a butel-weight Bluegill weight ( 10 o ) is a butch weigh gootfin Shiner weight (5915) is a bated weight Band' shiner weight ( 31 a) is a batch weight Frithead minnow weight ( 119 ) is a batch plight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued


Qraumgerpatel sup se weight (Ag) is a botel weight. Emailed shiner. weight (gog) is a batch weight: apoffin shiner weight $(78 \mathrm{~g})$ is a batch weight Sand shiner weight ( 18 g ) is a both weight.
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.


Figure 4. continued


Crangespof of Sunfish weight ( 14 g ) is a botel weight
Blweill weight 19 ) is a bate Emerald shiner is a bata-cenifut
Emerald shiner weight (cis)) is a batch weight apoftail shiner weight (109) is a bots weight s pouf shiner weight (489) is a bate weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.


Figure 4. continued

site 4. Red finer
Quality Assurance Project Plan
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Figure 4. continued
. 3.


Saul shiner weight (zee) is a batch weight. contmed from
Boffin shiner weight ( $10^{55} 9$ ) is a bath- weight Emerald shiner weight (lg) is a bate weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.



 Lathing (End): $\overline{2(6,726912 /-96.785317}$ vat Range: $130-170$ D.0.\%sat:
$\qquad$ 4.80 tamarack $\qquad$ nemenemp min

Tu rb $=43.5 \mathrm{NTV}$ Laban
$\qquad$ Kiva imp lines

Fish Data Sheet
Page
$\square$ of $\qquad$ 1
$\qquad$
 $\qquad$
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.






Figure 4. continued


Apoffin Shiner weight (Aa) is a batch weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued


Spotfin Shiver weight $(15 \mathrm{~g})$ is a batch weight.
Sand shine userght $(9 g)$ is a butch weight.
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.


15 frat Alminimim jo- boat, 5 hp verewing motor
 Freyumen $=120 \mathrm{hz}$

Quality Assurance Project Plan Red River Fish Assemblage Assessment Revision 1.0 - August 15, 2010

Page 15 of 56
Figure 4. continued


Drams spotted surfers weight (DEg) is a botch weight
Blumpill weight (3) is a bathe weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued


Ness meta 204 9

head 9 (Doid'trice Hives)
0911412012
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Figure 4. continued




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Feed: } 1 / \\
& 091 / 42002
\end{aligned}
$$
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Figure 4. continued


There minnow wright (820) is a batch weight Gand shiner weight ( 70 ) is ia botel weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
$\qquad$等

Fish Data Sheet

$$
-1.4
$$

KiPuTl

 $\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
RM: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
vase -60
Volt Range: $50-500$ oo. \%sat: $\qquad$
\% Range:
Amperage: 1) - 13 (will (2) pH: 6. 19 Latham (End): 46,75730/-26.806688
为
(1)

(2)

| Common |  | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Capo |  |  |

(3)

(4) | Golden |
| :--- |
| Red wise |
| 10 |

(5)


Equipurt: is ff flettrafton joe bout: 5 ip Merging not os
 Freguenery: 120 lz .
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Figure 4. continued


Spotfine Shiner weight ( 44 G ) is a batch-weight Sail shiner wight (22 an g is a batch weight Fathead Minnow relight (2g) is a batch weight

Reach 10 (Did erie Tower)
cal (15)12
Quality Assurance Project Plan
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Page 15 of 56

 (centimes or pg at)
kemble 1D (Dild'kice 'Fiver)
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Figure 4. continued


Dramberpetel sminise weer weighed in botel $=4319$

STEAD: Rain Present
For Initial 2,700 seconds
of Survey.
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued


Fathers minnow weight (23) is a batch weight Gepffin shiner weight ( 9 g) is a bate wight - Sablshiner weight $(7 \mathrm{~g}$ is a bated weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.


Figure 4. continued


Doth sh inner weight ( 479 ) is a botel weight saul shiver wight (23g) sis a bathe wight Father minnow wight $(13 \mathrm{~g})$ is a botch weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the ohio ECOS database.


Fish Data Sheet
$\operatorname{sen}+2$


(4)



Black Crapper weight (log) is butch weight

Gulden Red horse weight $(8,9)$ is is ant ec weight
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Figure 4. continued


Fathead Minnow weight $(10$,$) is a batchweight$
Sand Shiner weight $\left(15^{\circ},\right)$ is a batch weight.
Spotfir shine weight $15(16 \mathrm{~g})$ is a batch weight.
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.


Figure 4. continued


 Fathead minumai weight 13 a bate- weight towhead mimer Weight (13a) is a bate weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued


Sundshiner weight (9ileg) is a bate weight gouffin shiner weight (829) is a botel weight Fathead minnow weight $\left(\frac{15}{51} \mathrm{~g}\right)$ is a botel weight (cont don pay)
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.

Fish Data Sheet

$$
\operatorname{sen} 1 \times 2
$$

 $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$ $9 / 5 / 12$

Distance: $\qquad$ pp Temp $\frac{19.10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.100^{5}}$

Seconds Fished: 3206
River Code: $\qquad$ Sampler Type: $M_{i n i} B_{\text {eq }} 5,0<$ conductivity. $1,40 n^{5} / \mathrm{CM}$
 RM: $\qquad$ Secchi Depth: $\frac{4.0^{12}}{4.0 \mathrm{~m}(50.50 \mathrm{~m}) \text { Diss. Oxy }-7.22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}}$ Voltage: $120 \mathrm{~Hz}\left(P H_{s e} f_{c i \rightarrow} \rightarrow \mathrm{cc}\right)$ Doit Range: Low $(50.500)$ po \%sat $\qquad$ Lathomg(Med): $\qquad$
\% Range: $10-12$ Amperage: $i \mid-12$ PH: $\qquad$ Lanthorn (End): 46.905185$)^{-97.059} 918$ $T u r b=63.2 \mathrm{NTU}$

 wert inemad ia batch, weigh
 opotfin shiner weight (gog) is a batch weight
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Figure 4. continued


Fitheal minnow weight ( 1 g ) is a botch weight. Suhlshiner weight (23) is a batch weight Equipment: 15 At let boffon son bout; 15 hip Mercury mot or
5.0 GB elextroshodeing unit; 14 hp kohls Gfinerafor
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio
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Figure 4. continued
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.


Figure 4. continued


Comnon corrp offormidy $=$ abnermal sades Otrunpespoffel gufish voisfot (1875) is a bate weight.

Reach 17 (Maple'Kiver)
alloul2012
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Figure 4. continued


Totheal minnow weight ( 1 g ) is a bath weight
Garbshiner wight (sa) is a botch wight.
Bpofforshiner weight $\left(40^{15}\right)$ ) is a bath weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.


Figure 4. continued


Dravigespoftel sunfish weight ( 14 leg ) is a batch weight

Site 18 (Maple Finer)
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Figure 4. continued


Gouffin Shiner weft ( 1 ) is a botel weight Fathead minnow weight (549) is a bute weight
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Figure 4. Field data sheet for recording electrofishing collection data and for entry into the Ohio ECOS database.
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Figure 4. continued


## North Dakota Department of Health Division of Water Quality Biological Monitoring Field Collection Data Form

Station ID: S. te 21 Waterbody Name: Rush River Station Description: Upstream Location
De.unstrematite: N5204396.911m, F651309.593m
County: CASS
Township: $\qquad$ Range: $\qquad$ Section: $\qquad$ River Basin: $\qquad$ Ecoregion:
 $\qquad$ Water Temp: $\mathrm{pH}: 7.50$ Specific Cord.: $1.29 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{cm}$ Dissolved Oxygen: $4.67 \mathrm{mq} / \mathrm{L}$ Reach Length (m): 407,5 Average Reach Width (m): Field Number: $\|$ RRO2| Stream Habitat Type (\%): Riffle: ___ Pool: ___ S

Overhanging Vegetation: Snag:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Other: Aquatic Vegetation: $\qquad$ Undercut Bank: $\qquad$ Bottom SubstrateType(\%): Boulder: Cobble: Gravel: $\qquad$ Silt: 5 Clay: 95 Collection Method: Tote Barge Electroshetime Start:120/1324 Time Stop: $1158 / 1354$ total Time: 69 min Habitat Assesment: Xesor No Macroinvertebrate Sample: Yes or No Water Chemistry: Yes or No
Samplers): $\qquad$
Comments:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Decimal Dey-e Coordinates } \\
& \text { D.S.ENd } 46.975804 \text { LAT } \\
& \text {-97.010633 LiNO } \\
& \text { U.S.END 46.972908 LAt } \\
& \text {-97:013330 Long }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 7.16.1. Biological Monitoring Field Collection Data Form.

# North Dakota Department of Health 

 Division of Water QualityStation ID: Site 21
Fish Collection Field Form
Waterbody Name: Rush River
Station Description: Upstream Location

Location
County: CASS Township: Range: $\qquad$ Section: $\qquad$
River Basin: $\qquad$ Ecoregion: $\qquad$
Samplers): $\quad K P, 6 P, N B$
Comments:


Figure 7.16.2 Fish Collection Field Form.
511 Total

North Dakota Department of Health
Division of Water Quality
Biological Monitoring Field Collection Data Form
Station ID: $\qquad$ Field Number: $\qquad$
Waterbody Name: $\qquad$


485trensation Description: DOWNSTREAM LOCATON (COTTROL STRUCTURE)
County: $\qquad$ CASE Township: $\qquad$ Rand ge: $\qquad$ Section: $\qquad$
River Basin: $\qquad$ Ecoregion:
Weather (air temp, wind etc.): $21.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ C/aar, 5 trang wind, ( 30 mpd ) Flow (css): 2.12
Water Temp: $20,7 \mathrm{cpH}: 7.67$ Specific Conc.: $1.35 \mathrm{~ms} / \mathrm{cm}$ Dissolved Oxygen: $5,46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$
Reach Length (m): 448, 7 Average Reach Width (m): $\qquad$ Average Reach Depth (m):
Stream Habitat Type (\%): Riffle: Pool: Snag: __ Aquatic Vegetation: $\qquad$ Undercut Bank: $\qquad$
Overhanging Vegetation: $\qquad$ Other: $\qquad$
Bottom SubstrateType(\%): Boulder: $\qquad$ Cobble: $\qquad$ Gravel: $\qquad$ Silt: $\qquad$ Clay: Collection Method: Tote Barge Shock Time Start: 0945 Time stop: 1110 Total Time: 85 Min Habitat Assesmentres or No Macroinvertebrate Sample: 4
Samplers): KEvin Pulley, GaRy Prats, Nathan Badjett
Comments: $\qquad$ Electrach.e.te for 2,987 seconds. Decent Dey ru Cordials

D5. End 46.996386 Lat

$$
-96,924571 \text { Long }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { U. SENd } & 46.998627 \text { LAT } \\
& -96.929548 \text { LONE }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 7.16.1. Biological Monitoring Field Collection Data Form.

Section: 7.16
Revision: 2
January 2009
Page 6 of 6

## North Dakota Department of Health

## Division of Water Quality

Fish Collection Field Form
Station ID: SITE 22 Field Number: $\qquad$ Waterbody Name: RuSH RIVER
Downstresintation Description: DownsTREAM Location (CONTROL STRUGTURE)



Figure 7.16.2 Fish Collection Field Form.

Section: 7.16
Revision: 2
January 2009
Page 5 of 6

## North Dakota Department of Health <br> Division of Water Quality <br> Biological Monitoring Field Collection Data Form

Station ID: SITE 23
Field Number: $\qquad$
Waterbody Name: Wolverton Creek
Station Description: FOOTPRINT S, TE
 ENP County: CLAY $\qquad$ Ecoregion:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
River Basin: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Weather (air temp, wind, etc.): $\frac{41^{\circ} \dot{r} \text {, Sun. ir, (lour, Med wind }}{7.8^{\circ} \text { Flow (cts): } 0.35}$ Specific Cord.: $1.06 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{em}$ Dissolved Oxygen: $6.32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ Reach Length ( m ): $\qquad$ Average Reach Width (m): $\qquad$ Average Reach Depth (m):
 Snag: ___ Aquatic Vegetation: $\qquad$ Undercut Bank: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Other: $\qquad$ Clay: $\qquad$ Collection Method: TOTE B.4RGE SHockTime Start: $\| 121 / 1232$ Time Stop: $1151 / 1304$ Total Time: 62 Habitat Assesment or No Macroinvertebrate Sample: Nestor No Water Chemistry: Xes or No

Decimal Degrade Coordinates

$$
\text { D.S. End: } 46.702324 \text { LAT }
$$

$$
-96,768147 \mathrm{LONG}
$$

$$
\text { U.S. End: } 46669886 \text { LAT }
$$

$$
-96.767672 \text { LONG }
$$

Figure 7.16.1. Biological Monitoring Field Collection Data Form.

Section: 7.16
Revision: 2
January 2009
Page 6 of 6

## North Dakota Department of Health

Division of Water Quality
Fish Collection Field Form
Station ID: Site 23
Waterbody Name: Wolverton C reek
Station Description: FootPRINT Site
Downstreantinde: $\mathrm{N} 5174504,45 \%$, E 670615,
END County: CLAY Township. Field Number: $\quad \|$ WC OO River Basin: $\qquad$ Range:
$N 5174234.587 \mathrm{~m}, E 67065 \% 432 \mathrm{~m}$
Samplers): KP, G-P, NB
Comments:


Figure 7.16.2 Fish Collection Field Form.

Study Reach 1 - Red River of the North


Study Reach 2 - Red River of the North

|  | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance |  | Catch / hour Effort (sec) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Species | 68 | 41.98 | 45.71 | 5356.00 |
| Channel Catfish | 39 | 24.07 | 26.21 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 20 | 12.35 | 13.44 |  |
| Sand Shiner | 6 | 3.70 | 4.03 |  |
| Common Carp | 5 | 3.09 | 3.36 |  |
| Emerald Shiner | 4 | 2.47 | 2.69 | 2.69 |
| Bluegill | 4 | 2.47 | 2.69 |  |
| Goldeye | 4 | 2.47 | 2.02 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 3 | 1.85 | 2.02 |  |
| Freshwater Drum | 3 | 1.85 | 2.02 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 3 | 1.85 | 0.67 |  |
| Quillback | 1 | 0.62 | 0.67 |  |
| Golden Redhorse | 1 | 0.62 | 0.67 |  |
| Northern Pike | 1 | 0.62 |  |  |



Study Reach 3 - Red River of the North

| Species | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / hour Effort (sec) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Channel Catfish | 66 | 39.29 | 44.11 | 5386.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spotfin Shiner | 29 | 17.26 | 19.38 |  |
| Spottail Shiner | 15 | 8.93 | 10.03 |  |
| Common Carp | 11 | 6.55 | 7.35 |  |
| Emerald Shiner | 10 | 5.95 | 6.68 |  |
| Sand Shiner | 10 | 5.95 | 6.68 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 8 | 4.76 | 5.35 |  |
| Goldeye | 5 | 2.98 | 3.34 |  |
| Golden Redhorse | 4 | 2.38 | 2.67 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 3 | 1.79 | 2.01 |  |
| Bluegill | 2 | 1.19 | 1.34 |  |
| Freshwater Drum | 2 | 1.19 | 1.34 |  |
| Quillback | 1 | 0.60 | 0.67 |  |
| Rock Bass | 1 | 0.60 | 0.67 |  |
| Sauger | 1 | 0.60 | 0.67 |  |



Study Reach 4 - Red River of the North


Study Reach 5 - Red River of the North

| Species | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / hour Effort (sec) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | | Channel Catfish | 26 | 45.61 | 24.11 | 3882.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sand Shiner | 7 | 12.28 | 6.49 |  |
| Common Carp | 3 | 5.26 | 2.78 |  |
| Goldeye | 3 | 5.26 | 2.78 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 3 | 5.26 | 2.78 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 3 | 5.26 | 2.78 |  |
| Stonecat | 3 | 5.26 | 2.78 |  |
| Quillback | 2 | 3.51 | 1.85 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 1 | 1.75 | 0.93 |  |
| Freshwater Drum | 1 | 1.75 | 0.93 |  |
| Golden Redhorse | 1 | 1.75 | 0.93 |  |
| Rock Bass | 1 | 1.75 | 0.93 |  |
| Sauger | 1 | 1.75 | 0.93 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 1 | 1.75 | 0.93 |  |
| Walleye | 1 | 1.75 | 0.93 |  |



Study Reach 6 - Red River of the North

|  | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Species | 23 | 29.49 | 13.56 | Catch / hour Effort (sec) |



Study Reach 7 - Wild Rice River

| Species | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance |  | Catch / hour Effort (sec) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 129 | 37.18 | 133.14 | 3488.00 |
| Spotfin Shiner | 118 | 34.01 | 121.79 |  |
| Sand Shiner | 55 | 15.85 | 56.77 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 15 | 4.32 | 15.48 |  |
| Common Carp | 14 | 4.03 | 14.45 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 8 | 2.31 | 8.26 |  |
| Walleye | 3 | 0.86 | 3.10 |  |
| Goldeye | 1 | 0.29 | 1.03 |  |
| Sauger | 1 | 0.29 | 1.03 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 1 | 0.29 | 1.03 |  |
| Stonecat | 1 | 0.29 | 1.03 |  |
| Trout Perch | 1 | 0.29 | 1.03 |  |



Study Reach 8 - Wild Rice River

|  | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance |  | Catch / hour |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | Effort (sec) | Species | 79 | 42.93 | 74.49 | 3818.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 42 | 22.83 | 39.60 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 22 | 11.96 | 20.74 |  |
| Common Carp | 16 | 8.70 | 15.09 |  |
| Sand Shiner | 11 | 5.98 | 10.37 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 7 | 3.80 | 6.60 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 3 | 1.63 | 2.83 |  |
| Bluegill | 2 | 1.09 | 1.89 |  |
| Quillback | 1 | 0.54 | 0.94 |  |
| Golden Redhorse | 1 | 0.54 | 0.94 |  |



Study Reach 9 - Wild Rice River

| Species | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / hour | Effort (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 383 | 73.23 | 255.76 | 5391.00 |
| Fathead Minnow | 62 | 11.85 | 41.40 |  |
| Common Carp | 41 | 7.84 | 27.38 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 15 | 2.87 | 10.02 |  |
| Sand Shiner | 11 | 2.10 | 7.35 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 2 | 0.38 | 1.34 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 2 | 0.38 | 1.34 |  |
| Walleye | 2 | 0.38 | 1.34 |  |
| White Sucker | 2 | 0.38 | 1.34 |  |
| Black Crappie | 1 | 0.19 | 0.67 |  |
| Stonecat | 1 | 0.19 | 0.67 |  |
| Trout Perch | 1 | 0.19 | 0.67 |  |



Study Reach 10 - Wild Rice River

| Species | \# of individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / hour | Effort (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 382 | 70.35 | 311.41 | 4416.00 |
| Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 10.13 | 44.84 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 39 | 7.18 | 31.79 |  |
| Sand Shiner | 24 | 4.42 | 19.57 |  |
| Goldeye | 8 | 1.47 | 6.52 |  |
| Freshwater Drum | 6 | 1.10 | 4.89 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 6 | 1.10 | 4.89 |  |
| Common Carp | 4 | 0.74 | 3.26 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 4 | 0.74 | 3.26 |  |
| Quillback | 4 | 0.74 | 3.26 |  |
| Golden Redhorse | 3 | 0.55 | 2.45 |  |
| Black Bullhead | 2 | 0.37 | 1.63 |  |
| Sauger | 2 | 0.37 | 1.63 |  |
| Walleye | 2 | 0.37 | 1.63 |  |
| Rock Bass | 1 | 0.18 | 0.82 |  |
| White Bass | 1 | 0.18 | 0.82 |  |



Study Reach 11 - Sheyenne River

| Species | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / hour Effort (sec) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | | Channel Catfish | 11 | 22.45 | 8.26 | 4797.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Sand Shiner | 10 | 20.41 | 7.50 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 8 | 16.33 | 6.00 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 3 | 6.12 | 2.25 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 3 | 6.12 | 2.25 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 2 | 4.08 | 1.50 |  |
| Quillback | 2 | 4.08 | 1.50 |  |
| White Bass | 2 | 4.08 | 1.50 |  |
| White Sucker | 2 | 4.08 | 1.50 |  |
| Golden Redhorse | 1 | 2.04 | 0.75 |  |
| Goldeye | 1 | 2.04 | 0.75 |  |
| Rock Bass | 1 | 2.04 | 0.75 |  |
| Smallmouth Bass | 1 | 2.04 | 0.75 |  |
| Trout Perch | 1 | 2.04 | 0.75 |  |
| Walleye | 1 | 2.04 | 0.75 |  |



Study Reach 12 - Sheyenne River

| Species | \# of individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / hour | Effort (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand Shiner | 47 | 34.31 | 27.20 | 6220.00 |
| Spotfin Shiner | 39 | 28.47 | 22.57 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 12 | 8.76 | 6.95 |  |
| White Sucker | 9 | 6.57 | 5.21 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 8 | 5.84 | 4.63 |  |
| Black Crappie | 4 | 2.92 | 2.32 |  |
| Goldeye | 4 | 2.92 | 2.32 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 3 | 2.19 | 1.74 |  |
| Trout Perch | 3 | 2.19 | 1.74 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 2 | 1.46 | 1.16 |  |
| Black Bullhead | 1 | 0.73 | 0.58 |  |
| Common Carp | 1 | 0.73 | 0.58 |  |
| Golden Redhorse | 1 | 0.73 | 0.58 |  |
| Smallmouth Bass | 1 | 0.73 | 0.58 |  |
| Walleye | 1 | 0.73 | 0.58 |  |
| White Bass | 1 | 0.73 | 0.58 |  |



Study Reach 13 - Sheyenne River

| Species | \# of individuals | \% relative abundance | Catch / hour | Effort (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand Shiner | 39 | 43.33 | 29.68 | 4731.00 |
| Channel Catfish | 13 | 14.44 | 9.89 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 10 | 11.11 | 7.61 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 9 | 10.00 | 6.85 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 5 | 5.56 | 3.80 |  |
| Black Crappie | 3 | 3.33 | 2.28 |  |
| Golden Redhorse | 3 | 3.33 | 2.28 |  |
| Goldeye | 3 | 3.33 | 2.28 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 2 | 2.22 | 1.52 |  |
| Walleye | 2 | 2.22 | 1.52 |  |
| Common Carp | 1 | 1.11 | 0.76 |  |



Study Reach 14 - Sheyenne River

|  | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Species | Catch / hour Effort (sec) |  |  |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 28.67 | 32.02 | 4834.00 |
| Sand Shiner | 21 | 26.67 | 29.79 |  |
| Goldeye | 14 | 14.00 | 15.64 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 7 | 9.33 | 10.43 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 7 | 4.67 | 5.21 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 4 | 4.67 | 5.21 |  |
| Quillback | 4 | 2.67 | 2.98 |  |
| White Sucker | 3 | 2.67 | 2.98 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 2 | 2.00 | 2.23 |  |
| Common Carp | 2 | 1.33 | 1.49 |  |
| Trout Perch | 1 | 1.33 | 1.49 |  |
| Sauger | 1 | 0.67 | 0.74 |  |
| Walleye | 1 | 0.67 | 0.74 |  |
| White Bass |  | 0.67 | 0.74 |  |



Study Reach 15 - Sheyenne River

|  | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance |  | Catch / hour |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | Effort (sec) | Species | 84 | 35.59 | 61.26 | 4936.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sand Shiner | 59 | 25.00 | 43.03 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 56 | 23.73 | 40.84 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 13 | 5.51 | 9.48 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 11 | 4.66 | 8.02 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 5 | 2.12 | 3.65 |  |
| White Sucker | 4 | 1.69 | 2.92 |  |
| Goldeye | 2 | 0.85 | 1.46 |  |
| Trout Perch | 1 | 0.42 | 0.73 |  |
| Quillback | 1 | 0.42 | 0.73 |  |



Study Reach 16 - Maple River

| Species | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / hour Effort (sec) |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 58.02 | 52.78 | 3206.00 |
| Common Carp | 7 | 8.64 | 7.86 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 5 | 6.17 | 5.61 |  |
| White Sucker | 5 | 6.17 | 5.61 |  |
| Rock Bass | 4 | 4.94 | 4.49 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 3 | 3.70 | 3.37 |  |
| Sand Shiner | 3 | 3.70 | 3.37 |  |
| Trout Perch | 2 | 2.47 | 2.25 |  |
| Black Redhorse | 1 | 1.23 | 1.12 |  |
| Bluegill | 1 | 1.23 | 1.12 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 1 | 1.23 | 1.12 |  |
| Quillback | 1 | 1.23 | 1.12 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 1 | 1.23 | 1.12 |  |



Study Reach 17 - Maple River

| Species | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance | Catch / hour | Effort (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 142 | 37.08 | 90.48 | 5650.00 |
| Common Carp | 48 | 12.53 | 30.58 |  |
| White Sucker | 46 | 12.01 | 29.31 |  |
| River Carpsucker | 31 | 8.09 | 19.75 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 29 | 7.57 | 18.48 |  |
| Trout Perch | 29 | 7.57 | 18.48 |  |
| Sand Shiner | 25 | 6.53 | 15.93 |  |
| Freshwater Drum | 13 | 3.39 | 8.28 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 9 | 2.35 | 5.73 |  |
| Quillback | 5 | 1.31 | 3.19 |  |
| Fathead Minnow | 3 | 0.78 | 1.91 |  |
| Black Bullhead | 2 | 0.52 | 1.27 |  |
| Rock Bass | 1 | 0.26 | 0.64 |  |



Study Reach 18 - Maple River

|  | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Species | 85 | 34.00 | 130.21 | 2350.00 |
| Fathead Minnow | 80 | 32.00 | 122.55 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 52 | 20.80 | 79.66 |  |
| Common Carp | 7 | 2.80 | 10.72 |  |
| Shorthead Redhorse | 5 | 2.00 | 7.66 |  |
| Rock Bass | 4 | 1.60 | 6.13 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 3 | 1.20 | 4.60 |  |
| Black Bullhead | 3 | 1.20 | 4.60 |  |
| Freshwater Drum | 3 | 1.20 | 4.60 |  |
| River Carpsucker | 2 | 0.80 | 3.06 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 2 | 0.80 | 3.06 |  |
| White Sucker | 1 | 0.40 | 1.53 |  |
| Black Redhorse | 1 | 0.40 | 1.53 |  |
| Golden Redhorse | 1 | 0.40 | 1.53 |  |
| Trout Perch | 1 | 0.40 | 1.53 |  |



Study Reach 21 - Rush River


Study Reach 22 - Rush River

|  | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Species | 74 | 27.21 | 91.96 | 2897.00 |
| Common Carp | 61 | 22.43 | 75.80 |  |
| Freshwater Drum | 19 | 6.99 | 23.61 |  |
| Trout Perch | 17 | 6.25 | 21.13 |  |
| Black Bullhead | 16 | 5.88 | 19.88 |  |
| Quillback | 16 | 5.88 | 19.88 |  |
| Sand Shiner | 11 | 4.04 | 13.67 |  |
| Bluegill | 10 | 3.68 | 12.43 |  |
| Walleye | 9 | 3.31 | 11.18 |  |
| White Sucker | 8 | 2.94 | 9.94 |  |
| Blackside Darter | 8 | 2.94 | 9.94 |  |
| White Bass | 7 | 2.57 | 8.70 |  |
| Tadpole Madtom | 6 | 2.21 | 7.46 |  |
| Yellow Perch | 4 | 1.47 | 4.97 |  |
| Northern Pike | 2 | 0.74 | 2.49 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 2 | 0.74 | 2.49 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 1 | 0.37 | 1.24 |  |
| Black Crappie | 1 | 0.37 | 1.24 |  |
| Brown Bullhead |  |  |  |  |



Study Reach 23 - Wolverton Creek

|  | \# of <br> individuals | \% relative <br> abundance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Species | 53 | 44.17 | 58.93 | 3238.00 |
| Black Bullhead | 21 | 17.50 | 23.35 |  |
| Orangespotted Sunfish | 10 | 8.33 | 11.12 |  |
| Common Carp | 8 | 6.67 | 8.89 |  |
| Blackside Darter | 6 | 5.00 | 6.67 |  |
| Green Sunfish | 6 | 5.00 | 6.67 |  |
| Spotfin Shiner | 5 | 4.17 | 5.56 |  |
| Walleye | 3 | 2.50 | 3.34 |  |
| Northern Pike | 3 | 2.50 | 3.34 |  |
| White Bass | 2 | 1.67 | 2.22 |  |
| Rock Bass | 2 | 1.67 | 2.22 | 1.11 |



## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 720 | 4500 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 435 | 610 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 215 | 77 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 365 | 390 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 450 | 830 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 360 | 310 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 330 | 220 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 330 | 220 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 480 | 930 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 200 | 63 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 215 | 74 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 355 | 240 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 210 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 250 | 110 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 225 | 83 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 255 | 124 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 220 | 78 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Channel Catfish | 210 | 69 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Common Carp | 460 | 1600 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Common Carp | 490 | 2300 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Common Carp | 465 | 2400 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Common Carp | 110 | 19 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Common Carp | 480 | 1400 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Common Carp | 70 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Common Carp | 520 | 2000 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Common Carp | 525 | 1700 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Walleye | 590 | 1850 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Walleye | 325 | 220 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Goldeye | 335 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 220 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Goldeye | 345 | 220 | Individual | L |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Goldeye | 315 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Freshwater Drum | 290 | 260 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Freshwater Drum | 330 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Freshwater Drum | 300 | 350 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Quillback | 320 | 390 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Quillback | 370 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 380 | 590 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 380 | 590 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 80 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Rock Bass | 215 | 190 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Rock Bass | 210 | 170 | Individual |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Bluegill | 50 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Bluegill | 40 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Bluegill | 30 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Bluegill | 30 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 26 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Sand Shiner | 43 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/4/12 | Fathead Minnow | 47 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 355 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 330 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 85 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 305 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 345 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 495 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 775 | 4700 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 400 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 660 | 4200 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 495 | 1450 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 510 | 2000 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 480 | 1350 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 680 | 4600 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 595 | 3400 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 505 | 2100 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 745 | 5100 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 595 | 3400 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Common Carp | 765 | 5500 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 410 | 675 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Smallmouth Buffalo | 320 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Smallmouth Buffalo | 320 | 425 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Freshwater Drum | 265 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Freshwater Drum | 240 | 125 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Goldeye | 315 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | White Sucker | 80 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Black Crappie | 130 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Black Crappie | 70 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 95 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Bluegill | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Bluegill | 40 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Bluegill | 45 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Bluegill | 45 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Bluegill | 45 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 59 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 75 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 59 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 31 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 31 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 30 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 1 | 9/21/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 11 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 315 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 510 | 1150 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 305 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 300 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 305 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 510 | 1325 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 305 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 380 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 215 | 80 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 300 | 190 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 195 | 55 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 145 | 23 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Channel Catfish | 40 | 1 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Common Carp | 470 | 1950 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Common Carp | 450 | 975 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 415 | 875 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 365 | 550 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 285 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 8/31/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 68 | 30 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 475 | 950 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 710 | 4200 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 405 | 525 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 335 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 385 | 425 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 330 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 320 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 285 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 455 | 750 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 485 | 1125 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 470 | 950 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 335 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 385 | 425 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 420 | 650 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 320 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 400 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 275 | 125 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 355 | 275 | Individual |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 410 | 550 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 645 | 3300 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 360 | 350 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 250 | 80 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 280 | 152 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 305 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 295 | 165 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 180 | 54 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 295 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 315 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 265 | 123 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 225 | 80 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 205 | 58 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 280 | 140 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 215 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 205 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 315 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 195 | 60 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 275 | 160 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 195 | 60 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 280 | 170 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 140 | 21 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 300 | 190 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 200 | 50 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 155 | 30 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 170 | 32 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 135 | 20 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 205 | 62 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 205 | 69 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 165 | 35 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 185 | 47 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 700 | 3650 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 490 | 1200 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 470 | 925 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 63 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Northern Pike | 700 | 1375 | Individual | L |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Golden Redhorse | 435 | 825 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Freshwater Drum | 310 | 275 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Freshwater Drum | 260 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Freshwater Drum | 148 | 36 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Common Carp | 505 | 1525 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Common Carp | 615 | 2700 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Common Carp | 545 | 2500 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Common Carp | 520 | 1675 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Common Carp | 645 | 3600 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Common Carp | 525 | 2550 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Quillback | 410 | 825 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Quillback | 415 | 1050 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Quillback | 129 | 24 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Walleye | 360 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Goldeye | 325 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Goldeye | 365 | 375 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 370 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 195 | 95 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 105 | 15 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Bluegill | 40 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Bluegill | 30 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Bluegill | 30 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Bluegill | 30 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Emerald Shiner | 50 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Emerald Shiner | 55 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Emerald Shiner | 60 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Emerald Shiner | 55 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Emerald Shiner | 70 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 78 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 78 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 18 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 9/8/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 18 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 290 | 125 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 430 | 600 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 435 | 625 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 410 | 525 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 305 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 375 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 365 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 300 | 125 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 200 | 25 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 420 | 675 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Golden Redhorse | 455 | 875 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 8/30/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 650 | 3400 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 590 | 2400 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 585 | 1950 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 460 | 725 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 390 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 335 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 280 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 335 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 335 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 535 | 1475 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 610 | 2800 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 425 | 600 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 460 | 925 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 300 | 125 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 440 | 675 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 440 | 675 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 275 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 460 | 850 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 480 | 1100 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 365 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 410 | 550 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 405 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 320 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 295 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 260 | 110 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 385 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 280 | 135 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 395 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 385 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 300 | 190 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 235 | 95 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 250 | 120 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 255 | 115 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 255 | 113 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 240 | 120 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 270 | 160 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 480 | 1050 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 365 | 350 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 250 | 120 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 400 | 475 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 415 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 360 | 350 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 210 | 65 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 235 | 100 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 190 | 56 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 220 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 285 | 170 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 190 | 50 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 190 | 48 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 230 | 100 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 210 | 70 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 200 | 60 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 200 | 50 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 215 | 70 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 130 | 15 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 120 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 320 | 235 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 260 | 125 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 180 | 45 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 185 | 47 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 130 | 20 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 190 | 60 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 445 | 1700 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 520 | 1775 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 520 | 1600 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 715 | 4400 | Individual | N |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 600 | 3200 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 500 | 1400 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 600 | 3300 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 475 | 1550 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 600 | 3000 | Individual | L |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 565 | 2600 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Common Carp | 520 | 2300 | Individual | L |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Golden Redhorse | 430 | 775 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Golden Redhorse | 440 | 925 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Golden Redhorse | 500 | 1275 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Golden Redhorse | 230 | 130 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Freshwater Drum | 340 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Freshwater Drum | 330 | 350 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 395 | 625 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 100 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 75 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Goldeye | 355 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Goldeye | 315 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Goldeye | 300 | 195 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sauger | 315 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Quillback | 330 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Rock Bass | 140 | 62 | Individual |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 14 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 14 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 14 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 14 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 14 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 14 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 14 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 14 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Bluegill | 25 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Bluegill | 30 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 55 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 40 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 45 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 30 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 45 | 6 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 45 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 50 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 40 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 40 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Emerald Shiner | 40 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 40 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 40 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 45 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 40 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 40 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 35 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 40 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 40 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 35 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spottail Shiner | 45 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 3 | 9/9/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Common Carp | 680 | 4500 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Common Carp | 550 | 2400 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Common Carp | 540 | 2200 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Channel Catfish | 315 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Channel Catfish | 420 | 600 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Channel Catfish | 520 | 1225 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Channel Catfish | 410 | 475 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Smallmouth Bass | 385 | 725 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 285 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Goldeye | 325 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Goldeye | 355 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Black Crappie | 73 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 8/29/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 780 | 7100 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 575 | 2900 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 510 | 2200 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 530 | 2500 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 570 | 2700 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 560 | 2900 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 540 | 2400 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 520 | 2000 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 510 | 2100 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 470 | 1500 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Common Carp | 85 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 420 | 600 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 455 | 725 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 365 | 350 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 450 | 775 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 460 | 950 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 505 | 1075 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 470 | 875 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 490 | 900 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 470 | 875 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 575 | 2500 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 405 | 550 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 435 | 700 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 420 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 435 | 725 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 270 | 140 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 410 | 550 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 770 | 4700 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 400 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 480 | 1000 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 335 | 700 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 435 | 675 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 510 | 1000 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 430 | 650 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 370 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 445 | 775 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 295 | 160 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 150 | 22 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 255 | 133 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 80 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 430 | 700 | Individual | L |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 385 | 575 | Individual | L |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 405 | 625 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 330 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 360 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 375 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 360 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 380 | 475 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 440 | 725 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 355 | 425 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Golden Redhorse | 490 | 1275 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Golden Redhorse | 405 | 700 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Golden Redhorse | 490 | 1600 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Golden Redhorse | 370 | 425 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Golden Redhorse | 410 | 700 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Golden Redhorse | 80 | 10 | Individual |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Golden Redhorse | 105 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Golden Redhorse | 85 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 360 | 575 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 270 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 470 | 1800 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 460 | 1700 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 440 | 1075 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 330 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 395 | 700 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 405 | 775 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 275 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 270 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Quillback | 295 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Northern Pike | 505 | 525 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Northern Pike | 500 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Northern Pike | 510 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Goldeye | 325 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Goldeye | 315 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Goldeye | 205 | 82 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sauger | 325 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Freshwater Drum | 435 | 1025 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Freshwater Drum | 340 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Smallmouth Bass | 110 | 20 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Rock Bass | 120 | 35 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | White Bass | 135 | 30 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Trout Perch | 70 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Trout Perch | 65 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Trout Perch | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Trout Perch | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | White Sucker | 80 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 36 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 105 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 75 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 75 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 75 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 105 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Emerald Shiner | 75 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Emerald Shiner | 40 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Emerald Shiner | 55 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Emerald Shiner | 40 | 6 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Fathead Minnow | 30 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spottail Shiner | 50 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spottail Shiner | 45 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spottail Shiner | 40 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spottail Shiner | 40 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spottail Shiner | 50 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spottail Shiner | 45 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 4 | 9/11/12 | Spottail Shiner | 40 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/1/12 | Quillback | 260 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/1/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/1/12 | Channel Catfish | 85 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/1/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/1/12 | Channel Catfish | 205 | 75 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/1/12 | Channel Catfish | 155 | 30 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/1/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/1/12 | Channel Catfish | 45 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/1/12 | Rock Bass | 138 | 61 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Common Carp | 575 | 3050 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Common Carp | 590 | 3000 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Common Carp | 650 | 4300 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 480 | 900 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 470 | 875 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 490 | 1050 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 360 | 350 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 475 | 875 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 205 | 66 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 320 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 460 | 750 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 580 | 1750 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 515 | 1325 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 415 | 650 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 440 | 650 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 450 | 800 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 555 | 2000 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 440 | 725 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 485 | 875 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 525 | 1275 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 485 | 1025 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 480 | 975 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 365 | 425 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 205 | 71 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 160 | 68 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 210 | 68 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 205 | 65 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Walleye | 465 | 800 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Quillback | 370 | 575 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Quillback | 400 | 775 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Stonecat | 195 | 53 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Stonecat | 155 | 30 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Stonecat | 200 | 65 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Golden Redhorse | 150 | 27 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Freshwater Drum | 100 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Rock Bass | 135 | 65 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 5 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 5 | 9/10/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Quillback | 360 | 675 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Quillback | 260 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Quillback | 285 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 290 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Channel Catfish | 290 | 180 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Channel Catfish | 160 | 33 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Channel Catfish | 215 | 78 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Channel Catfish | 160 | 31 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Common Carp | 360 | 600 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Black Crappie | 205 | 130 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Freshwater Drum | 120 | 17 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 28 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 4 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/2/12 | Stonecat | 205 | 84 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Common Carp | 655 | 4300 | Individual | E |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Common Carp | 555 | 2600 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Common Carp | 545 | 2200 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Common Carp | 505 | 1800 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Common Carp | 310 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 290 | 180 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 315 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 500 | 1175 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 245 | 110 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 370 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 355 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 365 | 375 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 430 | 600 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 490 | 1025 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 490 | 1050 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 335 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 440 | 700 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 520 | 1225 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 475 | 975 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 305 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 450 | 750 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 210 | 75 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 45 | 1 | Individual |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 40 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 45 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Channel Catfish | 45 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Quillback | 420 | 875 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Quillback | 430 | 925 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Quillback | 430 | 1000 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 395 | 550 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 320 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 370 | 450 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 355 | 425 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Goldeye | 350 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Goldeye | 340 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Goldeye | 370 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Goldeye | 335 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Freshwater Drum | 240 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sauger | 320 | 230 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 20 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 9 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Sand Shiner | 20 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 6 | 9/10/12 | Trout Perch | 60 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 135 | 52 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 150 | 56 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 140 | 49 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 145 | 55 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 39 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 150 | 57 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 420 | 1300 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 375 | 1100 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 670 | 4100 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 640 | 3800 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 515 | 2000 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 640 | 4300 | Individual | E |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Common Carp | 540 | 2500 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 140 | 24 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 115 | 15 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 185 | 54 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 165 | 38 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 605 | 2400 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 570 | 2200 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 465 | 800 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 370 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 710 | 3900 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 520 | 1150 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 430 | 725 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 355 | 380 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Channel Catfish | 390 | 550 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Goldeye | 335 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 425 | 800 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sauger | 370 | 350 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Walleye | 265 | 125 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Walleye | 285 | 125 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Walleye | 295 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 7 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Fathead Minnow | 30 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 136 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 136 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 38 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 38 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Trout Perch | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Stonecat | 75 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 20 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 20 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 20 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 20 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 214 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 20 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 7 | 9/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 214 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Channel Catfish | 585 | 1600 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Channel Catfish | 445 | 800 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Channel Catfish | 330 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Channel Catfish | 355 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Channel Catfish | 320 | 275 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 610 | 3200 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 590 | 3100 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 685 | 5400 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 520 | 2300 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 580 | 3000 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 510 | 2100 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 155 | 55 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 150 | 65 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 140 | 56 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 160 | 45 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 140 | 45 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 95 | 13 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 45 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 40 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 50 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 45 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 45 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Common Carp | 50 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Golden Redhorse | 310 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 220 | 130 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Quillback | 170 | 70 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Quillback | 115 | 20 | Individual |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 125 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 125 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Bluegill | 55 | 3 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Bluegill | 25 | 3 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Bluegill | 30 | 3 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 30 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 35 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Fathead Minnow | 30 | 35 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 8 | 9/12/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 645 | 4100 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 600 | 3300 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 645 | 4200 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 635 | 3900 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 80 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 100 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 85 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 85 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 50 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 45 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 440 | 900 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 140 | 22 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 120 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 115 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 150 | 25 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 80 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 45 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Walleye | 285 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Walleye | 240 | 120 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Black Crappie | 220 | 168 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 100 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 100 | 13 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | White Sucker | 110 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Stonecat | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Trout Perch | 75 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 75 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 7 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Sand Shiner | 20 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 65 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 65 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 20 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 85 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 95 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 90 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 90 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 85 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 125 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 9 | 9/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 95 | 595 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 770 | 5500 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 675 | 3600 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 730 | 4600 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 460 | 720 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 320 | 230 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 575 | 2300 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 415 | 590 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 620 | 2600 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 315 | 240 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 580 | 1760 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 555 | 1530 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 470 | 800 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 400 | 490 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 320 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 230 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 300 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 300 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities E - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 340 | 320 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 320 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 290 | 140 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 330 | 240 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 240 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 380 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 380 | 380 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 430 | 580 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 320 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 260 | 106 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 250 | 100 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 240 | 95 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 270 | 136 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 320 | 210 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 240 | 100 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 350 | 340 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 220 | 75 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 400 | 580 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Common Carp | 560 | 2000 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Common Carp | 360 | 580 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Common Carp | 500 | 1620 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Common Carp | 300 | 320 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Walleye | 505 | 1100 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Walleye | 415 | 720 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Golden Redhorse | 525 | 510 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Golden Redhorse | 75 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Golden Redhorse | 80 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 410 | 760 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 420 | 620 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 370 | 610 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 115 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 100 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 110 | 13 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | White Bass | 370 | 460 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Quillback | 450 | 1100 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Quillback | 420 | 1040 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Quillback | 320 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Quillback | 270 | 280 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Goldeye | 365 | 320 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Goldeye | 350 | 360 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Goldeye | 360 | 310 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 260 | Individual |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 240 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 220 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Goldeye | 360 | 240 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Rock Bass | 250 | 380 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sauger | 325 | 210 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sauger | 380 | 390 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Freshwater Drum | 290 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Freshwater Drum | 300 | 280 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Freshwater Drum | 480 | 1300 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Freshwater Drum | 310 | 220 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Freshwater Drum | 220 | 100 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Freshwater Drum | 220 | 100 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Black Bullhead | 130 | 30 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Black Bullhead | 125 | 25 | Individual |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 75 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 44 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 44 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 22 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 22 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities E-eroded fins L- lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 65 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities E-eroded fins L- lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 431 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities E-eroded fins L- lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 85 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities E-eroded fins L- lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities E-eroded fins L- lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 65 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities E-eroded fins L- lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities E-eroded fins L- lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 10 | 9/15/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 431 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 355 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 290 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 410 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 280 | 125 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 280 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 650 | 4000 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 70 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Quillback | 450 | 1100 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Quillback | 380 | 700 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 355 | 425 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 330 | 325 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 375 | 450 | Individual | L |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Walleye | 360 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Smallmouth Bass | 205 | 115 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Rock Bass | 235 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | White Bass | 125 | 50 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | White Bass | 130 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 105 | 19 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Golden Redhorse | 110 | 17 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | White Sucker | 130 | 25 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | White Sucker | 75 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Trout Perch | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 9 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 11 | 9/17/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 7 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 300 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 480 | 950 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 240 | 110 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 305 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 305 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 335 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 390 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 270 | 150 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 75 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Channel Catfish | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Golden Redhorse | 305 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 365 | 475 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 375 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 340 | 375 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Goldeye | 335 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Goldeye | 370 | 375 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Goldeye | 325 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Goldeye | 350 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Sucker | 290 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Sucker | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Sucker | 80 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Sucker | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Sucker | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Sucker | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Sucker | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Sucker | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Sucker | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Walleye | 130 | 18 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 24 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | White Bass | 125 | 25 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Smallmouth Bass | 95 | 13 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Black Crappie | 135 | 36 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Black Crappie | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Black Crappie | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Black Crappie | 55 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 65 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Black Bullhead | 110 | 17 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Trout Perch | 70 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Trout Perch | 75 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Trout Perch | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 47 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 47 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 23 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 23 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Fathead Minnow | 70 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 12 | 9/18/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 310 | 210 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 360 | 340 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 260 | 120 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 410 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 440 | 800 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 240 | 110 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 520 | 2000 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 45 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Channel Catfish | 40 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 280 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 280 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 340 | 380 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 340 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 300 | 280 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 300 | 260 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 290 | 240 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 290 | 280 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Walleye | 240 | 120 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Walleye | 135 | 20 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Common Carp | 640 | 3700 | Individual |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Black Crappie | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Black Crappie | 65 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Black Crappie | 60 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Golden Redhorse | 60 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Golden Redhorse | 55 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Golden Redhorse | 50 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 10 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 20 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 20 | 15 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 15 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 13 | 9/16/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 355 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 305 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 325 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 315 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 225 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 340 | 260 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 320 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 330 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 200 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 355 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 360 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 340 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 315 | 225 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Goldeye | 325 | 275 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Channel Catfish | 390 | 400 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Channel Catfish | 515 | 1225 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Channel Catfish | 375 | 375 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Channel Catfish | 355 | 300 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Channel Catfish | 245 | 105 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 1 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 400 | 525 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 390 | 550 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 385 | 525 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Walleye | 420 | 625 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sauger | 235 | 114 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Common Carp | 495 | 1750 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Common Carp | 340 | 625 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | White Bass | 130 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 16 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Quillback | 115 | 15 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Quillback | 110 | 17 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Quillback | 105 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Quillback | 110 | 16 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | White Sucker | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | White Sucker | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | White Sucker | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | White Sucker | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Trout Perch | 75 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Trout Perch | 80 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 50 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 50 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 58 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 25 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 58 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 30 | 13 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 14 | 9/19/12 | Fathead Minnow | 70 | 13 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 400 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 345 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 485 | 925 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 595 | 1750 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 570 | 1700 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 290 | 130 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 550 | 1475 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 465 | 900 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 70 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 75 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Goldeye | 325 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Goldeye | 310 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Goldeye | 295 | 175 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Goldeye | 325 | 250 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | White Sucker | 350 | 325 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | White Sucker | 60 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | White Sucker | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | White Sucker | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | White Sucker | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Walleye | 235 | 115 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Quillback | 120 | 24 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 5 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Trout Perch | 70 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Trout Perch | 65 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 30 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 25 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 86 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 35 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 86 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 55 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 30 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Sand Shiner | 40 | 86 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 75 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 75 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 70 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 40 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 82 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 60 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 15 | 9/20/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 51 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 8/13/12 | Common Carp | 640 | 3600 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 8/13/12 | Common Carp | 111 | <25 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 8/13/12 | Rock Bass | 91 | <25 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 8/13/12 | Rock Bass | 169 | 90 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 8/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 8/13/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 8/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 8/13/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 36 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Channel Catfish | 465 | 1025 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Quillback | 390 | 750 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Black Redhorse | 510 | 1425 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 720 | 5400 | Individual | E |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 500 | 1725 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 110 | 19 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 75 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 75 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 70 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | White Sucker | 325 | 375 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | White Sucker | 245 | 120 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | White Sucker | 90 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | White Sucker | 85 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | White Sucker | 85 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Bluegill | 125 | 61 | Individual | EP |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Rock Bass | 75 | 21 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Rock Bass | 95 | 19 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Rock Bass | 90 | 15 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Rock Bass | 90 | 21 | Individual |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 85 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 85 | 13 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 85 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 65 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities E-eroded fins L- lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 56 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 105 | 13 | Individual | EW |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Trout Perch | 65 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Trout Perch | 70 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 8 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 51 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 48 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 42 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Sand Shiner | 61 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Sand Shiner | 57 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 16 | 9/5/12 | Sand Shiner | 46 | 2 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Black Bullhead | 135 | 36 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Black Bullhead | 110 | 25 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Channel Catfish | 70 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Channel Catfish | 75 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Channel Catfish | 65 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Channel Catfish | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Channel Catfish | 80 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 100 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 100 | 17 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 110 | 23 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 100 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 100 | 15 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 85 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 110 | 21 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 75 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 90 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 90 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 95 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 75 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 85 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 100 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 95 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 105 | 16 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 105 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 105 | 16 | Individual |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 115 | 22 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 105 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 180 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 100 | 18 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 85 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 95 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 95 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 100 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 100 | 15 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 100 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 90 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 60 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | River Carpsucker | 60 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 125 | 20 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 115 | 16 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 120 | 18 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 125 | 19 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 125 | 19 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 135 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 130 | 21 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 130 | 24 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 115 | 13 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 120 | 17 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 115 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 110 | 16 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Freshwater Drum | 90 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 90 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 105 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 80 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 90 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 90 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 100 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 100 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 85 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 90 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 75 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 100 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 150 | 41 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 135 | 27 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 105 | 12 | Individual |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 100 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 90 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 170 | 44 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 90 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 115 | 18 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 85 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 80 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 90 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 90 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 80 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 85 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 95 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 100 | 17 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 100 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 160 | 47 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 85 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 80 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | White Sucker | 85 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 85 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 75 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 95 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 95 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 95 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 85 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 80 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 85 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 80 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 95 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 70 | 5 | Individual |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 85 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 105 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 100 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 75 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 75 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Trout Perch | 90 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Quillback | 145 | 43 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Quillback | 155 | 54 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Quillback | 160 | 60 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Quillback | 150 | 52 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Quillback | 160 | 68 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Rock Bass | 45 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 41 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 42 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 33 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 52 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 54 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 52 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 54 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 58 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 42 | 187 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 42 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 53 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 54 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 54 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 37 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 51 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 53 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 52 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 51 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 42 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 53 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 56 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 54 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 42 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 44 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 52 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 57 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 56 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 65 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 52 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 42 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 51 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 52 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 42 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 38 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 63 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 44 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 41 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 36 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 53 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 53 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 51 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 38 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 49 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 49 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 38 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 37 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 54 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 48 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 44 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 52 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 42 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 41 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 46 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 41 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 43 | 187 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 105 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 85 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 130 | 33 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 75 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 130 | 30 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 25 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 160 | 57 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 135 | 34 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 130 | 28 | Individual | D |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 175 | 80 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 80 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 24 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 85 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 140 | 41 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 145 | 37 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 135 | 36 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 3 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 110 | 21 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 25 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 140 | 38 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 27 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 23 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 80 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 150 | 52 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 27 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 130 | 34 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 75 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 130 | 31 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 110 | 22 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 75 | 8 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 75 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 155 | 45 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 21 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 80 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 80 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 85 | 11 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 75 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 75 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 75 | 7 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Common Carp | 10 | 19 | Individual |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Fathead Minnow | 46 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Fathead Minnow | 29 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 63 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 58 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 58 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 62 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 57 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 62 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 61 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 57 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 57 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 57 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 57 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 67 | 32 | Batch |  |

Notes:
$D$-deformities $\quad E$ - eroded fins $\quad L$ - lesions $N$-blind $\quad P$ - parasites $W$ - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 47 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 59 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 60 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 50 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 62 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 47 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 53 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Sand Shiner | 45 | 32 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 30 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 41 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 46 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 55 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 65 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 60 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 46 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 47 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 48 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 47 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 45 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 44 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 50 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 47 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 49 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 46 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 58 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 42 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 44 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 43 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 17 | 9/6/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 43 | 48 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Black Bullhead | 142 | <25 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 56 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 67 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 52 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 41 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 35 | <25 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 57 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 44 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Channel Catfish | 44 | <25 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 47 | <25 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 8/14/12 | Bluegill | 27 | <25 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 695 | 5400 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 105 | 18 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 105 | 18 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 27 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 430 | 1400 | Individual | E |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 150 | 43 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 115 | 23 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 140 | 38 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 25 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 24 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 20 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 155 | 52 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 23 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 135 | 36 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 115 | 21 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 110 | 20 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 25 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 24 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 160 | 665 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 23 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 130 | 38 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 95 | 12 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 115 | 21 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 130 | 34 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 105 | 17 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 65 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 70 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 135 | 28 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 140 | 38 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 135 | 33 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 110 | 20 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 110 | 19 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 95 | 11 | Individual |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 130 | 29 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 145 | 40 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 120 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 55 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 115 | 15 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 45 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 50 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 140 | 40 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 170 | 74 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 125 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 130 | 31 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Common Carp | 140 | 41 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 400 | 590 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 400 | 690 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 315 | 240 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 365 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 355 | 500 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 310 | 280 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Shorthead Redhorse | 100 | 10 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Black Redhorse | 460 | 1240 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | White Sucker | 390 | 600 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | White Sucker | 85 | 9 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Golden Redhorse | 470 | 1200 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Black Bullhead | 135 | 36 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Black Bullhead | 125 | 29 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Black Bullhead | 165 | 60 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Rock Bass | 155 | 84 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Rock Bass | 180 | 123 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Rock Bass | 115 | 32 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Rock Bass | 110 | 26 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Rock Bass | 55 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Walleye | 215 | 98 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Freshwater Drum | 130 | 22 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Freshwater Drum | 115 | 14 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Freshwater Drum | 140 | 31 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | River Carpsucker | 115 | 23 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | River Carpsucker | 125 | 34 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | River Carpsucker | 80 | 6 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 2 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Channel Catfish | 70 | 4 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Channel Catfish | 60 | 3 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Trout Perch | 80 | 5 | Individual |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 60 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 85 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 55 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 80 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 75 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 70 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 50 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 146 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 25 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 45 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 35 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 40 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Orangespotted Sunfish | 30 | 146 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 33 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Spotfin Shiner | 41 | 1 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 38 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 43 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 39 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 37 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 43 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 46 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 33 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 38 | 54 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

## Appendix H-List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 43 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 37 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 34 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 36 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 36 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 42 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 56 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 42 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 47 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 44 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 41 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 46 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 38 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 41 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 37 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 47 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 36 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 47 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 48 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 51 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 41 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 41 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 36 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 46 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 42 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 43 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 46 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 51 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 36 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 37 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 43 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 47 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | 9/5/12 | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 54 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured

| Study Reach | Date | Species | Length $(\mathbf{m m})$ | Weight (g) | Weight Type | Anomalies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 57 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 37 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 52 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 42 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 55 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 42 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 43 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 48 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 49 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 47 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 52 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 53 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 47 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 45 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 50 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 46 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 39 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 47 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 49 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 35 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 40 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 44 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 42 | 54 | Batch |  |
| 18 | $9 / 5 / 12$ | Fathead Minnow | 36 | 54 | Batch |  |

Notes:
D-deformities $\quad \mathrm{E}$ - eroded fins L - lesions N - blind P - parasites W - swirled scales

Appendix H - List of Fish Captured
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Study } \\ \text { Reach }\end{array} & \text { Sample Date } & \text { Common name } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { individuals of } \\ \text { species }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Min length } \\ (\mathbf{m m})\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Max length } \\ (\mathbf{m m})\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Bulk } \\ \text { Weight (g) }\end{array} & \text { Weight Type }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { anomalies }\end{array}\right]$


[^0]:    Boom Shocker on Red River of the North

[^1]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^2]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^3]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^4]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^5]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^6]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^7]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^8]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^9]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^10]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^11]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^12]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^13]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^14]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^15]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^16]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^17]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^18]:    * For riffles, runs, and pools note distance from start at beginning of feature. For bends, log jams, etc., note center-point.

[^19]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^20]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^21]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^22]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream,

[^23]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^24]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^25]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^26]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^27]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^28]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^29]:    * Right Bank and Left Bank identified while facing downstream.

[^30]:    Red numbers indicate updated numbers after QA/QC

