Reprinted with permission from: 1991 Leafy Spurge Symposium, Final Program and Abstracts. Minneapolis, MN. July 10-12, 1991. p. 19.

Published by: Great Plains Agricultural Council - 14. Crops and Soils Committee.

## First-year results of leafy spurge control with sequential spring and fall herbicide applications

K. G. BECK, and J. R. SEBASTIAN

Department of Plant Pathology and Weed Science, Colorado State University, Ft. Collins, CO 80532.

Leafy spurge was sprayed in spring with the amine salt of 2,4-D [(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)acetic acid] or the isopropyl amine salt of 2,4-D plus glyphosate [N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine]. Sequential fall treatments included the isopropyl amine salt of 2,4-D plus glyphosate, dicamba (3,6-dichloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid), 2,4-D plus picloram, or dicamba plus picloram. Leafy spurge control and Kentucky bluegrass injury were visually evaluated on August 9, 1990 and May 16, 1991. Spring applications of 2,4-D or 2,4-D plus glyphosate did not provide effective leafy spurge control 3 months after treatment (MAT). Spring applied 2,4-D plus glyphosate caused up to 25% bluegrass stand reductions 3 MAT. Spring applications of 2,4-D or 2,4-D plus glyphosate followed by 2,4-D plus glyphosate in fall did not provide effective leafy spurge control in May 1991. Kentucky bluegrass injury with these treatments ranged from 8 to 14%. Spring applications of 2,4-D followed in fall by picloram, picloram plus 2,4-D, dicamba, or dicamba plus picloram ranged from 82 to 100% leafy spurge control, and Kentucky bluegrass injury with these treatments ranged from 15 to 20% in May 1991. Split applications of 2,4-D in spring with fall applied picloram, picloram plus 2,4-D, Dicamba, or dicamba plus picloram did not provide better control than picloram, dicamba, 2,4-D plus picloram or dicamba applied in spring only when evaluated in May 1991.