Interpreting Composition and Determining Market Value

J.W. Schroeder Extension Dairy Specialist

Forage quality can greatly influence how animals produce their products.

Importance of Forage Quality

A great amount of new knowledge concerning forage quality and animal feeding has been gained in recent years. At the same time, significant advances have been made in improving the genetic potential of animals used to produce milk, meat and wool. Animal products can now be produced more efficiently and at a lower cost, with the aim of maximizing return on investment (ROI). However, to do this, today's producer must be aware of and utilize the latest information on feed quality and feeding management.

Forage quality can greatly influence how animals produce their products. A portion of the performance variation can be explained by the fact that as forage quality decreases, feed intake will also decrease. In addition, forage digestibility will be reduced. Non-ruminant animals such as horses are able to eat larger quantities of poor quality forages and pass them

through the digestive tract faster to compensate for the lower quality. Ruminants, however, cannot alter intake to compensate for poorer quality forage. The poorer the forage quality, the longer it remains in the ruminant digestive tract, which in turn, decreases animal productivity. Table 1 shows the effect decreasing quality has on forage intake.

Some of the negative effects of poor forage quality (higher NDF levels) on dry matter intake may be overcome by grinding or

Table 1. The effect of forage quality¹ on predicted forage dry matter intake of ruminants.

| Forage Quality | % Forage NDF | Dry Matter Intake as % of Body Weight |
|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| | (dry matter basis) | |
| Excellent | 38 | 3.16 |
| | 40 | 3.00 |
| | 42 | 2.86 |
| | 44 | 2.73 |
| | 46 | 2.61 |
| | 48 | 2.50 |
| | 50 | 2.40 |
| \ | 52 | 2.31 |
| Poor | 54 | 2.22 |

Adapted from data by Mertens, 1985.

As measured by neutral detergent fiber (NDF).



North Dakota State University Fargo, North Dakota 58105

JUNE 2004

pelleting forages. A number of studies have been conducted that indicate a higher intake of poor quality forages can be encouraged by such treatment. While intake is increased, digestibility of individual nutrients may be decreased because of a faster passage rate through the digestive tract. Faster passage occurs because of the smaller feed particle size in ground or pelleted forages. Digestibility, especially of cell wall components, is decreased when forages are ground or pelleted. The overall result of grinding or pelleting forages usually is increased dry matter intake but decreased digestibility of individual nutrients. In addition, costs are further incurred with the processing and handling of the forage.

Tables 2 and 3 show how animal performance is influenced by forages of different quality. The quality of forages can have a substantial influence on animal productivity and profits.

Some of the production loss due to advancing maturity of alfalfa (poorer quality) may be overcome by reformulating the rations and possibly adding supplements.

It is unlikely that 100 percent of lost production could be regained because of reduced intake due to the poorer quality forages.

Even if all the lost production could be regained, higher feed costs would be the likely result.

Table 2. Daily yield of 4 percent fat-corrected milk (pounds/cow/day) from four hays of different quality, fed with three levels of concentrate.

| % Concentrate | | Alfalfa Matu | ırity (Bloom) | |
|---------------|------|--------------|---------------|------|
| Dry Basis | Pre | Early | Mid | Full |
| 20 | 79.6 | 68.0 | 57.2 | 52.1 |
| 37 | 83.2 | 69.1 | 62.5 | 55.4 |
| 54 | 87.1 | 77.2 | 64.7 | 57.1 |

Source: Rohweder, Barnes, and Jorgensen.

Table 3. Effect of alfalfa quality on rate of gain and feed efficiency of 400 to 600 pound steer calves.¹

| Quality: | Superior | Premium | Fair | Poor |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Description: | Rabbit Hay | #1 Dairy | #2 Dairy | Feeder |
| Bloom: | Pre-bud | Bud | Early | Full |
| CP ADF DDM | 23 21 73 | 20 26 69 | 17 36 62 | 14 43 55 |
| Intake ² | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Daily gain (lbs. per day) Pounds of feed (per lb. of gain) Value based on \$50 feeder hay | 2.2 7.0 \$107 | 1.9 9.0 \$83 | 1.2 12.0 \$63 | 0.8 15.0 \$50 |

Source: University of Nevada-Reno.

¹ All values on a dry matter basis.

CP = % crude protein; ADF = % acid detergent fiber; DDM = % digestible dry matter.

Forage analysis from a corn silage plot indicated the net energy-lactation values for 16 different hybrids ranged from 0.71 Mcal/pound of energy to a low of 0.64 Mcal/pound (dry matter basis). Assuming no difference in intake between the two energy extremes (likely not a correct assumption), the milk yield potential for the higher energy corn silage would be 11 percent greater than the lower quality silage. Using the following assumptions, the economic significance of hybrid quality can be shown:

 25 tons of silage per acre (adjusted to 70 percent moisture)

- 2.5 acres of corn silage per bag of seed corn planted
- milk prices at \$12/cwt
- 0.34 Mcal to produce one pound of 4 percent fat-corrected milk (NRC, 1989)
- 40 percent of animal maintenance requirements are supplied by forages.

The difference in potential income per bag of seed corn when comparing 0.71 and 0.64 Mcal/pound energy silages is \$555.90. If intake is reduced with poorer quality forages, the economic differences become even larger.

To become more efficient and more profitable producers of animal products, all potential tools and information available must be utilized. Forage quality is one of the most important management tools. Producing and feeding the highest-quality forages possible increases animal performance, reduces feeding costs and ultimately results in an increased return on time and dollars invested in forage production.

Interpreting forage analysis

Crude Protein (CP)

Laboratories measure the nitrogen (N) content of the forage and calculate crude protein using the formula: $CP = percent N \times 6.25$. Crude protein will include both true protein and non-protein nitrogen. Animals can utilize both types to some degree. Crude protein values give no indication if heat damage has occurred which may alter protein availability.

Unavailable Protein

A forage analysis report will not always show this value unless heat damage is suspected and the analysis is requested. This value will give an indication if excessive heating has occurred reducing protein digestibility. All forages have some unavailable protein. This value may also be reported as ADF-N protein, ADF-CP, bound protein or insoluble protein.

Available Protein

In some reports, this value will be the difference between crude protein and unavailable protein. Some laboratories, however, account for the naturally bound protein found in all forages. This normally bound protein may be up to 12 percent of the crude protein. Laboratories that account for the naturally bound protein will only reduce the crude protein value (available lower than crude protein) when the 12 percent value is exceeded.

Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)

This value refers to the cell wall portions of the forage that are made up of cellulose and lignin. These values are important because they reflect the ability of an animal to digest the forage. As ADF increases, digestibility of a forage usually decreases. Many of the calculated values appearing on the forage reports are generated using ADF values.

Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF)

The NDF value is the total cell wall which is comprised of the ADF fraction plus hemicellulose. Neutral detergent fiber values are important in ration formulation because they reflect the amount of forage the animal can consume. As NDF percentages increase, dry matter intake will generally decrease. Many laboratories analyze for ADF but may not include NDF values.

Crude Fiber (CF)

When the crude fiber system was developed, it was thought to represent most of the cell wall portion of the forage. However, it was later discovered that it did not account for some of the hemicellulose and lignin components. A modified crude fiber (MCF) which includes the ash or mineral fraction is used in some states, notably California, to evaluate alfalfa. Some laboratories calculate a crude fiber value based on the ADF value.

Lignin

Lignin is a non-carbohydrate substance that is the prime factor influencing the digestibility of plant cell wall material. As lignin increases, digestibility, intake and animal performance usually decrease and the percent ADF and NDF increase.

Calculated Values

These values are generated from equations that use other data from the analysis of the forage sample. There is no requirement that laboratories must use the same standardized formulas. This makes comparisons between laboratories difficult. Laboratories should be able to provide the source and accuracy of the formulas they use.

Digestible Protein (DP)

This calculated value is generated using some percent of the crude protein value, such as 70 or 72 percent. Other laboratories may use other formulas, such as:

(Crude Protein $\times 0.908$) – 3.77.

The digestible protein value gives no indication if any heat damage has occurred. It has little practical value in formulating rations.

Digestible Dry Matter (DDM)

This is an estimate of the digestibility of the forage. This value is usually generated from the percent acid detergent fiber using formulas such as:

 $DDM = 88.9 - (ADF\% \times 0.779).$

As the percent ADF increases, the estimated digestibility will decrease.

Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN)

Some laboratories use the same formula to calculate the TDN value as they do the DDM; therefore, the two values would be the same. Other laboratories will use different formulas, such as:

Alfalfa:

 $\% TDN = 96.35 - (ADF\% \times 1.15)$

Corn Silage:

 $\% TDN = 87.84 - (ADF\% \times 0.70)$

As the percent ADF increases, TDN will decrease.

Net Energy-Lactation, Net Energy-Maintenance, and Net Energy-Gain (NE_L, NE_M and NE_G)

These net energy values are often calculated from TDN values which in turn are generated from percent ADF. Examples are:

NE,:

Mcal/pound = $(TDN\% \times 0.01114) - 0.054$

 NE_{M} :

 $Mcal/pound = (TDN\% \times 0.01318) - 0.132$

NE_E:

Mcal/pound = $(TDN\% \times 0.01318) - 0.459$

As the percent ADF in the forage increases, the net energy values will decrease.

Relative Feed Value

Relative feed value is an index which combines the important nutritional factors of intake and digestibility. It has no units, but the index allows comparisons of legume, grass and legume-grass forages. A forage with ADF of 41 percent and NDF of 53 percent has an index of 100. Other forages can then be compared against this value. When a forage has a value above 100, it is not necessarily a superior quality forage. This is because the ADF and NDF values that generate the value of 100 are relatively high, thus the forage is not considered any better than average. As percent ADF and NDF decrease, the RFV will increase.

NDFD

Digestible NDF (neutral detergent fiber) is the portion of NDF that is digested in the rumen. Two time measurements may be reported on your forage analysis.

- 1. A 30 hour in vitro digestibility test measures the forage NDF digested or fermented in a glass container containing rumen fluid for 30 hours. Thirty hours represents the normal digestion time for forages in the rumen.
- 2. A 48 hour in vitro test represents the maximum amount of digestible NDF that will occur. It will be six to eight units higher than the 30 hour test.

Either NDFD test will estimate digestible NDF.
A new term representing this value is NDFD.
A higher NDFD value is desirable as it indicates high quality forage.

Table 4 lists forage values for the year 2002-2003 from Dairyland Labs.

Table 4. Average and range of NDFD values for forage crops.

| Forage Type | Average | Range |
|-------------|---------|-------|
| Mixed hay | 47 | 32-62 |
| Legume hay | 46 | 32-61 |
| Grass hay | 55 | 38-73 |
| Corn silage | 60 | 48-71 |

dNDF

The amount of digestible NDF in a forage is calculated by multiplying the amount of NDF in a forage (for example 40 percent NDF in alfalfa) times the NDFD or digestible NDF (for example 50 percent NDFD). This alfalfa sample would contain 20 percent dNDF that represents the quantity and quality of NDF in forage.

RFQ

Relative forage quality (RFQ) is a new term and calculation replacing relative feed value (RFV). RFQ is based on the summative energy equation (used in the new Dairy NRC) to estimate digestibility of nutrients contributing to energy and dry matter intake based on digestible NDF or NDFD. RFQ will have a similar range of values as RFV (80 to 200 points). Using NDFD and digestible nutrient content of all forage energy sources will accurately evaluate forage quality. Ratings of grass forages will be more correct.

Milk 2000

Milk 2000 is a new approach to rank corn silage varieties based on the yield, starch content, starch digestibility, NDF level and digestibility of NDF. Two values can be calculated and reported on each corn silage variety.

- 1. Milk 2000 per ton of corn silage reflecting silage quality expressed in pounds of milk per ton of silage (for example 3,100 pounds).
- 2. Milk 2000 per acre of corn silage reflects both the quality and quantity of corn silage expressed in pounds of milk (for example, 28,000 pounds).

Dairy producers may select higher Milk 2000 per ton varieties for high-producing cows and a higher Milk 2000 per acre variety for beef cattle, heifers and lower-producing cows.

NE Lactation (Schwab-Shaver)

Net energy lactation content of corn silage is calculated based on a University of Wisconsin (Schwab-Shaver research team) equation using dry matter content starch levels, digestible NDF and starch digestibility. This system reflects the higher energy value of plant processed corn silage, wetter corn silage and digestible nutrient content.

These new forage values and approaches will allow livestock producers to more accurately measure forage quality, balance rations or sell forages based on digestible nutrient content.

USDA hay quality market reporting guidelines

If you buy or sell hay and use USDA market reports to establish a starting price in negotiations, the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has adopted hay quality guidelines for use in its

Market News reporting program. These revised guidelines establish specific quality measurements for each category of alfalfa and grass hay and are designed to create uniform quality/price reporting nationwide.

The guidelines (Tables 5 and 6) went into effect in 2003. In addition, descriptive guidelines for each quality category are also provided. They include:

- **Supreme:** very early maturity, pre-bloom, soft, fine-stemmed, extra leafy. Factors indicative of very high nutritive content. Hay is excellent in color and free of damage.
- **Premium:** early maturity, i.e., pre-bloom in legumes and pre-head in grass hays, extra leafy and fine-stemmed —

Table 5. Grass hay testing guidelines.

| Quality Designation | Crude Protein |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| | (%) |
| Premium | >13 |
| Good | 9-13 |
| Fair | 5-9 |
| Utility | <5 |

Quantitative factors are approximate and many factors can affect feeding value. Based on 100% dry matter. End usage may influence hay price or value more than testing results.

Table 6. Alfalfa and alfalfa/mix hay (not more than 10% grass) testing guidelines.

| Quality Designation | Relative Feed Value (RFV) | Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) | Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) | TDN | TDN | Crude Protein |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------|------------------|
| | | | | (100%) | (90%) | (%) |
| Supreme | >185 | <27 | <34 | >62 | >55.9 | >22 |
| Premium | 170-185 | 27-29 | 34-36 | 60.5-62 | 54.5-55.9 | 20-22 |
| Good | 150-170 | 29-32 | 36-40 | 58-60 | 52.5-54.5 | 18-20 |
| Fair | 130-150 | 32-35 | 40-44 | 56-58 | 50.5-52.5 | 16-18 |
| Low | <130 | >35 | >44 | <56 | <50.5 | <16 |

- factors indicative of a high nutritive content. Hay is green and free of damage.
- Good: early to average maturity, i.e., early to mid bloom in legumes and early head in grass hays, leafy, fine to medium stemmed, free of damage other than slight discoloration.
- Fair: late maturity, i.e., mid to late bloom in legumes, head-in grass hays, moderate or below leaf content, and generally coarse stemmed. Hay may show light damage.
- Utility: hay in very late maturity, such as mature seed pods in legumes or mature head in grass hays, coarse stemmed. This category could include hay discounted due to excessive damage and heavy weed content or mold. Defects will be identified in market reports when using this category.

Quantitative factors are approximate and many factors can affect feeding value. Factors are based on 100% dry matter. Guidelines are to be used with visual appearance and intent of us.

Regular national and regional hay market summaries are available by logging on to www.ams.usda.gov/marketnews.htm

For more information, contact the USDA livestock and grain market news branch at 202-720-6231

Adjusting the price of forages

Based on Dry Matter Content

Wet feeds, such as silages that are bought or sold, should have the price adjusted to a common dry matter content to ensure that a fair price is being paid for the feed. Enough samples should be taken so accurate dry matter determinations can be made.

In a corn-silage harvest at a major university, 18 loads were harvested from an eight-acre field. Each load was sampled to determine the percent dry matter, with the average of all loads being 30.97 percent. Samples ranged from a high of 33.6 percent to a low of 28.3 percent dry matter. If the silage was sold based only on the high sample, the tonnage would have been overestimated by 8.7 percent. If the low moisture content sample had been used, the yield would have been underestimated by 8.4 percent. In either case, it would have been economically significant.

When adjusting silages, any dry matter content can be used, but it is common to adjust silages to either 30 or 35 percent dry matter. In the following examples for pricing forages, adjustments to a standard of 35 percent dry matter have been made. If different dry matter levels are used, the pricing procedure would be the same.

Assuming \$31.50/ton as a fair price for one ton of silage at 35 percent dry matter (DM), the following examples show how the price per ton will fluctuate as dry matter varies.

Note that in one ton of silage at 35 percent dry matter, there is 700 pounds of dry matter (2000 \times 0.35 = 700 pounds dry matter).

Example A:

Sample is 23 percent dry matter:

Adjusted price = $(\$31.50 \div 35) \times (? \div 23)$ $35 \times ? = (\$31.50 \times 23)$ $? = 724.5 (\$31.50 \times 23) \div 35$? = \$20.70 per ton of silage with a DM content of 23%.

Note that less is paid per ton because there is less dry matter $(2000 \times 0.23 = 460 \text{ pounds of dry matter})$.

Example B:

Sample is 38 percent dry matter.

Adjusted price = $(\$31.50 \div 35) \times (? \div 38)$ $35 \times ? = (\$31.50 \times 38)$ $? = 1197 (\$31.50 \times 38) \div 35$? = \$34.20 per ton of silage with a DM content of 38%.

Note that more is paid per ton because there is more dry matter in this sample $(2000 \times 0.38 = 760 \text{ pounds of dry matter})$.

One very important rule is to make sure the value per ton is compared on the dry matter content in that ton, not the moisture!

All price adjustments should be made using the dry matter content.

Example:

Calculate using \$31.50 ÷ 35 (percent dry matter), do not use 65 (percent moisture)

In all three cases, the price paid per ton of dry matter should be the same.

\$31.50 at 35% dry matter = \$90 per ton of dry matter:

 $(\$31.50 \div 0.35)$

\$20.70 at 23% dry matter = \$90 per ton of dry matter:

 $($20.70 \div 0.23)$

\$34.20 at 38% dry matter = \$90 per ton of dry matter: $(\$34.20 \div 0.38)$

Pricing Haylage

To calculate the price to be paid for haylage, based on the price of dry hay, the procedure is the same. The factors involved are:

- 1. The value of dry hav (of similar quality).
- 2. The dry matter content of hay at that price.
- 3. The dry matter of the haylage being purchased.

Example:

What is the price per ton for haylage if:

Value of hay at 85% dry matter = \$90 per ton.

 $(90 \div 85) \times (? \div 33) =$ $2970 [90 \times 33] \div 85 = 34.94

Table 7 gives the value of haylage at 30 percent dry matter as the price and moisture of baled hay vary. The values do not reflect any harvest or storage losses and assume equal quality forge.

The value of the haylage would change if the dry matter content is higher or lower than 30 percent. Price per ton can easily be calculated using the formulas in this section.

Note: If the dry matter content of the forage being bought deviates significantly (very wet or dry) from normal ranges, additional

evaluation should be made to determine if quality has been lowered. If it has been lowered, an additional price adjustment will be necessary.

Likewise, Table 8 shows the adjusted value of corn silage from 25 to 45 percent dry matter.

Relative economic value

A primary use of alfalfa hay test information is in formulating rations. Since it makes up a major portion of the rations fed to livestock, it is important to know the nutrient content of alfalfa to ensure that it is supplemented with the right type and amount of other feedstuffs for a properly balanced ration.

Another use of the data is to determine the relative economic worth of different alfalfa lots.

Table 7. Value of haylage containing 30 percent dry matter compared to three equal quality hays with different moisture content.

| Hay Price | 10% | 15% | 20% |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| per ton | | \$ | |
| 130.00 | 43.33 | 45.88 | 48.75 |
| 125.00 | 41.67 | 44.12 | 46.88 |
| 120.00 | 40.00 | 42.35 | 45.00 |
| 115.00 | 38.33 | 40.59 | 43.13 |
| 110.00 | 36.67 | 38.82 | 41.25 |
| 105.00 | 35.00 | 37.06 | 39.38 |
| 100.00 | 33.33 | 35.29 | 37.50 |
| 95.00 | 31.67 | 33.53 | 35.63 |
| 90.00 | 30.00 | 31.76 | 33.75 |
| 85.00 | 28.33 | 30.00 | 31.88 |
| 80.00 | 26.66 | 28.24 | 30.00 |
| 75.00 | 25.00 | 26.47 | 28.13 |
| 70.00 | 23.33 | 24.71 | 26.25 |
| 65.00 | 21.67 | 22.94 | 24.38 |
| 60.00 | 20.00 | 21.18 | 22.50 |
| 55.00 | 18.33 | 19.41 | 20.63 |
| 50.00 | 16.67 | 17.65 | 18.75 |

Table 8. Value per ton of silage as dry matter content varies.

| | | | dry matter | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Value/Ton at 35% DM (65) | 25 (75) | 30 (70) | 40 (60) | 45 (55) |
| | | | 3 | |
| 10.00 | 7.14 | 8.57 | 11.43 | 12.86 |
| 12.00 | 8.57 | 10.29 | 13.71 | 15.42 |
| 14.00 | 10.00 | 12.00 | 16.00 | 18.00 |
| 16.00 | 11.43 | 13.71 | 18.29 | 20.57 |
| 18.00 | 12.86 | 15.43 | 20.57 | 23.14 |
| 20.00 | 14.29 | 17.14 | 22.86 | 25.71 |
| 22.00 | 15.71 | 18.86 | 25.14 | 28.29 |
| 24.00 | 17.14 | 20.57 | 27.43 | 30.86 |
| 26.00 | 18.57 | 22.29 | 29.71 | 33.43 |
| 28.00 | 20.00 | 24.00 | 32.00 | 36.00 |
| 30.00 | 21.43 | 25.71 | 34.29 | 38.57 |
| 32.00 | 22.86 | 27.43 | 36.57 | 41.14 |
| 34.00 | 24.29 | 29.14 | 38.86 | 43.71 |
| 36.00 | 25.71 | 30.86 | 41.14 | 46.29 |
| 38.00 | 27.14 | 32.57 | 43.43 | 48.86 |
| 40.00 | 28.57 | 34.29 | 45.71 | 51.43 |

The energy value and DM content of the sample are important for estimating its economic value. When hay is selling for \$80 per ton, 1 percent moisture costs the buyer 80¢ per ton. Most hay will standardize at about 90 percent DM (10 percent moisture) after being stored for a month or so in warm, dry weather. When hay is bought soon after baling, moisture levels of 15 to 17 percent are common. The buyer should consider the decreased value of high-moisture hav as well as its energy value when negotiating the price.

Tables 9 and 10 can be used to estimate the relative dollar values of alfalfa lots at varying ADF and DM percentages. The tables are based on a standard hay sample arbitrarily set at 90 percent DM, and 31 percent ADF (Table 9) (on a 100 percent DM basis). After the chemical composition of the sample is determined, use Tables 9 and 10 as follows.

- From the left column of Table 9, find the row with ADF percentage of the alfalfa sample.
- Read across that row to the column headed with the dollar value closest to the price of standard hay (90 percent DM, and 31 percent ADF). Where the row and the column intersect, you will find the corrected value of the tested hay.
- From the left column of Table 10, find the DM percent of the tested hay.
- Read across to the right column for the DM correction factor.
- Multiply the ADF value from Table 9 by the DM correction factor from Table 10 to estimate the value of the tested hay relative to the standard hay.

Example

What is the relative value of alfalfa hay with 28 percent ADF (100 percent DM basis) and 85 percent DM (as received) when standard hay is selling for \$87.50 per ton? In the left column of Table 10, find the row for 85 percent DM. Read across to the right column for the DM correction value — in this case, 0.9444. Multiply the ADF corrected value (\$91.65), by the DM correction factor (0.9444), to get the approximate value of the tested hay, \$86.55.

This method is not intended as a guide for pricing hay. Prices are established by supply and demand. Rather, it is intended as a way to compare the values of different hay lots whose sale prices have been stated.

For example, a dairy operator is offered two lots of hay, each at \$79 per ton. Good quality hay is selling for \$80 per ton on the open market. Lot A has 32 percent ADF (100 percent DM basis) and has 88 percent DM as received at the laboratory. Lot B has 28 percent ADF (100 percent DM basis) and has 86 percent DM. Which is the better buy? Using Tables 9 and 10, the following comparison determines the relative value of the two lots.

| | Lot A | Lot B |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Standard hay price per ton | \$80.00 | \$80.00 |
| ADF-corrected value (Table 9) | 78.94 (32%) | 83.32 (28%) |
| DM correction (Table 10) | x 0.9778 (88%) | x 0.9556 (86%) |
| DM- and ADF-corrected | Ф 77 10 | ф70. (2 |
| value | \$77.19 | \$79.62 |

The above calculations indicate that Lot B is worth more per ton than Lot A by \$2.43 (\$79.62 - \$77.19 = \$2.43). The dairy operator would do better to purchase Lot B if both were priced at \$79 per ton.

Table 10. Dry matter (DM)
correction factors
for determining
comparative values of
alfalfa at various dry
matter percentages.

| DM of Tested Hay | Correction Factor for Table 8 |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| 80 | 0.8889 |
| 81 | 0.9000 |
| 82 | 0.9111 |
| 83 | 0.9222 |
| 84 | 0.9333 |
| 85 | 0.9444 |
| 86 | 0.9556 |
| 87 | 0.9667 |
| 88 | 0.9778 |
| 89 | 0.9889 |
| 90 | 1.0000 |
| 91 | 1.0111 |
| 92 | 1.0222 |
| 93 | 1.0333 |
| 94 | 1.0444 |
| 95 | 1.0556 |
| 96 | 1.0667 |
| 97 | 1.0778 |
| 98 | 1.0889 |
| 99 | 1.1000 |
| 100 | 1.1110 |
| | |

Valuing forages based on moisture

Even good quality forage will vary on moisture content. Upon determining a fair market priced based on relative feed quality and nutrient content, be sure to correct for moisture content as well. The following table has been provided for your convenience. It has a limited price range and is based solely on 15 percent moisture hay and haylage as the standard. You can create your own table using an electronic spreadsheet and your own calculations.

Table 9. Relative alfalfa hay values at various ADF percentages.

| ADF | 50 5 | 52 54 | 26 | 518 | 09 | 62 | 64 | 99 | 89 | 70 | 72 | 74 | 92 | 78 | 80 | 82 8 | 84 8 | 88 98 | 06 | 92 | 94 | 96 | 86 | 100 |
|------------------|-------------|----------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------|--------|----------|---------|--------|--------|
| % of 20.0 | 57.64 59.95 | 95 62.25 | 5 64.56 | 98.99 | 69.17 | 71.48 | 73.78 | 76.09 | 78.39 | 80.70 | 83.00 | 85.31 8 | 87.61 8 | 89.92 | 92.23 94. | 1.53 96.84 | 84 99.14 | 14 101.45 | 103.73 | 106.06 | 5 108.37 | 7110.67 | 112.98 | 115.28 |
| 21.0 | 56.98 59.26 | 26 61.53 | 3 63.81 | 60.99 | 68.37 | 70.65 | 72.93 | 75.21 | 77.49 | 79.77 | 82.05 | 84.33 8 | 8 09.98 | 88.88 | 91.16 93. | 44 95 | 72 | 98.00 100.28 | 102.56 | 104.84 | 4 107.12 | 109.40 | 111.67 | 113.95 |
| 22.0 | 56.23 58.48 | 48 60.73 | 3 62.98 | 8 65.23 | 67.48 | 69.72 | 71.97 | 74.22 | 76.47 | 78.72 | 80.97 | 83.22 8 | 85.47 8 | 87.72 8 | 89.97 92. | 22 94 | 47 96 | .71 98.96 | 101.21 | 103.46 | 5 105.71 | 107.96 | 110.21 | 112.46 |
| 23.0 | 55.56 57.79 | 79 60.01 | 1 62.23 | 3 64.46 | 89.99 | 68.90 | 71.12 | 73.35 | 75.57 | 77.79 | 80.01 | 82.24 8 | 84.46 8 | 8 89.98 | 88.90 91 | 91.13 93.35 | 95 | .57 97.79 | 100.02 | 102.24 | 4 104.46 | 106.68 | 108.91 | 111.13 |
| 24.0 | 54.90 57.10 | 10 59.29 | 9 61.48 | 89.69 | 65.88 | 68.08 | 70.27 | 72.47 | 74.66 | 76.85 | 90.62 | 81.25 8 | 83.45 8 | 85.64 8 | 87.84 90 | 90.04 92. | 23 94 | .43 96.62 | 98.82 | 101.02 | 2 103.21 | 105.41 | 107.60 | 109.80 |
| 25.0 | 54.15 56.32 | 32 58.49 | 9 60.65 | 5 62.82 | 64.98 | 67.15 | 69.32 | 71.48 | 73.65 | 75.81 | 77.98 | 80.15 8 | 82.31 8 | 84.48 8 | 86.64 88. | 3.81 90.98 | 98 93.14 | 14 95.31 | 97.48 | 99.64 | 4 101.81 | 103.97 | 106.14 | 108.31 |
| 26.0 | 53.49 55.63 | 63 57.77 | 7 59.91 | 62.05 | 64.19 | 66.33 | 68.47 | 70.60 | 72.74 | 74.88 | 77.02 | 79.16 8 | 81.30 8 | 83.44 8 | 85.58 87 | 87.72 89.86 | 86 92.00 | 00 94.14 | 96.28 | 98.42 | 2 100.56 | 102.70 | 104.84 | 106.98 |
| 27.0 | 52.82 54.94 | 94 57.05 | 5 59.16 | 6 61.28 | 63.39 | 65.50 | 67.61 | 69.73 | 71.84 | 73.95 | 76.07 | 78.18 8 | 80.29 8 | 82.41 8 | 84.52 86 | 86.63 88.74 | 74 90.86 | 86 92.97 | 95.08 | 97.20 | 0 99.31 | 101.42 | 103.53 | 105.65 |
| 28.0 | 52.08 54.16 | 16 56.24 | 4 58.33 | 3 60.41 | 62.49 | 64.57 | 99.99 | 68.74 | 70.82 | 72.91 | 74.99 | 77.07 | 79.16 8 | 81.24 8 | 83.32 85. | 41 87. | .49 89. | 57 91.65 | 93.74 | 95.82 | 2 97.90 | 66.66 | 102.07 | 104.15 |
| 29.0 | 51.41 53.47 | 47 55.52 | 2 57.58 | 3 59.64 | 61.69 | 63.75 | 65.81 | 67.86 | 69.92 | 71.98 | 74.03 | 76.09 7 | 78.15 8 | 80.20 8 | 82.26 84. | 1.32 86.37 | 88 | .43 90.49 | 92.54 | 94.60 |) 96.65 | 98.71 | 100.77 | 102.82 |
| 30.0 | 50.75 52.78 | 78 54.81 | 1 56.84 | 1 58.87 | 06.09 | 62.93 | 64.96 | 66.99 | 69.02 | 71.05 | 73.08 | 75.11 7 | 77.14 7 | 79.17 8 | 81.20 83. | 23 85 | 26 87 | .29 89.32 | 91.35 | 93.38 | 8 95.41 | 97.44 | 99.47 | 101.50 |
| 31.0 | 50.00 52.00 | 00 54.00 | 0 56.00 |) 58.00 | 60.00 | 62.00 | 64.00 | 00.99 | 00.89 | 70.00 | 72.00 | 74.00 7 | 76.00 7 | 78.00 8 | 80.00 82. | 2.00 84.00 | 00 86.00 | 00.88.00 | 90.00 | 92.00 | 0 94.00 | 00.96 | 98.00 | 100.00 |
| 32.0 | 49.34 51.31 | 31 53.28 | 8 55.26 | 5 57.23 | 59.20 | 61.18 | 63.15 | 65.12 | 67.10 | 69.07 | 71.04 | 73.02 7 | 74.99 7 | 76.95 7 | 78.94 80 | 80.91 82. | 88 84 | .86 86.83 | 88.80 | 90.78 | 8 92.75 | 94.72 | 96.70 | 98.67 |
| 33.0 | 48.59 50.53 | 53 52.48 | 8 54.42 | 56.36 | 58.31 | 60.25 | 62.19 | 64.14 | 80.99 | 68.02 | 26.69 | 71.91 7 | 73.85 7 | 75.80 7 | 77.74 79. | 9.68 81.63 | 83. | 57 85.51 | 87.46 | 89.40 | 0 91.35 | 93.29 | 95.23 | 97.18 |
| 34.0 | 47.92 49.84 | 84 51.76 | 6 53.67 | 7 55.59 | 57.51 | 59.43 | 61.34 | 63.26 | 65.18 | 62.09 | 69.01 | 70.93 7 | 72.84 7 | 74.76 7 | 76.68 78. | 59 80. | 51 82 | .43 84.35 | 86.26 | 88.18 | 3 90.10 | 92.01 | 93.93 | 95.85 |
| 35.0 | 47.26 49.15 | 15 51.04 | 4 52.93 | 3 54.82 | 56.71 | 58.60 | 60.49 | 62.38 | 64.27 | 66.16 | 68.05 | 69.94 7 | 71.83 7 | 73.72 7 | 75.61 77 | 77.50 79.40 | 40 81.29 | 29 83.18 | 85.07 | 86.96 | 5 88.85 | 90.74 | 92.63 | 94.52 |
| 36.0 | 46.51 48.37 | 37 50.23 | 3 52.09 | 53.95 | 55.81 | 57.67 | 59.53 | 61.40 | 63.26 | 65.12 | 86.99 | 68.84 7 | 70.70 | 72.56 7 | 74.42 76 | 76.28 78.14 | 14 80.00 | 00 81.86 | 83.72 | 85.58 | 8 87.44 | 89.30 | 91.16 | 93.02 |
| 37.0 | 45.85 47.68 | 68 49.51 | 1 51.35 | 5 53.18 | 55.02 | 56.85 | 58.68 | 60.52 | 62.35 | 64.19 | 66.02 | 67.85 6 | 2 69.69 | 71.52 7 | 73.36 75 | 75.19 77.02 | 78 | 69:08 98: | 82.52 | 84.36 | 5 86.19 | 88.03 | 89.86 | 91.69 |
| 38.0 | 45.18 46.99 | 99 48.80 | 0 50.60 |) 52.41 | 54.22 | 56.03 | 57.83 | 59.64 | 61.45 | 63.26 | 65.06 | 9 28.99 | 68.68 7 | 70.49 7 | 72.29 74 | 74.10 75.91 | 91 77.71 | 71 79.52 | 81.33 | 83.14 | 4 84.94 | 86.75 | 88.56 | 90.37 |
| 39.0 | 44.44 46.21 | 21 47.99 | 9 49.77 | 7 51.54 | 53.32 | 55.10 | 56.88 | 58.65 | 60.43 | 62.21 | 63.99 | 65.76 | 67.54 6 | 69.32 7 | 71.10 72 | 72.87 74.65 | 65 76.43 | 43 78.21 | 79.98 | 81.76 | 5 83.54 | 85.32 | 87.09 | 88.87 |
| 40.0 | 43.77 45.52 | 52 47.27 | 7 49.02 | 50.77 | 52.52 | 54.28 | 56.03 | 57.78 | 59.53 | 61.28 | 63.03 | 64.78 6 | 66.53 6 | 68.28 7 | 70.03 71 | 71.78 73. | .53 75.29 | 29 77.04 | 78.79 | 80.54 | 4 82.29 | 84.05 | 85.79 | 87.54 |
| 41.0 | 43.11 44.83 | 83 46.55 | 5 48.28 | 3 50.00 | 51.73 | 53.45 | 55.18 | 56.90 | 58.62 | 60.35 | 62.07 | 63.80 6 | 65.52 6 | 67.25 6 | 68.97 70 | 70.69 72.42 | 42 74.14 | 14 75.87 | 77.59 | 79.32 | 2 81.04 | 82.76 | 84.49 | 86.21 |
| 42.0 | 42.36 44.05 | 05 45.75 | 5 47.45 | 49.14 | 50.83 | 52.52 | 54.22 | 55.91 | 57.61 | 59.30 | 61.00 | 62.69 6 | 64.39 6 | 9 80.99 | 69 22.29 | 69.47 71.16 | 16 72.86 | 86 74.55 | 76.25 | 77.94 | 4 79.63 | 81.33 | 83.02 | 84.72 |
| 43.0 | 41.69 43.36 | 36 45.03 | 3 46.70 | 48.37 | 50.03 | 51.70 | 53.37 | 55.04 | 56.72 | 58.37 | 60.04 | 61.71 6 | 63.38 6 | 65.04 6 | 66.71 68. | 3.38 70.05 | 05 71.71 | 71 73.38 | 75.05 | 76.72 | 2 78.39 | 80.05 | 81.72 | 83.39 |
| 44.0 | 41.03 42.67 | 67 44.31 | 1 45.95 | 9 47.60 | 49.24 | 50.88 | 52.52 | 54.16 | 55.80 | 57.44 | 59.08 | 60.72 6 | 62.37 6 | 64.01 6 | 65.65 67 | 67.29 68. | 93 70. | 57 72.21 | 73.85 | 75.50 | 0 77.14 | 78.78 | 80.42 | 82.06 |
| 45.0 | 40.28 41.89 | 89 43.50 | 0 45.12 | 46.73 | 48.34 | 49.95 | 51.56 | 53.17 | 54.78 | 56.40 | 58.01 | 59.62 | 61.23 6 | 62.84 6 | 64.45 66 | 66.06 67.67 | 69 | .29 70.90 | 72.51 | 74.12 | 2 75.73 | 77.34 | 78.95 | 80.56 |
| | 0010 | ; | 10.1 | _ | 00.10 | | 1-1 | | 1: | | 6 | | - : | 1,0010 | - | 6 | | | | | | | | |

¹ For hay above \$100/ton, multiply the values in the \$100 column by the corresponding factor (e.g., for \$130/ton, multiply the \$100/ton value by 1.3).

Equivalent purchase price per ton of hay and haylage at various moistures.

Market Hay Price Per Ton at 15% Moisture

| Moisture | Hay Eq. Tons | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |
|----------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| % | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 1.071 | 58.88 | 64.24 | 69.59 | 74.94 | 80.29 | 85.65 | 91.00 | 96.35 | 101.71 | 107.06 |
| 11 | 1.047 | 57.59 | 62.82 | 68.06 | 73.29 | 78.53 | 83.76 | 89.00 | 94.24 | 99.47 | 104.71 |
| 13 | 1.024 | 56.29 | 61.41 | 66.53 | 71.65 | 76.76 | 81.88 | 87.00 | 92.12 | 97.24 | 102.35 |
| 15 | 1.00 | 55.00 | 60.00 | 65.00 | 70.00 | 75.00 | 80.00 | 85.00 | 90.00 | 95.00 | 100.00 |
| 17 | 0.976 | 53.71 | 58.59 | 63.47 | 68.35 | 73.24 | 78.12 | 83.00 | 87.88 | 92.76 | 97.65 |
| 19 | 0.953 | 52.41 | 57.18 | 61.94 | 66.71 | 71.47 | 76.24 | 81.00 | 85.76 | 90.53 | 95.29 |
| 21 | 0.929 | 51.12 | 55.76 | 60.41 | 65.06 | 69.71 | 74.35 | 79.00 | 83.65 | 88.29 | 92.94 |
| 23 | 0.906 | 49.82 | 54.35 | 58.88 | 63.41 | 67.94 | 72.47 | 77.00 | 81.53 | 86.06 | 90.59 |
| 25 | 0.882 | 48.53 | 52.94 | 57.35 | 61.76 | 66.18 | 70.59 | 75.00 | 79.41 | 83.82 | 88.24 |
| 27 | 0.859 | 47.24 | 51.53 | 55.82 | 60.12 | 64.41 | 68.71 | 73.00 | 77.29 | 81.59 | 85.88 |
| 29 | 0.835 | 45.94 | 50.12 | 54.29 | 58.47 | 62.65 | 66.82 | 71.00 | 75.18 | 79.35 | 83.53 |
| 31 | 0.812 | 44.65 | 48.71 | 52.76 | 56.82 | 60.88 | 64.94 | 69.00 | 73.06 | 77.12 | 81.18 |
| 33 | 0.788 | 43.35 | 47.29 | 51.24 | 55.18 | 59.12 | 63.06 | 67.00 | 70.94 | 74.88 | 78.82 |
| 35 | 0.765 | 42.06 | 45.88 | 49.71 | 53.53 | 57.35 | 61.18 | 65.00 | 68.82 | 72.65 | 76.47 |
| 37 | 0.741 | 40.76 | 44.47 | 48.18 | 51.88 | 55.59 | 59.29 | 63.00 | 66.71 | 70.41 | 74.12 |
| 39 | 0.718 | 39.47 | 43.06 | 46.65 | 50.24 | 53.82 | 57.41 | 61.00 | 64.59 | 68.18 | 71.76 |
| 41 | 0.694 | 38.18 | 41.65 | 45.12 | 48.59 | 52.06 | 55.53 | 59.00 | 62.47 | 65.94 | 69.41 |
| 43 | 0.671 | 36.88 | 40.24 | 43.59 | 46.94 | 50.29 | 53.65 | 57.00 | 60.35 | 63.71 | 67.06 |
| 45 | 0.647 | 35.59 | 38.82 | 42.06 | 45.29 | 48.53 | 51.76 | 55.00 | 58.24 | 61.47 | 64.71 |
| 47 | 0.624 | 34.29 | 37.41 | 40.53 | 43.65 | 46.76 | 49.88 | 53.00 | 56.12 | 59.24 | 62.35 |
| 49 | 0.600 | 33.00 | 36.00 | 39.00 | 42.00 | 45.00 | 48.00 | 51.00 | 54.00 | 57.00 | 60.00 |
| 51 | 0.576 | 31.71 | 34.59 | 37.47 | 40.35 | 43.24 | 46.12 | 49.00 | 51.88 | 54.76 | 57.65 |
| 53 | 0.553 | 30.41 | 33.18 | 35.94 | 38.71 | 41.47 | 44.24 | 47.00 | 49.76 | 52.53 | 55.29 |
| 55 | 0.529 | 29.12 | 31.76 | 34.41 | 37.06 | 39.71 | 42.35 | 45.00 | 47.65 | 50.29 | 52.94 |
| 57 | 0.506 | 27.82 | 30.35 | 32.88 | 35.41 | 37.94 | 40.47 | 43.00 | 45.53 | 48.06 | 50.59 |
| 59 | 0.482 | 26.53 | 28.94 | 31.35 | 33.76 | 36.18 | 38.59 | 41.00 | 43.41 | 45.82 | 48.24 |
| 61 | 0.459 | 25.24 | 27.53 | 29.82 | 32.12 | 34.41 | 36.71 | 39.00 | 41.29 | 43.59 | 45.88 |
| 63 | 0.435 | 23.94 | 26.12 | 28.29 | 30.47 | 32.65 | 34.82 | 37.00 | 39.18 | 41.35 | 43.53 |
| 65 | 0.412 | 22.65 | 24.71 | 26.76 | 28.82 | 30.88 | 32.94 | 35.00 | 37.06 | 39.12 | 41.18 |
| 67 | 0.388 | 21.35 | 23.29 | 25.24 | 27.18 | 29.12 | 31.06 | 33.00 | 34.94 | 36.88 | 38.82 |
| 69 | 0.365 | 20.06 | 21.88 | 23.71 | 25.53 | 27.35 | 29.18 | 31.00 | 32.82 | 34.65 | 36.47 |

Calculation assumes a basis of 15% moisture.

Estimated price includes the cost of harvesting, costs to be subtracted if you harvested the forage. Estimation makes no adjustment for quality, condition or transportation. Prices will vary depending on price and demand in your area.

Equivalent purchase price per ton of hay and haylage at various moistures.

Market Hay Price Per Ton at 15% Moisture

| Moisture | Hay Eq. Tons | 105 | 110 | 115 | 120 | 125 | 130 | 135 | 140 | 145 | 150 |
|----------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| % | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 1.071 | 112.41 | 117.76 | 123.12 | 128.47 | 133.82 | 139.18 | 144.53 | 149.88 | 155.24 | 160.59 |
| 11 | 1.047 | 109.94 | 115.18 | 120.41 | 125.65 | 130.88 | 136.12 | 141.35 | 146.59 | 151.82 | 157.06 |
| 13 | 1.024 | 107.47 | 112.59 | 117.71 | 122.82 | 127.94 | 133.06 | 138.18 | 143.29 | 148.41 | 153.53 |
| 15 | 1.00 | 105.00 | 110.00 | 115.00 | 120.00 | 125.00 | 130.00 | 135.00 | 140.00 | 145.00 | 150.00 |
| 17 | 0.976 | 102.53 | 107.41 | 112.29 | 117.18 | 122.06 | 126.94 | 131.82 | 136.71 | 141.59 | 146.47 |
| 19 | 0.953 | 100.06 | 104.82 | 109.59 | 114.35 | 119.12 | 123.88 | 128.65 | 133.41 | 138.18 | 142.94 |
| 21 | 0.929 | 97.59 | 102.24 | 106.88 | 111.53 | 116.18 | 120.82 | 125.47 | 130.12 | 134.76 | 139.41 |
| 23 | 0.906 | 95.12 | 99.65 | 104.18 | 108.71 | 113.24 | 117.76 | 122.29 | 126.82 | 131.35 | 135.88 |
| 25 | 0.882 | 92.65 | 97.06 | 101.47 | 105.88 | 110.29 | 114.71 | 119.12 | 123.53 | 127.94 | 132.35 |
| 27 | 0.859 | 90.18 | 94.47 | 98.76 | 103.06 | 107.35 | 111.65 | 115.94 | 120.24 | 124.53 | 128.82 |
| 29 | 0.835 | 87.71 | 91.88 | 96.06 | 100.24 | 104.41 | 108.59 | 112.76 | 116.94 | 121.12 | 125.29 |
| 31 | 0.812 | 85.24 | 89.29 | 93.35 | 97.41 | 101.47 | 105.53 | 109.59 | 113.65 | 117.71 | 121.76 |
| 33 | 0.788 | 82.76 | 86.71 | 90.65 | 94.59 | 98.53 | 102.47 | 106.41 | 110.35 | 114.29 | 118.24 |
| 35 | 0.765 | 80.29 | 84.12 | 87.94 | 91.76 | 95.59 | 99.41 | 103.24 | 107.06 | 110.88 | 114.71 |
| 37 | 0.741 | 77.82 | 81.53 | 85.24 | 88.94 | 92.65 | 96.35 | 100.06 | 103.76 | 107.47 | 111.18 |
| 39 | 0.718 | 75.35 | 78.94 | 82.53 | 86.12 | 89.71 | 93.29 | 96.88 | 100.47 | 104.06 | 107.65 |
| 41 | 0.694 | 72.88 | 76.35 | 79.82 | 83.29 | 86.76 | 90.24 | 93.71 | 97.18 | 100.65 | 104.12 |
| 43 | 0.671 | 70.41 | 73.76 | 77.12 | 80.47 | 83.82 | 87.18 | 90.53 | 93.88 | 97.24 | 100.59 |
| 45 | 0.647 | 67.94 | 71.18 | 74.41 | 77.65 | 80.88 | 84.12 | 87.35 | 90.59 | 93.82 | 97.06 |
| 47 | 0.624 | 65.47 | 68.59 | 71.71 | 74.82 | 77.94 | 81.06 | 84.18 | 87.29 | 90.41 | 93.53 |
| 49 | 0.600 | 63.00 | 66.00 | 69.00 | 72.00 | 75.00 | 78.00 | 81.00 | 84.00 | 87.00 | 90.00 |
| 51 | 0.576 | 60.53 | 63.41 | 66.29 | 69.18 | 72.06 | 74.94 | 77.82 | 80.71 | 83.59 | 86.47 |
| 53 | 0.553 | 58.06 | 60.82 | 63.59 | 66.35 | 69.12 | 71.88 | 74.65 | 77.41 | 80.18 | 82.94 |
| 55 | 0.529 | 55.59 | 58.24 | 60.88 | 63.53 | 66.18 | 68.82 | 71.47 | 74.12 | 76.76 | 79.41 |
| 57 | 0.506 | 53.12 | 55.65 | 58.18 | 60.71 | 63.24 | 65.76 | 68.29 | 70.82 | 73.35 | 75.88 |
| 59 | 0.482 | 50.65 | 53.06 | 55.47 | 57.88 | 60.29 | 62.71 | 65.12 | 67.53 | 69.94 | 72.35 |
| 61 | 0.459 | 48.18 | 50.47 | 52.76 | 55.06 | 57.35 | 59.65 | 61.94 | 64.24 | 66.53 | 68.82 |
| 63 | 0.435 | 45.71 | 47.88 | 50.06 | 52.24 | 54.41 | 56.59 | 58.76 | 60.94 | 63.12 | 65.29 |
| 65 | 0.412 | 43.24 | 45.29 | 47.35 | 49.41 | 51.47 | 53.53 | 55.59 | 57.65 | 59.71 | 61.76 |
| 67 | 0.388 | 40.76 | 42.71 | 44.65 | 46.59 | 48.53 | 50.47 | 52.41 | 54.35 | 56.29 | 58.24 |
| 69 | 0.365 | 38.29 | 40.12 | 41.94 | 43.76 | 45.59 | 47.41 | 49.24 | 51.06 | 52.88 | 54.71 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Calculation assumes a basis of 15% moisture.

Estimated price includes the cost of harvesting, costs to be subtracted if you harvested the forage. Estimation makes no adjustment for quality, condition or transportation. Prices will vary depending on price and demand in your area.

Other publications in the Quality Forage series

➤ AS-1250 Forage Nutrition for Ruminants

➤ AS-1252 Haylage and Other Fermented Forages

➤ AS-1253 Corn Silage Management

➤ AS-1254 Silage Fermentation and Preservation

➤ AS-1255 Storage, Sampling and Measuring

➤ AS-1256 Stressed-Damaged Crops

References

In addition to sources cited, materials were adapted with permission from Pioneer Forage Manual, no longer in print, and Illini Dairy Net Web site.

For more information on this and other topics, see: www.ag.ndsu.nodak.edu



NDSU Extension Service, North Dakota State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, and U.S. Department of Agriculture cooperating. Duane Hauck, Interim Director, Fargo, North Dakota. Distributed in furtherance of the Acts of Congress of May 8 and June 30, 1914. We offer our programs and facilities to all persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, age, Vietnam era veterans status, or sexual orientation; and are an equal opportunity employer. This publication will be made available in alternative formats for people with disabilities upon request, 701/231-7881.