

Meeting	Digital Scholarship Advisory Board		
Location	Research 1 Conference Room	Date/Time	3/29/2019, 10:30 am
Note Taker	Amy Reese	Approved	
Attendees	Joe Mocnik Jane Schuh Nancy Hodur Rick Jansen	Kim Owen Hallie Pritchett Amy Reese Fred Riggins	Dane Skow Charlie Stoltenow Rebecca Weaver-Hightower
Not Present	Marc Wallman	Phillip McClean	Chris Schauer

## Welcome

Jane welcomed people and discussed the format for the meeting, and how our work will be communicated with the campus community.

## Review of Digital Scholarship Functional Charts

Hallie and Amy discussed the functional charts. The roles of the library in making the content available people in the library were discussed. Several folks were unclear what finding aids were. Examples of finding aids were shown and their purpose explained. Hallie asked for input about where RCA, CCAST, and ITS fit into the picture. Jane stated that RCA needed to be in the front – kind of undergirding the whole framework. CCAST and ITS felt they needed to be under digital scholarship part (in slide 1) as a facility. How to restructure the org chart was discussed – putting faculty and students at the top, and adding a column for support – Hallie will revised and flip the chart 90 degrees.

Support will include RCA, ITS, CCAST. Digital scholarship on campus will need to be driven by faculty and students, as well as the Digital Scholarship Advisory Board. Jane mentioned that Microsoft may be willing to assist the DF Lab, with funds specifically for that purpose.

Instruction and space to do it will be increasingly important, moving to the future. A question was raised about the Data Services Librarian – if that person would provide that sort of instruction. Joe clarified that the subject area liaisons would continue to provide instruction, as they have been, but would work with Data Services person to provide support for what the instruction the individual departments will need to get going with the initiative. Rebecca wanted workshops which would segue into the world café concept.

## World Café Planning

We are looking at a 6 month planning time frame. World café is to generate ideas to engage population to find answers, and should generate ideas to solve problems – who should be engaged, how to engage them, and get answers – Joe broke the goal of the café into a series of questions:

- What are we doing?
- What can we do?
- Who else from NDSU needs to be involved?
- What are the next step for the world café? – specifically with regards to direction for what students will want and need

Joe equated this to the Teddy Roosevelt Library planning and stressed the need to have multiple cafés to address specific issues. We need to think about what we could do with campus research to better get

faculty buy-in – and take away the money barrier to try to get people to think about this from the big-picture frame. Our group will need help envisioning how to incorporate the initiative into the campus framework. We will need to nominate and invite people to get involved, and rely on departments to recommend folks who could generate some direction for how to approach the digital scholarship concept that will make it relevant in the departments.

Fred suggested a VR panel – a group that would research, demo, and work with industry, and create partnerships to allow us to do what we want to do – also include guests who are already involved with this and similar initiatives.

The VR lab will need to engage in training and simulation. A discussion about how AI can help facilitate training without trainers, evolution of research and libraries, and what support is needed to make it happen. Digital Scholarship, when looked at this way, is a euphemism for what libraries have always tried to do. Fred said that he would share a video link that will help move this discussion forward.

### Working Groups Report

Talked about hiccups with data ingestion – the departments are not willing to let their data be public, as they feel that they can still use it, and do not want it open while they still have use for it. A TFAC grant will be pursued for a Geosciences Visualization Lab.

### Web Presence

Amy talked about the group's web page. In meeting with the Research Data Working Group members, it was decided that their page should remain, but be pared back to the resources that are being used and complement the information that this group will put out moving forward. It was generally agreed that we should work on developing a page over the summer, as the group will have more content for sharing publicly.

### Updates

200TB as a start and Dane stated that that would be no issue.

There's an agreement that we need something bigger (institutional) – and that we need to take it on once the data is fixed – at that point the data transfers to institutional ownership.

### Next Meeting:

Meeting adjourned at 12:00 pm, with the next meeting set for April 26 at 10:30 am in QBB