# ESTIMATED TAX IMPACTS ON NORTH DAKOTA FARM OPERATORS

Mir B. Ali, Roger G. Johnson, Glenn D. Pederson, and Randal C. Coon

Impacts of various taxes on farmers are not well understood by farm organizations or by those formulating tax laws. A partial remedy to this problem is to develop estimates of taxes paid by various tax paying entities. Several farm groups in North Dakota funded a study to focus on taxes paid by farm and ranch operators to ameliorate this situation.

The objectives were: 1) to estimate the level and distribution of federal, state, and local taxes paid by farm and ranch operators in North Dakota and 2) to measure tax impacts by type and size of farm operation. Impacts may be direct or indirect in nature and can be measured in numerous ways. This study assessed only direct impacts of taxes on farm and ranch businesses. Taxes included were federal (income, self-employment, and fuel), state (income, sales, and fuel), and real estate taxes collected by local governments. Real estate taxes levied directly on farm operators were included while those levied on landlords were not.

#### Data

Three years of farm record summaries from the North Dakota Vocational Agricultural Farm Business Management Program (Gullickson and Holkup) were used to develop estimates of taxes paid by farm operators. Additional data were obtained through the North Dakota Farm Bureau and from the Farm Management Program at Moorhead Area Vocational Technical School (northwestern Minnesota). Records from 231 farms were considered usable. All farms had records for 1981 and 1982; however, 33 farms did not have records for 1983.

Farms were classified as cash grain, specialty crop, dairy and beef according to the source of at least 50 percent of their sales. Mixed farms were those operations in which no single enterprise accounted for more than 50 percent of total annual sales. Cash grain farms were geographically subdivided into Northwest (NW), Southwest (SW), East Central (EC), and Red River Valley (Valley) farming regions as shown in Figure 1. Production of specialty crops (potatoes and sugarbeets) is confined to the Valley. Farms were separated into four size groups, based on sales of all



Figure 1. Four Farming Regions in North Dakota

agricultural products: small (\$10,000-39,999), medium (\$40,000-99,999), large (\$100,000-249,999), and very large (greater than \$250,000).

Farm records data were compared to the 1982 Census of Agriculture (U.S. Department of Commerce) to determine if farms used in this study were representative of all North Dakota farms (Pederson et al.). This study included relatively fewer small farm operations and cash grain farms but proportionately more livestock ranches than the 1982 Census of Agriculture.

### **Tax Model and Assumptions**

Farm records do not show individual taxes as line expense items, except for operators' real estate taxes. Therefore, a computer model was developed to estimate tax liabilities. The model required information on both farm and nonfarm income as well as household expenses and family size.

Determining taxable income was central to the estimation of federal and state income taxes and the federal selfemployment tax. Taxable income was the sum of total farm income, nonfarm income, and capital gains less total farm expenses. Gross farm income equaled the sum of livestock and crop sales and other farm income during the calendar year. Nonfarm income included outside investment income and nonfarm employment earnings. Capital gains income was generated through sales of mature breeding livestock, machinery, buildings, and land. A

Ali is research assistant, Johnson is professor, Pederson was formerly associate professor, and Coon is research specialist, Department of Agricultural Economics.

simplifying assumption was that all cows sold had a zero basis (were raised on the farm). Gains from the sale of machinery, buildings, and land were estimated based upon assumptions concerning the turnover rate of these assets. Gains from the sale of buildings and machinery were apportioned between those taxed at capital gains rates and a ordinary income rates (Pederson et al.).

Farm expenses included farm operating expenses and depreciation on machinery and buildings. No livestock depreciation was deducted because all beef and dairy cows were assumed to be raised on the farm. Net farm profit (or loss) equaled gross farm income minus operating expenses and depreciation.

The farm operator's federal income tax liability was estimated by subtracting the standard federal deduction per dependent from the taxable income estimate. Federal income tax liability was computed each year on a cash receipts and disbursements basis using federal tax tables for married individuals filing a joint return.

Investment tax credit (ITC) was subtracted from the estimated federal income tax liability to determine federal income tax due. ITC was computed at 10 percent of qualified purchases (assuming all purchases qualified for the maximum tax credit). Adjustments to federal income tax liability captured tax-reduction benefits of loss carryforward, income averaging, investment tax credit carryforward/carry-back, and tax sheltering which prudent farm operators would use as tax management strategies.

State income tax was estimated from the federal income tax liability each year (prior to deduction of the ITC). The state tax rate, a percentage of the federal tax liability, was 7.5 percent in 1981 and 1982 and 10.5 percent in 1983. State tax law provided a \$100 credit for 1981 and 1982 but not for 1983.

Federal self-employment (social security) taxes were based on farm and nonfarm earnings excluding capital gains income and other unearned (outside investment) income. Self-employment tax was 9.3 percent in 1981 for earnings less than \$29,700, and 9.35 percent in 1982 and 1983 for earnings less than \$32,400 (1982) and \$35,700 (1983).

The general sales tax rate on farm machinery, equipment, and building purchases was 2 percent in 1981 and 1982 but was raised to 3 percent in 1983. Other taxed purchases were subject to a general state sales tax of 3 percent in 1981 and 1982 and a 4 percent rate in 1983. Food (except meals purchased away from home), seed, fertilizer, and agricultural chemicals are exempt from sales tax. Although repair parts are taxed, the labor component of repair expenses is not subject to state sales tax.

Expenditures for fuel, oil, and grease were reported as a single item in the business summary. Expenditures for oil and grease were assumed to equal 15 percent of the total. Fuel expenditure was separated into diesel fuel (64 percent of total fuel cost), farm-use gasoline (5.6 percent), and road-use gasoline (30.4 percent) based on a recent study of farm fuel use (Tsigas). Gasoline and diesel fuel are subject to state and federal fuel taxes. Diesel fuel was subject to a state fuel tax of 2 percent. Farm-use gasoline was subject to a state fuel tax at \$0.01125 per gallon. Road-use gasoline was subject to a state fuel tax of \$0.08 per gallon in 1981 and 1982, which was increased to \$0.13 per gallon in July 1983. The federal fuel tax on road-use gasoline was \$0.04 per gallon in 1981 and 1982 and increased to \$0.09 per gallon in April 1983.

Although real estate taxes paid by the farm operator were available from the record summaries, they were not used in this analysis because a significant portion of the farmers were delinquent in their payments. A few had paid two years' tax in one year for income tax management reasons. Therefore, real estate tax assessed a farm operator was used to more accurately reflect tax liability being incurred by the farmer. Taxes assessed were estimated using county-average agricultural land value estimates and the county-average mill rates applicable for farm real estate in 1981, 1982, and 1983.

## Estimated Taxes Paid by Year

Median characteristics and estimated taxes are reported for the individual years 1981, 1982, and 1983 in Table 1.<sup>1</sup> Median gross farm income and net farm profit increased in 1982 and again in 1983. Nonfarm income, total acres farmed, and acres owned were stable. Capital purchases declined in 1982 and 1983. The decline in total capital purchases from 1981 to 1983 was 57 percent.

Table 1. Median	Characteristics	and	Estimated	Taxes	of	North
Dakota Farm Op	erators by Year					

Item	19	1981		1982		1983
Number of Farms		231		231		198
Characteristics						
Gross farm income	\$92	2,734	\$1	01,962	\$1	11,408
Net farm profit (loss)	1	2,425		4,349		7,309
Capital gains		1,870		1,943		1,350
Nonfarm income	4	4,447		4,381		4,239
Capital purchases	19	9,683		12,664		8,514
Acres in farm		1,170		1,220		1,214
Acres owned		606		640		635
Taxes						
Federal income	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
State income		0		5		46
Self-employment		462		808		1,171
State sales		811		715		908
Fuel - federal & state		379		394		531
Real estate		1,453		1,367		1,466
Total taxes	\$ 3	3,105	\$	3,289	\$	4,122

No federal income tax was paid by the median farm operator, even with improved income in 1983. This is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Income and estimated taxes do not represent normal distributions. The distributions are skewed to the right due to existence of some extremely high values. Therefore, the median is a better measure of central tendency than the mean. The median represents the value above (or below) which half the observations fall.

result of investment credit carryover from previous years and capital gains treatment of some sales. The median farmer paid some state income taxes mainly because ITC does not apply to state income taxes. The state income tax rate was increased in 1983 (7.5 to 10.5 percent), and the \$100 deduction for energy credit was discontinued. Selfemployment tax was the most important federal tax and increased each year as both rates and farm earnings subject to the tax increased.

Although estimated median sales tax per farm was quite stable, it was lower in 1981 and 1982 than in 1983, partially due to a 1 percent rate increase. The state sales tax was the largest single state tax paid by farmers.

Fuel taxes (federal and state) were higher in 1983 than in 1981 or 1982. This is due to an increase in fuel tax rates at both federal and state levels. Real estate tax was stable across all three years.

# Estimated Taxes Paid by Type of Farm

A comparison of median farm characteristics and estimated taxes paid is shown in Table 2. Cash grain farmers were separated into four regions of the state and specialty crop farmers were all located in the Valley. Mixed, dairy, and beef farm operators are reported as statewide aggregates.

Cash grain farms in the Valley generated the most gross farm income and net farm profit as compared to cash grain farms in other areas of the state. Specialty crop farms had the highest gross farm income and profit of any farm type. Dairy farms generated higher gross farm income than either beef or mixed operations. Capital gains income, chiefly from sale of breeding livestock, was a significant source of earnings for livestock ranchers. Nonfarm income was typically a major source of revenue except for dairy farms. Median estimated federal and state income taxes were low for all types of farms with the exception of specialty crop farms. Federal income taxes were low or zero because of low incomes and use of investment credit to offset a potential tax liability. State income taxes also were low since they were based on the federal tax liability before investment credit was deducted. Livestock ranchers paid less income taxes than crop farmers partly because only 40 percent of capital gains income is subject to income tax.

Self-employment tax (which excluded capital gains income) was the major federal tax for the farmers studied. Livestock farmers paid over \$1,000 a year less in selfemployment tax than cash grain farmers, largely because a significant portion of their income was capital gains (from the sale of mature breeding livestock) and not subject to the tax.

Real estate tax liability depended upon land owned relative to amount rented.<sup>2</sup> Real estate assessments in a county are based on productivity value determined as a percent of the gross value of crop and pasture production (Pederson and Coon). Real estate taxes also are influenced by county mill rates. After real estate taxes were adjusted for percent ownership, there was a fairly close relationship between real estate taxes assessed and gross farm income among farm types, except for dairy farmers. Dairy farmers were assessed less real estate tax relative to gross farm income because they add more value to the feeds including pasture produced on an acre of land.

# Estimated Taxes Paid by Amount of Sales Groups

Median characteristics and estimated taxes paid by farm operators classified into four size groups are shown in

<sup>2</sup> Percent ownership (tenure) for the median farm ran from 35 to 52 percent for all farm types with the exception of livestock farms (beef and dairy) which were 71 to 73 percent tenure.

#### Table 2. Median Characteristics and Estimated Operators' Taxes by Farm Type in North Dakota, 1981-1983.

	Cash Grains					Specialty										
Item	1	W		SW		EC	1	/alley	C	rops		Dairy		Beef	٨	lixed
Number of Farms		14		16		60		45		10		33		31		22
Characteristics					41											
Gross farm income	\$6	4,564	\$1	28,100	\$	91,935	\$1	50,792	\$2	250,562	\$1	00,941	\$8	36,278	\$	81,784
Net farm profit (loss)		4,245		4,282		8,485		10,982		26,963		(958)		(8,973)		685
Capital gains		613		1,141		831		78		168		7,663		8,543		3,542
Nonfarm income		4,680		14,109		4,900		7,422		4,567		1,931		6,783		5,114
Capital purchases	1	5,543		30,725		19,443		25,783		66,049		14,468	1	18,326		17,467
Acres in farm		1,362		2,032		1,224		880		1,011		1,120		2,131		1,295
Acres owned		589		757		637		320		358		820		1,510		593
Taxes				1												
Federal income	\$	0	\$	455	\$	0	\$	243	\$	2,884	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
State income		65		239		102		176		560		0		0		27
Self-employment		942		1,472		1,260		1,286		2,156		207		115		864
State sales		644		1,241		783		992		1,827		807		776		757
Fuel - federal & state		362		483		456		484		782		362		395		463
Real estate		661	_	2,141	-	1,219	1	1,492	_	1,465		1,161	1	1,918	_	1,401
Total taxes	\$	2,674	\$	6,031	\$	3,820	\$	4,673	\$	9,674	\$	2,537	\$	3,204	\$	3,512

	Annual Sales of Agricultural Products								
Item	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000- 249,999	Greater Than \$250,000					
Number of Farms	20	116	79	16					
Characteristics									
Gross farm income	\$31,994	\$76,442	\$166,602	\$357,667					
Net farm profit (loss)	(316)	2,985	9,143	23,182					
Capital gains	778	2,416	2.017	5,991					
Nonfarm income	7,592	3,993	5,287	5,885					
Capital purchases	9,615	12,386	27,626	74,841					
Acres in farm	958	1,051 .	1,645	1,685					
Acres owned	138	530	790	777					
Taxes									
Federal income	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 20					
State income	18	33	160	405					
Self-employment	454	807	1,282	1,589					
State sales	449	718	1,187	2,067					
Fuel - federal & state	263	378	562	958					
Real estate	331	1,159	2,062	3,121					
Total taxes	\$ 1,515	\$ 3,095	\$ 5,253	\$ 8,160					

Table 3. Median Characteristics and Estimated Taxes of North Dakota Farm Operators Based on Sale of Agricultural Products, 1981-1983.

Table 3. The majority of small farms had net losses. Small farms showed higher nonfarm income than any other sales group. All other farm characteristics tended to increase with higher sales of agricultural products.

Due to investment credit, including carry-forward/carry-back, the median farm in each size group paid virtually no federal income tax. State income taxes increased faster than gross income. Self-employment tax also increased with farm size but did not increase proportionately with income. Many of the largest farms reached the maximum income subject to the tax.

Sales and fuel taxes increased with gross income level but at a less than proportionate rate. Clothing and telephone were not related to farm size but are subject to the general state sales tax. In addition, use of the family automobile and pickup truck tended to be related to offfarm work, family size, and distance to town rather than farm size.

The smallest farm size group owned only 14 percent of the land they farmed. Land ownership was nearly constant at 46 to 50 percent of total land farmed for the three largest farm size groups. Real estate taxes for the three largest farm size groups increased less rapidly than either gross or net income. Operators of larger farms apparently achieved a part of their higher gross incomes through higher yields, more intensive cropping practices, and livestock enterprises.

# **Estimated Taxes Paid by Tax Group**

Farm characteristics and estimated taxes were averaged over the three-year period (1981-1983) and sorted into low and high tax groups according to total state taxes. Total state taxes were used to sort farms into groups because a major focus of the study was to relate state taxes paid to selected farm characteristics. Farm operators were arrayed from low to high in total dollars of state taxes paid. They are separated into three groups consisting of the 25 percent paying least taxes, the 50 percent paying medium taxes, and the 25 percent paying most state taxes. Median characteristics and estimated taxes by tax group are given in Table 4.

Table 4. M	edian Chara	cteristics a	and Estin	nated Tax	kes of North
Dakota Far	m Operators	Classified	by Leve	l of State	Taxes Paid,
1981-1983.					

	Level of State Tax Paid						
Item		Low	M	edium	1	High	
Number of Farms		58		115		58	
Characteristics							
Gross farm income	\$	54,457	\$	96,917	\$1	94,755	
Net farm profit (loss)		118		1,162		20,852	
Capital gains		2,934		2,501		620	
Nonfarm income		3,116		4,474		8,296	
Capital purchases		7.222		20.597		57.371	
Acres in farm		869		1.232		1,625	
Acres owned		479		604		709	
Taxes							
Federal income	\$	0	\$	0	\$	460	
State income		5		52		371	
Self-employment		398		979		1.982	
State sales		446		865		1,784	
Fuel - federal & state		303		440		668	
Real estate	_	1,031	_	1,348	11	2,019	
Total taxes	\$	2,183	\$	3,684	\$	7,284	

The high state tax group had more gross farm income and more nonfarm income than lower tax paying groups. These farm operators also paid significantly more selfemployment, state sales, and real estate taxes. Capital gains income was less in the high tax group as compared with low or medium tax groups. This is chiefly because livestock ranchers tended to be in the lower tax paying groups.

# Estimated Tax Impacts by Farm Type and Size

Ability to pay out of current income is often used as a criterion to evaluate the impacts of various taxes on different groups of taxpayers. Federal, state, and local taxes are compared with combined income in Tables 5 and 6 to evaluate tax impacts among groups of farmers. Combined income is the sum of net farm profit (loss), capital gains income, and nonfarm income. The tax ratio which results should be interpreted as a gross, average tax level, not as an effective tax rate. Because real estate taxes and business-related sales taxes reduce taxable income and income tax liability, the corresponding tax impact ratios will slightly overstate effective tax impacts. The reader also is cautioned against comparing the calculated tax ratios with federal and state marginal tax rates since the income base used in computing the ratios has not been adjusted downward for capital gains treatment, personal exemptions, or tax credits.

Tax impacts varied considerably by farm type. Federal taxes had much less impact on livestock farmers than on crop farmers (3.6 percent versus 9.3 percent of combined income), largely because capital gains from breeding livestock sales are not included in determining the selfemployement tax. State taxes, on the other hand, tended to have a more severe impact on livestock farmers because of their low income and the fact that sales and fuel taxes tended to be only slightly related to net income.

The differential impact of real estate taxes can be explained partially by the higher percentage of land owned by the livestock operators compared to cash grain operators. Beef ranchers had a higher real estate tax ratio because of their low incomes. Although real estate taxes were related to gross income, they did not relate well to net income for beef ranches. Beef ranchers had a negative gross profit margin including capital gains while the dairy and cash grain farmers had positive gross profit margins ranging from 6.6 to 10 percent of gross farm income.

An examination of tax impacts by farm size groups (Table 6) reveals that both federal and state taxes tended to have the greatest influence on the two middle farm size groups. The relatively large nonfarm income of the small farm group indicated that their income tended to be large relative to self-employment, sales, and fuel taxes paid. Federal tax impacts for the largest farm size group

	Та	x Catego	ry		
Farm Type	Federal	eral State Local Tota		Total	Combined Income*
		perc	ent		
Cash Grain:					
Northwest	10.9	10.2	6.9	28.0	\$ 9,538
Southwest	10.6	9.3	11.0	30.9	19,532
East Central	9.7	8.5	8.6	26.8	14,216
Valley	9.0	8.2	8.1	25.3	18,482
Specialty Crop	16.6	9.3	4.6	30.5	31.698
Dairy	3.6	12.3	13.4	29.3	8.636
Beef	3.6	16.6	30.2	50.4	6,356
Mixed	10.6	11.9	15.0	37.5	9,341

Table 5. Taxes as a Percent of Combined Income for Federal, State, and Local Government by Farm Type, 1981-1983.

<sup>a</sup> Sum of net farm profit (loss), capital gains income, and nonfarm income.

Table 6.	Taxes as a	Percent of Combined	Income for Federal,	State, and Local	Govern-
ment by	Farm Size	, 1981-1983.			

	Та	x Catego	ry			
Farm Size <sup>a</sup>	Federal	State	Local	Total	Combined Income	
		perc	ent			
Small	6.6	8.1	4.1	18.8	\$ 8,054	
Medium	9.7	10.9	12.3	32.9	9,394	
Large	8.7	10.7	12.5	31.9	16,447	
Very Large	5.3	9.0	8.9	23.2	35,058	

<sup>a</sup> Based on sales of all agricultural products.

<sup>b</sup> Sum of net farm profit (loss), capital gains income, and nonfarm income.

benefited from the limit on income subject to selfemployment tax. The largest farms had higher gross profit margins on their farming operations. This reduced the impact of sales and fuel taxes which were related to gross income levels.

Local real estate taxes paid by the smallest size group took a relatively smaller proportion of income because of low land ownership and high off-farm income. Real estate tax ratios were about the same for the two middle-sized groups but declined for the largest farms. The decline results from a higher gross income relative to real estate taxes plus a larger gross profit margin. Net farm income plus capital gains was 8.1 percent of gross farm income for the largest size group compared to 7.1 and 6.6 percent for the two middle-sized groups.

#### Conclusions

Farm income was somewhat depressed for the three years analyzed; however, certain general conclusions can be drawn from the analysis. The major taxes are concentrated in the local real estate tax, federal self-employment tax, and the state sales tax. A comparison of those taxes indicated that on average the real estate tax accounted for 28 percent, the self-employment tax 24 percent, and state sales tax 21 percent of all taxes paid by farm operators.

Real estate taxes are paid in relation to size, location, land quality, and proportion of owned land. Sales taxes are closely related to the purchase of farm capital (machinery, equipment, buildings, parts, etc.). Farms with high capital purchases (e.g., Valley farms) paid relatively more state sales tax than other farms. Federal selfemployment tax was considerably lower for livestock operations since breeding livestock sales are not subject to this tax. Farms with large off-farm income, on the other hand, tended to pay more self-employment tax.

Tax impacts varied by type and size of farm. Beef producers paid more taxes than cash grain or dairy farms per dollar of net income. Although total taxes increased with farm size, taxes paid per dollar of income declined for farms grossing over \$250,000. Operators of middle-sized farms had the greatest total burden of taxes per dollar of combined income. Small farm operators paid less taxes as a proportion of income due to lower land ownership levels and the tendency for nonfarm income to comprise a major part of their combined income. Reforms in the taxing system to make it less biased would suggest taxing capital gains more heavily and including breeding livestock sales in self-employment income. Elimination or reduction of investment credit to make the income tax effective for farmers would tend to put more of the tax burden upon those with the ability to pay. At the state level, more reliance on the income tax relative to the sales tax also would shift the tax burden onto those with the most ability to pay.

#### References

- Farm Business Management, Northwestern Minnesota, Annual Report, in cooperation with Vocational Division, Department of Education and Agricultural Department, University of Minnesota, 1981-1983.
- Gullickson, Les, and Mark Holkup. North Dakota Vocational Agriculture, Farm Business Management Program. Annual Report, in cooperation with the North Dakota State Board for Vocational Education, Bismarck, 1981-1983.
- North Dakota Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. North Dakota Agricultural Statistics 1984. Ag. Statistics No. 53. Statistical Reporting Service and North Dakota State University, Agricultural Experiment Station, Department of Agricultural Economics, and Cooperative Extension Service, Fargo, June 1984.
- Pederson, Glenn D., and Randal C. Coon. Estimation of Farmland Values for Assessment and Property Taxation in North Dakota. Agricultural Economics Report No. 147. Department of Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Experiment Station, Fargo, July 1981.
- Pederson, Glenn D., Roger G. Johnson, Mir B. Ali, and Randal C. Coon. Analysis of Taxes Paid by North Dakota Farm and Ranch Operators. Agricultural Economics Report No. 195. Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, Fargo, February 1983.
- Tsigas, Marinos. "Impacts of Energy Prices on Farms in Two Areas of North Dakota." Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, June 1981.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. 1982 Census of Agriculture. AC82-A-34. Volume 1, Geographic Area Series, Part 34, North Dakota State and County Data. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., June 1984.