AGENDA ITEM: Approval of Meeting Minutes
The minutes from the 10/2/19 meeting were approved as written.

AGENDA ITEM: Dean of Mocnik "State of the Library"
- Recruitment: The Libraries currently have three searches underway and are hoping written permission is received to recruit in FY20 for a fourth.
  o Processing Archivist: This reposted search is in the phone interview stage.
  o Library Associate – Bismarck: This reposted position screens on 12/1/19.
  o Metadata and Cataloging Librarian: This position is posted with a screening date of 12/8/19
  o Resource Acquisition and Management Librarian: Waiting for written permission to recruit.
- Budget:
  o Student Library Fee Increase: Dean Mocnik shared the slide presentation that is being created to request an increase to the student library fee. He indicated the committee will be presented with two options for increase.
  o Budget Exercise: The Libraries exercise was submitted according to schedule.
  o OER Workshop: A handout for an upcoming OER conference on 3/6/19 was handed out and an electronic version will be forwarded to Library Committee members following the meeting.
- Questions for the Dean
  o Will the Libraries be cancelling Elsevier? Dean Mocnik explain that the Libraries will not be cancelling the Elsevier subscription package but will be making minimal reductions to lower costs this year. Review of our collections, vendor negotiations and small cuts as needed are an ongoing process each year to stay within our budget.
  o Are there talks amongst institutions to collaborate on journal purchases? Dean Mocnik explained that the Libraries are part of many consortia groups which help get small discounts. He noted that decreases in journal subscriptions oftentimes bring about increased costs in other Library areas like interlibrary loan.

AGENDA ITEM: General Questions/Concerns from Units
There was nothing to report

AGENDA ITEM: Discussion of Open Access publishing
There was continued discussion, resulting in many questions, on open access publishing in terms of academic publishing and teaching resources. It was noted that many faculty feel their colleges should pay the academic publishing fees; however, there is limited funding available. Many feel that the open access fees are too high for publishers and that there is still a high lack of knowledge amongst faculty surrounding open access. Additional questions discussed:
- Does the Student Government still have grants for OER? Dean Mocnik indicated there was no new funding that he was aware of. It was his hope that following the OER conference, new conversations will surface.
- Could a website be set up for open access? Would the Libraries website be a better location? Dean Mocnik reminded the committee that there is already an OER page within the Libraries webpage that gives a broad overview with many links to additional information. https://library.ndsu.edu/guides/open-education-resources
• Would creating a public statement be beneficial or should we look at ways of advertising to leverage for resources?
• How does an open access model affect the University bookstore?
• Would a university-wide model of undergraduate students paying one fee to have all textbooks digital work?
• What are tangible things that can be done by this committee to solve the issues from both the teaching resource and academic publishing sides?

AGENDA ITEM: Action Items
No concrete steps were formulated in moving forward. This discussion of OER will continue at the next, to be determined, Library Committee meeting.

AGENDA ITEM: New Business
No new business

AGENDA ITEM: Announcements
• Dean Mocnik shared the NDSU Archives recently acquired the collection of local photographer, Leo Kim.
• Giving Day is set for 12/3/19.

Adjourned at 4:08