The Brood Cow Efficiency Study – A Progress Report

D.G. Landblom, J.L. Nelson, L. Manske and P. Sjursen

The second year of a long-term brood cow efficiency study designed to evaluate diverse crossbred cow types under the environmental conditions common to southwestern North Dakota has been completed.

Breed combinations selected for the study represent a cross section of the cattle breeds found in North America and were categorized according to their expected mature body weight and lactation potential. The Hereford breed serves as the foundation and control breed in the study. The breeding scheme and breed combinations used in this terminal crossing system are shown in the following table:

Cow Breed	ĸ	Sire Breed	= Calf Breed
Hereford (Control)		Hereford	Hereford
Hereford		Charolais	Charolais × Hereford
Angus × Hereford		Charolais	Charolais × Angus × Hereford
Milking Shorthorn× Angus×Hereford		Charolais	Charolais × Milking Shorthorn × Angus × Hereford
Simmental × Hereford		Charolais	Charolais × Simmental × Hereford

The evaluation has two distinct phases, a dry lot wintering phase and a summer grazing phase. It is designed to measure the energy necessary under those conditions to allow for reproductive success, and also to document the cost of production. There are three major interactions of importance in the investigation: the interaction between nutrition and reproduction; the interaction between nutrition and total beef production; and the interaction between grazing intensity and plant density change. During the wintering phase, each cow's gestation and lactation feed intake is monitored, since body condition and plane of nutrition during the wintering period have a significant impact on rebreeding performance. TDN levels that will promote optimum rebreeding efficiency are utilized. Body weight is measured weekly and used to determine the need for adjustments in TDN levels in the last trimester of pregnancy and during the subsequent lactation period following calving but before turnout on pasture.

During the grazing season, stocking rate, estimated milk production, pregnancy rate and pounds of beef produced per acre are recorded for each breed. Native pastures, representative of mixed grass prairie, consist of three dominant grass species, blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*), western wheatgrass (*Agropyron smithii*), needle and thread (*Stipa comata*), and threadleaf sedge (*Carex filifolia*). Range sites



Milking Shorthorn × Angus × Hereford; Charolais sire; Calf = MS × AH × Charolais



Hereford dam; Charolais sire; Calf = H × C

Landblom is assistant animal scientist, Nelson is animal scientist, and Sjursen is research technician, Dickinson Experiment Station; Manske is assistant professor, Department of Animal and Range Sciences.

were selected for similar vegetation, soil, slope and position of slope and are representative of three major soil types: sandy, shallow and silty. Data collected from these sites include herbage production sampled by clipping the vegetation to ground level inside a 0.25 meter square frame both inside and outside exclosure cages. Herbage is separated into grass, forb and shrub components and oven dried prior to weighing. Herbage production for each component and total production for each range site is then determined.

Milk production is estimated using the weigh-suckleweigh method at selected dates during the grazing season. Dates selected for this milking ability evaluation correspond to the varying stages of pasture maturity.

The breeding season begins June 1 of each year and continues for 60 days. The cows are pregnancy tested 45 days after bulls are removed.

Pre and post-calving gain, feed consumption and economics have been summarized for 1986 and 1987 in tables 1 and 2. Several of the production parameters depicting their relationship to economic efficiency have been summarized in table 3. The milking ability estimates for each breed have been summarized in table 4, and herbage production for the various breeds and pastures has been summarized in table 5.



Angus × Hereford dam; Charolais sire; Calf = AH × C

Breed	н	MS × A × H	A×H	S×H
Pre-calving:				
No. Head	7	10	10	7
Pre-calving days fed	77	79.8	70.9	77
Starting wt., Ibs.	965	964	1042	1124
Final wt., lbs.	1052	1067	1120	1224
Gain before calving, lbs.	87	103	78	100
ADG, Ibs.	1.13	1.29	1.10	1.29
Pre-calving Economics:				
Pre-calving feed, lbs.	3009	2283	2131	2329
Feed/hd/da., lbs.	39.1	28.6	30.1	30.2
Feed cost/hd., \$	76.62	57.97	54.17	59.13
Feed cost/hd./day, \$	1.00	.73	.77	.77
Post-calving:				
No. head	7	10	10	7
Days fed	50	52	54	55
Calving wt., lbs.	929	926	995	1112
Spring turnout wt., lbs.	967	943	1042	1132
Gain after calving, lbs.	38	17	47	20
ADG after calving, lbs.	.76	.33	.87	.36
Post-calving Economics:				
Feed/hd. lbs.	2127	3138	3571	3573
Feed/hd/da., lbs.	42.9	60.3	66.1	65.0
Feed cost/hd., \$	58.87	83.68	94.87	94.87
Feed cost/hd/da., \$	1.17	1.61	1.75	1.73
Combined Wtr. Costs:				
Pre-calving, \$	76.62	57,97	54.17	59,13
Post-calving, \$	58.87	83.68	94.43	94.87
Total, \$	135.49	141.65	148.60	154.00

Table 1. Pre and post-calving gain, feed consumption and partial economics summary for 1986.



Simmental × Hereford dam; Charolais sire; Calf = SH × C

Summary:

Two production cycles have been completed.

Efficiency in beef production is measured as the feed energy input per unit of beef produced, where the energy input is expressed in terms of megacalories per kilogram of liveweight. In this report, digestible energy for each cow type is expressed in terms of megacalories consumed per pound of calf weaned. To date, the average digestible energy consumption in megacalories for each breed has been 7.0 for the Milking Shorthorn × Angus × Hereford (MS × A × H), 7.4 for the Angus × Hereford (A × H), 7.5 for the Simmental × Hereford (S × H), 8.0 for the Hereford (H), and 8.5 for the Hereford control (H-Control) group.

Wintering costs represent one of the most important expenses in a cow/calf enterprise. The average wintering cost per head for the two years completed has been \$119.38 for the H, \$132.11 for the $A \times H$, \$135.66 for the MS × $A \times H$, and \$144.20 for the S × H.

When the cost of wintering is divided by the pounds of calf weaned the picture changes somewhat. The two-year average wintering cost per hundred pounds of calf weaned is \$22.27 for the MS \times A \times H, \$22.39 for the H, \$22.90 for the A \times H, \$23.63 for the H-Control, and \$24.48 for the S \times H.

The effect of reproductive efficiency on the cow/calf enterprise is of paramount importance and is expressed as the pounds of calf weaned per cow exposed to bulls during the breeding season. When this dimension is added to the picture the outcome changes again, pointing out the differences that exist among the cow types being investigated. The pounds of calf weaned per cow exposed were 594 lbs.

Breed	Н	MS × A × H	A×H	S×H
Pre-calving:				
No. Head	10	10	10	10
Pre-calving days fed	85	92	91	93
Starting wt., lbs.	1157	1167	1239	1264
Final wt., Ibs.	1285	1341	1365	1419
Gain before calving, lbs.	128	174	126	155
ADG, Ibs.	1.51	1.89	1.39	1.66
Pre-calving Economics:				
Pre-calving feed, lbs.	2163	2698	2284	2645
Feed/hd/da., lbs.	25.4	29.3	25.2	28.3
Feed cost/hd., \$	65.28	82.95	69.97	82.14
Feed cost/hd./day, \$.77	.90	.77	.88
Post-calving:				
No. Head	10	9	10	8
Days fed	39	29	34	33
Calving wt., lbs.	1115	1157	1206	1239
Spring turnout wt., lbs.	1196	1221	1265	1300
Gain after calving, lbs.	81	64	59	61
ADG after calving, lbs.	2.08	2.21	1.75	1.88
Post-calving Economics:				
Feed/hd., lbs.	994	1175	1252	1320
Feed/hd/da., lbs.	25.5	40.8	37.2	40.6
Feed cost/hds., \$	37.98	42.69	45.65	48.24
Feed cost/hd/da., \$.97	1.48	1.35	1.48
Combined Wtr. Costs:				
Pre-calving, \$	65.28	82.95	69.97	82.14
Post-calving, \$	37.98	42.69	45.65	48.24
Total, \$	103.26	129.66	115.62	134.40

Table 2. Pre and post-calving gain, feed consumption and partial economics summary for 1987.

for the A×H, 509 lbs. for the H, 472 lbs. for H-Control, 466 lbs. for the S×H, and 387 lbs. for the MS×A×H cows, due to the number of open cows among the MS×A×H and S×H cows. Available energy may have been limiting during the early part of the breeding season for these two breeds. In 1987 heavier milking S×H and MS×A×H cows received a supplemental feeding of 8 pounds of rolled barley per head per day during the first three weeks of the breeding season.

Milk production has been estimated at selected dates during the growing season. As might be expected, the $MS \times A \times H$ cross cows produced the highest milk estimate and data indicate that they not only milk heavier, but their lactation profile has the greatest persistance. The two-year average season-long milk production has been 15.0 lbs. for the MS \times A \times H, 14.3 lbs. for the S \times H, 12.3 lbs. for the A \times H, and 11.0 lbs. for the Hereford cows. It is important to note that the milk produced during the critical early part of the breeding season was substantially higher than the season-long average depict. Production in this period was 22.2 lbs. for the MS \times A \times H, 19.3 lbs. for the S \times H, 18.9 lbs. for the A \times H, and 15.0 lbs. per day for the H cows.

The effect of grazing by the various breeds is limited at this stage of the study. Preliminary data indicate that forage utilization is similar with the exception of the $S \times H$ cows, which are utilizing more grass. Data collected to date are only baseline and several more grazing seasons will be needed to identify specific changes in herbage production due to breed type.

	Hereford (Control)	н	MS × A × H	A×H	S×H
No. cows/yr. 1986 1987	8 10	7 10	8 ¹ 10	8 ¹ 10	7 10
No. open cows/yr. 1986 1987	1 3	0 0	3 0	0 1	1 0
Average weaning wt./ hd./yr. 1986	466	495	570	540	547
2 yr. avg.	505	533	647	577	589
Lbs. of calf weaned/ cow exposed/yr. 1986 1987		 509	387	594	466
Lbs. feed consumed/ hd/yr. 1986 1987	2	5136 3157	5421 3873	5702 3536	5902 3965
2 yr. avg.		4147	4647	4619	4934
Digestible energy/lb. of calf weaned, Mcal. 1986 1987	9.5 7.4	8.9 7.0	7.3 6.7	7.6	8.2 6.8
2 yr. avg.	8.5	8.0	7.0	7.4	7.5
Feed cost/head/yr., \$ 1986 1987	2	135.49 103.26	141.65 129.66	148.60 115.62	154.00 134.40
2 yr. avg.		119.38	135.66	132.11	144.20
Wintering cost/cwt. of calf weaned, \$ 1986 1987	29.08 18.98	27.37	24.85 20.04	27.52 18.83	28.15 21.30
2 yr. avg.	23.03	22.39	22.21	22.90	24.48

Table 3. Summary of feed and production measurements and their relationship to economic efficiency among the various breeds.

¹Ten cows were wintered in the drylot wintering phase, and eight cows were grazed in the pasture phase.

²Only one group of Hereford cows is being used to determine winter feed utilization.

1986 Date Sampled	May 2	D Aug	g. 25	Nov. 7	Average
1096		A			
MSXAXH	15.9	-17	5.8	10.1	13.9
AVH	10.1	11	8.1	59	11.4
Hereford	11.4	1	4.4	6.1	10.6
SXH	15.1	11	87	84	14.0
Hereford (Control)		14	4.1	5.9	10.0
1987					
Date Sampled	May 5	June 18	Sept. 10	Oct. 30	Average
1987					
MSXAXH	14.4	22.2	16.4	10.9	16.0
A×H	14.2	18.9	12.1	7.6	13.2
Hereford	14.8	14.8	7.8	8.5	11.5
S×H	15.6	19.3	11.9	11.4	14.6
Hereford (Control)		15.4	10.8	9.5	11.9
	2-Year Average				
MS×A×H			15.0		
A×H	12.3				
Hereford			11.0		
S×H			14.3		
Hereford (Control)			11.0		

Table 4. Yearly milking ability estimates at selected dates and two year average seasonlong milk production.

	Herbage			
	June 26 Pregrazed	October 27 Ungrazed	October 27 Grazed	% Difference
Pasture 1 110 Acre Hereford (Control)				
Sandy	1934.9	3079.2	2207.4	28.3
Shallow	1228.5	1118.0	846.8	24.3
Silty	2081.2	2118.2	1045.4	50.7
Pasture 2 92 Acre Angus × Hereford				
Sandy	2053.0	2368.0	1857.7	21.6
Shallow	1723.3	1392.7	760.0	45.4
Silty	1943.5	2435.8	1185.8	51.3
Pasture 3 80 Acre Simmental × Hereford				
Sandy	1369.0	2278.8	1129.9	50.4
Shallow	995.5	1403.4	871.8	37.9
Silty	2298.9	2456.0	1094.2	55.5
Pasture 4 80 Acre Milking Shorthorn × Angus × Hereford				
Sandy	2179.0	2149.1	1246.4	42.0
Shallow	1122.5	763.6	363.9	52.3
Silty	2090.9	1807.8	1115.6	38.3
Pasture 5 80 Acre Hereford w/crossbred calf				
Sandy	2314.6	1981.4	953.9	51.9
Shallow	1309.5	685.1	559.0	18.4
Silty	1435.4	2351.3	824.2	65.0

Table 5. Mean Total Herbage Production and Percent Difference for Each Range Site, 1986.